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Abstract: A data of students in the urban and rural area institutions of N-W.F.P (Pakistan) and control group was collected to examine the different socio-economic factor which affects our education system. The logistic regression was applied to analyze the data and to select a parsimonious model. The response variable for the study is literate (illiterate) person(s) and the risk factors are Father literacy [FE], Father income [FI], Parents attitude towards education [PA], Mother literacy [ME], Present examination system [PE], Present curriculum of education [PC]. The results from analyzing the data show that the factors father education combined with parents attitude towards education, father income combined with mother education, father income combined with parents attitude towards education are some the factors which affect the education in N-W.F.P. So thus we concluded from the studies that there are number of socioeconomic factors which effect our education.
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1. Introduction

Education is the basic need of human being. It is very necessary for the development of country. Education is the responsibility of state and government makes every possible effort to provide it on an ever interesting and increasing scale in accordance with national resources. The community should also realize its role towards the spreading of education.
In Pakistan the 76% of the students in urban areas are descendents from educated parents where in case of rural this percentage is only 20%.

The 80% of the students in urban area belongs to high-income families while in rural area 60% and 40% students in rural area belong to middle income and low-income families respectively [8].

According to 1998 census the adult literacy rate is 43.2%, So this is very low literacy rate. One of the main reason for this low literacy rate is only 1.1% of the GNP is invested for primary Education. That’s why Pakistan is ranked 138 (out of 172) in the globe [4]

The ultimate objective of development is to improve the living standards of people. In the present study, it has been tried to give a general structure of development particularly in Pakistan. In comparing the correlation of growth rates, GNP and literacy rates Pakistan is found at the bottom of the world community. The physical quantity of life index (PQLI) is also computed, which is 40% in case of Pakistan, being very low value as compared to other countries of the world,[1]

Pakistan is one of the countries of the world where the highest number of illiterates are concentrated. Being illiterate is not an individual disability alone, its societal implications as well. Democratic institutions and values can hardly flourish in a society where half of adult population is illiterate, and most of the voters’ cannot access information and read newspaper. The situation is particularly alarming for women and those living in rural areas. Illiteracy not only cause dependence, it deprives people of development of their fullest potential of participation in decision making at different levels, and ultimately rises to breed oppression and exploitation. Since its inception, the governments in Pakistan have been endeavoring to eradicate illiteracy from country. Although the overall literacy rate has increased gradually, the absolute number of illiterates has swelled significantly from 20.25 million in 1951 to 48.8 million in 1998, [3]

In Pakistan the strength of students in the class has little effect on the dropout rate. It is indicated that dropout rate is higher in those schools where student/teacher ratio is less. For instance in primary schools with strength of less than 70 students the students/teacher ratio is 28:1 and the dropout rate is 9%. On the other hand in schools where strength of students is around 1340 with a student/teacher ratio of 103:1, the dropout is amazingly less i.e. only one.

Looking at the opinions given by the teachers of GPS in Pakistan, in rural as well as urban areas, almost all the teachers agree that the main reasons for children dropping out from school at primary level are; limited opportunities of employment for educated youth and poverty i.e. boys from poor families have to help their fathers in farming and girls from poor families have to help their mothers in household activities. It is concluded that economic factors have a significant impact on children and they often drop out from schools due to poverty. It is also inferred that children often leave schools in early grades to become skilled workers.

It is concluded from the data collected that the average number of siblings in about 82% of the families of dropout children is four or more, whereas only 18% of the families have less than four children. Most of the fathers of dropout children are labourers, shopkeepers, helpers or attendants. Also a majority of them are either illiterate or have studied up to middle level only. In Pakistan poverty is major cause of dropout and thus with large number of sibling tend to dropout from school as the resources are thin,[5].
2. Methods and Materials

A sample of 500 students in the urban and rural area institutions of N.W.F.P (Pakistan) and control group was collected to examine the different socio-economic factor which affects our education system.

The response variable for the study is literate (illiterate) person(s).

\[ Y_i = 1, \text{ if literate} \]
\[ 0, \text{ if illiterate} \]

Risk factors selected for the study are
1. Father literacy [FE]
   \[ FE = 1, \text{ if father is literate} \]
   \[ 0, \text{ if father is illiterate} \]
2. Father income [FI]
   \[ FI = 1, \text{ if father income is more than Rs, 2000} \]
   \[ 0, \text{ if father income is less than Rs, 2000} \]
3. Parents attitude towards education [PA]
   \[ PA = 1, \text{ if parent’s attitude is positive towards education.} \]
   \[ 0, \text{ if parent’s attitude is negative towards education.} \]
4. Mother literacy [ME]
   \[ ME = 1, \text{ if mother is literate} \]
   \[ 0, \text{ if mother is illiterate} \]
5. Present examination system [PE]
   \[ PE = 1, \text{ if students like present examination system.} \]
   \[ 0, \text{ if students not like present examination system.} \]
6. Present curriculum of education [PC]
   \[ PC = 1, \text{ if students like present curriculum of education.} \]
   \[ 0, \text{ if students not like present curriculum of education.} \]

The appropriate technique, used for model selection, in the case of binary response variable is logistic regression. Here we consider general linear models in which the outcome variables are measured on a binary scale.

The logistic regression model was first introduced by Berkson [2], who showed how the model could be fitted using iteratively reweighed least squares. Logistic regression is now widely used in social science research because many studies involve binary response variable. We look at basic notation underlying a logistic regression model.

The logistic model can now be written as

\[ P = P(x) = \frac{e^{(\beta_0 + \sum \beta_i x_i)}}{1 + e^{(\beta_0 + \sum \beta_i x_i)}}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m \]  

(1)

For a single explanatory variable \( X \), the above model takes the form

\[ P = \frac{e^{(\beta_0 + \sum \beta_i x_i)}}{1 + e^{(\beta_0 + \sum \beta_i x_i)}} \]  

(2)
We can draw inference from logistic regression. The main contribution in this case is that of [6], who provided general asymptotic results for maximum likelihood estimator, it follows that parameter estimator in the logistic models have large sample normal distribution. Thus a large sample 100(1-\(\alpha\))% confidence interval for parameter has the form

\[
\hat{\beta} + z_{\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma}(\hat{\beta})
\]

(3)

Where \(\hat{\sigma}(\hat{\beta})\) is the estimated asymptotic standard error.

Let \(\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_q)\) denote a subset of normal parameters. Suppose we want to test H0. Let M1 denotes the fitted model, and the M2 denote the simpler model with \(\gamma = 0\). Large sample test can use [7], likelihood ratio approach, with test statistic based on twice the log of ratio of maximized likelihood's for M1 and M2. Let \(L_1\) denote the maximized log likelihood for M1 and let \(L_2\) denote the maximized likelihood for M2 under H0, the test statistic \(-2(L_2 - L_1)\) has a large sample Chi-squared distribution with df=q. Alternatively, by the large sample normality of parameters estimator, the statistic

\[
\gamma'\text{Cov}(\gamma)^{-1}\gamma
\]

(4)

has some limiting null distribution in large sample [6]. This is called Wald statistic. When \(\gamma\) has a single element, this Chi square statistic with df=1 is the square of ratio of parameter estimate to its estimated standard error, that is

\[
\text{wald} = \left(\frac{\text{estimate}}{\text{s.e(estimate)}}\right)^2
\]

(5)

In order to estimate the parameter supposes that binomial data of the form \(y_i\) out of \(n_i\) trials \(i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\) are available. Where the logistic transform of the corresponding success probability \(p_i\), or \(\text{logit}(p_i)\) is to be modeled as a linear combination of \(n\) explanatory variable, \(x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3}, \ldots, x_{in}\). So that

\[
\text{logit}(p_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_2x_{i2} + \beta_3x_{i3} + \ldots + \beta_nx_{in}
\]

(6)

In order to fit a linear logistic model to a given set of data the \((n+1)\) unknown parameters, \(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \ldots, \beta_n\) have first to be estimated. These parameters are estimated using the method of maximum likelihood. The likelihood function is given by

\[
L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} C y_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{n-y_i}
\]

(7)

This likelihood depends on the unknown successes probabilities \(p_i\) which in turn depends on the \(\beta\)'s the likelihood function can regarded as a function of \(\beta\). The problem now
is to obtain those values $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \ldots, \beta_n$ which maximize $L(\beta)$, or equivalently, 

$$\log L(\beta)$$

The logarithm of likelihood function is

$$\log L(\beta) = \sum_i \left[ \log \frac{e^{n_i \eta_i}}{y_i} + n_i \log [1 + e^{n_i \eta_i}] \right]$$

(8)

$$\eta_i = p_i / (1 - p_i) = \sum \beta x_{ij}$$ and $x_{ij} = 1$ for all values of $i$. the derivative of log likelihood function with respect to $n + 1$ unknown $\beta$ parameters are

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_j} = \sum y_i x_{ij} - \sum \eta_i x_{ij} e^{n_i (1 + e^{n_i})}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

(9)

Once $\hat{\beta}$ has been obtained, the estimated value of the linear systematic component of the model is

$$\eta_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2} + \hat{\beta}_3 x_{i3} + \ldots + \hat{\beta}_n x_{in}$$

(10)

this is unknown as the linear predictor. From this the fitted probabilities $\hat{p}_i$ can be found using

$$\hat{p}_i = \frac{e^{n_i}}{1 + e^{n_i}}$$

(11)

To fit an appropriate logistic model, which fits the data well, first we applied the forward methods to select the initial model for the backward elimination procedure. Logistic regression modeling is the appropriate statistical techniques here because we wish to relate the chosen risk factors, which effect our education, which is binary variable. The objective of the study was to construct a model that could used to predict the value of binary response variable. For fitting the models, we use the SPSS package. The variables used to determine an initial model are FE, MI, PA, ME, PE and PC. Different factors which were significant at different stages (at 5% level of significance) are FE is significant in one factor variable and the two factors which are significant $FE*PA, FI*ME, FI*PE, FI*PA$. While in three factor $FE*ME*PA, FI*PA*PE$ are significant .In four factor the interactions which are significant are $FI*ME*MI*PA$ and $FI*FE*PA*PE$. For backward elimination we get model $(FE, FE*PA, FI*ME, FI*PE, FI*PA, FE*ME*PA, FI*PA*PE, FE*ME*MI*PA, FI*FE*PA*PE)$. 
Backward Elimination procedure

The best model is selected in one step automatically using SPSS. The model selected through SPSS is (FE*PA, FI*ME, FI*PA, FI*PA*PE). This model contains the main effect and two factor interaction. The following table gives information on loglikelihood for the models selected in one step through backward elimination procedure.

Using SPSS we fit the model (FE*PA, FI*ME, FI*PA, FI*PA*PE) to have estimated the model parameters and their standard error along with likelihood of the model. Also the odds ratios have been calculated and 95% confidence interval for odds ratio. The following table gives model summary.

### Table 1. Variables in the equation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S.E</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>95% C.I For Exp(B)</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FE*PA</td>
<td>.243</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>14.671</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI*ME</td>
<td>.797</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>6.158</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI*PA</td>
<td>.734</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>3.982</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>2.084</td>
<td>1.013</td>
<td>4.287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI<em>PA</em>PE</td>
<td>1.243</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>8.220</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>3.465</td>
<td>1.482</td>
<td>8.103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>2.228</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>82.641</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>9.280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We see that the coefficients of the model parameters are highly significant. Hence the final selected model is

$$\text{Logit}(P) = 2.228 + 1.243 \text{FI*PA*PE} + 0.734 \text{FI*PA} + 0.797 \text{FI*ME} + 0.243 \text{FE*PA}$$

### 3. Conclusions

We have investigated the factor which effect our education in the model with one explanatory variable the main effect FE (father education) has a significant (p=.000) effect on education.

While the model having two factor variable FE*FI (father education and father income), variable FI*ME (father income and mother education), FI*PA (father income and parents attitude) has significant (p value=.000) on education.

The model having three factor the factor FE*FI*ME (father education, father income and mother education), has significant effect on the education.

To obtain a best possible fitted logistic model, we use backward elimination procedures using SPSS package. We start form the model (FE, FE*PA, FI*ME, FI*PA, FE*ME*PA, FI*PA*PE, FE*ME*FI*PA, FI*FE*PA*PE), having four factors, three factors, two factors interaction and the main effect.

The best model is selected in one steps automatically using SPSS. The model selected through SPSS is (FE*PA, FI*ME, FI*PA, FI*PA*PE). This model contains the main effect and three two factor interaction.

The factor, which effects our education, is “FE*PA” means that the education of the child is depend upon the education of the father and attitude of parents. The other factor are FI*ME means that father income and mother education is also effect the education of the child. The father income and parents’ attitude also effect the education of the child. The
three factors father income; parents’ attitude and present examination system also effect our education.
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