Gifted Dropouts
Why do intelligent students drop out of high school? How does dropping out affect their life plans? This article shares findings from a research study on 14 gifted dropouts. The first author designed the study after she came in contact with four gifted young men who chose to leave school rather than put up with what they described as low-level curriculum and a culture that disrespected them. The intent of the study was to explore why gifted students drop out of school and examine the effects of dropping out on their plans for the future.

Twenty-nine states in the U.S. require students to remain in school until age 16; 8 require them to stay until age 17; and the remaining 13 and the District of Columbia require students to remain until age 18 (Galehouse, 2002). In spite of the fact that 37 states do not require students to remain in school beyond age 17, data show that 75% of American high school students graduate (Laird, DeBell, & Chapman, 2006). But, what about those who drop out? Specifically, what about those who are gifted and drop out?

Traits and Experiences of Dropouts

Studies have revealed that personal, social, family, and school variables are associated with dropping out of high school. These include boredom and irrelevancy of school, lack of motivation, underachievement, not turning in homework, unsatisfying relationships with teachers, low self-esteem, and lack of organizational skills related to school tasks (Schwartz, 2002; Suh & Suh, 2006). In addition, factors outside of school, such as moving one or more times during high school, becoming pregnant, or holding a job that interferes with school, have influenced students to drop out (Gewertz, 2006). Important distinctions have been made between dropouts from different racial groups. Hispanic students are at most risk of dropping out, followed by African Americans (Gonzalez, 2002). The interaction of race with any other variable affects a student’s vulnerability and risk of dropping out. Interestingly, dropouts often have retained high career aspirations in spite of leaving school prior to graduation (National Center for Education Statistics, 2005).

School experiences for dropouts tend to be negative. Most dropouts reported that they had been grouped with low achievers, had less inspiring teachers who dismissed their needs, and had failed at least one course (Beatty, Neisser, Trent, & Heubert, 2001). Sixty-six percent of students in Philadelphia Public Schools who considered dropping out said they wanted more personal attention and wanted their teachers to plan more exciting and challenging tasks and courses (Snyder, 2003).
Coley (1995) and Drapela (2006) found that families were aware of problems early on, advocated for intervention and were disappointed with the school’s response. By high school, families were more opposed than the school was to their child dropping out. In addition, they discovered that intervention efforts that occurred in ninth grade and later had almost no effect on whether or not a student dropped out (Coley, 1995; Drapela, 2006). Coley (1995) and Drapela (2006) called for early diagnosis of problems and provision of support services for students at risk, noting that dropouts are more likely to be unemployed or earn less than those who graduate high school (National Center for Education Statistics, 2005).

In a more positive vein, Leonard (1998) asked dropouts to name a teacher who had influenced them constructively, and then interviewed the “positive” teachers. Findings revealed that the positive teachers did not think of students as deviants, acknowledged problems with school policies, and were open to making adjustments in instruction. Similarly, schools in Rochester, NY, adopted the common-sense view that a one-size-fits-all program that makes no adjustments for individuals does not suit many students, especially students on either end of the achievement spectrum. Their alternative model gave struggling students 4 or 5 years to complete high school, and it allowed able students to complete high school in just 3 years with compressed curriculum (Janey, 2002).

Gifted Dropouts and Their School Experiences

In their research on gifted dropouts, Renzulli and Park (2000) found that the students they studied disliked school and felt disconnected from the groups there. In general, they were from lower income families than the gifted students who had stayed in school, and had parents who were not as likely to monitor their school activities as their counterparts who remained in school (Renzulli & Park, 2000). In a related study, Thorp (2004) reported a “disconnect” between gifted students who considered dropping out and their gifted classmates who did not.

According to Thorp (2004)

All participants [in the group who considered dropping out] marveled over the dullness of their more high-achieving classmates. . . . Many of those students worked much harder for the same results, were more compliant and content with busywork, wanted to please their teachers, and didn’t share the same complex questions or views of the interrelatedness of things. They saw dissonance between who the teachers perceived to be smart and who really had quick, agile and creative minds. (p. 6)

Thorp (2004) concluded that dropouts linked their dislike for school with being ignored or treated disrespectfully by teachers, and claimed this began as early as elementary school.

Another study (Hansen, 2002) showed that preservice and in-service teachers were most concerned about struggling students and were willing to modify lessons for them, but were reluctant to do so for gifted students. Teachers trained in gifted education, however, extended curriculum and showed more eagerness than other teachers to do so. However, modifications to instruction were offered to struggling students more often than to able students among all teacher groups (Hansen, 2002).

Renzulli and Park (2000) suggested that schools have tended to ignore the warning signs that are present as early as the elementary years. Signs included underachievement, poor performance, absenteeism, and disruptive behavior. To stem the problems associated with each of these warnings, the researchers suggested that schools should identify potential gifted dropouts in the early
grades, work closely with parents on common educational goals, and change school culture to provide challenging curriculum tailored to students’ needs and interests (Renzulli & Park, 2000).

In summary, research revealed that gifted dropouts showed signs of frustration with school as early as the elementary years, felt disrespected by teachers, were frustrated with busywork, and resented that teachers confused students who conformed with students who were gifted. Often, they were grouped with low achievers, and in later years were frustrated by family relocation, employment commitments, or pregnancy that interfered with school. Most dropouts were from families that were adamant that they complete high school. Some maintained high aspirations in spite of dropping out. At particular risk were students from minority backgrounds.

**The Research Study**

The Leaving School Questionnaire was designed, piloted, and modified during the Fall of 2001. It contained 60 items that focused on (a) personal information such as age, date left high school, test scores, job and income, race, and family background; (b) Likert ratings of high school experiences related to staff, respect, school climate, curriculum, self-perception, participation in organized activities, drug and alcohol use, problems with the law, grades, and friendships; and (c) open-ended essay questions regarding the main reasons for leaving school, incidents leading to dropping out, truancy, parent or guardian responses, education and employment goals since dropping out, feelings about dropping out, resolution of issues, significant life events, and family. The items focused on many aspects related to dropping out and provided the main vehicle for data collection.

The study began in March 2002 and continued through December 2002. Participants in the study needed to meet two criteria: They needed to have dropped out of high school prior to graduation and they needed to be formally or informally identified as gifted. Formal identification included significantly above-average test scores and/or participation in gifted programs, and informal identification included statements from sponsors regarding the nominee’s giftedness, such as potential or performance in a selected area, insight, creativity, problem-solving, and memory. The researchers suspected that schools may be reluctant to offer information regarding dropouts and concluded that a multifaceted sampling effort was needed.

The first sampling initiative involved reconnecting with the four young men mentioned at the beginning of this article. The first author suspected that these young men may be gifted after speaking to them and based her belief on signs of verbal acuity, depth of insight, creative humor, and mental dexterity. In fact, it was their apparent giftedness that made their dropping out of school seem so odd. One of the young men, employed at a store in the author’s community, was easy to locate, and was invited to participate in the study. He knew the three others and submitted their names. The first author sent each of the four young men a letter explaining the study and inviting them to participate. Three of them responded.

The second initiative involved sending letters regarding the study to area high school principals and counselors and Minnesota General Education Development (GED) coordinators. The letters explained the study and asked for nominations of students who fit the two criteria for participation in the study. No principal or high school counselor submitted names. One GED coordinator submitted four names, three of whom responded and participated in the study.

The third initiative involved the primary author’s request to two university professors to ask graduate students in gifted education (in-service teachers, counselors, and coordinators) to submit names. Nineteen persons were nominated this way and six participated.

The fourth initiative was not planned. As the first author presented the findings at conferences and at seminars, she was approached by people in the audience who were either high school dropouts, parents of dropouts, or who knew a dropout. Three participants were nominated this way and two responded.

It was not easy to connect with the dropouts. Schools did not respond to requests about them. One GED center and several educators submitted names; however, many dropouts did not respond in spite of Institutional Review Board (IRB) assurances related to confidentiality. It was easy to imagine that dropouts had strong emotions about school and perhaps linked those emotions to research about their experiences. For example, one young man returned his questionnaire along with a consent form he had created asking the primary investigator to sign that he would never be contacted again regarding school issues.

Each person whose name was submitted received a letter explaining the methods and merits of the study, an informed consent document that ensured protection of their rights, an invitation for their voluntary participation, and a Leaving School Questionnaire that focused on their experience of dropping out of school. Thirty questionnaires were sent out and 14 (47%) were returned and ana-
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analyzed. Following questionnaire analyses, three dropouts were interviewed using guided questions based upon the preliminary examination of emerging phenomena and contexts.

The researchers took into account the possibility that those submitting names of dropouts did not accurately identify them as gifted. The statements of justification from sponsors, however, included references to IQ scores, achievement test results, and cognitive and affective traits often associated with giftedness. Further, analyses of narratives and test scores from the dropouts themselves showed significantly above-average performance (130+, with one submitting an IQ score of 180), sophisticated vocabulary, depth of thought, and feelings that correlated highly with gifted students. However, there are limitations to the sampling plan and methodology and therefore data from this study alone should not be used to make generalizations about all gifted dropouts. Further research that focuses on larger samples of purposive or randomly selected individuals is needed.

Participants

Fourteen participants (six females and eight males) participated in the study. Each was given a pseudonym: Alicia, Becca, Celeste, Danielle, Ellie, Felicia, Alex, Bob, Chad, David, Eric, Fred, George, and Harrison. Ten of the 14 reported race and were White. In general, the males dropped out during their junior year at an average age of 17. Females also tended to drop out during their junior year; however, one girl dropped out as a ninth grader when she was 14 years old. More than half of the sample dropped out 2 years prior to the study, with the exception of a female who dropped out 9 years prior to the study and three males who dropped out 5, 8, and 15 years prior to the study.

Their Stories

Each dropout stated his or her main reason for dropping out. Most lacked a sense of belonging at school, positive relationships with teachers, challenge, and respect for values held in high esteem at school (e.g., popularity, conformity, and sports). Males cited difficulties with authority and feelings of disrespect. Three females listed reasons linked to personal friendships and two listed reasons associated with dissatisfaction with the culture of school.

Danielle’s story seemed typical of a gifted student with complaints about lack of rigor. She dropped out at age 16 and was 19 at the time of the study. In her response to the questionnaire item that asked what caused her to leave high school, Danielle wrote, “I wasn’t challenged or learning. I left high school when I did because I couldn’t take it anymore.” She elaborated, “I wasn’t learning anything new. There wasn’t anything exciting or challenging. I felt I wasn’t getting the recognition or appreciation from teachers. Students did not respect me.” Danielle acknowledged that she wanted rigor, could not find it, and consequently left school—the place designed to provide it.

Ellie’s story was similar—she got fed up with the boredom and superficiality. Ellie was 18 at the time she left school and was just 4 classes shy of graduation. She was age 26 at the time of the study. She was a high achiever in school but was not accepted into the honors courses. In regular courses she found herself surrounded by low-level curriculum and by students who did not share her interests in learning. More significantly, she was sexually assaulted by another student. Because school authorities blamed her, she attempted suicide and ultimately left school. Prior to the event, school was discouraging and dissatisfaction—following the event, it was frightening and toxic.

Importantly, four of the six females named relationship issues as main reasons for dropping out. Two girls, for example, attached themselves to friends and then “followed” out of high school. After her best friend was expelled, Becca concluded that she had
no friends at school and followed her friend's lead: “I wanted to party all of the time. I just didn't want any responsibilities.” Similarly, Celeste ran away from home with her boyfriend during her senior year at age 18. (She was 20 at the time of the study). Celeste commented, “Instead of running away from home and getting pregnant, I [should] have gotten counseling right away.” Although Alicia’s situation was a bit different, it, too, was linked to social interaction. Alicia suffered from an anxiety disorder and left school at age 14 because she could no longer tolerate the physical problems that her condition caused. She shared, “I was frequently sick because of my anxiety problems. I had many headaches and was nauseated before and during school.” Felicia didn’t elaborate much on her story but noted, “I did really well in the beginning but then I stopped caring and became a druggie.”

The males had slightly different stories. They described problems with teachers and administrators. Alex was 23 at the time of the study, 5 years after he left high school. He cited the “relationship with faculty” as the main reason he left. He said, “I felt like I wasn’t challenged enough and the administration made me feel unwanted.” Similarly, Bob (who left at 17 and was 19 at the time of the study) explained his situation. “I left because of the lack of respect from staff. I skipped almost every day of 10th grade except second block. I didn’t want to deal with teachers or other students.” (Bob missed first block each day, then attended a Life Skills class during second block, where he played chess, met up with Chad, and skipped school.) Eric, age 25 at the time of the study, left school at age 17 because he couldn’t imagine “taking another year to finish.” Eric stated that in high school, his teachers thought he was “a lost cause.” David too, cited “lack of respect from staff and students” as the main reason he left high school. (David did not report his age.) In addition, he explained that when his parents divorced, he was moved into foster care. He said that other students “had higher social status due to their longevity in the town and the families they were born into” and that his lack of acceptance into the community contributed to his school problems.

Males also cited lack of rigor and challenge at high school. Eric explained, “High school was not for me. In college I averaged a 3.8.” Like Eric, Fred went on to college. He dropped out of high school at 16 and was 18 at the time of the study. When asked on the questionnaire why he left high school, he stated, “It was pointless to go. I didn’t learn anything [there].” George left high school at 16 and was 31 at the time of the study. He enlisted in the Navy at 17. He described himself in high school as “bored and depressed and did not feel like being around all those people everyday. After my attempted suicide I just didn’t see the point in suffering through the school experience any longer.” Like Eric and Fred, George went on to college, where he completed his bachelor’s degree and is presently working on a degree in law.

Harrison was a bright student who worked full time. He commented on the near impossibility of balancing school with a full-time job. He reported having attention difficulties and that “sitting still” in school was excruciating for him. Just prior to dropping out he completed none of his homework and perceived himself
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as an employee with a job who partied in the off-hours. Eventually, school simply didn’t fit into that picture.

Chad, on the other hand, was asked to leave his high school. He left school at age 17 and was 19 at the time of the study. He reported that he was ridiculed by other students and marginalized by teachers as early as elementary school. By middle school, he had friends who accepted him, and those friends introduced him to drugs. Chad gave up on school before he was a teenager.

Almost all of the participants talked about lack of respect from and for teachers. Bob explained, “They didn’t want me there. The dean told me that I was wasting everyone’s time. They looked down on me.” Alex said, “Only my ROTC [teacher] made me feel like I still belonged.” Fred felt misjudged and stated, “Two teachers knew me.” Similarly, George said, “Only two teachers knew where I was coming from.” Becca explained, “There was one teacher . . . actually she was a counselor. She was the only one that seemed to care about what I was going through.”

Importantly, however, the dropouts reported that none of the teachers who had shown concern for them was seen as having the power or ability to effect change on their behalf. Dropouts described some teachers as “understanding” or “nice,” but not one described a teacher who translated concern for the student into any sort of change that provided some relief from their situations. The result was that they felt alone and unempowered.

When asked if they trusted anyone at school, Danielle stated, “There was one teacher who I liked because she was different. She was only there a year.” Similarly, Alicia stated,

All of them moved away. Some students didn’t like one teacher because she pushed the students. Unfortunately, being a small town, they told their parents, some of which were bigwigs of the community. The teacher’s contract wasn’t renewed the following year—big surprise there . . .

Not one dropout reported a sustained meaningful connection with a teacher.

**Thematic Analysis**

The questionnaire responses and secondary source data (such as sponsor justification of giftedness of the dropouts) were examined using thematic analysis and the constant comparison procedure (Strauss & Corbin, 1990). Constant comparison procedures allowed the researchers to categorize the dropouts’ responses and refine relationships between and among the data. Theoretical concepts were generated from the coding analysis, and interrater reliability reached 96%, exceeding the 90% criterion. Themes were clarified and refined, and they resulted in a set of emergent themes. Themes were then shared with a group of three dropouts who offered even more insight and observation. In addition, the themes and assertions were shared with audiences at a state conference, a national conference, and several university seminar or course settings where audiences were invited to make observations and share their insights.

Ten themes emerged from the analyses (see Table 1). These themes showed that as early as elementary school, dropouts sensed they did not belong there, felt little respect for staff and students, and found curriculum to be unchallenging and/or irrelevant. They were highly sensitive, (most) experienced loss, and received no meaningful help as they tried to cope. Many reported that they had no advocate who could bring about meaningful changes, and turned to alcohol and drugs. Most reported conflict with their parents in regard to school-related issues.

**When Problems Began**

Most of the dropouts reported difficulties as early as elementary school. They reported feelings of insecurity about friendships or acceptance by classmates, and lack of motivation to do busy work. Some shared stories that they were persecuted or mocked by other students and that teachers did not intervene on their behalf. Most resented their teachers who confused conformity with giftedness. They could pinpoint when underachievement, poor performance, and disruptive behavior began. Most noted that the patterns continued
through middle school and into high school. Perceiving no advocate within the system, they eventually opted out of the school environment.

Sensitivity

Nearly all of the dropouts showed extreme sensitivity. How they described their lives, their empathy for others, their potent feelings, and the emotions caused by circumstances such as death and loss led the researchers to believe that they were highly sensitive. When asked to list three words to describe themselves, they listed “reclusive,” “intuitive,” “introspective,” and “compassionate,” to name a few. Each revealed a rich inner life, and a few wished that they were more resilient. All reported disappointment with school, disappointment in others, and sadness about the pain in life. Even Becca’s postscript showed sensitivity. She wrote, “Sorry about the [spelling] mistakes [on the questionnaire]. I was in a hurry. I hope you are still able to read it. I hope I helped you understand why so many kids drop out.”

Nearly all dropouts described school as “painful.” It became obvious that high levels of sensitivity among the dropouts contributed to the students’ vulnerability and, in some cases, defenselessness. From this platform of extreme sensitivity among dropouts, we explored the theme of loss.

Loss and Aloneness: Lack of Advocacy

The majority of the dropouts experienced profound loss. When asked why she left school, Alicia said,

Both of my mom’s parents had cancer and my Dad’s father had heart problems. The summer after my grandmother died, both of my grandpas were in the hospital, one having open-heart surgery and the other was having a cancerous kidney removed. That same summer my great uncle was hit by a train.

When asked if anyone in school helped her, Alicia replied, “I could go to two teachers with my problems but then all of them moved away.” She felt alone. Alicia’s situation was not unlike more than half of the dropouts.

Bob and Fred attended a small parochial school. During their eighth-grade year, one of their best friends died suddenly of spinal meningitis. They graduated from eighth grade a month later and moved on to a new class of 450 at a large public school. Although school personnel were made aware of their situation, no help was offered. Each described a teacher and a counselor who acknowledged their sadness but did nothing proactive about it. Grief and hopelessness set in.

George’s loss was also tragic. “A friend’s older brother killed himself. I can remember the weekend he did it; his parents were out of town for the weekend. I really looked up to him, and it hit me pretty hard. I think it may have been an influence in my later attempt.” George reported confusion and trying to handle his emotions alone. He commented: “The only person I had really connected with at the high school moved to NY.”

Becca reported, “My grandpa died of cancer my freshman year and my grandma killed herself a year later.” David’s loss wasn’t death but was a divorce, and, for reasons not explained on the questionnaire, he was sent to foster care. Danielle’s loss was her mother’s physical and mental health.

My mother’s health [affected me at school]. She had a heart attack when I was in eighth grade. She also suffers from mental illness which only worsened as I got older. I had trouble dealing with that. I didn’t have a real mother to talk to.

Ellie’s mother also suffered from mental illness. After being attacked and molested at school, Ellie felt alone, because neither school officials nor her mother helped her. Again, loss and hopelessness set in.

As themes first emerged, it appeared that the losses were profound. Upon deeper analysis, it was affirmed that the

Table 1
Emergent Themes Characterizing the Lives of Gifted Dropouts (N = 14)

- Problems began in elementary school
- High sensitivity not acknowledged at school
- Received no help coping with major losses (sickness, death, changing schools)
- Lack of community; nonacceptance at school
- Lack of respect from and for teachers, staff, and students
- Unchallenging and/or irrelevant curriculum
- No advocate to bring about meaningful change
- Issues with authority
- Mid to high levels of alcohol and drug use
- Conflict with their parents or guardians in regard to school
losses were traumatic, shocking, and devastating to the students. Students experienced emotional turmoil and did not receive the kind of help that they needed, and in some cases, asked for. More than half of the participants told about extreme loss; none reported that they received help at school. Interview data corroborated these findings and further suggested that some of the students still displayed symptoms of posttraumatic stress 2 or more years following the events of loss.

Lack of School Challenge: Rigor and Respect

Nearly all of the dropouts in the study shared frustration with low-level challenges in school. Comments from interviews and questionnaires revealed deep disappointment. When asked what would have kept them in school, they responded:

- “I longed for someone to guide me in my inquiries” (George);
- “More appreciative teachers; more challenges; greater emphasis on academics” (Danielle);
- “More challenging courses” (Alex);
- “More challenging curriculum and a new staff who cared about students” (Bob);
- “Better teachers” (David);
- “A better, more challenging curriculum; teachers who really care about their respective subject matter” (Eric);
- “Decent teachers” (Fred); and
- “Challenging and engaging curriculum and teachers who cared about gifted kids” (Ellie).

Most dropouts stated that the curriculum was not challenging and that teachers did not care enough to create or locate material that was. Most males not only resented the lack of challenge in the curriculum, they also harbored bitterness toward those in authority over that curriculum. They reported a lack of respect for teachers and some admitted their concomitant refusal to show it. All dropouts admitted they emotionally gave up at school long before they dropped out.

Nonacceptance at School: Turning to Alcohol and Drugs

No one in this study felt understood by teachers or students who were in power at school. Most reported that the peers who were available to them and who accepted them were those who drank alcohol or used drugs. Most dropouts admitted that their own drinking and drug habits produced even more problems. Fred reported, “I drank 2x a week and blacked out a lot. I was very angry and depressed. When I was 17, I realized I was an alcoholic and have given up drinking. I go to AA now.” Similarly, Chad reported: “I drank every day for about a year with seemingly few consequences. Quitting drugs was significant however.” Unlike Fred and Chad who quit their habits, Becca shared, “The drinking is still a problem.”

One notable story was George’s. In high school I started using drugs. I was consistently truant. I smoked marijuana and hash, and did marijuana almost every day and LSD or psilocybin at least twice a week. I also tried quaalude pills, cocaine, and crank, a methamphetamine. I also had friends into heroin and opium but I never tried either of them. For some reason I stuck with the psychomimetics.

Several dropouts reported a chronology that pointed to feelings of not belonging, being left out, and then gravitating to the group that drank and/or used drugs. Most of the dropouts admitted that alcohol and drug use caused even more problems at school and with their families. Three recognized that they had used substances to escape their pain and subsequently have stopped using them. Not one dropout made a positive comment about alcohol or drugs.

Alcohol and drug abuse among youth often is correlated with students who (a) do not find school meaningful, (b) have extra income, and (c) have educated parents (Johnston, O’Malley, Bachman, & Schulenberg, 2005; Svendsen, 2001). Half of the dropouts in this study made references to money at their disposal from their own jobs and from parents in professions such as medicine and health, education, business, and computer software. These factors, combined with high degrees of sensitivity, profound loss, confusion, and loneliness, made the students extremely vulnerable. Vulnerability increased even more as they tried to cope at school where they did not feel valued and did not feel like part of the “community.” They turned to friends who used substances as a gateway for acceptance and a means of escape. Although the chronology is not as tidy or neat as it was just presented, it nonetheless contains key elements that led to substance abuse.

Interview data with three dropouts corroborated these findings. Fred summed it up,
Are you asking me which came first—the problems or the alcohol and drug abuse? Well, drinking and smoking pot made things worse in the long run, but were reactions to school and my problems at school. Alcohol and drugs were medicine to me. The problems at school existed long before I ever smoked or drank and now that I’ve quit all that, schools still have the same problems that drove me away. But, I’m glad that it all happened the way it did. It made me who I am.

In their report on underage drinking in the United States, Bonnie and O’Connell (2004) stressed the importance of “coalitions” made up of families, schools, and communities, and called for coalition-driven initiatives that reduce underage drinking. These would include (a) selective preventive measures aimed at vulnerable youth, (b) changes in alcohol availability, (c) increased compliance checks on retailers providing alcohol, (d) increased consequences for those in non-compliance, (e) reduction in advertising, and (f) tailor-made intervention strategies aimed at youth who are slipping (Bonnie & O’Connell, 2004). Similar strategies could address illicit drug use among youth. Importantly, the report suggested that major stakeholders must work together if the problem is to be solved.

**Conflict With Parents and Guardians**

Dropouts reported that their parents were upset about their school performance and that it was a source of family conflict. Nearly all of the dropouts reported that their parents were more upset about them leaving school than were their teachers and administrators. Several reported that school personnel suggested that they should leave; one reported that he was asked to leave. These data suggest that dropping out was not due to parents’ low value on education. Instead these data prompted questions regarding the school’s responsibility and willingness to work with parents in difficult cases.

The results of this study have been presented at one state conference, a national convention, and several university seminars and presentations. At every presentation at least one educator from the audience has asserted that dropping out is the fault of weak parents. Data from this study, however, revealed that most of the parents were deeply concerned and involved in the search for an effective plan for their child, and were discounted by their child’s school. One parent commented,

> Our truant son was the child in our family that the school ignored. My spouse and I worked for resolution of issues with the school, worked with community officials and other parents to hold our son accountable for his decisions, but time after time the school would not collaborate. They didn’t know what to do so they pretended not to see him.

Another parent commented, “My son was a casualty of the system. The school didn’t seem to care.” Another parent attended a seminar the first author gave and shared,

> I have done the best I can. I just admit that these articles [on dropouts] were painful for me to read. I could see my own daughter in many of the case studies you shared with us. I would like to see you add her to your study and follow her in the future. She is certainly interesting as a person, and I feel her life is going to waste.

**Recommendations**

No institution can learn from its failures if people do not discuss and analyze them, yet schools remain reluctant to examine themselves in this way (Edmondson & Cannon, 2005). By not examining why some of the brightest pupils drop out, schools deny themselves important insights into how they could improve. On the other hand, when institutions engage in thoughtful analyses and discussion of failure with a spirit of openness and curiosity, they can learn from what went wrong and make meaningful improvements. Schools need to investigate why some of their brightest students have fallen through the cracks or have disappeared from school entirely.

The dropouts in this study were gifted, highly sensitive, caring, and vulnerable people. For them, school was low-level, unchallenging, and designed for others. Often, they felt bored, devalued and emotionally withdrawn from the school environment. Many experienced a profound loss or tragedy and reported that they received no meaningful help as they tried to cope. Their vulnerability increased. Most felt disrespected by other students and staff, felt they didn’t belong, and some had issues with authority. They turned to various methods of escape including truancy, jobs, pregnancy, and alcohol and drugs, as well as friends involved in those kinds of activities. Tensions and conflict with their parents or guardians ensued. Eventually, each one dropped out. After they left school, most dropouts in this study looked forward to further education and had plans to acquire it. Several obtained a GED certificate or enrolled in college or university. Two dropouts had completed bachelor’s degrees, one completed a master’s degree, one was near comple-
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tion of a bachelor’s degree, and one was
near completion of a law degree. These
former students did not believe they
were sacrificing their futures by drop-
ning out of school, and some thought
they were saving them.

Recommendations

Educators and parents have com-
monly asserted that graduating from
high school provides the best founda-
tion for realizing one’s dreams of youth.
If that assertion is true for gifted stu-
dents like those in this study, then effec-
tive school and family modifications
tailor-made for gifted learners need to
begin early. Gifted students need to feel
accepted and prized and need to experi-
eence rigor and choice in both school and
out-of-school settings as soon as signs of
precocity present themselves. Teachers,
students, and families who already agree
that the high school experience is not
working for the gifted student should
be able to agree upon alternatives that
are relevant and effective. There is much
that can be done.

The following selected recommen-
dations are offered as suggestions to
help educators and parents. These rec-
ommendations will be refined as new
data are collected and as emergent
themes are revealed.

1. Build and maintain strong class-
room environments that prize gifted
students beginning as early as precocity
emerges and continuing throughout
the school years (Robinson, Shore, &
Enersen, 2007).

• Provide rigorous curriculum in a rel-
evant and accepting environment.
• Appreciate and comment on
strengths in students. Use class
space to provide for them and class
time to develop them.

2. Prize deep sensitivity and help sensi-
tive gifted students convey it appropri-
ately (Silverman, 1993).
• Prize student responses to moral
or ethical issues (no matter how
intense).
• Help students value sensitivity, cope
with feelings it causes, and learn
appropriate ways to express it.
• Build trust through frequent and
steady interactions that deesca-
late defensiveness, accusation, and
withdrawal.

3. Assist at-risk gifted students as they
cope with loss (Cross, 2004).
• Don’t let students be “invisible.”
Tell them you see them and their
circumstances. Openly tell them
you care.
• You don’t have to fix a situation but
find someone who can help.
• Advocate for them until the situa-
tion is addressed appropriately or
resolved. Persist.
• Deliver hope. Share stories of simi-
lar persons who showed resilience.

4. Build a true learning community by
embracing all kinds of gifted students
in your classroom (Silverman, 1993).
• Do not marginalize or stereotype
your gifted students.
• Beware of favoring students who
conform to your view of a good
student.
• Find ways to affirm students who
don’t fit the “good student” mold.
• Do not deny access to your class-
room community even if students
challenge your wisdom, method, or
authority.

5. Insist upon respect and justice for
each person in your classroom regard-
less of ability, race, age, or any other
factor (Boothe & Stanley, 2004).
• Talk openly of respect and practice
different ways to show it. Set goals.
• Ask students to help you identify
ways you can show more respect
to them.
• Identify a respected adult in the
gifted student’s life and work to
connect that person with school-
related projects or activities.

6. Provide challenging and relevant
curriculum (Robinson et al., 2007).
• Make your teaching hard and
engaging. Differentiate instruction
(including the arts). Provide lots of
above-grade-level material.
• Cluster students with like interests
and readiness levels.
• Compact, accelerate, and deepen
basic instruction.
• If you don’t know how to guide
gifted students or modify instruc-
tion for them, enroll in a learning
opportunity for teachers of smart
students.

7. Advocate collaboratively on behalf of
gifted students with problems (Bonnie
& O’Connell, 2004).
• Proactively help students with prob-
lems to restore dignity.
• Proactively and persistently work
with service providers until the
problems are addressed in mean-
ningful ways or are resolved.
• Connect constructively: Do not
triangulate negatively with educa-
tors or parents regarding students
with problems. Do not allow the
student’s image to be characterized
as deviant.
• Redirect negative portrayals of
students by noting the student’s
strengths.

8. Provide sound examples of authority
(King, 1963).
• Redirect negative challenges to
authority at school by acknowledg-
ing injustices (perceived and real).
• Create goals and work together to
address the challenges.
• Collaborate and study agreed-upon authority figures that inspire.

• Know the factors that put smart students at risk for substance abuse (e.g., don’t find school meaningful, have extra income, have educated parents).
• Don’t rely on school drug programs to do the job for parents and teachers—work collaboratively.
• Do not enable students. Let them know you see them, suspect their problems, and that you care. Involve authorities when needed.
• Work with other professionals to tailor-make intervention strategies aimed at youth who are slipping.

10. Identify positive interaction points (other than school) to build family relationships.
• Acknowledge that strong feelings and intense inner experiences are healthy. Portray these as positive signs of development.
• Do not make school the only topic of discussion. Focus on topics where agreement is more probable.
• Seek wise counsel. Find a good counselor to provide insight and help mediate discussions.
• Identify gifted students’ dreams and support their efforts to progress with those even if those efforts are outside the realm of conventional schooling.

There is much that can be done to make schools more accepting and relevant for gifted learners. But, as has been shown in the lives of the dropouts in this study, intervention in high school most often is too late.
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