Symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder and anger in children with ADHD
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With the research reported on here we sought to determine whether children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) displayed more symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), conduct disorder (CD) and anger, which are categorised as externalising disorders, when contrasted to the control group and, also, whether gender and age impacted these ADHD comorbidities. ADHD is a neurodevelopmental disorder that presents before the age of 12 years according to which an individual displays a recurrent pattern of extreme inattentiveness, overactivity, and impulsiveness that inhibits performance, and is not age-appropriate. ODD is defined as a psychological syndrome characterised by disruptive behaviour, a pattern of anger and irritability, confrontational, and spiteful behaviour. CD is a psychological and behavioural syndrome characterised by difficulties with following rules, recurrent patterns of hostility, destruction, and dishonesty. Anger is a frequent reaction (contrast to ordinary irritable mood), intense and long-lasting defensive or retaliatory response to perceived provocation or threat, which interferes with normal functioning. Both teacher and parent ratings on the Disruptive Behavior Disorders Scale, and self-report on the Anger Inventory of the Beck Youth Inventories were employed in this investigation. The sample (n = 216) consisted of 216 school children aged 6 to 15 years that were divided into an ADHD group and a matched control group without ADHD (50 boys and 58 girls in each group). The results indicate that children with ADHD displayed notably elevated symptoms of ODD, CD and anger. The externalising disorders are more pronounced in boys with ADHD than in girls. Age had no effect on the results. We recommend that externalising comorbidities should be the target of early interventions. Our findings contribute to the debate about how best to conceptualise ADHD regarding related behavioural and emotional disturbances, and the treatment thereof. Since these symptoms occur during childhood and progress to adolescence, early identification and management may improve the livelihood of those affected.
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Introduction and Background to the Study

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a prevalent neurocognitive disorder with unknown etiology (Polanczyk, Willcutt, Salum, Kieling & Rohde, 2014) affecting 5% of children worldwide (Polanczyk et al., 2014), 7.47% in African countries (Ayano, Yohannes & Abraha, 2020), 5.5% of primary school children in the Limpopo province, South Africa, (Meyer, Eilertsen, Sundet, Tshifuaro & Sagvolden, 2004) and 2.5% of adults worldwide (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2013; Barkley, 2014). ADHD is more common in boys than in girls (2:1) and 1.6:1 in adults in the general population (APA, 2013). ADHD involves age-inappropriate levels of overactivity/impulsiveness (HI) and inattention that affect social and educational effectiveness or development (APA, 2013). There are three subtypes of ADHD: i) hyperactivity-impulsiveness (HI) characterised by a tendency to act without reflecting on the possible consequences of one’s behaviour and difficulty awaiting one’s turn, ii) inattention refers to difficulty sustaining attention on tasks at hand, and iii) the combined type characterised by the combination of HI and inattention (APA, 2013; De Milander, Schall, De Bruin & Smuts-Craft, 2020).

Although the etiology of ADHD is not distinctly understood, some studies (Johansen, Killeen, Russell, Tripp, Wickens, Tannock, Williams & Sagvolden, 2009; Sagvolden, Johansen, Aase & Russell, 2005) indicate that ADHD results from an interplay of multifactorial issues that include biological, psychological and social factors. Brain regions and neural pathways have been implicated in ADHD studies, suggesting that ADHD is a disorder of neurobiological origin. Current understanding of the pathophysiology of ADHD is limited, and little information is available on the cellular mechanisms that underlie the disorder (Johansen et al., 2009; Tripp & Wickens, 2008). The hereditarily-constructed functional variances in the corticostriatal-mesolimbic dopamine system are accountable for differences in impulsiveness; the prefrontal cortex is known to facilitate complex decision-making and planning, while the parietal cortex regulates attention (Sagvolden et al., 2005; Sonuga-Barke, Bitsakou & Thompson, 2010).

On the role of psychological and social factors in ADHD, postnatal smoke exposure is associated with higher rates of ADHD symptoms (Arnold, Elliott, Lindsay, Molina, Cornelius, Vitiello, Hechtman, Elliott, Newcorn, Epstein, Wigal, Swanson & Wells, 2005), as is a childhood history of physical and emotional maltreatment (González, Velez-Pastrana, McCrory, Kallis, Aguila, Canino & Bird, 2019). These findings suggest that genetic factors require an enabling environment to aggravate occurrence of symptoms (Azeredo, Moreira & Barbosa, 2018). ADHD often co-occurs with symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) and conduct disorder (CD) (Bakare, 2012; Farao, Asherson, Banaschewski, Biederman, Buitelaar, Ramos-Quiroga, Rohde, Sonuga-Barke, Tannock & Franke, 2015; Lavigne, LeBailly, Hopkins, Gouze & Binns, 2009; Pingali & Sunderajan, 2014), as

ODD involves a persistent pattern of defiance against authority lasting for at least 6 months, which typically appears in early childhood (APA, 2013) and is marked by argumentative or defiant behaviour, loss of temper, and intentional annoyance (Dick, Viken, Kaprio, Pulkkinnen & Rose, 2005; Riley, Ahmed & Locke, 2016). Some studies (Bakare, 2012; Biederman, Monuteaux, Mick, Spencer, Wilens, Klein, Price & Faraone, 2006; Cuffe, Visser, Holbrook, Danielsen, Geryk, Wolraich & McKeown, 2020; Levy et al., 2005; Pingali & Sunderajan, 2014; Rucklidge, 2010; Skogli, Teicher, Andersen, Hovik & Øie, 2013) have shown that children with ADHD are inclined to show significantly more symptoms of ODD than a control group. When ADHD and ODD co-occur, symptoms are more severe and impairing (Deault, 2010; Liu, Hsiao, Chou & Yen, 2021).

For instance, Deault (2010) reviewed literature from 2000 to 2008 in order to scrutinise parenting variables associated with the development of externalising and internalising comorbidities, as well as deficiencies in academic as well as social activities in children with ADHD, to show that the co-occurrence of ADHD with ODD and CD worsens children’s functional impairment. In relation to children with ADHD only, those with comorbid ADHD and ODD experience more social difficulties (Deault, 2010), behavioural problems, academic impairment (Liu et al., 2021), familial conflict (Deault, 2010) and substance abuse (Shoham, Sonuga-Barke, Yaniv & Pollak, 2021). The effects of this comorbidity are often lifelong and recurrently put such children at an elevated risk for developing further psychiatric conditions (Biederman et al., 2006).

Children with ADHD appear to have impairments in self-regulation and tend to act without considering potential outcomes of their behaviour (Hobson, Scott & Rubia, 2011; Noordermeer, Luman & Oosterlaan, 2016); this behaviour includes arguing with and defying adults’ authority, losing their temper, and displaying angry or intentionally annoying behaviour (APA, 2013; Dick et al., 2005). Research reveals that children with ADHD and ODD often experience more social impairments and strained parent-child relationships (Van Lier, Van der Ende, Koot & Verhulst, 2007), and are more often rejected by peers than children with ADHD only (APA, 2013; Dick et al., 2005; Hoza, Mrug, Gerdes, Hinshaw, Bukowski, Gold, Kraemer, Pelham, Wigal & Arnold, 2005).

Social impairments often observed in children with ADHD and ODD may be due to their impulsive defiance and impaired self-regulation (Hobson et al., 2011; Noordermeer et al., 2016) suggesting that these comorbidities pose social challenges at school and home (Hoza et al., 2005), as these children often fail to cope with expectations/demands in these settings (APA, 2013).

The cause of ODD is not clearly understood at present. However, experts agree that an interaction of multiple factors stemming from biological and psycho-social issues may result in oppositional behaviour (Riley et al., 2016). The lifetime prevalence of ODD in the general population of children is 10.2%, with higher rates in boys than in girls, with gender prevalence rates of 11.2% and 9.2%, respectively (Nock, Kazdin, Hiripi & Kessler, 2007). People meeting the diagnostic criteria for ODD before 8 years of age often have poor treatment outcomes (Nock et al., 2007).

CD involves a recurrent and persistent pattern of behaviour such as stealing, cheating, fire-setting, truancy from school, lying, aggression, cruelty to animals and the destruction of property (APA, 2013; Dick et al., 2005). As indicated in some studies (Bakare, 2012; Cuffe et al., 2020; Pingali & Sunderajan, 2014), symptoms of CD are more prevalent among children with ADHD when compared to a control group. This comorbidity is possibly the effect of disinhibition in children with ADHD (Chmielewski, Bluschke, Bodmer, Wolff, Roessner & Beste, 2019; Van Hulst, De Zeeuw, Vlaskamp, Rijks, Zandbelt & Durston, 2018) and difficulty in learning socially acceptable behaviour (Noordermeer et al., 2016) resulting in children who present challenges with self-control, fault monitoring, and socially appropriate behaviour, and they often blame others for their own mistakes (Noordermeer et al., 2016).

Children with ADHD appear to display a low threshold for frustration tolerance, which in turn predisposes them to disruptive behaviour (Puiu, Wudarczyk, Goerlich, Votinov, Herpertz-Dahlmann, Turetsky & Konrad, 2018), hence, they find it difficult to control their urge to act in socially unacceptable ways. Children with ADHD show more rage and abrupt impulsive swings towards undesirable reactions when compared to controls (Banaschewski, Jennen-Steinmetz, Brandeis, Buitelaar, Kuntsi, Poustka, Sergeant, Sonuga-Barke, Frazier-Wood, Albrecth, Chen, Uebel, Schlotz, Van der Meer, Gill, Manor, Miranda, Mulas, Oades, Roevers, Rothenberger, Steinhausen, Faraone & Asherson, 2012; Sobanski, Banaschewski, Asherson, Buitelaar, Chen, Franke, Holtmann, Krumm, Sergeant, Sonuga-Barke, Stringaris, Taylor, Anney, Ebstein, Gill, Miranda, Mulas, Oades, Roevers, Rothenberger, Steinhaussen & Faraone, 2010). Children with CD frequently experience challenges with social relationships and academic and occupational functioning (Sagar, Patra & Patil, 2019).

Children with ADHD often display motivational alterations shown by their preference
for smaller immediate rewards as opposed to larger delayed ones in delay aversion activities (Luman, Oosterlaan & Sergeant, 2005; Marco, Miranda, Schlotz, Melia, Mulligan, Müller, Buttler, Christiansen, Gabriels, Medad, Albrecht, Uebel, Asherson, Banaschewski, Gill, Kuntsi, Mulas, Oades, Roeyers, Steinhausen, Rothenberger, Faraoe & Sonuga-Barke, 2009; Paloyelis, Asherson & Kuntsi, 2009; Sonuga-Barke, 2002; Tripp & Alsop, 2001). ADHD is associated with increased frustration when executing long and uninteresting activities (Marco et al., 2009) when the administration of reinforcement is delayed (Luman et al., 2005; Plichta, Vasic, Wolf, Lesch, Brummer, Jacob, Fallgatter & Grün, 2009; Sonuga-Barke, 2002). Children with ADHD, irrespective of gender, are inclined to more CD problems than a control group. Children with ADHD and co-occurring CD seem to have significantly deficient decision-making, which is controlled in the amygdala and right striatum in the brain, which in turn predisposes children with ADHD to risky decision-making (Noordermeer et al., 2016). Sagar et al. (2019) note that in the United States, CD is twofold higher in boys (6% to 16%) than in girls (2% to 9%) with the onset of CD in boys at an earlier age compared to girls (i.e. 11 years vs. 15 years, respectively).

ODD and CD often co-occur (Eskander, 2020; Riley et al., 2016). ODD is believed to increase the risk for CD (Ghosh, A, Ray & Basu, 2017). Although ODD appears in 50% of individuals with ADHD, CD occurs in 20% of such cases (McBurnett & Piffner, 2009). The early onset of ADHD, ODD, and CD is linked by a common genetic influence (Dick et al., 2005; Tuvblad, Zheng, Raine & Baker, 2009), whereas adolescent onset of comorbid symptoms is linked to non-shared environmental factors such as maternal smoking during pregnancy, which increases vulnerability to ODD and CD (Derks, Dolan, Hudziak, Neale & Boomsma, 2007; Tuvblad et al., 2009).

Winstok (2009) describes anger as a defensive or retaliatory response to perceived provocation or threat which disrupts or impairs the sense of self or normal functioning. Anger reactions in people with anger issues are more frequent, intense and last longer compared to a typical response to provocation (DiGiuseppe & Tafrate, 2003). Children with ADHD often display increased symptoms of anger in relation to those without ADHD (Humphreys et al., 2012; King & Waschbusch, 2010; Singh, 2011; White et al., 2013) and often tend to become hostile and use aggressive solutions to social problems (Noordermeer et al., 2016; Rubia, 2011), which further predisposes them to more anger outbursts. Males with ADHD appear to be at an increased risk for anger compared to their female counterparts (Humphreys et al., 2012; King & Waschbusch, 2010; Levy et al., 2005; Singh, 2011; Tutian & Shechtman, 2015). The gender skew is possibly associated with a male tendency to become physically aggressive, whereas females more often display verbal aggression and cyberbullying (Ohan & Johnston, 2007). Liu et al. (2021) employed a Chinese clinical sample aged 11 to 18 years to show that frustration intolerance is associated with a higher risk of impulsivity, and hostility is linked to traditional (verbal and physical) victimisation, cyberbullying, and perpetration.

Studies suggest an absence of age disparities in the expression of anger symptoms among children with ADHD (Ghanizadeh & Haghighi, 2010), indicating that younger children, just like adolescents, have a genetic predisposition to overtly express anger (Azeredo et al., 2018; Harvey, Breake & Lugo-Candelas, 2016).

**Literature Review**

**ADHD** commonly co-occurs with various psychiatric conditions such as depressive disorders, anxiety disorders, learning/intellectual disabilities, ODD and CD. However, in the context of this study, gender and age differences in three ADHD comorbidities were considered, namely: ODD (Bakare, 2012; Cuffe et al., 2020), CD (Pingali & Sunderajani, 2014) and anger (Tutian & Shechtman, 2015; White et al., 2013). However, the ODD/CD comorbidities appear more common, with higher rates in boys compared to girls (Bakare, 2012; Eskander, 2020; Rucklidge, 2010; Sagar et al., 2019; Skogli et al., 2013). In terms of age, most studies reveal a lack of differences in these comorbidities (Azeredo et al., 2018; Cuffe et al., 2020; Ghanizadeh & Haghighi, 2010; Tuvblad et al., 2009).

**ADHD with ODD/CD comorbidity**

Some studies show that children with ADHD display heightened symptoms of ODD (Bakare, 2012; Biederman et al., 2006; Cuffe et al., 2020; Pingali & Sunderajani, 2014) and CD (Cuffe et al., 2020; Sagar et al., 2019), often resulting in increased symptom severity and functional impairment when compared to a control group (Eskander, 2020). In a review article focusing on providing an outline of the psychosocial effect of ODD and CD comorbid with ADHD, Eskander (2020) reveals that the co-occurrence exacerbates severity of symptoms and is linked with poor psychosocial functioning, difficulties with friends and at school, and trouble with the police.

**Gender differences in ODD/CD among children with ADHD**

As noted by Eskander (2020), ODD strongly predicts CD in boys with ADHD. Boys with ADHD seem to present with more ODD symptoms than a control group (Nock et al., 2007). Furthermore, boys with ODD, with or without ADHD, show more symptoms of CD (Van Lier et al., 2007). While
Bauermeister, Shrout, Chávez, Rubio-Stipec, Ramírez, Padilla, Anderson, García and Canino (2007) found no gender differences in comorbidity symptoms among children with ADHD. Levy et al. (2005) report more symptoms of ODD and CD in girls with ADHD compared to control groups. Also, ADHD and ODD in girls predisposes them to bullying and poor social skills, compared to females with only ADHD. The latter were again more aggressive than a control group without any of these conditions (Ohan & Johnston, 2007).

Age differences in ODD/CD among children with ADHD

Studies report no age differences in the severity of ODD symptoms in children with ADHD (Cuffe et al., 2020; Skogli et al., 2013). Although symptoms of ADHD, ODD, and CD improve with age (APA, 2013), studies show that ADHD seems to progress to ODD and further to CD, with an overlap of the symptoms of ODD and CD throughout the stages of progression (Ghosh, S & Sinha, 2012). This often results in deficient social skills and academic challenges (APA, 2013; Booster, DuPaul, Eiraldi & Power, 2012), and trouble with the legal system (Mordre, Groholt, Kjelsberg, Sandstad & Myhre, 2012). The existence of an ODD comorbidity in children with ADHD is a significant predictor of adolescent onset of CD (Eskander, 2020). Studies report an insignificant age difference in the expression of symptoms of ODD (Cuffe et al., 2020; Skogli et al., 2013) and CD (Tuvblad et al., 2009) in children with ADHD, however, there is a poorer prognosis in children with earlier onset of symptoms (Nock et al., 2007).

If age differences do occur, they could be linked to late development of the postcentral gyrus (Mizuno, Kagitani-Shimono, Jung, Makita, Takiguchi, Fujisawa, Tachibana, Nakamise, Mohri, Tanikie & Tomoda, 2019), and enlarged activity in the ventral striatum in reaction to incentives (Dir, Hummer, Aalsma & Hulvershorn, 2019). This suggests that children with ADHD require instant reward for their appropriate behaviour in order for them to continue behaving well (Marco et al., 2009). Social impairments may be due to defiance and disobedience that children with ODD display in social relationships with their parents and peers (APA, 2013).

ADHD with anger comorbidity

There is evidence that anger is associated with ADHD in both community and clinical samples. For instance, in a sample of 156 young German offenders aged 14 to 25 years, Barra, Turner, Müller, Hertz, Retz-Junginger, Tüscher, Huss and Retz (2022) found higher rates of self-reported ADHD and anger outbursts. Likewise Harty, Miller, Newcorn and Halperin (2009) used a clinical sample of 85 children aged 7 to 11 years, using cognitive measures, self-report and parent ratings of ADHD, to show that rates of anger are higher in children with ADHD and comorbid ODD/CD, relative to those with ADHD without comorbidity and a control group. Therefore, the comorbidity of ADHD and anger remains higher, irrespective of the sample type (clinical/community).

Gender differences in anger among children with ADHD

Regarding gender disparities in the expression of anger symptoms in children with ADHD, previous investigations report greater levels of comorbidity in boys, compared to girls, on self- and teacher reports (Humphreys et al., 2012; King & Waschbusch, 2010; Singh, 2011; Tutian & Shechtman, 2015).

Age differences in anger among children with ADHD

Some studies report mixed findings with regard to age variations in the expression of anger in children with ADHD. For instance, Ghanizadeh and Haghighi (2010) conducted a study with 197 clinical and community-referred children and adolescents aged 8 to 14 years, using self-reports, and parent-teacher reports of school anger in children with and without ADHD. These researchers report a lack of age differences in anger expression; however, they further suggest that children with ADHD may express more anger and tend to display elevated anger outbursts as they grow older.

Theoretical Framework

The cause of ADHD is not clearly understood (Steinhauser, 2009). However, genetic factors (Azeredo et al., 2018), which give rise to dysfunctions in brain networks (hypo functioning dopaminergic system), which cause poor cognitive/mental and behavioural functioning (Dirlikov, Rosch, Crocetti, Denckla, Mahone & Mostofsky, 2015; Kofman, Gidley Larson & Mostofsky, 2008) have been implicated. The dynamic developmental theory (DDT) of Sagvolden et al. (2005) proposes that symptoms of ADHD stem from a diminished dopamine signal in the frontal lobe of the brain in expectancy of, or after, a reinforcer/reward. The impulsiveness detected in children with ADHD relative to a control group originates from the tendency of these children to prefer actions that give earlier smaller rewards over those that require waiting longer for bigger rewards (Marco et al., 2009; Paloyelis et al., 2009; Sonuga-Barke, 2002; Yu, Sonuga-Barke & Liu, 2018).

Symptoms of ODD and anger may occur independently of ADHD or co-occur with ADHD (Humphreys et al., 2012; Humphreys & Lee, 2011). However, CD rarely occurs without ADHD (Fairchild, Hawes, Frick, Copeland, Oders, Franke, Freitag & De Brito, 2019).

Given that ADHD often co-occurs with ODD, CD and anger, some theories attempt to explain the
ADHD-ODD-CD-anger link. Firstly, children with ADHD, when compared to the control group, often become frustrated and irritable in situations where it is expected of individuals to control their urge to act impulsively. In such situations, children with these comorbidities often display difficulties in waiting for a longer time which may yield larger rewards (Marco et al., 2009; Mphahlele, Pillay & Meyer, 2021; Paloyelis et al., 2009). Secondly, the early onset of ADHD, ODD, and CD is linked to a shared hereditary influence (Dick et al., 2005; Tuvblad et al., 2009), whereas the adolescent onset of symptoms has been associated with non-shared environmental factors such as maternal smoking during pregnancy (Derks et al., 2007; Tuvblad et al., 2009) and a childhood history of physical and emotional abuse (González et al., 2019). Thirdly, impulsive aggression in ADHD is associated with impaired prefrontal and cingulate activity, which suggests that ADHD and aggression are linked by common neuropsychological deficits (Kuntsi, Wood, Johnson, Andreou, Arias-Vasquez, Buitelaar, Rommelse, Sergeant, Sonuga-Barke, Uebel, Van der Meere, Banaschewski, Gill, Manor, Miranda, Mulas, Oades, Roeyers, Rothenberger, Steinhausen, Farone & Asherson, 2010; Marco et al., 2009). Deficiencies in these areas often predispose a person to socially unacceptable behaviour and reactions, such as blaming others for their own hostility, and a tendency to implement impulsive and aggressive solutions to social problems (Noordermeer et al., 2016; Rubia, 2011). These findings suggest that genetic factors require an enabling environment in order to initiate the symptoms. Therefore, early identification and treatment of ADHD and its related comorbidities, such as ODD, CD, and anger, is important, as the presence of these disorders may put children at risk for educational challenges.

Considered in combination, literature suggests substantial group, gender and age disparities in the expression of symptoms of ODD, CD and anger in children with and without ADHD, but conclusions may be limited due to methodological issues. In addition, the evidence base has been criticised for using either teacher or parent ratings on ADHD and externalising comorbidities. With this study we aimed to address these issues by using parent and teacher ratings and self-reports per construct by investigating the comorbidity of symptoms of ODD, CD and anger in 6 to 15-year-old non-referred children, with or without ADHD, and to establish whether there were gender or age differences in the expression of symptoms of ODD, CD and anger. More specifically, we aimed to answer the following questions: Will children with ADHD display more symptoms of ODD, CD and anger (externalising symptoms) compared to the control group? Are there gender differences in externalising symptoms in children with or without ADHD? Will younger children (6–10 years) express more externalising symptoms when compared to older ones (11–15 years).

**Methodology**

**Research Design**

This research was a component of a larger design in which neuropsychological and behavioural aspects of ADHD in various areas of the Limpopo province, South Africa, were investigated. A case-controlled, quantitative research approach was used to randomly select 216 children from 11 schools in the Lepelle-Nkumpi Municipality, in the Capricorn district of the Limpopo province.

**Sample**

Participants were Sepedi-speaking children aged 6 to 15 years. Based on school records, as well as information extracted from the medical history forms provided by the researchers and completed by parents and teachers, children with severe psychopathology, or auditory or visual impairments were excluded from participation in the study. Children aged 6 to 15 years who were not excluded as above were allowed participation in the study.

The final sample (n = 216) comprised 100 boys and 116 girls. Those who met the criteria for ADHD on the DBD were assigned to the ADHD group (n = 108). The control group (n = 108), matched for gender and age, was established from the cohort of children who did not meet the criteria for ADHD. Furthermore, the sample was divided into two age groups: 6 to 10 years (pre-pubertal); boys = 64; girls = 68 comprising 132 children with the mean age of 8.5 years, and 11 to 15 years (onset of puberty and pubertal); boys = 36; girls = 48, consisting of 84 children with the mean age of 13.2 years; this was to establish age differences in symptom manifestation for both the ADHD and control group.

**Measuring Instruments**

The Disruptive Behavior Disorder (DBD) Scale has 42 items; based on the *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (DSM-IV) (APA, 2000) criteria. It assesses the presence and severity of ADHD-related symptoms (viz. inattention, hyperactivity/impulsiveness, and combined types), ODD, and CD. The questionnaire has four subscales: ADHD-inattention (9 items), ADHD-hyperactivity/impulsivity (9 items), ODD (8 items), and CD (16 items). Respondents were asked to rate the behaviour of the child on a 4-point Likert-type scale: not at all (0); just a little (1), pretty much (2); and very much (3). Children with scores above the 95th percentile were categorised as the ADHD group, and scores below the 85th percentile were considered for the control group to decrease the risk of false positives in the sample (Barkley, 1997; Barkley & Murphy, 2006). Children with scores ≥ 26 on the hyperactivity/impulsivity and
inattention subscales were regarded as the ADHD group. These cut-off points were based on the results of a previous study conducted in the Limpopo province by Meyer et al. (2004). Adequate psychometric properties for the DBD scale have been established (Barkley, 1997; Barkley & Murphy, 2006). Cronbach’s α for this population was established at 0.92 for the inattention and 0.90 for the hyperactivity/impulsivity subscales.

One part of the Beck Youth Inventories™ (BYI-II) (Beck, JS, Beck, Jolly & Steer, 2005) was individually administered to the participants. The BYI-II consists of five self-report inventories of which one (anger) measures the presence and severity of anger (Beck, AT, Emery & Greenberg, 2005). The scale contains 20 questions about anger issues experienced by young people. Each of the 20 items is rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale: never (0); sometimes (1), often (2); and always (3), based on the severity of reactions over the past 2 weeks. The total score ranged from 0 to 60. The higher the score, the more severe the symptoms.

Procedure
Both teachers and parents were educated on ADHD symptoms and how to individually rate the children’s behaviour on the DBD Scale. After establishing that they understood, the teachers screened children for the presence and severity of ADHD, ODD, and CD symptoms. Parents and/or caregivers submitted completed demographic/medical history questionnaires and their written consent for each child. The DBD scales were distributed to the parents or caregivers who were requested to rate the children’s behaviour as it occurred at home, by completing the DBD questionnaire. Parents could complete either the English or Sepedi version of the DBD scale to confirm the presence and severity of the symptoms at home (Pelham, Gnagy, Greenslade & Milich, 1992; Pillow, Pelham, Hoza, Molina & Stultz, 1998). The Sepedi version was translated and standardised on a sample of over 6,000 Sepedi-speaking primary school children in the Limpopo province of South Africa (Meyer et al., 2004).

The BYI-II anger inventory was administered by the clinical psychologist (researcher), assisted by fieldworkers who held psychology qualifications (Bachelor of Psychology [B Psych] or Bachelor of Arts Honours in Psychology [BA Hons Psych]). The fieldworkers were conversant in Sepedi and trained in the administration of the questionnaire and were monitored and supervised by the researcher. Test instructions were explained to the participants. Each participant indicated the level of their anger reactions in the past 2 weeks on a 4-point Likert scale. Assessment was conducted in a quiet, well-ventilated room during school hours. The assessment lasted about 10 to 15 minutes per child.

Data Analysis
Statistica 10 (StatSoft, Inc., 2011) was used for statistical analysis. MANOVA was used to investigate possible between-group differences. A 2 x 2 x 2 (ADHD group x gender x age) multifactorial ANOVA was employed. Post-hoc analysis (Bonferroni) was conducted in order to determine within-group differences.

Ethical Considerations
Ethical clearance was obtained from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa (reference number HSS/1446/015D) and the Department of Education, Limpopo province, South Africa. School principals gave verbal consent for the study to be conducted in their schools. Parents gave written consent for their children to participate in the study. I read the assent form to the children out load in their home language and received assent, after establishing that they understood the content. Autonomy was ensured throughout the investigations by allowing participants to withdraw from the study at any stage without penalty.

Results
Descriptive statistics, the results of the MANOVA and the post-hoc results for externalising comorbidities (ODD, CD and anger) are displayed in Table 1.
Table 1 Descriptive statistics and MANOVA results for ODD, CD, and anger

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group comparison (df(3, 206))</th>
<th>Post-hoc (Bonferroni)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wilks Λ  F  p η²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group¹</td>
<td>0.62  41.23 &lt; 0.001*** 0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender²</td>
<td>0.82  15.34 &lt; 0.001*** 0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age³</td>
<td>0.97  2.50   0.06   0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x Gender</td>
<td>0.94  4.05   0.01*  0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x Age</td>
<td>0.99  0.27   0.85   0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender x Age</td>
<td>0.99  0.82   0.49   0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group x Gender x Age</td>
<td>0.99  0.24   0.87   0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADHD</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(SD)</td>
<td>M(SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODD</td>
<td>15.80 (8.88) 7.62 (7.27) 4.16 (5.06) 1.55 (2.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADHD girls vs Control girls  &lt; 0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control boys vs Control girls  ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADHD girls vs Control girls  0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>14.10 (11.32) 6.45 (7.69) 2.72 (4.90) 1.03 (1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADHD girls vs Control girls  0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control boys vs Control girls  ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADHD girls vs Control girls  0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>18.14 (11.57) 11.74 (9.85) 8.80 (7.41) 6.43 (3.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADHD girls vs Control girls  0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADHD boys vs ADHD girls  0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *p = 0.01, **p = 0.001, ***p < 0.001, ns = not statistically significant. ¹ADHD v Controls, ²Boys v Girls, ³6–10 v 11–15.
The MANOVA showed a main effect for group (ADHD versus control): Wilks $\Lambda = 0.62, F(3, 206) = 41.23, p < 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.38$.

There was also a main effect for gender: Wilks $\Lambda = 0.82, F(3, 206) = 15.34, p < 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.18$.

Group and gender interacted: Wilks $\Lambda = 0.94, F(3, 206) = 4.05, p = 0.01, \eta^2_p = 0.06$. There was no effect for age, neither main nor interacting (Table 1).

Effect sizes (partial eta squared, $\eta^2_p$) of 0.38 (between ADHD and control) and 0.18 (between genders) can be considered as large (Richardson, 2011).

Post-hoc (Bonferroni) analysis revealed the following:

**ODD:** ADHD boys scored significantly higher on the ODD scale than control boys ($p < 0.001$). ADHD girls also scored significantly higher on ODD symptoms than the control girls ($p < 0.001$). Boys with ADHD obtained higher ODD scores than girls ($p < 0.001$). However, control boys and girls did not differ significantly. Age did not affect the expression of ODD symptoms in either of the two age categories.

**CD:** ADHD boys scored significantly higher on the CD scale than the control boys ($p < 0.001$), as did ADHD girls versus the control ($p = 0.001$). ADHD boys scored significantly higher on the CD scale than the girls ($p < 0.001$). CD scale results revealed non-significant gender differences within the controls. A non-significant age effect was observed in the expression of symptoms of CD.

**Anger:** ADHD boys scored significantly higher on the BYI-II anger inventory than the control boys ($p < 0.001$), as did the ADHD girls ($p = 0.01$). When the scores of the ADHD boys were compared with the ADHD girls, the boys scored significantly higher on the BYI-II anger inventory than the girls ($p = 0.001$). A comparison of anger symptoms between the control boys and girls did not reveal significant differences. Age did not affect the results.

**Discussion**

In this study we examined gender and age differences in symptoms of ODD, CD and anger in children with ADHD and a matched control group without ADHD using the teacher and parent DBD rating scale for ADHD, ODD, and CD, and the BYI-II self-reported anger inventory. Consistent with our hypotheses and some prior studies (Levy et al., 2005; Ohan & Johnston, 2007; Rucklidge, 2010; Skogli et al., 2013), the results suggest that ADHD is associated with ODD, CD and anger, with increased rates of comorbidity in boys with ADHD compared to girls. There were no gender differences in the expression of symptoms in the control group. The overall results showed no age differences in symptom severity.

**ODD Comorbid with ADHD**

Consistent with other studies (Bakare, 2012; Biederman et al., 2006; Cuffe et al., 2020; Nock et al., 2007; Pingali & Sunderajan, 2014), we found that children with ADHD displayed more symptoms of ODD than the control group. This could possibly be explained in that children with ADHD may have impaired self-regulation and act without considering the possible outcomes of their behaviour (Hobson et al., 2011; Noordermeer et al., 2016).

Children of both genders with ADHD displayed significantly more ODD symptoms than the control group, which confirms that ODD symptoms are associated with ADHD symptomatology (Cuffe et al., 2020; Skogli et al., 2013); this was also reported by similar studies (Levy et al., 2005; Rucklidge, 2010). In a community sample of 17,230 children from kindergarten to Grade 5 using teacher and parent ratings, Cuffe et al. (2020) show that children with ADHD were at an increased risk of also having a significantly higher rate of ODD compared to the control groups. Similar findings were reported by Skogli et al. (2013) who used parent ratings on the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) in a clinical sample of 130 participants aged 8 to 17 years.

Boys are more likely to present with the HI component of ADHD than girls who, in turn, display more inattention symptoms (APA, 2013; Lavigne et al., 2009). In our study, the boys with ADHD showed significantly higher scores than the girls with ADHD on the ODD scale, possibly due to the fact that boys with ADHD, as well as those with externalising comorbidities, remain more likely to be identified, often resulting in higher than expected rates of referral and treatment in boys (Barkley, 2014). Also, the strong public perception that ADHD is a behavioural disorder that primarily affects boys tends to lead to referral bias (Skogli et al., 2013). In this study we did not find significant gender differences among the controls, since both genders showed fewer symptoms.

Consistent with Lavigne et al. (2009), who found that pre-schoolers with ADHD and comorbid ODD were at an increased risk of symptom progression into adolescence and adulthood, we did not find age differences in ODD prevalence among children with ADHD. Our results suggest that ODD symptoms in children with ADHD were already present by the age of 6, which was the age of the youngest participant in this sample.

There is evidence that children with ADHD and ODD/CD are more socially impaired, and more often rejected by their peers than children with ODD/CD but without ADHD (Cuffe et al., 2020). Impulsive defiance (APA, 2013; Dick et al., 2005) and impaired self-regulation (Hobson et al., 2011; Noordermeer et al., 2016) may be causative factors.
to the ADHD/ODD link. These comorbidities also pose academic challenges (Hoza et al., 2005) as children with ADHD often fail to cope with the expectations and demands in the school setting (APA, 2013).

**CD Comorbid with ADHD**

The findings that symptoms of CD were more prevalent in children with ADHD when compared to the control group echoes previous studies (Bakare, 2012; Pingali & Sunderajan, 2014). As noted by Bakare (2012) in a meta-analysis of nine studies, CD is one of the common comorbid conditions of ADHD. This comorbidity is possibly due to inhibitory deficits in children with ADHD (Chmielewski et al., 2019; Van Hulst et al., 2018) and the difficulty experienced by children with ADHD in learning socially acceptable behaviour (Noordermeer et al., 2016). In these situations, children show deficiencies in displaying acceptable behaviour and reactions, end up blaming others for their actions, display hostility, and implement aggressive resolutions to social problems (Noordermeer et al., 2016). Furthermore, children with ADHD comorbid with CD have a low threshold for frustration tolerance, which in turn predisposes them for disruptive behaviour (Puiu et al., 2018) meaning that they fail to control the urge to act in a socially unacceptable manner.

Another finding from this study is that the rates of CD were higher in boys with ADHD than in girls with ADHD, which supports other studies (Bakare, 2012; Biederman et al., 2006; Cuffe et al., 2020; Pingali & Sunderajan, 2014; Tuvblad et al., 2009). This suggests that the more prevalent hyperactivity/impulsiveness features of ADHD found in boys predispose them to increased rates of CD (APA, 2013). However, Pingali and Sunderajan (2014) used parent ratings on a behaviour checklist on an outpatient sample of 174 ADHD children (6 to 15 years) attending a psychiatry clinic, and found no gender differences in symptoms of CD. Tuvblad et al. (2009) used parent ratings in a population-based twin study of 1,241 twins aged 9 to 10 years aimed at investigating the genetic and environmental correlation. Tuvblad et al. (2009) found gender differences in the genetic influences, although there was a somewhat lower correlation for CD symptoms in male-female twin pairs, with genetic influences being slightly larger in girls and the shared environment somewhat larger in boys. This suggests some possibility of different genetic influences being important in boys and girls. As our study was conducted on a community sample only, it is possible that we missed more disturbed cases that were taken directly to clinical settings catering for such cases. Further, our results indicate an absence of gender differences in comorbidity among the controls, possibly due to the absence of ADHD symptomatology.

In line with Tuvblad et al. (2009), we found no age differences in the expression of symptoms of CD among children with ADHD. However, contrary to our finding, Van Lier et al. (2007) studied 2,076 participants aged 4 to 18 years, using parent ratings on the CBCL, and showed an adolescent peak in rates of CD among males with ADHD.

**Anger Comorbid with ADHD**

Consistent with Humphreys et al. (2012), King and Waschbusch (2010), Singh (2011) and White et al. (2013), our results show that children with ADHD display more symptoms of anger than a neurotypical control group. Studies show that emotional lability (Banaschewski et al., 2012), difficulty with waiting (APA, 2013; Tuvblad et al., 2009) for reward (Luman et al., 2005; Marco et al., 2009; Paloyelis et al., 2009; Sonuga-Barke, 2002; Tripp & Alsop, 2001), and subsequent preference for aggressive reactions to social situations (Noordermeer et al., 2016; Rubia, 2011) predispose children with ADHD to more anger outbursts. However, Ghanizadeh and Haghighi (2010) studied 158 children aged 8 to 14 years using self-reported anger within the school context, and reported that children with ADHD did not display more symptoms of anger when compared to the control group. The discrepancy between our findings and those of Ghanizadeh and Haghighi (2010) may be due to differences in methodologies used.

The increased rates of anger in boys with ADHD, when compared to girls with ADHD supports previous studies (Humphreys et al., 2012; King & Waschbusch, 2010; Singh, 2011; Tutian & Shechtman, 2015) which used self- and teacher reports to demonstrate that rates of aggression are heightened in boys with ADHD when compared to matched girls. The gender skew is possibly the result of fewer HI symptoms in girls with ADHD, who tend to show more symptoms of inattention (APA, 2013) and who often display their anger in a socially acceptable manner considered to be consistent with gender (femininity) norms. Both of these affect the probability of girls freely and openly expressing their anger (Rosqvist & Arnell, 2018). Again, we did not find significant gender differences in symptoms of anger in the control group; both genders appeared not to be deficient in self-regulation (as are children with ADHD) (Noordermeer et al., 2016) since they are able to behave in a socially acceptable manner.

The results do not indicate that age affects the feeling and expression of anger among children with ADHD. This finding is divergent from Ghanizadeh and Haghighi (2010), who argue that, although children with ADHD do not show age differences in the expression of anger, this does not rule out the probability that these children may experience more anger and engage in more destructive aggressive expressions as they grow older. In this study, a possible reason for the lack of age differences is that
the mean age of the sample was 10 years, with the majority being pre-adolescents, when rates of impulsiveness are known to be more pronounced (Azeredo et al., 2018; Harvey et al., 2016), and brain changes due to hormonal action (Dir et al., 2019) are not yet established.

The results indicate that ADHD is associated with disruptive behaviour symptomatic of ODD, CD and anger, and untreated individuals may be at risk during adolescence and adulthood for substance use (Shoham et al., 2021), risky driving (Pollak, Dekkers, Shoham & Huizenga, 2019) and delinquent behaviour (Mordre et al., 2011; Young, Adamo, Asgeirsdóttir, Brannev, Beckett, Colley, Cubbin, Deeley, Farrag, Gudjonsson, Hill, Hollingdale, Kilic, Lloyd, Mason, Paliokosta, Perecheria, Sedgwick, Skirrow, Tierney, Van Rensburg & Woodhouse, 2020), which negatively impact on academic, occupational and social functioning. Therefore, these comorbidities necessitate early identification and referral for appropriate intervention. These children usually benefit from medical treatment (Jensen, Hinshaw, Kraemer, Lenora, Newcom, Abikoff, March, Arnold, Cantwell, Conners, Elliott, Greenhill, Hechtman, Hoza, Pelham, Severe, Swanson, Wells, Wigal & Vitiello, 2001) as well as behavioural interventions (Tutian & Shechtman, 2015) in which teachers, parents and healthcare providers work in collaboration to ensure that children are rewarded for appropriate behaviour to promote recurrence of such behaviour.

It can be concluded that children with ADHD have increased rates of ODD, CD, and anger when compared to a control group and they tend to display more inappropriate behavioural and aggressive responses in social relationships; this might be due to their impulsiveness that further predisposes to a rush for reward (Rubia, 2011). Boys with ADHD showed significantly more symptoms than girls with ADHD. No gender differences in ODD, CD, and anger were found among the control group, who were without ADHD symptoms. No age differences could be established in either the ADHD or control group in the expression of comorbidity symptoms.

Limitations
A community-based sample was used, therefore, findings should be interpreted with caution when generalising to clinical settings. Our sample was relatively homogenous in terms of language and geographical location. In addition, we did not include the adolescent category where the rates of externalising comorbidities are known to peak, and this may have limited the investigation of age differences. Future studies should include late adolescents to investigate the neuro-developmental factors underlying the gender and age differences in the expression of symptoms of ODD, CD and anger among children with and without ADHD.
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