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ABSTRACT
Blended learning can be seen as the means to achieving a greater sense of “localness” on the part of colleges and universities. Blended learning has been evolving for several years and while definitions vary from one institution to another, it is defined in this paper essentially as a combination of face-to-face and online learning. Localness is a term used at the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation as part of a new funding initiative to support academic programs designed to strengthen a college or university connection to its core constituencies. The purpose of this paper is to explore the relationship of blended learning and “localness”.
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I. INTRODUCTION
At the Sloan Consortium Summer Workshop held in Baltimore in 2006, a panel discussion was organized entitled, Localness and Blended Approaches to ALN. Seven presentations were made: two were designed to introduce the topic and five described case studies of blended learning applied to localness initiatives. The Alfred P. Sloan Foundation has been funding activities in ALN (asynchronous learning networks) since the early 1990s as part of its Anytime, Anyplace Learning initiative. While the term ALN is often used interchangeably with online learning, in its purest form, it referred specifically to asynchronous, instructor-led, highly-interactive, cohort-based online learning environments. The Alfred P. Sloan Foundation’s interest was to use ALN as a way to expand access to higher education opportunities for students who were geographically distant or time-constrained. Blended learning is a more recent phenomenon and refers to the blending or mixing of face-to-face and online learning in an academic program or course [1]. The Alfred P. Sloan Foundation sponsored two workshops (2004 and 2005) hosted by the University of Illinois, Chicago, that focused on definition, research, and institutional practices of blended learning. In 2006, a third workshop was funded which added the concept of “localness” to the blended learning theme. Localness referred to a new initiative of The Alfred P. Sloan Foundation in support of academic programs designed to strengthen and enhance an institution’s connections to its core constituencies. A fundamental aspect of the panel discussion on Localness and Blended Approaches to ALN, was to continue the work started in Chicago and to explore further blended learning as a means for
higher education institutions to expand their connections to their local or core communities.

The purpose of this paper is to explore the relationship of blended learning and “localness”. Models of programs are presented to demonstrate this relationship.

II. DEFINITIONS

A. Blended Learning

Blended learning, also known as hybrid and mixed-mode learning, is not one thing. It comes in many shapes, flavors, and colors. In one course, blended learning may be the enhancement of the traditional lecture with electronic instructor notes, additional readings, and images of charts, graphs, or other handouts. In another course, online learning may be combined with face-to-face instruction so that it meets two hours per week in a classroom with the third hour consisting of an online threaded discussion [1]. As noted by Gary Miller, Associate Vice President for Outreach and former Executive Director of The World Campus, the Pennsylvania State University recently went through a lengthy process that resulted in the definition of five variations of “blended learning” environments [2].

In the broadest sense, blended learning can be defined or conceptualized as any combination of a wide variety of technology/media integrated into conventional, face-to-face classroom activities. However, the blended learning variation examined in this paper focuses on an online component with some replacement of seat time in the conventional classroom. This definition was discussed extensively at the 2004 and 2005 Sloan-C Workshops on Blended Learning held in Chicago. The two core elements (online and face-to-face instruction) of this definition were deemed critical to the definition. This definition eliminates certain forms of stand-alone media such as videotape, CD-ROM, or DVD that might be used solely in a face-to-face course. It does not eliminate these media if used in a course that has both an online and a face-to-face component.

At the 2005 Sloan-C Workshop on Blended Learning, the following was adopted by the participants and will serve as the definition of blended learning for this paper:

1. Courses that integrate online with traditional face-to-face class activities in a planned, pedagogically valuable manner;
2. A portion (institutionally defined) of face-to-face time is replaced by online activity [3].

It is understood that this definition incorporates a number of online learning techniques (e.g., webcasting, discussion boards, text messaging) delivered synchronously or asynchronously. However, in keeping with the original Alfred P. Sloan Foundation initiatives in ALN stated earlier, the Sloan Consortium recommends that these techniques continue to be instructor-led and highly interactive and not simply electronic delivery of course content.

B. Localness

The application of localness to ALN and blended learning was first articulated in an unpublished paper distributed by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation [4] focusing on connections of higher education institutions to their local communities and/or radii of influence. Most traditional, non-profit institutions with large commuter, non-residential and part-time student populations are well-known and trusted within their localities. When online learning burst into the academic consciousness in the mid-90’s there was a rush by many of these institutions to downplay their locality, and to emphasize their role in meeting the needs
of all kinds of geography-independent and global student populations. However, many of these same institutions eventually came to realize that many of their local and in some cases even their residential student populations were as interested in enrolling in online learning courses as were students living afar. The institutions are known in their local regions; that’s not the issue. What is not always known is that they are offering a “quality” online or blended product. To provide a multiplicity of options for all students, educational institutions should consider strengthening their positions within their local regions by expanding their ALN and blended programs and by then making it well-known that such programs exist. A strong ALN or blended effort might also be used to permit institutions to extend and expand their effective core constituent bases. This concept is called localness.

III. MODEL PROGRAMS

The typical example of localness would be a community college or public university that expands or adds fully online or blended learning programs to allow greater access to higher education for its local core student populations. For example, the University of Massachusetts offers staff development and other outreach programs to local public schools. In order to reduce some of the travel time for education professionals who combine education, careers, and family responsibilities into incredibly busy days, portions of these outreach programs are also available in an online mode. Similar blended approaches could also be taken with any of the local professional areas such as health science, nursing, business, social work, or engineering.

Another example is the City University of New York’s recently implemented Online BA program in Communication and Culture which is specifically designed for it own students who withdrew in good academic standing from any of the traditional programs in its colleges. CUNY like many large public university systems casts a wide net of access to higher education but also has a high drop-out rate especially among first-year students. However, the vast majority of these students drop-out because of financial, family, or career responsibilities and not because they are unable to do academic work. The convenience of an online degree program might help these students balance their personal lives with their higher education aspirations.

Since first being introduced in early 2006, the concept of localness has been expanding to include connections with constituencies that are not necessarily geographically local. Higher education institutions that have developed constituencies that exist beyond a locality or subsequently grow beyond a locality can also be models of localness. For example, Pace University has developed a number of certificate programs to develop and maintain skills for workers in the telecommunications industry. What originally started as the University's connection with a local business and a local trade union while still in existence has expanded into a national constituency of telecommunications businesses and a parent trade unions. ALN and blended learning are being used to reduce the need for employees and workers to travel extensively from different parts of the country to take advantage of Pace University’s program.

Babson College, located in Needham, Massachusetts, has been experimenting with a multi-tier localness strategy for graduate business education. By “localness” Babson means the reach of the college within a finite geographic area not necessarily in Needham or the Boston area. Babson is committed to a blended MBA, which has served students living in relatively close proximity to the college. However, Babson is also seeking to extend its reach in geographically distant locations through what it terms a geo-local strategy. At the time of this writing, the college was planning to offer a blended MBA program specifically in Portland, Oregon where it has already established ties to several local businesses.
These models exemplify ways in which ALN and blended learning can be used to establish and strengthen the connection of higher education institutions to their core constituencies. In these examples, the goal or objective was to strengthen the connection (localness) and blended learning was the vehicle or means to facilitate the connection.

Before concluding this section, it is also important to mention that one aspect of these localness initiatives is to confirm in the minds of constituents the importance of the educational services provided by these traditional colleges and universities. Marketing, branding, and advertisement of these services are critical to strengthening the connections with their core constituents, whether they are traditional students, businesses, public agencies, or whole industries. The vehicle of blended learning likewise is one important way for colleges and universities to demonstrate that they are sensitive to and willing to address the personal, financial, and other needs of these constituents.

IV. CONCLUDING COMMENTS

The connection of localness to blended learning presented in this paper evolved from discussions and presentations at the 2006 Sloan-C Summer Workshop held in Baltimore; the 2004 and 2005 Sloan-C Workshops on Blended Learning, and the 2006 Sloan-C Workshop on Blended Learning, Localness, and Outreach held in Chicago. The workshop participants constituted a group of administrators and faculty experienced in looking at issues of online learning. Their insights were expressed formally during workshop sessions as well as informally at social gatherings. This paper attempts to organize some of these insights and to provide clarity to the definitions and concepts of localness and blended learning as well as emphasize the importance of their relationship to each other. It was the opinion of these participants that blended learning is expanding rapidly throughout higher education and is evolving into one of the most important formats for delivering instruction [5]. When used as a means to achieve stronger ties with students and other constituents within an institution's realm, it has the potential of significantly extending and enriching educational opportunities.
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