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Abstract

Owing to the context in which higher education institutions function, models of sustainable leadership have not been applied to this area. Yet China is now the world’s second largest economic entity, and higher education plays an integral role in the country’s development. In August 2015, China’s State Council published the Overall Plan for Cooperately Advancing the Construction of World First-class Universities and First-class Disciplines. This paper reviews and analyzes research and theories related to effective leadership and sustainable leadership, which is applied in education and higher education institutions, especially in China. The current challenges of double first-class universities in China will be determined. Under this situation, according to two eras of literatures on effective leadership and sustainable leadership in higher education, the author will develop a model of sustainable leadership for leaders in double first-class universities in China.
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1. Introduction

Sustainability can be defined in various ways. It is currently popular in the contexts of government, business, and non-profit organizations (Crews, 2010). In Lambert’s (2011) research, there is a nexus between sustainability and environment, ecology, and reserve energy. There is an enormous body of literature on sustainable leadership, which investigates leadership that aims to preserve the environment of the organization. Scholars define that leaders develop sustainability in various ways, such as: commitment to deep learning in the campus; continuing to reinforce and provide knowledge for everyone in the organization; and providing support to keep them working (Lambert, 2011).

Sustainable leadership, as an emerging notion, has inquired by few scholars, however, the existing models are not completely accurate for higher education (HE). Sustainable leadership in this context is at an early stage, and related literature has only emerged since 2003. Most prior research on sustainable leadership referred only to K-12 education, while hardly considered to apply it in HE area (Lambert, 2011, 2012). Educational leaders can insist on their vision through attempting to confirm the progress and situation are consensus, mainly when they leave the universities (Clarke and Stevens, 2009). Sustainable leadership requires distributing responsibility, so as to avoid exhausting the resources of human and finance, as well as paying attention to and preventing the destruction of the surrounding educational and community environment. Universities are not allowed to lure students with unreasonable perks such as excessive scholarships or placement promises.

Cook (2014) continually argues that sustainable leadership has sets of activists to involve people, and creates an educational environment with community diversity, enabling cross-pollination of great views and compelling experiences in organizations of collective learning and development. This viewpoint suggests the importance of enhancing a university climate of collaboration through shared values, visions, and beliefs. This climate shows that the basis of sustainable leadership, and could be learned and communicated. Moreover, the focus of sustainability extends beyond life and death matters, and is not merely a time-related thing. Sustainability is also a topic related to the “space”, not merely continuation. It requires the development of a special issue which does not need the compromise of other development at present and future (Hargreaves and Fink, 2003b). Hargreaves (2007) defines sustainable leadership as preserving and developing everything that spreads and continues deeply, without being damaged, and positively influencing others, both today and in the future.
As a constituent element of China’s nation-building project, HE is a pivotal part of the country’s political strategy of creating national strength through science and education. One approach to achieving this objective is to develop HE of international eminence (Yang and Welch, 2012). China is now the world’s second largest economic entity, and its HE plays an integral role in national development. In August 2015, China’s State Council published the Overall Plan for Coordinately Advancing the Construction of World First-class Universities and First-class Disciplines – the newest policy for crucial universities. Zhao et al. (2019) investigated the extent of research on top world universities and double first-class universities by searching for articles indexed in China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI). They found 6,944 articles, whose publication distribution over time is depicted in Figure 1. Academies have paid more attention to researching top universities since the policy was published in 2015. There is limited research on sustainable leadership for university development and no research for China. This article will explore a model of sustainable leadership that could foster double first-class university development.

![Figure 1. Changes in the number of studies published on the theme of “World-Class Universities” (Zhao et al., 2019)](image)

### 2. Method and Materials

The authors adopted the literature review method for this paper. This work reviewed and analyzed mainstream research on effective leadership and sustainable leadership in HE. Moreover, the authors compared the current models and theories of effective leadership and sustainable leadership, integrated the key elements and components, especially inspired by the studies of Lambert (2011, 2012) and Black (2015). Thus, this study’s aim is to propose a framework of sustainable leadership for HE, particularly for double first-class universities in China.

#### 2.1 Effective Leadership in the HE Sector

Since the 1980s, the leadership of higher education institutions (HEIs) has received growing attention, with student numbers rising, transformation in the funding for students, increased marketization and student selection, and continuing globalization in this domain. New ways to lead in HEIs are being identified as universities experience the double challenges of surviving in both natively and globally competitive worlds that present opportunities to create and develop sustainable leadership. While facing similar challenges to all businesses, universities call for giving their role in developing new knowledge and the themes of current knowledge (Jones et al., 2012). Ameijde et al. (2009) conducted a qualitative study of distributed leadership in HE, focusing on a situation of continuous pressures for institutional change. Their findings yielded suggestions on how to improve distributed leadership in university. Jones et al. (2012) also studied educational leadership in HE, but only examined a distributed leadership program in
universities. In this trend of change, HEIs have to deem how to develop the organization and what might be effective leadership for adapting to these new environments.

Black (2015) analyzed the leadership models in HEIs to develop a comparison of typical frameworks using a general model of leadership (see Table 1). This draws on the work of Black et al. (2006), who proposed five practices of exemplary leadership: model the way, inspire a shared vision, challenge the process, enable others to act, and encourage the heart. This leadership model supports the simple approaches required to build the significant and sustainable change that eventually standardizes best practices, enables results, and cuts down costs through inter-organizational partnerships (Kouzes and Posner, 2011).

Regarding the theory of transformative leadership in HE, Astin and Astin (2000) pointed out the principles of university leadership in their book. In 2007, Bryman conducted a literature review of effective university department leadership. He reviewed publications from the USA, UK, and Australia, and summarized the notions of HE leadership. In McCaffery’s (2019) recently published Higher Education Manager’s Handbook, he proposes that effective management and leadership in HE is based on four “knows”:

- Know the environment
- Know the university
- Know the department
- Know yourself

Bolden et al. (2013) defined the dimensions of leadership in HE by interviewing 152 leaders from 12 UK universities. In Rethinking the "L" Word in Higher Education, Kezar (2008) proposed the complexity and chaos theory of leadership in HE: with today’s world full of disorder and uncertainties, universities play a critical role in providing new leaders that have to transform and change to meet society’s needs. Spendlove (2007) investigated presidents and leaders of universities to identify the competencies (attitudes, knowledge, and behavior) that are necessary for effective leadership in HEIs.

The author also sought to diversify a practical leadership framework for HE by reviewing and critiquing related models and theories (see Table 1). Effective leadership in HEIs has four dimensions. Lead self refers to illuminating one’s values for the whole organization. It is essential for the leader to build their credibility. For university leaders, the key to leadership is modeling the desired behaviors – setting an example that others will emulate. Knowing yourself is crucial; educational leaders have to know who they are, where they are, and what they want to do. Self-awareness is a fundamental capacity. Knowledge of the university and its circumstances will enable presidents to develop their institutions. Lead the system entails inspiring a clearly shared vision for the university. Collect small success could help all the organizational members with building confidence. Leaders need to create a learning community and should not neglect evaluation of mission and performance. Innovation is the core value of university development. Lead people entails fostering staff collaboration, enabling everyone to develop leadership competencies, listening to and understanding others’ views and situations, and, in particular, treating staff authentically. Finally, Lead on the environment refers to the responsibility of HE managers to ensure sustainable use of resources, seek outside opportunities to strengthen institutional abilities, create and develop a network with other universities and organizations, personnel, and work to sustain academic stature. Weenen (2000) mentioned that sustainable development is one of the most challenging issues of the 21st century. Sustainable leaders integrate sustainability into their university vision and develop their strategic plans to achieve it.

Table 1. Comparison of typical higher education leadership frameworks, based on a general model of leadership

|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model the way:</th>
<th>Know your environment:</th>
<th>Individual:</th>
<th>Attitudes – what good leaders are:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Find your voice by illuminating your values</td>
<td>• Provide a clear vision and strategic leadership</td>
<td>• Personal qualities</td>
<td>• Initiate expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Set the example by allying actions with common purpose</td>
<td>• Prepare arrangements to facilitate pursuing the chosen direction</td>
<td>• Experience</td>
<td>• Colleges and universities have vague objectives and goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Create a supportive and learning environment</td>
<td>• Role</td>
<td>• Spread power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provide sustainability for the next generation</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Create concepts of complexity and chaos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Visible, outgoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Willing to be wrong/accept advice/support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Sensitive to other aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspire a shared vision:</th>
<th>Know yourself:</th>
<th>Social:</th>
<th>Knowledge – what good leaders know:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Envision the future by imagining exciting possibilities</td>
<td>• Provide feedback on performance</td>
<td>• Social identity</td>
<td>• Knowledge of university life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enlist others in a shared vision by appealing to shared aspirations</td>
<td>• Provide resources for and adjust workloads to stimulate scholarship and research</td>
<td>• Informal</td>
<td>• Understand how the university system matters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Build a reciprocal community and shared responsibilities</td>
<td>• Relationships</td>
<td>• Understand academic processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Develop a shared vision</td>
<td>• Analyses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Model trust</td>
<td>• Politics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Contact and listen to staff</td>
<td>• Solutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge the process</th>
<th>Lead your department:</th>
<th>Structural &amp; organizational</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Search for opportunities by seeking creative pathways to transform, grow, and improve</td>
<td>• Consider relationships of trust, warmth, and mutual respect</td>
<td>• Systems</td>
<td>• Apply outside circumstance s for innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Experiment and take risks by continually recognizing small successes and learning from past</td>
<td>• Create a positive and collegial work atmosphere in the department</td>
<td>• Processes</td>
<td>• Listen to marginal people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Practices</td>
<td>• Gather supplementar y data as key to making effective and ethical, cognitively complex decisions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Enable everyone leadership | | | |
|----------------------------| | | |
| • Shared your personal values with staff | | | |
| • Community meetings and recognizing “small success” | | | |
are helpful
• Find leverage points in an academic organization

Encourage the heart:
• Recognize contributions by showing appreciation for personal excellence
• Celebrate the values and wins by keeping a spirit of community

Manage for high performance:
• Be trustworthy and have personal integrity
• Act as a role model and have credibility
• Allow people to have opportunities to participate in crucial decisions and encourage open communication

Developential:
• Use a decentralized environment to develop strategies for problems
• Establish a balance between autonomy and accountability in the leadership process

2.2 The New Challenges for the Double First-Class Universities in China

Hazelkorn (2011) explored the top HEIs and built the Emerging Global Model based on the characteristics identified. 1) mission extending beyond national boundaries; 2) incremental escalation of knowledge production; 3) transforming academic positions, outcomes, and achievement systems; 4) various sources of finance beyond government support and student contributions; 5) states facilitate the association between HE and the private sector; 6) world-wide employment; 7) increasingly complex organizational model with attributes of a loosely coupled system; and 8) global partnerships through networked nodes. Wu (2019) suggested that top universities might concentrate on these five attributes: academic staff, publication, student quality, academic reputation, and social contribution.

Regarding China, Zhou (2016a) commented that the core aim of building “double first-class” universities requires adjusting the relationship between teaching and research. To enable the development of universities with Chinese characteristics, leaders need to focus on instruction advancement, based on “double first-class” reform, design advanced discipline, reconstruct the concepts of professional education, build systems of training talents, and evaluate standards of international scholarship (Zhou, 2016b). In the same year, Ma (2016) indicated that the double-class objective requires universities to change from the traditional government-based to the comparative market-based. Undergraduate education plays a primary role in building double first-class (Zhong and Fang, 2016). In 2016, Vice President Ren of East China Normal University claimed that HE has the commitment for making the world better,
while globalization is an important tool that universities should exploit to accomplish this mission. According to Ma’s opinion, modern universities in China started late, and their institutional foundation is weak; they have to learn from western universities and high-quality colleges. With the rapid globalization in economics, HEs, and knowledges, double-class universities desire to learn from the systems of excellent universities (Ma, 2016).

Furthermore, Zhou (2016b) concluded three vital pillars of a university-constructing systems, management, and techniques. HEIs in China currently lack autonomy. The relationship between universities and government is the key to system reform. There are several kinds of relationships in college reform are challenging, for instance, the relationship of party and government, the relationship of scholarship and administration, the relationship of college setting and assessment of academics. In Pan’s (2016) study, she manifested the key content and action plan for a double-class building is the top class disciplines.

2.3 Sustainable Leadership Theories and Models
This section contains several important theories and models of sustainable leadership in the education field. They are Seven Principles of Sustainable Leadership, Eight Factors of Sustainability, Framework for Strategic Sustainable Development, Honeybee Sustainable Leadership Practices, Importance and Need for Sustainable Leadership in Education, Ten Principles of Sustainable Leadership, Five Attributes of Sustainable Leaders and Five Leadership Challenges.

2.3.1 Seven Principles of Sustainable Leadership
Hargreaves and Fink (2003a) highlight seven principles of sustainable leadership as follows:

1. Sustainable leadership assists and supports sustained learning
Sustained learning, which alludes to learning worked, requires and sustains students’ mental, social, and emotional engagement. It is not success outcomes but the learning behind them that matters most. Educational leaders are responsible for sustained learning (Hargreaves and Fink, 2003a). Lambert (2012) adds that deep learning is required, rather than a narrow focus on exams and superficially designed achievements.

2. Sustainable leadership assures success over time
Sustainable leadership is a dispensable challenge for education that hits and embraces a university multifaceted (Lambert, 2012). Sustainable enhancements do not change or disappear when the leader departs. Charming leaders may not accomplish sustainable development; instead, that transcends individual impact that relates the performances of leaders to their former leaders and new leaders (Hargreaves and Fink, 2003a).

3. Sustainable leadership promotes the leadership in others
To share leadership with others is a pathway for leaders to leave a continuing bequest, not just a top man or woman (Lambert, 2011, 2012; Hargreaves and Fink, 2003a). Leadership succession here is more than training a leader’s successors: it entails dispensing leadership throughout the institution’s professional community.

4. Sustainable leadership enhances issues of social justice
Sustainable leadership does not ask institutions collect the best students and teachers from around universities, nor flourish though the spending of other universities in the district (Lambert, 2011, 2012). Sustainable leadership calls for collaboration and is suitable for all universities and students. As an interdependent procedure, it is responsible that universities influence one another in relationships of reciprocal impact. On this matter, sustainable leadership is inseparably linked to social justice (Hargreaves and Fink, 2003a).

5. Sustainable leadership sustains rather than exhausts resources
Sustainable leaders use both internal and external motivations to attract and retain the best and most dynamic in the leadership pool. They also give opportunities for leaders to communicate, learn, and receive support from each other, along with coaching and mentoring successors (Hargreaves and Fink, 2003a). People learn from one another and create a climate of social inclusion (Lambert, 2011, 2012).

6. Sustainable leadership develops diverse environment
The presidents refine and build the environment to foster university vastly extensive and lasting enhancement. They stimulate people to be adaptive and blossom in their increasingly chaotic environment by learning from other diverse experiences (Hargreaves and Fink, 2003a).
7. Sustainable leadership actively engages with the environment
Creative institutions have missed a lot of their border as traditional universities. These universities are not just creating innovation. As the learning communities, indeed, they also involves confidently with its history, HEIs should be proud of the past and compose a new era (Lambert, 2011, 2012; Hargreaves and Fink, 2003a).

2.3.2 Eight Factors of Sustainability
Fullan (2004) summarized eight factors of sustainable leadership:

1. Public service with ethical goals

Fullan defined ethical goals as three pathways: (1) be responsible for raising the bar and closing the gap in students' academic achievements; (2) respect people without disdain; and (3) lead on the improvement of the surrounding environment.

2. Accountability for transforming situations at all levels

Primarily, educational leaders must respond to the changing context. The remaining six factors involve providing people with new practices, capabilities, and acumen into what has and could be finished as the leaders.

3. Skills-building by networks

Lateral capacity alludes to networks and collaborates that is valuable for institution enhancement. Deep accountability leads to the realization that endure or skills-building is essential, which leads to direct skills-building with outside coaches at the district or other levels, that lateral skill-building with peers is a valuable learning method.

4. New interdependent relationships involving both capacity-building and commitment

Sustainable communities have to handle the internal leadership and external commitment. Leaders go to motivate employers to explore positive outputs via internal capacity-building.

5. Deep learning

Sustainable leadership needs ongoing improvement, adaption, and problem-solving. Complex and adaptive problems require deep learning.

6. Accountability for both short-term and long-term outcomes

Similar to most dimensions of sustainability, things that seems like they are interdependent. The truth is that government and institution have to display move in connection with benefits in the short and long term. Consequently, the system can promote long-term policy as well as short-term outcomes.

7. Regular energizing

Principle 1: Involvement demands four resources of energy: physical, emotional, psychological, and spiritual.

Principle 2: Energy competency reduces both with overspends and with underuse, so organizations must balance resource expenses with irregular resources revival.

Principle 3: As for capacity building, institutions have lost many of edges and train people in the same systemic way like elites do.

Principle 4: Managing energy with highly serious norms is crucial to full involvement and sustainable high behaviors.

8. Continued leadership

On the one hand, a system oriented toward sustainability must be driven by leadership at all levels. On the other hand, the primary job of principals is to appropriately address and concurrently satisfy the prior seven factors.

2.3.3 Framework for Strategic Sustainable Development
A framework for strategic sustainable development demonstrated by Dyer and Dyer (2017):

1. System: operation of the system -"society within the Biosphere" and the way of a university works.

All the stakeholders, including leaders, faculty, staff, and students, contribute to the institution's sustainability. A university drives sustainability through various direct and indirect pathways. As sustainable labor increasing in society, the university strengthens thinking and intellectual frameworks to create sustainable systems and behaviors. Universities also produce many graduates and undertake research into social development issues.
2. **Success – fundamental principles for sustainability**

Social sustainability is the key for sustainable HE. In this system, students in all programs must learn systematic thinking skills and develop disciplines to address institutional sustainability.

3. **Strategy – based on the principles for achieving sustainability and a systematic plan**

Universities and colleges are often unprepared to transform. Educational leaders are usually pay insufficient attention to the interests of the faculty, custodians, benefactors and graduates, and other stakeholders of all functions.

4. **Action – definite performances that are appropriate for the principles**

Leaders and managers take action to achieve sustainability, for instance, through energy efficient using, renewable energy storage, innovative courses and curriculums, and building a research center on sustainable issues to meet the objectives.

5. **Tools – sets of theories, methods, and concepts that guide action and certify progress toward success**

Most universities and colleges do not have a specific vision and responsibility for a sustainable future. Various steps in research, operations, education, and community involvement might not be assessed with respect to their efforts to achieve that vision, nor their capacities to maintain or block other benevolent actions.

2.3.4 Honeybee Sustainable Leadership Practices

Kantabutra and Saratun (2013) identified six core practices of sustainable leadership:

1. **Aim at a long-term view**

Educational leaders must adopt a long-term perspective. Giving equal attention to both long-term and short-term perspectives is indispensable for all matters, but it is hard to attain when there is pressure to achieve short-term benefits at the expense of long-term benefits.

2. **People development**

By prioritizing specific skills, institutions develop staff skills through in-house and other training approaches that strongly support the continuing development of employees. Training is available to all employees, not just to managers or leaders.

3. **A strong institutional culture**

A university needs to construct a robust culture of shared values, which guides staff on how to act and fosters performance in line with expectations.

4. **Innovation**

Innovation is one of the primary resources of scientific procedures and economic expansion. Disruptive innovation concentrates on changes in product designs.

5. **Social environmental commitment**

Social environmental commitment is a grass roots of an organization. Educational organizations underpin commitment to social and environment issues, often because their leaders model the right actions, inducing morality behavior in others.

6. **Morality behavior**

Morality behavior is a pattern of risk management and means to enhance an institution’s reputation. For schools that operate transparently, ethical methods can maintain the trust of stakeholders. Morality and ethical behavior could promote university sustainability in increasingly diversified ways.

2.3.5 Importance and Need for Sustainable Leadership in Education

Leaders should fellow items to develop sustainable leadership in schools (Cook, 2014):

1. Communicate and advance a broadly perceived university-vision;
2. Provide staff with continuing, job-embedded development of their professional capacities;
3. Lead by example;
4. Promote and encourage leadership capability among teachers;
5. Foster and facilitate an inclusive organizational culture;
6. Invite and encourage collaboration in decision-making processes;
7. Promote two-way communication with staff;
8. Practice consensus building;
9. Model and strengthen the customs and skills of self-reflection;
10. Encourage strategical problem-solving skills in staff.

2.3.6 Ten Principles of Sustainable Leadership

Davies (2009) proposed ten principles of sustainable leadership:

1. Works to build and conserve sustained study;
2. Secures permanent success over time;
3. Sustains the leadership for other people;
4. Is social justice;
5. Focuses on developing instead of depleting resources;
6. Enhances the diversity and capability of settings;
7. Actively engages assert its environments;
8. Maintains awareness of conditions to ensure they remain healthy and do not start to decline;
9. Remembers the history and builds a new future;
10. Demonstrates patient by deferring pleasure, rather than finding quick outcomes.

2.3.7 Five Attributes of Sustainable Leaders

According to Goolamally and Ahmad (2014), a sustainable leader has the following five attributes:

1. Rightness: the quality or state of being morally good, justified, or acceptable;
2. Progressiveness: the ability to implement reform, adopt a strategy, and motivate others;
3. Inspiring: means to gain support and exercise influence;
4. Competence: specifically action-orientation competency and emotional or spiritual competency;
5. Self-efficacy: the belief in one’s ability to do something successfully.

2.3.8 Five Leadership Challenges (Crews, 2010)

Crew (2010) put forward five leadership challenges as the strategies for implementing sustainability.

1. Stakeholder engagement

Sustainable leadership involves synthesizing the demands and benefits of all stakeholders. The associated capacities involve the ability of an institution to engage in a keeping conversation with each community of interest.

2. Creating the culture

A sustainable leader must acknowledge and understand shared values. Thus, sustainability could be a core value. Educational leaders need to regularly review the mission, vision, values, standards, and responsibilities concerning sustainability.

3. Holistic thinking

In this chaotic era, university leaders will distinguish and know the truth in which simple answers, quick adaptations, and magic bullets are rare. With the routes to success becoming increasingly problematic and puzzling, strategies are needed in which natural growth and creation for sustainability. The educational leaders are expected to collect data and conduct analysis to know the situation and encourage everyone around a vision for the future.

4. Organizational learning

Organizational learning includes three pillars. The first is talent management, which combines sustainable development with human resources to select appropriate staff. The second is training and development, into which sustainability must be integrated. The third is leadership development, which calls for the development of sustainable leadership capacity at all levels.
5. Measurement and reporting
Sustainable leaders need to create an innovative and creative culture to explore appropriate strategies for implementing sustainability, and then choose an appropriate measurement and reporting system, grounded in what matters within the specific organizational culture.

2.4 Sustainable Leadership Framework
Lambert (2011) identifies a sustainable leadership framework for education colleges (Table 2). He developed the model based on the sustainable leadership models of Hargreaves and Fink (2003a), Fullan (2004), and Davies (2009). Table 2. A sustainable leadership framework for higher education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Develop employees’ abilities</td>
<td>Offer opportunities for employees to build their capabilities and experience leadership and management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Strategic distribution</td>
<td>Allow people at all levels of the organization to engage in leadership practices, giving rise to sustainable development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Consolidate</td>
<td>Empower collaboration between staff to maintain learning meets the customer needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Create long-term objectives from short-term objectives</td>
<td>Integrate the long-term goals of the organization with the short-term goals enforced by funding agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Diversity</td>
<td>Build a diverse learning community and ensure open and cohesive institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Preserve</td>
<td>Honor and learn from the past to make a better future</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Component Parts of the sustainable leadership model and theories for higher education institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning</td>
<td>Deep learning</td>
<td>System thinking</td>
<td>Long-term view</td>
<td>Build and a university vision</td>
<td>Sustainable learning</td>
<td>Stakeholders’ involvement</td>
<td>Develop staff capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Accountability</td>
<td>Develop action plan</td>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>Staff development</td>
<td>Conserve resources</td>
<td>Create a culture</td>
<td>Encourage collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop leadership at all levels</td>
<td>Continued leadership</td>
<td>Develop strategies for transformation</td>
<td>Build a culture</td>
<td>Skills-building leadership capacity</td>
<td>Holistic thinking</td>
<td>Long-term benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social justice</td>
<td>Public service</td>
<td>Use various tools</td>
<td>Ethical behavior</td>
<td>Enhance inclusive culture</td>
<td>Social justice</td>
<td>Organizational Diversity learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with ethics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Findings

The current challenges of double first-class universities in China have been determined. Under this situation, according to two eras of literatures on effective leadership and sustainable leadership in higher education, the author will develop a model of sustainable leadership for leaders in double first-class universities in China.

The author summarized component parts of the sustainable leadership model and theories for HEIs (Table 3), and stated a proposed framework of sustainable leadership for higher education (Table 4). Deep and continuing learning is the first component in a proposed framework of sustainable leadership for HE. Graduates develop skills in research, problem-solving, critical thinking, and knowledge management (Weigel, 2001). Innovation and creativity are commonly regarded as crucial capacities for boosting organizational keeping development (DiLiello and Houghton, 2006).

Sustainable leaders emphasize innovative and creative ability in systems, instruction, and academics. Ethics is centered on the requirements rooted in education, especially correlated to student outcomes (Peters, 2015). Ethical public service enables people to feel respect and ownership in the HE community. Collaboration is another challenge for sustainable university leaders. Ronfeldt et al. (2015) conducted a two-year study of teacher collaboration. They found that building a Professional Learning Community (PLC) for faculties is a notable method for improving collaboration. Student show higher outputs on campuses and teachers have higher intention on collaboration in collaborative environment. University presidents provide a leadership program, training, or practices for every level in HE. Not only teachers and managers but also students and other staff demand support to develop their leadership skills, regardless of whether their roles are positional. Preservation is an essential element for the sustainable development of universities.

Hargreaves and Fink (2003b) argued that sustainable leadership ensures success over time. HE leaders should always make decisions with a long-term view and consider both current and future benefits. Iverson’s (2007) findings suggest that well-directed efforts to build more inclusive institutions might unintentionally enhance inclusive and equitable practices. To promote faculty professional development, universities provide training programs, mentorship, and integrate ICT and other effective pathways to teachers (Yue, 2019).

Table 4. A proposed framework of sustainable leadership for higher education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Deep and continuing learning</td>
<td>Ensure learning at every level and build a professional learning community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>Innovative and creative ability in systems, instruction, and academics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ethics</td>
<td>Ethical public service, emphasizing ethics and morality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>Facilitate staff collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Build a university vision</td>
<td>President sets a vision and high expectations for the university</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Develop leadership capacities at all levels</td>
<td>Provide leadership programs, training, or practices for managers, teachers, and students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Preservation</td>
<td>Use both physical and human resources effectively, without depletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Measurement and reporting</td>
<td>Regularly evaluate operations and performance, and provide a report to review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Long-term perspective</td>
<td>Make decisions based on a long-term view and leverage current and future benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Diversity</td>
<td>Allow and respect individual and cultural differences and encourage common purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Staff development and skills-building</td>
<td>Focus on developing personal professional skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Implications

Drawing on prior findings on effective leadership in HE, and sustainable leadership in HE, and challenges in Chinese HE, the author developed a model for sustainable leadership for double first-class universities in China (see Figure 2). Educational leaders of double first-class universities should concentrate on the five dimensions of sustainable leadership for leading the whole organization: **Self, System, Function, People, and Environment**. The “hub” of this model is LEAD. LEAD is the center of the model that everything undertaken by leading.

Reichard and Johnson (2011) highlighted five elements of leader self-development: 1) universal intelligence; 2) achievement orientation (need for achievement, profound locus of control, and energy); 3) mastery striving (self-efficacy, painstakingness, openness to new experience, study objectives, intellectual maturity, metacognition); 4) job-based increase (job experience, reflection and feedback) and; 5) work related (work motivation, task engagement, organizational responsibility). They found mastery orientation, job-based increase, and work related that all refer to self-development, both fixed and uncertain personal characteristics. Hence, in this model (Figure 2), **Self** involves the leader’s own attitudes, abilities, skills, behaviors, and values.

![Figure 2. A model of sustainable leadership for double first-class universities in China](image)

The **System** encompasses institution culture, research, strategy, policies, and capacity (Hopkins et al., 2013). In the context on which this paper focuses, sustainable leadership entails vision, innovation strategies, sustainability, evaluation, and integral benefits. Regarding **Function**, HE leaders need to leverage research and education. Scholars believe, the key to success in university, that research connects teaching. Definitely, there is a deep relationship between education and research (Marsh and Hattie, 2002). **People** The salient point of enhancing educational
institutions is promoting teacher quality and the caliber of student learning (Opfer and Pedder, 2011), through teacher professional development, skills-building, and peer collaboration. The Environment dimension reminds educators to develop networks, enhance both internal and external reputation, and emphasize morality and ethics.

5. Conclusion and Future Research

This study reviewed and analyzed significant theories and research findings on effective leadership in HE, sustainable leadership in HE, and the challenges facing China’s double first-class universities. The conceptual frameworks of effective leadership in HE and sustainable leadership in HE are provided for scholars. This paper’s theoretical framework is only directly relevant to sustainable leadership for double first-class universities in China. It has not been tested through any quantitative or qualitative data. Future research will use quantitative methods to validate the model.
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