The Cascade Model of Mathematics Teachers’ Professional Development in South Africa: How Well did it Suit Them?
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ABSTRACT

This paper discusses the extent to which the professional development (cascade model) met its goal of improving mathematics teaching and learning during the implementation of National Curriculum Statement in South Africa. Fifty mathematics teachers from ten public secondary schools in Vhembe District in Limpopo Province of South Africa were chosen purposively. Using a qualitative approach, data were collected through a focus group discussion with five mathematics teachers at each of the ten schools. Teachers disapproved the manner in which the workshops were conducted claiming that they were disconnected from classroom practices. The conclusion was that: (a) the success of in-service programs should be determined by the way that the ideas, knowledge and skills learnt are implemented in a classroom setting; (b) for any in-service program that introduces teachers to a different instructional approach from the traditional one, it has to impact on teachers’ concerns (be they self-oriented, task-oriented or impact-oriented).
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INTRODUCTION

Although professional development is not the panacea for all educational effort to enhance teaching and learning (Ono & Ferreira, 2010), it is “viewed as a critical component of reform, one that must be linked to those same clear goals for students, assessment, pre-service teacher education, school leadership, and resources and staffing” (Loucks-Horsley et al., 2010: 78).

Since the inception of the National Curriculum Statement (NCS) in the Further Education and Training (FET) band in 2006 in South Africa, there is dearth in knowledge on the impact of traditional in-service teacher professional development programs in mathematics teaching and learning. These teacher professional development programs are often provided through workshops. The Minister of Basic Education, (quoted in the Periodical Teachers’ News Letter, October 2010) revealed that Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal and the Eastern Cape are home to the majority of the country’s most dysfunctional schools. She added that these dysfunctional schools recorded the worst pass rates in the 2009 matriculation examinations. Motshekga further indicated that a total of 506 schools across the country achieved pass rates between 0% and 20% in the 2009 matriculation examination. Limpopo tops the list, with 186 of these dysfunctional schools.

Teachers institute a significant aspect of a school’s instructional capacity. Therefore, the key to school improvement has been marked by defining the roles of the teacher (McAleavy, & Elwick, 2016). This development hinges on the understanding that any substantial progress in student learning must depends on the teacher (Van Tassel-Baska, 2005). Heck (2007) reiterate that it is the “intellectual ability, knowledge and skills of the individuals involved in the teaching and learning tasks that impact on job performance and effectiveness in the classroom” (p.405). Stronge (2007) stresses that “not only the presence or absence of teachers that makes a difference, but also the teachers who are competent in content, pedagogy and assessment of their subject area” (p.38). Furthermore, Heck (2009) attests that “teacher effectiveness is an individual resource that varies across classrooms within a
school, as well as a collective resource that varies across schools” (p.235). Therefore, according to Lee (2007),
effective models of professional development may minimize the teacher dissimilarities to the extent that each
student is catered for in the process of teaching and learning.

Nevertheless, the inception of Outcome Based Education (OBE) and Curriculum 2005 (C2005) was an
exceptional curriculum change in South Africa. There was a huge gap at the time between the aims of OBE and
C2005 and what the majority of teachers had been trained for (Jansen & Taylor, 2003). It was a challenge for many
South African teachers who had inadequate knowledge, skills and competence and who relied on teacher talk and
rote memory as the predominant mode of teaching and learning (Jansen & Christie, 1999). Because OBE differs
from previous practice, one would imagine that intensive and extensive professional teacher development would
be necessary to prepare teachers for the implementation of OBE (Fiske & Ladd, 2004). However, training of teachers
for OBE was inadequate (Jansen & Christie, 1999; Jansen & Taylor, 2003; Taylor & Vinjevold, 1999). Rather than
mounting a series of professional development programs that were collaborative in nature and based on teachers'
contexts, the Department of Education (DoE) adopted a “cascade” model. This model entailed district curriculum
advisors training a number of circuit subject advisors in a particular content, and they then had to workshop
teachers (Hunzicker, 2011; Kennedy, 2005). Some teachers even lamented that the subject advisors sometimes failed
to understand the curriculum. That way, the result was the “watering down or misinterpretation of crucial
inadequacies” (Fiske & Ladd, 2004: 162). The inclination for the cascade model is that it is cheap and can spread out
to a lot of teachers within a short time, although researchers have criticized this model for its noticeable
discrepancies. (Bett, 2016). On the contrary, professional development that occupies teachers in learning activities
that are supportive, job-embedded, instructionally focused, collaborative, and ongoing have been found to be
effective than those that are not. This is so because curriculum is usual done prior to its use. Nevertheless, student
and teacher use of the designed material encompasses the actual or effective curriculum –, hence the need for
effective professional development to teach teachers how to use these materials. In relation to this, the argument is
that different strategies of professional development could be more suitable for teachers based on the stage they
are in the implementation of NCS. For instance, at the commencement of the implementation of the NCS, “teachers
may need concrete information first about what they will learn and its purpose. As they learn, they want more
how-to advice and images of what the practices look like in real classrooms. Later, they want ways to collaborate
with others on the use of the practice and to assess the impact on students” (Easton, 2009). This argument has led
to an interest in exploring the extent to which traditional in-service teacher professional development archived its
goal of enhancing mathematics teaching and learning during the implementation of NCS.

From the above premises, the report is on a study whose aim is to elucidate the extent to which in-service teacher
professional development meets the intended goal of improving mathematics teaching and learning during the
implementation of NCS. In doing so, a focus group discussion with five mathematics teachers at each of the ten
schools was conducted. The goal of the discussions was to obtain descriptions on the conditions under which
mathematics teachers were exposed to professional development before and during NCS implementation in order
to access its effect on Mathematics teaching and learning. Therefore, the study responded to the question: to what
extent was teacher professional development relevant to the Mathematics teaching and learning during the
implementation of NCS?

One hopes that the exposition of the outcomes of this study to the powers that be might help to readjust the
goals of teacher education and teacher in-service programs, so that they become relevant to the novel curriculum.
Now, literature review and methodology will first be presented. This is followed by the results and discussion.
Conclusion is done by stressing the findings of this study and recommendation.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Literature review answered the question: What are the characteristics of effective and relevant professional
development for in-service teachers? Attention was given to the guides for designing professional development of
Hunzicker (2011) and Easton (2009)’s Concerns-Based Adoption Model (CBAM) and the characteristics of lesson study as an effective professional development activity.

Hunzicker (2011: 178) suggests that effective teacher professional development should be:

- **Supportive**: it considers the needs, concerns and interests of individual teachers along with those of the school.
- **Job-embedded**: making it relevant and authentic.
- **Instructionally focused**: which involves the study and application of content and pedagogy with emphasis on student Learning Outcomes.
- **Collaborative**: engaging teachers in both active and interactive learning.
- **Ongoing**: a combination of contact hours, duration and coherence.

With these characteristics of professional development in place, teachers are more likely to consider it relevant and authentic, which is more likely to result in teacher learning and improved teaching practice (Hunzicker, 2011). Therefore, teacher professional development becomes relevant when it connects to teachers’ daily responsibilities and becomes authentic when it is incorporated into each school day, engaging teachers in activities such as coaching, mentoring and study groups (Hunzicker, 2011; Meijs, Prinsen, & de Laat, 2016). This assumption seems to be realistic in that it calls for a professional development design framework as illustrated in Figure 1.

CBAM is also a guide for crafting professional development. CBAM defines the evolving teacher concerns as they are presented to novel practices (Donnell & Gettinger, 2015). The concerns emanate from queries that are self-oriented (e.g., “What is it?” “How will it affect me?” and “What will I have to do?”), to those that are task-oriented (e.g., “How can I get more organized?” “Why is it taking so much time?” and “How can I best manage the materials and schedules?”), and finally, when these concerns begin to be resolved, to more impact-oriented concerns (e.g., “How is this affecting students?” and “How can I improve what I am doing so all students can learn?”) (Easton, 2009). The CBAM model subscribes to the notion that teacher concerns can point to the choice of approaches for professional development and offer awareness into the nature of the approaches in order to solve teachers’ requirements during stages of the implementation of new program (Easton, 2009; Salleh, 2016). For instance, if the objective of the professional development is to improve teachers’ content knowledge, the designer might first offer teachers an engagement experience in mathematics content. Subsequently, might offer workshops that help raise teachers’ consciousness of what novel teaching practices look like in action (Gaudin & Chaliès, 2015; Tam, 2015). As they implement new practice in the classrooms, they must meet with other teachers to deliberate on what is working and how to make improvements, thereby increasing their understanding and their skills (Trust & Horrocks, 2017).

How can impact-oriented questions of teachers be addressed? The impact-oriented concerns are as a results of the self- and task-oriented concerns. Therefore, the impact-oriented questions of teachers can be addressed by creating opportunities for them to scrutinize student work or to carry out action research into their own concerns about student learning. Throughout these latter stages of learning, teachers are usually involved in scrutinizing their experiences in the classroom, evaluating the effect of the variations they effected on their students, and looking at ways to improve (Attard, 2017). At this stage in their learning, teachers examine the practice of others, connecting
it to what they do and coming up with thoughts for refinement (Meijs et al., 2016), which are features comparable to those of lesson study.

Lesson study is a form of classroom research in which a group of teachers examine teaching and learning in the milieu of an actual single class lesson (Lewis, 2008). When the teachers finish the study, they write a report that defines the lesson they planned and describes how the lesson worked and what they learnt about teaching and learning from the lesson study experience. According to Ono and Ferreira (2010), the prominent characteristic of lesson study is that “teachers are collaboratively engaged in action research in order to improve the quality of instruction” (p.7). The three phases of lesson study are collectively referred to as “plan-do-see” (Ono, 2008). Ono and Ferreira (2010) summarized lesson study as an activity characterized as “classroom-situated, context-based, student-focused, improvement-oriented, collaborative and teacher-owned” (p.6). As such, lesson study is described as a strategy that aims at establishing a professional learning communities where study groups, coaching by peers and lessons demonstration are useful strategies in professional development (Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development [ASCD], 2010; Salleh, 2016; Trust & Horrocks, 2017).

The Process of Lesson Study

The process of lesson study is multifaceted. However, the process of lesson study, as a form of professional development, seeks to improve the degree to which the teacher can appropriately integrate the use of instruction techniques with the mathematics concept being taught and its effectiveness for learning (Shulman, 1986). In this case, attention is given to the growth of teachers’ mathematical knowledge in teaching: knowledge about mathematics; knowledge about ways of teaching mathematics; and knowledge about the ways in which learners engage with and make sense of mathematics (Joubert & Sutherland, 2008). Therefore, the main idea of this practice of lesson study is that a group of teachers discuss the content of the lesson, both what it means to acquire understanding of it and how it can be approached in teaching (Gunnarsdóttir & Pálsdóttir, 2010; Hord & Tobia, 2015). One teacher in the group then teaches this lesson while it is videoed and others observe student responses to the lesson. The group then meet to discuss the observed lesson in order to refine it guided by student responses and as a result re-teach a different cohort of students (Gunnarsdóttir & Pálsdóttir, 2010; Hord & Tobia, 2015). The intention of the process is often to deepen participants’ understanding of the content and potential teaching styles (Covay Minor, Desimone, Caines Lee & Hochberg, 2016). Subsequently, doing lesson study cycle provokes teachers to mirror on their own styles of teaching and learning and improve their practices in their working contexts (Attard, 2017). Hence, this view draws our attention to consider lesson study as a possible vehicle for successful professional development endeavors.

METHODOLOGY

Design

The paper is conceptualized around the belief that views inform practice (Krathwohl, 2004). Guided by this view, the study sought to discover and define the conditions under which mathematics teachers were exposed to professional development before and during NCS implementation. Student performance in Mathematics remain deplorable nationally. Hence, the teachers who had already gone through the professional development exercise were investigated retrospectively to identify what they gained which has a bearing on their current teaching practice in Mathematics. This may, in turn, help explain the degree to which professional development of mathematics teachers met its intended goal of improving mathematics teaching and learning during the implementation of NCS and suggest possible modes of professional development (such as lesson study) that best suit their situations. Thus providing an opportunity to generalise the study findings to the Vhembe District schools (Lodico, Spaulding, & Voegtle, 2006). To achieve this, the study used qualitative research approach employing descriptive survey design. Using a descriptive survey design allowed for the exploration of an in-depth understanding (Krathwohl, 2004) of the degree to which professional development of mathematics teachers met its intended goal of improving mathematics teaching and learning during the implementation of NCS.

Sample

The participants were selected using criterion-based sampling method (Heppner & Heppner, 2004). The criteria in this study were as follows: Participants were from five low-performing and five high-performing public secondary schools in the Vhembe District based on the pass rates in mathematics in high stakes examinations in 2008-2009 and must have 3 or more years teaching Mathematics. The participants consisted of 25 from five low-performing and 25 from five high-performing schools (n = 50, 30 males and 20 females).
Instrument

Data were gleaned through a focus group discussion with five mathematics teachers at each of the ten schools. The aim of discussion was to discover the conditions the teachers of mathematics were exposed to professional development before and during NCS implementation. A pilot study with five mathematics teachers, involving usage of the loosely structured open-ended questions for discussion, was conducted at one school in Vhembe District. The discussion procedure was acceptable in terms of politeness, precision, pacing and importance of what was covered in the open-ended questions.

Data Collection

A focus group discussion with five mathematics teachers at each of the ten schools was conducted. The duration of the discussion ranged from 40 to 65 minutes and were audiotaped, with participants’ consent, for later explanation (Creswell & Clark, 2017). The language used in the discussions was English. The goal of the discussions was to obtain descriptions of the conditions the teachers of mathematics were exposed to professional development before and during NCS implementation in order to draw conclusions. The discussions involved the use of open-ended questions. The leading question was: how would you describe the preparation you went through for the implementation of NCS? Therefore, the discussion included questions concerning: modes of professional developments attended; the impact of such training to their teaching; what support teachers receive/ anticipate from the principal or Head of Department or curriculum advisor of mathematics; the modes of professional development that best suits their situations.

Ethical Issues

Permission to use selected sites was granted from the education managers from the district and principals. Dishonesty was guarded against during data processing.

Data Analysis

Data analysis began by listening to each audiotaped discussion in order to get a sense of the whole session (Creswell & Clark, 2017). Raw data were obtained for further analysis by creating a transcript of each audiotaped discussion. After transcription was complete, the researcher read each transcript several times in order to create familiarity with the text (Colaizzi, 1978). Data collected from focus group discussions were transcribed and analysed using 1) open coding where I read line by line (Giorgi, 1985; Strauss & Corbin, 1998) and significant statements were written down; 2) axial coding by putting together related statements and comparing each statement to generate sub-themes (Shank, 2006); and 3) selective coding where I related the sub-themes to each other and identified commonalities to form themes in relation to the purpose of the study (Shenton, 2004). Furthermore, focus group accounts were sent for member checking as a way of validating the findings captured as true reflection of their views (Bygstad & Munkvold, 2007).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to reveal the extent to which in-service teacher professional development met the intended goal of improving mathematics teaching and learning during the implementation of NCS. A focus group discussion with five mathematics teachers at each of the ten secondary schools was conducted to obtain descriptions on the circumstances and conditions under which the participants were exposed to professional development before and during NCS implementation in order to access its influence on Mathematics teaching and learning. Therefore, the study responded to the question: To what extent was teacher professional development relevant to the Mathematics teaching and learning during the implementation of NCS? The study revealed that the professional development that teachers experienced never impacted their teaching practices. This is informed by the study data which revealed two themes: 1) the presenter-talk method used in workshops was divorced from classroom situation and 2) shift from cascade model of professional development to a model where learning for teachers is a daily routine. A discussion of these themes follows.

1) The presenter-talk method used in workshops was divorced from classroom situation

During the discussions and with reference to the effectiveness of teacher professional development, teachers refuted the manner in which the workshops were conducted:

T_2: “... It is unfortunate that teachers are summoned for a workshop only to be given materials or should I call them individual study materials ... which are first read to us by the presenters ... then why calling a workshop instead of just sending the materials to schools and spare teachers from wasting valuable teaching time for nothing.”
approaches of learning. During the discussions, one teacher argued:

other factors that may affect it. Here, for them, impact-oriented concerns take precedence over trying out new

routine

development.

in workshops is divorced from their classroom situation. They are advocating for effective teacher professional

aware that their professional knowledge and skills should be up to date always, but the presenter-talk method used

workshop interviews with participants is an essential factor in improving the culture of workshops. Teachers are

teachers dislike the top-down decision-making mode of running the district. This implies that conducting pre-

method, because that is the method advanced at workshops. These suggestions by teachers clearly indicate that

teachers did not see any reason for embarking on a different approach in their classroom besides the teacher-talk

This is so because teachers are held responsible for their students' performance regardless of

challenges teachers face allows them to shift their e fforts from teacher-talk method to a different approach in their

classroom. Hunzicker (2011) suggests that "effective teacher professional development should: consider the needs,

Outcomes; engage teachers in both active and interactive learning; and be a combination of contact hours, duration

In their quest to avoid

public humiliation, teachers narrow the curriculum to just what is tested, and spend time in test preparation instead

of genuine instruction. One explanation for this state of affairs is tests influence how and what teachers would teach

Students underperform on high-stake tests, we are held responsible regardless of other factors that may affect it. … Tests have become the sole indicator of teaching effectiveness… so we focus on both high-

and low-stakes exit examinations than to try out these other new approaches of teaching…”

High-stakes testing here refers to standardized tests developed for the purpose of evaluating students and

judgments about student, teacher, and school effectiveness often are based on the performance on these tests by

students. Passing could bring rewards to teachers (bonuses) and schools (positive publicity or accolades). Whereas

failure could lead to schools being labelled dysfunctional and may face closure or take-over, and to students denied

entry to universities or retained in grade. Based on this and on T_41’s assertion, high-stakes testing has impacted

teaching and learning practices. The intended and unintended impact of high-stakes testing suggest that the practice

of high-stakes testing has eroded and undermined sound educational practice. In their quest to avoid

public humiliation, teachers narrow the curriculum to just what is tested, and spend time in test preparation instead

of genuine instruction. One explanation for this state of affairs is tests influence how and what teachers would teach

and how and what students would learn. This suggests that as the pressure to perform on high-stakes test rises, so

too do undesirable educational practices. Therefore, re-conceptualizing professional development to align with the

challenges teachers face allows them to shift their efforts from teacher-talk method to a different approach in their

classroom. Hunzicker (2011) suggests that “effective teacher professional development should: consider the needs,

concerns, and interests of individual teachers along with those of the school; be relevant and authentic (job-

embedded); involve the study and application of content and pedagogy with emphasis on student Learning

Outcomes; engage teachers in both active and interactive learning; and be a combination of contact hours, duration

and coherence” (p.178). So “teacher professional development was found to improve teachers’ effectiveness when:

focused on teaching specific parts of the curriculum; occurred over time; engaged teachers in a professional

community of practice; offered relevant expertise; focused on methods to improve student outcome and when

school authorities support teachers’ opportunities to learn and process new information” (Timperley, Wilson, 

Barrar & Fung, 2007). Besides, professional learning occurs within people who live and work in unique contexts

that can either thwart or support professional development (Blank, De las Alas & Smith, 2008). Therefore, a school-
based professional development, teacher facilitated (with support materials) in which all teachers participate, would be productive. This is likely to be more effective because it often is led by current classroom teachers (as advocated by T.1), whom other teachers trust as a source for meaningful guidance on improving teaching (Blank et al., 2008). Thus teacher concerns can guide the selection of strategies for professional development and provide insight into the content of the strategies. This may, in turn, help address teachers’ needs and concerns as they try out new approaches to learning. For example, to “increase teachers’ content knowledge so they can provide more enquiry or problem solving approaches in mathematics classes, the designer might choose to first offer teachers an immersion experience in mathematics. Thereafter, workshops that help raise teachers’ awareness of what new teaching practices look (and feel) like in action. As they practice new moves in their classrooms, they need opportunities to meet with other teachers to discuss what is working and how to make refinements” (Meijls et al., 2016: 91). A shift from the ‘one shot,’ ‘sit and get’ model to a model where learning for teachers is a daily routine is all what the teachers are calling for. This is so because teachers approach learning with clear objectives, using their teaching skills to incorporate new teaching strategies. They are encouraged by prospects of addressing problems encountered during their teaching experiences. Therefore, drawing from the discussions with teachers, it is evident that they favour unrestricted learning activities and learn better when they have a voice in the learning process. This may make change in teaching practice more likely. Therefore, professional development that brings change is anything that involves teachers in learning activities that are supportive, job-embedded, instructionally focused, collaborative, and ongoing (Hunzicker, 2011; Meijls et al., 2016).

Teacher professional development such as lesson study, whose salient feature is that teachers are collaboratively engaged in action research in order to improve teaching (Ono, 2008), would probably suit the teachers’ concerns as revealed by the discussion data. The essence of lesson study is that teachers plan lessons collaboratively and the lesson is then taught by one of the teachers while being observed by the other teachers. Afterwards, the teachers discuss the lesson. This approach allows teachers to realize that there is something wrong with their current teaching practices. This may encourage them to succeed in content and methods that initially seemed foreign to them. According to Hill and Ball (2009), good teachers are familiar with content and how students should learn that content. This suggests that teachers who can discuss their teaching with knowledgeable colleagues offer students a better diet. Thus, “it is posited that professional development activities that focus on how well a teacher uses mathematical and pedagogical knowledge to help students learn mathematics”, would go a long way to improving the level of teaching of mathematics.

CONCLUSION

The study data revealed that the professional development that teachers experienced never impacted their teaching practices. Teachers strongly disapproved the manner in which the workshops were conducted claiming they were disconnected from classroom practices. This was despite the numerous in-service preparation sessions teachers were exposed to (prior to and during implementation of NCS) and the various materials hand-outs. Factors that may have led to the unsuitability of the cascade model of professional development are that the schedule and structure of professional development did not allow teachers to reflect among themselves; nor for them to initiate and direct their collegial; nor initialize their own professional learning; no formal follow-up was done to evaluate the impact of such in-service program. Hence the cascade model of professional development did not suit the teachers. Based on this, “the conclusion was that: (a) the success of in-service programs should not be determined by merely making sure all teachers attend the teacher development programs. Instead, the way that the ideas, knowledge and skills learnt are implemented in a classroom setting is a crucial measure of the success of such a program; (b) for any in-service program to be successful, especially one that introduces teachers to a different instructional approach from the traditional one, it has to impact on teachers’ concerns (be they self-oriented, task-oriented or impact-oriented)”. Therefore the recommendation is that teacher professional development should not just be thought of as presenter-talk driven workshops, but also as instances of engaging teachers in activities that connect their daily responsibilities such as planning lessons and constructing assessments. As such, activities such as coaching, mentoring and study groups can be used to engage teachers in activities. In this way teachers working together to examine practice and exchanging ideas about teaching would come to value collegiality among themselves and teacher expertise.
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