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Abstract
The aim of this study is to establish expectations of 5th grade students from Marmara University’s Department of Geography Teaching on geographical field study in Western Anatolia. For this reason, a field study trip was organized to Western Anatolia. A survey, which was consisted of open-ended questions, was prepared by experts and it was conducted on students before and after the study. The survey revealed that 32, 2% of the expectations were related to physical geography, 23,1% to human geography and 44,7% to economic geography. The accumulated data were analyzed using descriptive analysis technique. In conclusion, expectations of students were substantially satisfied after field study. After the fieldwork experience, 67,8% of student expectations were fully met, 7,1% of them were partially met, while 29,1% of their expectations were not fulfilled. It was also established that the rate of fulfilled expectations related to economic and human geography was higher than those related to physical geography. The findings were interpreted and suggestions were made concerning the issue.
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Geographers build a relationship between human beings and the natural environment. They try to be useful to society and make suggestions to contribute to this relationship. Nature serves as a laboratory for geographers. Economic and human characteristics of the natural environment are thoroughly analyzed. Therefore, they conduct fieldwork which constitutes an essential part of a geographical education. (Açıkgöz, 2006; Akçay, 2004; Akinoğlu, 2004, 2005; Balli, 2009; Çalışkan, 2008; Erdem, 2007; Fuller, 2006; Gök & Girgin, 2001; Karakök, 2011; Kayağ, 2009; Korkmaz, 2006; Özay, 2003; Özkan, 2009; Rudmann, 1994; Tunç, 2006). Because these studies help solidify geographical knowledge. Exploring geographical features in the research environment and analyzing these features are possible only through fieldwork experiences. Horst blocks in geography textbooks turn into the Aydın and Boz mountains; graben blocks turn to the Gediz and Menderes plains. During field studies, students have the opportunity to see how reservoirs constructed on tributaries flowing between horst blocks prevent spate flows from damaging the planted areas and how spate flows are controlled to irrigate these planted areas. Students learn that determining where the fault lines lie in the field study area is not as easy as finding them on maps. A direct observation solidifies the relationship between faults and hot water sources. At the same time, they see these sources and projects that would contribute to the economy. They question the relationship between agricultural products and climate of a certain area chosen as a field for
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study. They have the opportunity to examine soil and vegetation of the area, as well. They make suggestions for future considering the current conditions of the world. They learn how geographical features of an area affect population characteristics there. They try to find out how much effect settlement has on traditional architecture. They discover the potentials of the cities and see how economic activities help cities develop an identity. They have the opportunity to explore planted lands and new agricultural activities and learn how agricultural products of an area have an effect on the economic structure there. They examine the current tourist attractions and question how they contribute to the economy. They discover how ground waters in a calcareous area form travertines and how much they contribute to economic development. They analyze ancient cities, holy structures and symbols of cities and interpret them calling the modern day conditions into mind.

Students find the opportunity to solidify their existing geographical knowledge as mentioned above. Theoretical knowledge transforms into practical knowledge (Fuller, Edmondson, France, Higgitt, & Ratinen, 2006; Scott, Fuller, & Gaskin 2006). Participants learn how to protect themselves from possible environmental damages and how to benefit from the same environment. Students from the geography teaching departments are well aware of the significance of geographical field study in effective learning. This awareness increases their interest in this kind of study. Students develop expectations in tandem with this interest (Girgin, Sever, & Gök 2003). As a matter of fact, the working group that participated in “field study in Western Anatolia,” which is the subject of our study, was asked, before the fieldwork, how they could “sum up their expectations of a field study.” 81% of the group made statements related to benefits of practical studies. In this context, Participant (P) 10 said, “Geographers learn by travelling not by listening.” P8 said, “Apply what you learned. Learn what you don’t know. Search. Come back again years later. This time, you tell so that others learn.” P12 emphasized “learning through experience and keeping active what we learned at school,” while P16 emphasized “confirming the information we earlier read in a textbook and solidifying what we learn and learning what we don’t know through experience, gaining experience.” P7 said, “Geography field studies are conducted to learn by experience.” P2 stated: “I want my geographical knowledge to be reinforced by travelling, observing and examining,” and P3 highlighted “reinforcing knowledge through observations made during field studies and obtain information about many things I have not seen and known.”

There were many other studies on geographical field studies. The following concepts were used in these researches: field trips, research trips (Izbirak, 1968), field studies, field researches (Doğanay, 1993), trip, travel, excursion, tour, observation and examination (Doğanay, 2002; Garipağaoglu, 2001), observation trip (Cin, 1999; Demir, 2007; Küçükahmet, 2001), Professional field trips (Girgin et al., 2003), field studies, examination trips (Korkmaz, 2006), fieldworks (Karabağ, 2002). There is a common view that field study is an imperative in geography teaching (Alkış, 2008). The benefits of this method are emphasized in this study. There was no similar study to this one which aimed to detect expectations related to geographical formations in Western Anatolia in the relevant literature

In this context, answers to the following questions were sought:

1. Did the fieldwork experience in Western Anatolia meet expectations of the students from the undergraduate geography teaching department?
2. What are the students’ expectations of the fieldwork experience in Western Anatolia?
3. Do the expectations change after the field study trip to Western Anatolia? What is the rate of realization of these expectations?

Method

Model

This study which was prepared with the intention to determine expectations of geographical fieldwork experiences adopts qualitative research method. The reason why this model was preferred is that it provides opportunity to obtain more elaborate data from the students about expectations of geographical fieldwork experience.

Working Group

Working group of this study consisted of 17 5th grade students - 58.8% male, 41.2% female -- studying at the Geography Teaching Program of the Secondary Social Studies Department in Marmara University’s Atatürk Faculty of Education during the 2010-2011 spring term. This group was chosen in accordance with the working group sample.
**Data Accumulation and Analysis**

A survey form including open-ended questions was developed within the scope of this research with the intention to gather data. Expert opinion was received about the form’s preparation and application. The researcher developed this form and appealed to expert opinion about it. The form was modified according to their opinion. Questions which were largely approved by the experts were included in the form.

Within the scope of this research, a geographical field trip was organized to Western Anatolia between April 25 and 28 in 2011. The students set off on April 25 morning from Istanbul and arrived in Denizli in the evening. Throughout the journey, they had the opportunity to examine newly-built ring roads, high-speed train tunnels, the Sapanca Lake and the natural and touristic assets of the Bilecik, Kütahya, Afyonkarahisar and Dinar provinces. On the second day of the trip, the students studied Pamukkale, the ancient city of Hierapolis, Kızıl Su (Red Water), fault lines, travertines and Büyük Menderes graben. They couldn’t get permission to visit the Buharkent–Kızıldere Geothermal Power Plant and went to their next destination, the Aydın province. Kuşadası, the Güvercin Island, the ancient city of Ephesus, the Church of the Virgin Mary, the village of Sirince, Selçuk and Küçük Menderes plains and the city centre of İzmir were visited on the third day of the field trip. The students also saw the Gediz Plain, New and Old Foça and the Alağa Oil Refinery, as well on the third day. On the fourth day, en route to Istanbul, the students saw the ancient city of Bergama, Kızıl Avlu (Red Yard), Ayvalık, Cennet Tepesi (the Heaven Hill), the Cunda Island, the Bosporus Bridge, the Bakırçay Graben, the Kaz Mountains and the Balıkesir and Bursa provinces and finally they arrived in Istanbul and completed their field study in Western Anatolia.

The participants’ suggestions and opinions were taken into consideration while making the plan of this field trip. The participants observed and studied the natural and touristic places as per their interests. The reports – prepared in class on computer – were distributed to students that would participate in the field trip. These reports were collected into a book and distributed to students before the field study. Furthermore, necessary permissions were received from governmental and private institutions prior to the fieldwork.

In this study, students in the working group were asked 16 open-ended questions in a survey form with the intention to establish their expectations of physical, economic and human characteristics of the areas that were going to be subject to field study. To that end, they were questioned about their expectations concerning geological, geomorphological, climatic, hydrographic features, soil, flora, population, settlement, urbanization, industrial plants, cultivated lands, agricultural activities, touristic assets and natural beauties. The researcher paid attention to prepare explanatory questions. Some of the questions were: “…what are the geographic features you would like to see?” “…what is the reason behind this desire?” “…what are your expectations?” “… could you sum up your expectations?” “… were your expectations fulfilled or not? Why?” What students wrote about their expectations was presented in this article without being modified.

A survey consisting of open-ended questions was conducted on the working group before and after the fieldwork experience. The results of the survey were evaluated and then put through a descriptive analysis.

In the study, necessary measures were taken to increase accuracy, repeatability, plausibility, transmissibility, consistency and testability of the scientific findings. The relationship between topics related to the subject of this study was controlled and coherence was created with the intention to increase internal validity of the study. In order to enhance the external validity, the data acquired from the survey were reflected in the article much the same, without making any changes to the answers given by the participants. The data gathered from the open-ended questions were presented as they were to improve internal reliability. The researcher appealed to experts to determine consistency of the study. The acquired data were archived by the researcher to improve external reliability and to enable others to get access to the information.

**Results and Discussion**

The participants raised a total of 255 expectations. 32,2% of these expectations were pertaining to physical geography, 23,1% to human geography and 44,7% to economic geography. After the fieldwork experience, it was concluded that 67,8% of these expectations were fully met, 25,1% of them were not fulfilled while the remaining 7,1% of their expectations were partially met.

**Findings Related to Characteristics of Physical Geography**

As mentioned above, 32,2% of the expectations of the students that participated in this study were per-
taining to physical geography of the area that would be studied. 24.4% of these expectations were related to geological and geomorphological features, 24.4% to climatic features, 25.6% to hydrographic features and 25.6% to soil and vegetation cover of the field that would studied. 90% of the expectations related to geological and geomorphological features of the area to be studied were fully or partially realized after the fieldwork experience. The rate of the fulfilled expectations concerning climatic features was 55%, while this rate was 61.9% in expectations related to hydrographic features and 57.1% in expectations of soil and vegetation cover.

Findings Related to Geological and Geomorphological Characteristics: It was found out that the participants voiced a total of 20 different expectations of geological and geomorphological characteristics of a field study area. They made reference to 28 concepts related to this subject while expressing their expectations. Eight of these references were about “rock structure of the area,” four were about “fault lines,” two were about coastal landforms such as “tombolo, spit, sandbar,” five were about “direct observation of geographical formations,” one was about “meander,” while eight were about “horst and graben blocks.” After the field study experience, the working group said, 64.3% of the expectations of aforementioned concepts were fully fulfilled, 17.9% were partially fulfilled while 17.9% were never realized.

Findings Related to Climatic Characteristics: The number of expectations – voiced by the working group, prior to the field study – about climatic characteristics of the research field was 20. Thirteen of these expectations were related to the concept of “climatic characteristic,” six were about “change in climate conditions and its effects,” one was about “putting theory into practice” and the other one was about “wind energy potential.” After the field study experience, it was found out that 52.4% of the expectations from the aforementioned concepts were fully realized, 4.8% were partially realized, while the remaining 42.9% were not realized.

Findings Related to Hydrographic Characteristics: The number of expectations voiced by the working group prior to the field study about hydrographic characteristics of the research field was 21. Seventeen of these expectations were about “water sources and rivers,” seven were about “lakes,” four were about “deltas,” two were about “meanders” and the remaining two were about “life style” and “watershed.” After the field study experience, 62.5% of the expectations voiced by the participants were fully met, 9.4% were partially met, while 28.1% were not realized.

Findings Related to Soil and Vegetation Characteristics: The number of expectations – voiced by the working group, prior to the field study – of soil and vegetation characteristics of the research field was 22. Eight of these expectations were about “distribution of plant species,” five were about “soil types;” five were about “relationship between soil and vegetation;” two were about “soil and climatic characteristics” and the remaining two were about “soil and climatic characteristics” and “putting theoretical knowledge into practice.” After the field study experience, it was found out that 52.2% of the expectations of aforementioned concepts were fully realized, while the remaining 47.8% were not realized. One of the participants said he/she didn’t have any expectations.

Taking all these data into consideration, it is obvious that the rate of fulfilled expectations of geological and geomorphological characteristics in geography is higher than those related to physical geography, since the participants had the opportunity to examine geological and geomorphological structures more closely. Although students were provided theoretical information, their expectations of climatic characteristics were not realized as rainy weather conditions occasionally prevented them from making an observation.

Findings Related to Characteristics of Human Geography

It was found out that 23.1% of the expectations of the working group were pertaining to characteristics in human geography of the area that would be studied. 39% of these expectations were related to population characteristics of the research area, while the remaining 61% were related to settlement characteristics. 69.6% of the expectations from population characteristics were fully realized after the fieldwork experience. The rate of fulfilled expectations related to settlement characteristics was 70.6%, while this rate was 68.4 concerning the settlement characteristics.

Findings Related to Population Characteristics: The participants of the study voiced a total of 23 expectations of population characteristics of the fieldwork area, prior to the fieldwork experience. Seven of these expectations were related to the concept of “population structure and characteristics,” five were about “dialogue with human beings,” four were about “cultural characteristics,” four were about “distribution of population and underlying factors affecting this distribution,” four were about “rural
and urban population” and one was about “rural life.” It was found out after the fieldwork that, 76.7% of the expectations related to these concepts were fulfilled. 10% were partially fulfilled, while 13.3% were not realized.

Findings Related to Settlement Characteristics:
The number of expectations voiced by the working group about settlement characteristics of the fieldwork area was 17. Four of these expectations were about “housing types,” four were about “settlement texture,” three were “settlement distribution,” two were about “factors affecting settlement” and the remaining were related to “historic settlements,” “rural life,” and “field study.” 70.6% of the participants’ expectations were fully realized, 5.9% were partially realized, while 23.5% were not realized after the fieldwork experience. Two participants stated that they had no expectations.

Participants studied urban settlements rather than rural ones within the scope of the fieldwork plan. That’s why they were separately questioned about characteristics of cities. It was found out after this inquiry that there were 19 expectations relating to characteristics of cities. While voicing their expectations, the participants mentioned “geographical, historical, cultural and touristic characteristics of cities” and expressed their desire to see them. After the fieldwork experience, 68.4% of the expectations were fully realized, 10.5% were partially realized, while 21.1% were not realized.

The rate of fulfilled expectations related to settlement characteristics is higher than those related to population characteristics. The reason behind this finding is thought to be that the working group had the opportunity to examine rural and urban settlements in detail. The population structure, its characteristics and distribution could not be observed directly as opposed to settlements.

Findings Related to Characteristics of Economic Geography
44.7% of the expectations of the working group, that participated in this study, were related to characteristics in economic geography of the area that would be studied. It is obvious that this rate is higher than the rate of expectations related to characteristics of physical and human geography, since the number of questions about expectations of economic geography characteristics was higher than the others.

26.3% of these expectations related to economic geography were about characteristics of industrial plants, 17.5% were about characteristics of agricultural products and 56.2% about those of natural and touristic assets.

43.4 percent of expectations related to characteristics of industrial plants were fully met after the fieldwork experience. The rate of fulfilled expectations about characteristics of cultivated areas was 65%, while this rate was 93% concerning the fulfilled expectations related to natural and touristic assets.

Findings Related to Characteristics of Industrial Plants: The working group that participated in this study expressed a total of 14 expectations about characteristics of industrial plants of the fieldwork area. Eleven of them were about “industrial plants and distribution of these plants,” five about “economic activities” and one about “harbor properties.” One of the participants said he/she didn’t have any expectations related to characteristics of industrial plants.

The geothermal power plant in Denizli’s Sarayköy district was not studied, as the group could not get permission from the authorities to enter the area. However, the students were given information about the power plant in front of the entrance to the site. They were also able to take photographs of the power plant and its immediate surroundings.

The number of participants’ expectations related to this power plant was 17. Fifteen of these expectations were related to “seeing the power plant,” two were about “studying the geological structure of surrounding of the power plant.” One of the participants P12 stated that he/she had no expectations about the power plant. Participants stated that 82.4% of their expectations were not fulfilled after the fieldwork trip, while 17.6 percent said their expectations were met thanks to observation of the surrounding area and explanations made in front of the entrance to the site.

Findings Related to Characteristics of Agricultural Areas: The number of expectations of the participants with regard to agricultural areas and activities totaled 20. Eleven of these expectations were concerning “cultivated lands,” six were concerning “agricultural products,” three were concerning “agriculture’s effect in economy,” three others were concerning “the relationship between agriculture and climate,” while another was about “agricultural industry.” 66.7% of the expectations with regard to the aforementioned concepts were fully realized, 16.7% were partially realized, while 16.7% were not realized at all after the fieldwork excursion.
Findings Related to Characteristics of Natural and Man-made Touristic Assets: There are a total of 16 expectations in our study with regard to natural and man-made touristic assets. While voicing their expectations, almost everybody highlighted “touristic assets and their characteristics.” After the fieldwork trip, 93.8 percent of the participants said their expectations were fully met, while 6.3 percent said theirs were not met.

The students were also asked for opinion on Pamukkale travertines, the Church of the Virgin Mary, the clock tower in Izmir and cities such as Selçuk, Ephesus, Afrodiasias, Acropolis and Hierapolis to support findings related to expectations concerning natural and touristic assets in our research field. The findings are mentioned below.

Findings related to characteristics of natural assets; the Pamukkale travertines: The participants voiced a total of 19 expectations with regard to the Pamukkale travertines before the field trip. Ten of these expectations were related to “its formation,” seven were related to “curiosity about the area,” three were about “practicing,” another was about “its contribution to the economy” and another one was about “its health benefits.” It was found out after the field trip that all the expectations were fully met.

Findings related to man-made assets; the Church of Virgin Mary, the clock tower in Izmir, Selçuk, Ephesus, Afrodiasias, Acropolis and Hierapolis:

Findings related to the Church of Virgin Mary: Participants voiced 14 expectations with regard to the structural characteristics of the Church of Virgin Mary. While voicing their expectations, almost all the participants highlighted concepts like “obtaining information and being curious to see the structure.” 85.7 percent of the expectations were fully met after the fieldwork experience, 7.1 percent were partially met, whereas 7.1 percent were not met. Two participants said before the fieldwork trip that they did not have any expectations.

Findings related to cities like Selçuk, Ephesus, Afrodiasias, Acropolis and Hierapolis: Participants had a total of 16 expectations concerning Selçuk, Ephesus, Afrodiasias, Acropolis and Hierapolis. The working groups highlighted concepts like “obtaining information, being curiosity and desire to see” while voicing their expectations.

Findings related to the clock tower in Izmir: Participants wrote down a total of 15 expectations with regard to the clock tower in Izmir. They emphasized that they desire to see the tower both because it is “the symbol of İzmir” and they are “curious to see” it. 93.3 percent of the expectations of the participants were realized after the field study trip. Only one participant said he/she didn’t have any expectations related to the clock tower in İzmir.

Considering these qualitative findings related to characteristics of natural and man-made touristic assets, we see that the field study positively affected the students’ expectations; because it was found out that only 5 percent of the expectations voiced before the fieldwork trip were not met after the trip.

To sum up, the rate of the fulfilled expectations related to characteristics of touristic assets was higher than those related to characteristics of industrial plants and agricultural areas. This is because the working group was more interested in historic and touristic assets, the researcher thought. Also, it was observed during the fieldwork trip that the participants wanted to spend more time in this kind of places.

Discussion, Conclusion and Suggestions

In this study, which analyzed the students’ expectations of the field study trip, it was found out that field studies positively affect expectations of geography, as it was established that fieldwork experience contributes from 18% up to 100% to meet expectations related to economic, physical and human geography of a research field. This finding overlaps with Rudmann’s (1994) research results. Rudmann said this kind of field studies “contribute greatly to students’ cognitive skills.” In a research conducted by Balci (2010b) on geographical trips’ effect on geography teaching, he found out that “there was a significant relationship in the t test in favor of the post-test according to the results of knowledge tests and concluded that score of the post-test was more than the score of the pre-test by 38.1%”. Doğanay (2002) defined this kind of field studies and trips as “the most influential method in geographical research and data gathering.” He also stated that “making observation in geography is an imperative.”

The expectation of putting theoretical knowledge into practice – which constituted a great part of expectations related to the working group’s fieldwork experience – were met occasionally by 80% and 100%. This result shows parallelism with results found out by Fuller et al. (2006), Kent, Gilbertson, and Hunt (1997), Scott et al. (2006) and Balci (2010a). Fuller put forward that “field studies contribute greatly to improvement of skills and students who have an opportunity to participate in fieldwork trips are good in applying their skills to other areas” (2006). Kent et al. emphasized that field
studies “are indispensable in learning and teaching geography” (1997). Fuller (2006) put forward that “Field trips make students better perceive their environment by coming face to face with the real world. What seems to be an irresolvable, complicated geographical phenomenon can be better comprehended by means of these field trips.” Scott et al. (2006) who put emphasis on how academicians interpret the geographical trips from a pedagogical point of view, explained that “an academicians main purpose is to put theoretical knowledge into practice and help students gain relevant skills.” In the conclusion of a study conducted by Balci (2010a) to determine the significance of field studies in geographical teaching, it was established that “geographical trips help students put their theoretical knowledge into practice and make them learn geographical concepts and phenomena easier.” As Açıkgöz (2006) underscored in his thesis, “Students who learn geography by the method of observation and examination do better in school than students who adopt traditional learning methods.” Garıpağaoğlu (2001) also stated that “Students should benefit from geographical research trips and observations. Otherwise, it would be like teaching biology, physics and chemistry without conducting experiments in laboratories. The laboratory of geography is Earth and the environment. One cannot learn and teach geographical phenomena without travelling and seeing different parts of Earth.” Garıpağaoğlu’s statements support the aims and results of this research. Kent’s (1999) remarks are also similar to these views. “As laboratories are an indispensable part of physical sciences, human beings’ examination of the environment they live in is an indispensable necessity for modern geography. Because, genuine geographical knowledge can only be obtained from field research.”

In this study, it was detected that the quantities of the field works and of the explanations made during these works directly affected the expectations of the students. This effect resembles to the results of the research conducted by Balci (2011). The results of the research carried out by Balci include the finding that: “concepts discussed during the geographical research expedition are better acquired when compared to those which are not discussed.”

The results of our research support these different studies that have been prepared with the geographical field works (Akbulut, 2004; Cin, 1999; Çetin, Oruç, & Tokcan, 2006; Demirci, 2006; Gök & Gırgın, 2001, Güngördü, 2002; İzbrak, 1968; Kalaycı & Büyükalman, 2000; Kızılçağaoğlu, 2003; Özey & Demirci, 2008). As a matter of fact, some researchers emphasized that expedition and observation method increased the success levels of students with the following expressions: “They gain skills in the fundamental geography topics. It improves learning. It increases motivation. It is entertaining and interesting. They can recognize the environment. It promotes their personal and social developments. It ensures a change in the classroom environment.” Foley and Janikou (1996); “field works are of primary importance in the acquisition of the fundamental geographical skills.” Alkış (2008); “research activities have secondary benefits in the development of transferable skills.” Scott et al. (2006); “It is observed that the groups participating in the field works are more social and friendly in developing their current information and establishing communication with their friends and teachers. It is clear that expeditions organised in groups have more positive effects on the skills of the students.” Fuller (2006) “Field works varying in their education and training styles are used. Field works play a crucial role in teaching geography.” Lambert and Balderstone (2000) “Field works are seen as an important education and training means in the education of geography and environment.” Scott et al. (2006) “Main education method used in the social sciences is the expedition-observation method.” Taşkaya and Bal (2009) they underscored that the method of field trip and observation help students do better in school saying “the method of field trip and observation is one of the most leading methods among social sciences teaching methods.” Kent and Fosket (2002) stated that “there have been developments in the field works throughout the world from many aspects and these field works can be used more in the education activities only with an effective planning”. Clare (1991) emphasized that field works can be employed in a wide range by saying that “Field works can be applied in the urban lands as well as rural areas. Even, guiding activities can be conducted in schools, houses and streets”. Çetin, Kış & Kаратekin (2010) and Demir (2007) spacificed the importance of geographical field works by revealing that “the expedition-observation method is not a method frequently used by the Classroom and Social Sciences Teachers, it is one of the least applied methods”. The reasons of this situation have been explained by Mazman (2007), Demir and Esken (2010) as such: Mazman detected that “Social Sciences teachers cannot use this method due to financial problems, abundance of the bureaucratic obstacles, and intensity of the curriculum”. Demir and Esken found out in their study that “pre-service social sciences teachers feel enthusiasm for observation expeditions but do not use this method for fear of experiencing prob-
lens in the implementation phase due to unexpected conditions”. Such problems concerning the implementation phase are on the agenda of different countries. Kent (1999) draws attention to this point by stating that “while application of geographical expeditions varies from one country to another at the international level, in England, researchers have been studying on how to perform field works and how to integrate them in the curriculum for a long time. In this country, field expeditions have gained more importance as of 1990s and now, they are implemented much more frequently. However, increase in the laws related to the security and the financial problems are accepted as the biggest problems in this regard.”

The results of this research which aims to determine expectations of geographical field study are stated below:

It was found out that 67.8% of the expectations of the participants were fully met following the fieldwork experience, 7.1% were partially met, while 25.1 were not met. The rate of fulfilled expectations with regard to characteristics of economic and human geography is higher than those related to characteristics of physical geography. The details of the expectations are listed below:

1. 70 percent of the expectations related to geological and geomorphological characteristics of the area to be studied were fully realized following the field study. The rate of fulfilled expectations was 50 percent with regard to climatic characteristics, 52.4 with regard to hydrographic characteristics and 57.1 percent with regard to vegetation characteristics of the research area.

The working group underscored concepts like “horst and grabens”, “rock structure” and “fault lines” while voicing their expectations related to geological and geomorphological characteristics. 70 percent of the expectations related to these concepts were realized following the field study.

While the participants were expressing their expectations with regard to climatic characteristics, they highlighted concepts like “climatic characteristics” and “change in climate conditions and its effects.” Half of the expectations related to these concepts were fully realized, while 5 percent were not, following the fieldwork experience.

While voicing their expectations related to hydrographic characteristics, the participants put emphasis on concepts such as “water sources and rivers”, “lakes”, “meander” and “delta.” 52.4% of these expectations were fully met, while 8.5% were partially met.

They laid emphasis on “distribution of plant species”, “soil types”, “the relationship between soil and vegetation”; while talking about their expectations related to soil and vegetation characteristics. 57.1 percent of these expectations were realized following the fieldwork experience.

2. Following the fieldwork experience, it was found out that he rate of fulfilled expectations related to population characteristics was 69.6 percent, it was 70.6 percent considering fulfilled expectations related to settlement characteristics and 68.4 percent considering expectations related to characteristics of the cities.

While expressing their expectations pertaining to population characteristics, the participants underscored concepts like “the population structure” and “the economic structure of population.”

They put emphasis on concepts like “housing types” and “the texture of settlements’ while they were talking about their expectations related to settlement characteristics.

3. 69.2 percent of expectations related to characteristics of industrial plants were realized after the fieldwork experience. It was 17.6 percent considering fulfilled expectations related to the geothermal power plant in Sarayköy and 65 percent considering expectations related to characteristics of agricultural areas and 93.8 percent considering characteristics of natural and man-made assets. 100 percent of the expectations related to the Pamukkale travertines were realized, while it was 85.7 percent considering expectations related to the Church of the Virgin Mary. The rate of the fulfilled expectations related to the clock tower in Izmir was 93.3 percent.

While voicing their expectations with regard to the characteristics of industrial plants, the participants highlighted concepts like “industrial plants and their distribution” and “economic activities.”

They put emphasis on their desire to see the power plant when asked what their expectation was with regard to the power plant in Denizli’s Sarayköy district.

Among the expectations related to characteristics of agricultural areas, there were expectations about “cultivated lands” and “agricultural products.”

Almost all participants voiced the concept of “touristic assets and their characteristics” while talking about their expectations related to characteristics of natural and man-made assets.

When asked for their opinion on the Pamukkale travertines, the participants expressed their curio-
sity of “the formation of this geographical phenomenon.”

While voicing their expectations about the Church of the Virgin Mary, the participants underscored “their desire to see the church and obtain information.”

The participants also expressed their desire to see such cities as Selçuk, Ephesus, Acropolis, Afrodias and Hierapolis, with an emphasis on “curiosity and obtaining information.”

They emphasized that they desire to see the tower both because it is the “symbol of İzmir” and they are “curious to see” it.

3.5 of the participants said they did not have any expectations pertaining to the field study. However, some of them changed their minds after the field trip and said they were affected by the field trip.

Taking these data into consideration, it was discovered that the field study experience contributed greatly to geography teaching.

The following suggestions can be on the basis of the results of this research which aims to determine expectations of geographical field study;

Geographical fieldworks should be planned beforehand and preparations should be made accordingly. However, necessary measures should be taken in order to prevent any kind of problem that would occur during the field trip. For example, one cannot know how the weather would be or whether it would be rainy or not one month before the trip; but, the field study can be postponed in order to prevent such problems arising from weather conditions.

Geographical field studies should be organized in accordance with the concepts that would be taught during the field trip. The organizer of the field trip should plan everything in advance on the basis of characteristics of physical, economic and human geography. Because, examination of some geographical formation may take a longer time than the other. For example, the examination of geographical concepts like horsts, grabens, fault lines, economic activities and settlements takes longer time compared to examination of a church or clock tower which takes a shorter time.

While conducting field studies, trips can be divided into sections which can be called the Menderes graben, the Pamukkale travertines, the ancient city of Ephesus, the clock tower of İzmir in order to attract participants’ attention.

Following the field study, the expectations of the participants related to villages, towns and urban settlements decreased much more than the expectations related to population structure, its characteristics and distributions. This fact should urge the researchers, who conduct fieldwork, to take necessary measures.

The results of the field study should be announced in seminars and conferences to large masses. They should even be published.
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