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Abstract
In an archival study, the academic outcomes of 653 Dawson College students with and 41,357 without disabilities were compared over a 12 year period starting in 1990 and ending in 2002. Results indicate that students with both physical and learning disabilities had graduation rates that were virtually identical to those of nondisabled students, although students with disabilities took approximately one semester longer to graduate. When average grades and course pass rates were examined, students with disabilities generally did at least as well, and in some cases significantly better than their nondisabled peers. The overall trend for grades and course pass rates was for students with learning disabilities/attention deficit disorder (ADD) to have similar or slightly poorer outcomes than the nondisabled sample, and for students with all other disabilities to have slightly superior outcomes. Males had poorer results than females with respect to all indicators. This was true for students both with and without disabilities.

Preamble
The academic outcomes of 653 students who made a request for disability related services at Dawson College (students with disabilities) were compared to those of 41,357 students who did not make a request for services (nondisabled students).

Dawson College is a junior/ community college (public cégep) located in downtown Montreal. It offers diplomas (DEC: Diplôme d'études collégiales) in two-year preuniversity programs (preuniversity sector – courses of study such as health science and social science) and three-year career programs (courses of study such as nursing and mechanical technology). It also offers preparatory sessions as well as continuing education courses.

To be included in the study, students (1) must have enrolled at Dawson College for the first time between the autumn session of 1990 and the winter session of 2002, and (2) must have had no prior history of having studied at a cégep. Of the 722 disabilities recorded for the 653 students (some students had more than one disability), 52.6% (380) were learning disabilities and/or attention deficit disorder (ADD) and 47.4% (342) had other disabilities such as visual, hearing, speech, orthopedic, psychiatric and medical impairments.

Four outcome measures were examined: graduation rates, average grades in the first semester, course pass rates (percent of courses taken that were passed), and course success rates (percentage of students who passed 100% of the courses they undertook in the first semester).

Characteristics of The Samples
When students with and without disabilities were compared, it was found that a higher proportion of students with disabilities were enrolled in preuniversity programs (72.7% vs 60.8%) and a lower proportion in continuing education (8.3% vs 22.1%). The proportion enrolled in careers programs was the same for both groups (11.3%). The remaining students from both groups were enrolled in the preuniversity social science program.

The group of students with disabilities were, on average, about a year younger (19.4 vs 20.5) and a greater proportion of them were age 19 or under (77.3% vs 72.2%) when they first entered Dawson College. This age difference is due primarily to the larger proportion of older nondisabled students registered in continuing education courses. The mean age for students both with and without disabilities in career programs was 19.3 years. The mean for students with disabilities in preuniversity programs was 18.7 years while for students with no disabilities it was 18.3 years.

Other differences include: (1) a larger proportion of the sample of students with disabilities was male, had English as their mother tongue, and were born in Canada or the USA than was the case for the non-
disabled sample; (2) students with disabilities tended to enter Dawson College with lower Secondary V (high school leaving certificate) averages. These differences were statistically significant.

**Graduation Rates**

Graduation rates were calculated for students enrolled full-time in diploma programs. The sample was finalized in May, at the end of the 2002 winter session. This allowed all students included in the sample at least two additional years beyond the minimum time required to complete their diplomas.

Therefore, only students who commenced in two-year preuniversity programs between 1990 and 1998 or in three-year career programs between 1990 and 1997 were included in analyses of graduation rates. This resulted in a sample of 316 students with various physical, sensory and learning disabilities and 18,747 students with no disabilities.

**Two-Year Preuniversity Programs.**

Of the 269 students with all types of disabilities who commenced in two-year preuniversity programs between 1990 and 1998, 55.0% had graduated (or were eligible to graduate) by the end of May 2002. During the same period, 54.5% of the 16,053 nondisabled students had graduated or were eligible to do so. The difference was not significant. When the preuniversity sample was divided into those with disabilities other than learning disabilities (including multiple impairments) (N=123), and those with learning disabilities and/or ADD (N=146), the graduation rates were 54.5% and 55.5%, respectively. Again, the difference was not significant.

**Three-Year Career Programs.**

Similarly, of the 47 students with disabilities who commenced in three-year career programs between 1990 and 1997, 53.2% had graduated or were eligible to do so by the end of May 2002. The rate for the 2,694 nondisabled students during the same period was 51.7%. The difference was not significant.

**Time Taken to Graduate.**

As expected, students with disabilities took slightly longer to graduate than their nondisabled peers. For the nine preuniversity cohorts who commenced between 1990 and 1998, students with disabilities took, on average, 6.0 semesters to graduate. Nondisabled students took, on average, 5.2 semesters. For the eight career program cohorts commencing between 1990 and 1997, the time taken to graduate was 8.2 semesters for students with disabilities and 6.9 semesters for the nondisabled students. These differences, which could be attributed to the fact that students with disabilities, on average, took lighter course loads, were statistically significant. Thus, there was approximately a one-semester difference for both two-year preuniversity and three-year career programs.

**Average First Semester Grades**

Two sets of analyses were carried out. Average first semester grades were calculated for all students including those in continuing education, for the total period between 1990 and 2002. This includes grades for students who commenced in the winter session. To allow for comparisons of students enrolled in the same program, we also analyzed grades for the subgroup of students who were enrolled in the Social Science program. This is the program where the majority of both samples of students were registered. Because course requirements for these students are the same, differences due to discrepancies in field of study should not influence the results.

**All Students.**

When the average first semester grades of the 632 students with disabilities were compared to those of the 40,262 nondisabled students, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (66.3% vs 65.9%, respectively). The first semester grade averages of males tended to be lower than that of females for both groups of students. When males with and without a disability were compared for all programs there was no significant difference (63.3% vs 63.2%, respectively). There was also no significant difference between females with and without a disability for all programs (69.4% vs 68.3%, respectively). However, when corrected for Secondary V averages, both males and females with disabilities performed significantly better than expected when compared to their male and female nondisabled counterparts.

When the average of the first semester grades of 347 students with a learning disability/ADD were compared to the average grades of 285 students with all other disabilities, the average was significantly lower for those who had learning disabilities/ADD (63.7% vs 69.5%). When grades of students with learning disabilities/ADD were compared to grades of nondisabled students (63.7% vs 65.9%), the difference was not significant.

**Grades in Social Science.**

When grades in the Social Science program were examined, students with disabilities (N=269) had significantly higher grade averages than their nondisabled peers (N=13,908), even when the Sec-
ondary V average was not taken into consideration as a covariate (66.0% vs 62.3%).

Females with disabilities in Social Science had averages that were significantly higher than their nondisabled peers, whether or not a correction was made for the Secondary V average (70.9% vs 65.6%). Males with disabilities also had significantly higher average grades compared to their nondisabled counterparts (62.7% vs 58.7%), and performed better than would have been predicted by their incoming average.

When grades of students with learning disabilities/ADD were compared to the average grades of nondisabled students in Social Science (63.6% vs 62.3% respectively) the difference was not significant.

Course Pass Rates

ALL STUDENTS.
Of 3,385 course grades for students with disabilities, 81.2% were passing grades. This compares to a pass rate of 80.5% for the nondisabled group. The difference was not significant. The pass rate of students with learning disabilities (78.3%), however, was significantly lower than that of both nondisabled students (80.5%) as well as students with all other disabilities (85.1%).

SOCIAL SCIENCE.
The difference in course pass rates in the Social Science program, however, show that students with disabilities, in general, had a significantly higher pass rate than nondisabled students (80.5% vs 76.6%). The pass rate for students with learning disabilities/ADD (77.4%) was not significantly different from the pass rate for nondisabled students, although it is significantly worse than the pass rate for students with other disabilities (86.0%).

Course Success

The proportion of students enrolled in full-time diploma (DEC) programs who passed 100% of their first semester courses was evaluated for all programs and Social Science.

ALL PROGRAMS.
There was no significant difference between students with and without disabilities (49.2% vs 49.4%, respectively). There was a significant difference for students with learning disabilities/ADD compared to the group with all other disabilities (43.4% vs 57.1%) as well as with the nondisabled group, with students with learning disabilities having lower course success rates.

SOCIAL SCIENCE.
The course success rate for students with disabilities was significantly higher than that on nondisabled students (48.1% vs 41.4%). The success rate for students with learning disabilities/ADD (41.6%), however, was not significantly different from that for nondisabled students (41.4%), although it was significantly worse than the rate for students with other disabilities (58.8%).

Summary

The findings indicate that students with disabilities at Dawson College had graduation outcomes that were virtually identical to those of nondisabled students. The main difference was that students with disabilities took, on average, approximately one semester longer to graduate. When average grades were examined, students with disabilities did at least as well as, and in some cases significantly better, than their nondisabled peers. When students with disabilities were divided into two groups, students with learning disabilities/ADD and students with all other disabilities, the overall trend was for students with learning disabilities/ADD to have similar or slightly poorer academic outcomes than the nondisabled sample, and for students with all other disabilities to have slightly superior outcomes. Males had poorer results than females on all indicators. This was true for both students with and without disabilities.

Implications

What do these findings mean for the cégep system and Québec society? Should students with disabilities be encouraged to attend postsecondary education? Are funds spent on supporting them in college well spent? Absolutely!
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