Saudi Pre-Service Special Education Teachers’ Knowledge and Perceptions Toward Using Computer Technology

Manal M. Alanazy & Reem F. Alrusaiyes

1 School of Education, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence: Manal M. Alanazy, School of Education Technology, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: maalanazy@ksu.edu.sa

Abstract

The authors investigated pre-service special education teachers’ prior experience in using computers and their perception of the knowledge, and their preparedness toward integrating computer technology in teaching, barriers in integrating computer technology in their teaching practices and the level of confidence teachers gained from using technology applications in teaching. Fifty-eight pre-service special education female teachers from a college of education in Saudi Arabia responded to a needs assessment survey. Most participants had more than eight years of experience in using computers. They reported that they are able to integrate computer technologies in their teaching but acknowledged the need for more training courses. The barriers mentioned were a lack of equipment, lack of practice, and lack of maintenance. The findings of this research reveal that there is a need to intensify or enhance the technology courses in programs that prepare special education teachers and focus on the practical aspects of integrating technology in teaching.
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1. Introduction

The emergence of technology dominates all aspects of our lives. Computer technology continues to rapidly develop with crucial impacts in many fields like medicine, business, industry, and entertainment as well as education. Technology has been applied in various areas of society to help facilitate and enhance the quality of work. In education, technology has a positive impact on learning and teaching methods. When technology is effectively applied and integrated into the classroom, learners will be successful on both a functional and personal basis (Abercrombie, 2016; Sabzian, Gilakjani, & Sodouri, 2013). The importance of technology in education was reinforced during the COVID-19 pandemic, as many countries rely on computers and mobile devices to continue the educational process while preserving the health of their citizens.

Because computer technology has become such a worldwide cornerstone for improving student performance in all grade levels, Mwalongo (2011) in Tanzania examined teachers’ perceptions about the use of information communication technology (ICT) or software in teaching. He found that the use of ICT has been beneficial in making difficult concepts clear, saving time, keeping students active, maintaining traditional education, and facilitating work for teachers. A. W. Bates, T. Bates, and Sangra (2011) reported that the use of instruction technology shifted the classroom environment from being teacher-centered to being student-centered. It also enhanced the students’ achievement and their attitudes toward this technology in the primary and secondary classrooms. By integrating technology into the classroom, both students and teachers benefit from computer technology’s positive influence (Lam & Lawrence, 2010). Effective use of technology can boost the development of teaching methodologies and the acquired knowledge of students (Frigaard, 2002; Timucin, 2006).

Nevertheless, technology has been deemed even more important in special education because it facilitates addressing individual needs and simplifying complex concepts (Williams, Jamali, & Nicholas, 2006). Similarly, Johanson (1998) further supported this function of technology as it enables teachers to create learning activities within an inclusive learning environment where the student with disabilities can learn and play with other students. Further, by integrating technology in special education, positive effects are noted in student motivation, attitudes, achievements, and peer interaction, providing differentiation, representing the content variably, offering
formative assessment, and endorsing life skills (Adam & Tatnall, 2017; Anderson & Putman, 2020; Schofield, 1995). Thus, the consensus among most educators is that some ICT technologies have the potential to enhance the educational, social, and cultural growth of students with disabilities (Girgin, Kurt, & Odabasi, 2011; Saba, 2009).

Despite the usefulness of merging technology into the education processes, a gap still exists in the understanding of its proper use in the educational environment (Banister & Ross, 2005; Oliver, Osa, & Walker, 2012). Making sure teachers understand the usefulness and challenges of technology integration is an essential stage in introducing technology at school, so essential that the lack of this knowledge is an obstacle to the extensive use of technology in the classroom (Anderson & Putman, 2020; Riasati, Alahayar, & Tan, 2012). For example, computers and cellphones offer profound new opportunities for focusing and learning, but they can also be distractions to students. Some educators believe that the use of technology in the classroom prevents students from focusing and excelling in their studies (Richtel, 2010). For example, valuable time can be lost “if students spend most of their time picking fonts and colors for multimedia reports instead of planning, writing, and revising their ideas” (National Research Council, 2000, p. 206).

Koc and Bakir (2010) recognized that the experience and ability of pre-service special education teachers to integrate computer technology into their teaching was a positive factor that enhanced their success in their teacher education programs. This prompted them to recommend more studies on pre-service teachers’ preparation for using technology in teaching. Years back, Handler, and Pigott (1995) made a similar suggestion, realizing the importance of introducing teacher programs that could prepare a new generation of teachers who can integrate technology in education, especially for students with special needs.

In Saudi Arabia, earlier research on integrating computer technology in special education was divided into three main study groups. The first group focused on the effectiveness of using technology with specific types of disabilities, such as students with intellectual disabilities or learning disabilities (Elsersy, Ibrahim, & Esayed-Sedeg, 2016; Al Sheha, 2005; Hawsawi, 2002). The second group investigated tools that have been used in the special education field (Alkahtani, 2013). The third group researched the barriers to integrating technology with specific groups of students with special needs (Al-johanin & Al-zarea, 2014; Hawsawi, 2007). However, few studies have been published regarding pre-service special education teachers’ preparation for and perception of using technology in their teaching practice.

This paper reports the results of a survey highlighting the importance of identifying ways to help pre-service special education teachers prepare for efficient and effective use of computer technologies in the classroom. The purpose of this study is to determine the pre-service special education teachers’ prior experience in using computers, their perception of the knowledge, and their preparedness toward integrating computer technology in teaching, barriers to integrating computer technology in education, and the level of confidence teachers gained from using technology applications in teaching.

2. Literature Review

The terms used to describe disabilities have changed over time: it started with the term handicapped, then disability, and, currently, special needs. All of these terms refer to problems in areas such as cognition, sensation, communication, physical abilities, and emotion, which result in significant learning difficulties. These problems have negative impacts on students’ success in a general education classroom, thus the need for special education. According to the General Authority for Statistics reported in 2017, “[t]he survey conducted results indicated that (2.9%) of Saudi population have disability with extreme difficulty” (2020, phar. 1), which explains the increase of students with special education needs (SENs) in schools in Saudi Arabia. These students are often compelled to drop out of school without the training needed for employment (Posada, Primo, Ferrari, & Martín-Arribas, 2007). Consequently, the parents of students with special needs often experience high levels of stress, as their children are often unable to participate in regular community activities because of the lack of support from the government and society (Hasselbring & Glaser, 2000; Weiss, 2002). As a result, there has been a rapid increase in efforts to provide special education to these students and to integrate ICT technology needed to help them gain the skills they need to be productive, wage-earning members of society.

2.1 ICT Technology with Software Innovation—A Made-to-Order Innovation for SENs

In education today, inclusive methods and approaches represent a crucial adjustment in teaching and learning. In special education, teachers face more diverse challenges in the classroom than teachers in general education. This means that they need different technologies in their classes to facilitate their teaching processes. Several technologies are available to assist students with special needs, which can increase, maintain, and develop their functional abilities (Edyburn, 2000). These technologies often include hardwares and software applications or
other tools such as internet websites. In Finland, the following technologies are used in special education: i) assistive technologies, ii) communication technologies, iii) learning software, and iv) concretizing technologies (e.g., educational robotics) (Kärnä-Lina, Pihlainen-Bednarika, Sutinenb, & Virnesb, 2007, p. 105). Special education students have several needs, and there is no specific software tool or program with the ability to address all their learning needs. For example, a wide range of software tools, such as Proloquo2Go and iComm, supports writing among the various learning abilities (The Masters in Special Education Degree Program Guide, 2020).

Some specialized software can allow students to perform different processes, such as word prediction, planning and organizing, specialized spell checks, speech synthesis, and speech recognition. Various non-technology programs and tools can also help students in mathematics. For example, students can use talking calculators, unique feature calculators, specialized hand-held calculators, large display screens for calculators, electronic math worksheets, videotaped math lessons, computer-assisted instruction, etc. Students with specific needs can use specialized software with built-in tools to enhance receptive and expressive language learning. For example, students with sensory challenges can use numerous technologies, such as electronic braille, electronic viewers, PECS symbols, Math Braille Talk, and videos. Tools like vibrating pencils, software for the hearing-impaired, apps for dyslexia, and specialized keyboards can assist various students with distinct learning disabilities (Jon, 2016).

2.2 Multi-Media Technology

Laptops working in conjunction with electronic whiteboards and/or projectors can improve the learning process of students with special needs. Using technology in learning can enable teachers to access every student’s learning style by utilizing a platform that can be used to accomplish the students’ work at their own pace. In addition, teachers can integrate learning with various activities, project-based learning, one-on-one coaching, and peer support, which makes learning more interactive and fun. Wise utilization of technology in the classroom can allow teachers to tailor learning to a student’s individual needs, which provides distinct benefits and helps to model the learning process to different cognitive and sensory weaknesses (Fearon, Starr, & McLaughlin, 2011; Fernández-López, Rodriguez-Förtiez, Rodriguez-Almendros, & Martínez-Segura, 2013; Bausch, & Hasselbring, 2004). Bausch and Hasselbring (2004) reported that the use of technology in the classroom would increase students’ interest and help them enjoy learning. Besides, using the latest software programs and multimedia devices designed for special-needs students increases the success of their inclusion in regular classrooms.

Other multimedia educational tools have been created with the support of ICT to help children with SENs to receive proper education (Cantón, González, Mariscal, & Ruiz, 2007). For example, SENs teachers may encounter problems using a computer or iPad. These tools have a rapid entry into schools with high expectations. Multimedia devices such as laptops, interactive whiteboards, projectors, microphones, and recording devices are expected to improve student learning, raise the productivity of teachers, and make children work within an information-based society. Cantón et al. (2007) reported that SENs teaching could improve with better curriculum adaptation to student needs and better use of accessible multimedia tools. Thus, they developed a framework based on their educational resource (Proyecto Aprende), which provides internet help for students with special needs. Their framework supports teachers and multimedia educational tool developers working with SENs students and, most importantly, the students themselves (Canton et al., 2007, p. 6).

2.3 The Picca Platform—A Platform for Customized Learning Activities

**Picca** can be used in classrooms to help students with SENs develop and improve their learning skills, such as language, math, autonomy, and social interaction (Fernández-López et al., 2013). This platform is a mobile platform based on an iPad and iPod touch device. It was designed to cover the main phases of learning operations, such as preparation, use, and evaluation. It is considered an excellent tool that supports SENs adaptation. The use of ICT has played a central role in shaping knowledge and skills for both learners and teachers (Drigas & Ioannidou, 2013). Various studies on the ICT effect can be found in the presentations of Mohammed and Almekhlafi (2017) and Morrison (2007), who introduced different technologies that help students with SENs learning.

Technology has invaded every aspect of our lives. We use it every day at home, school, and in public life. Several new technologies incorporate the global positioning system (GPS), which can be incorporated into many activities like field testing, surveying, traveling to unknown locations, and getting to meetings on time. ICT’s role in the teaching and learning process is constantly increasing, pressuring teachers to prove that they are capable of using technology to boost their potential (Zhao, 2003). Many technologies are considered an essential part of teachers’ professional qualifications (CEO Forum on Education and Technology, 1999). However,
difficulties may prevent the efficient implementation of technology into the classroom for learning and teaching purposes. These difficulties include access, time, resources, training, attitudinal effects, beliefs, practices, institutional and administrative support, experience, and resistance to technology integration (Earle, 2002; Gulbahar & Guven, 2008). Overcoming these difficulties will take time, effort, and powerful political intervention, which includes the removal of some negative philosophical and pedagogical assumptions in the educational system. Vrasidas and McIsaac (2010) emphasized that teachers are the cornerstone for any change in the educational system. Therefore, reforming teacher education programs by ensuring they have the training and qualifications needed to use various technologies is the best place to start. Negative knowledge and beliefs about technology among teachers can be changed when technology is perfectly integrated into their classroom (Criswell, 1989; Handler, 1992).

2.4 The Role of Technology in SENs Education

The role of technology in SENs education is even more important than its role in general education because it facilitates the complexity of the material and addresses the individual needs of the learners. Similarly, integrating technologies into the classroom can create learning activities and establish an inclusive learning environment dedicated to children with disabilities to learn and play with each other (Bess, Dodd-Murphy, & Parker, 1998). This type of integration can provide SENs students with various influences that can improve motivation and generate positive attitudes, achievements, social and cultural experiences, as well as peer interactions in classrooms (Guzman & Schofield, 1995). However, it has been established that many graduates of teacher education programs do not feel adequately skilled in integrating the technology needed to support students with special needs (Gronseth et al., 2010; Dinçer, 2018). Consequently, pre-service teachers must be involved in a training program with a supportive environment that enables them to be prepared for their first year of teaching and for the different challenges that lie ahead. According to Dinçer (2018), “Knowledge, skills, and attitudes of future teachers should be paired with each other and handled together to ensure this integration and, thus, teacher training should give full play to technology literacy and technology teaching” (p. 2703). If the pre-service teachers are not well-prepared, they will face some barriers in using technology in their classrooms, as reported by Hasselbring and Glaser (2000). They affirmed that the lack of appropriate technology training in pre-service and in-service teacher education programs is the most cited barrier to using technology in education, which negatively affects the achievement of goals in educational programs for students with disabilities. Handler and Pigott (1995) stated that pre-service teachers reported they were prepared to integrate computer technology in class, yet they did not have the opportunity to extend their experience through the teacher preparation program set apart for ICT technology training. Also, Mohamed’s (2018) study posits that teachers have a positive attitude toward engaging technology in teaching, but they need more training in using computer technology in class and a more systematic plan to integrate this use effectively.

The Koc and Bakir (2010) study agrees that pre-service teachers are prepared to integrate computer technology in class but need more training to master this knowledge. This result shows expressed feelings of discomfort, distress, and concern about using technology (Stone, 1998). Once teachers master the use of technology by proper training, they will be able to:

create relationships between active learning and active teaching, develop an appreciation and an understanding of the potential of technology, learn to be authors of multimedia software, develop leadership skills, become role models for successful integration, understand the power of technology integration, design integrated curriculum activities, learn the benefits of technology in the classroom, develop ownership of the technology through authentic experiences, learn to motivate students with technology, achieve success by becoming informed and reflective decision-makers, and become advocates for technology integration (Shelley as mentioned in Wright & Macrow, 2006, p. 2).

Sabzian and Gilakjani (2013) recommended that the training of teachers should not only include how they can use computer technology but also how they can make use of computer technology to improve the quality and effectiveness of their teaching. They also recommended that this training include how technology can be effectively integrated into the curriculum.

3. Methodology

3.1 Ethical Considerations

Participation in this study was voluntary. The participants were provided with a consent letter that explained the aim of this study. It clearly stated that their responses would be reported anonymously, which meant that their identity would, in no way, be attached to the answers provided.
3.2 Design and Participants

In this study, a quantitative-oriented “needs assessment” method was used to define the background information and needs of pre-service special education teachers in educational technology use and probable gaps in teachers’ preparation programs linked to integrating technology in teaching. Because Saudi Arabia segregates educational institutions by gender, a sample of female pre-service special education graduate students was selected to participate in a pencil-and-paper survey regarding their perceptions toward their preparation to integrate computer technology in teaching and their level of comfort in using technology applications. The survey was given at a public university to the six female faculty members who were teaching a total of 83 students in four paths of a special education department (learning disabilities, intellectual disability, hearing loss from deaf to hard of hearing, and autism). After three weeks, data collection ceased and 58 surveys had been completed. The demographic summary of the participants is described in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic description of the sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 21 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>89.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning disabilities</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual disabilities</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaf disabilities</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–4 years</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–8 years</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 8 years</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received training</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not receive training</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Instrument

The survey was adopted from an instrument created by previous researchers (Koc & Bakir, 2010) after obtaining their permission and was translated into Arabic by the authors. Afterwards, it was translated back to English by export in translation. Parallel forms reliability was used in the development of the survey. This measurement was obtained using two different versions of the survey. These versions covered the same concepts, knowledge, skills, etc. and were administered to the same population (Phelan & Wren, 2006). The validity of the instrument was then improved by consulting ten experts in three fields (English language, educational technology, and special education) who provided their evaluations.

A pilot study to measure the reliability was conducted with 15 pre-service teachers at one institution. The reliability coefficient (Cronbach’s alpha) from the results was 0.890, which suggested that the survey instrument was stable enough to determine participants’ preparation to integrate computer technology in teaching and their level of comfort in using technology applications.

The final instrument consisted of four sections: (a) demographic information (age, course path in special education, prior experience with information technology that included years of experience in using computers, and whether the survey participant had received training in using computer technology); (b) 11 statements were obtained regarding perceptions about participants’ knowledge and preparation in various aspects of using technology for course planning, teaching, assessment, and communication, which required responses using a five-point Likert scale, ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree, (c) open-ended question about the barriers of integrating computer technology in teaching from the pre-service teachers’ perspective, and (d) statements regarding 10 computer technological applications and their level of relevance according to the participant’s path (using a three-point Likert scale of low, medium, and high).

3.4 Data Collection

The data were collected through pencil-paper surveys. To make sure that all special education pre-service teachers received the survey, the researchers gave each faculty member the exact number of surveys that matched the number of students they had in class. The participants were asked to volunteer to complete the survey on needs assessment, and a consent form was included. After three weeks, the faculty members returned
the exact number of surveys that had been handed to them. Incomplete surveys were excluded. A total of 58 pre-service special education teachers participated out of 83, which formed 69.88% of the response rate.

3.5 Data Analysis

The statistical software SPSS processed the data for quantitative analysis. Descriptive statistics such as frequency and percentage as well as mean and standard deviation were calculated to understand pre-service special education teachers’ perceptions of their preparation for integrating computer technology in education. These measurements were also used to determine the level of relevance of technology applications according to each participant’s path in their special education program. The open-ended questions were coded to identify key patterns and themes that emerged from the responses.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Experience in Using Computers

One purpose of this study was to discover pre-service special education teachers’ prior experience in using computers. Frequency and percentage were used to analyze the data. As shown in Table 2, most participants (67.2%, n = 39) had used computers for more than eight years. Also, most participants (72.4%, n = 42) had not received training courses in the usage of computers. This response shows that the participants obtained their computer skills in a manner that aligned with Koc and Bakir’s (2010) study.

Table 2. Frequency and percentage of participants’ prior experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experience in using computer technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 4 years</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 5–8 years</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 8 years</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received training courses in the use of computer technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Knowledge and Preparation of Computer Technology Integration in Teaching

Another purpose of the research was to discover the pre-service special education teachers’ perception of the knowledge and preparation needed to integrate computer technology in teaching. The mean and standard deviation responses were used to analyze the data. The results show that most of the participants were generally prepared to integrate computer technology in teaching (77%, n = 45). Table 3 shows the mean and standard deviation responses of participants to each statement. This result aligned with prior studies (Koc & Bakir, 2010; Handler & Pigott, 1995) that found that teachers and pre-service teachers stated that they were well prepared to integrate computer technology in teaching.
Table 3. Participants’ perceptions of integrating computer technology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am comfortable with planning lessons and curriculums that involve student use of technology during instruction.</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am prepared to use technology to regularly communicate and collaborate with peers in the field of education.</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I need training to learn how to integrate computer technologies into my instruction in order to enhance student learning.</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The college/university prepared me so that I consider the computer as an integral component for all aspects of teaching and learning.</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can use computers as drill-practice and tutorial tools in my instruction.</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I find technology frustrating to use when I do not receive adequate support.</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can use computers to engage students in critical and higher-order thinking.</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am well prepared for using technology as a teaching tool.</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In my education courses, I received enough information about the effective use of technology as a learning tool for students.</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have strategies for using computer technology to individualize instruction and meet the needs of diverse learners.</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As appropriate to my field, I am prepared to consider the social, ethical, and legal implications of using computer technology in my lessons.</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have strategies for using computer technology to manage student assessment.</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When planning how to use computer technologies in teaching, I based my selections on current research regarding the effectiveness of those technologies.</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am familiar with national and international technology standards in teaching my students.</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 3, pre-service special education teachers agreed with most of the statements within acceptable mean and standard deviation results (M = 4.22, SD = 0.68 and M = 3.50, SD = 0.96). On the other hand, their response to the statement “I am familiar with national and international technology standards in teaching” was neutral (M= 3.19, SD= 1.03), which means that the participants either did not understand the statement or did not have any knowledge about national and international technology standards in teaching. The result of the preparation aligns with the findings of Koc and Bakir (2010) and Hasselbring and Glaser (2000), but not with Alkahtani (2013), who conducted a survey where most of the participants reported they were poorly prepared (75.6%, n=96). The Alkahtani (2013) survey was on assistive technology only, and the participants were female and male teachers from different levels of education, while the recent research focus was on pre-service special education teachers in one college of education and their perception about using computer technology.

4.2.1 More Practice Through Training

Although the results revealed that pre-service special education teachers were prepared to integrate computer technology into education, Alkahtani (2013) also reported teachers response to “I need training to learn how to implement computer technologies into my instruction in order to enhance student learning” a mean of 4.09 with a standard deviation of 0.75, which means teachers strongly agree to this statement. This result aligns with the Koc and Bakir (2010) study and the Hasselbring and Glaser (2000) study.

Responding to the open-ended question about their perceptions of their preparation to integrate technology in teaching, a pre-service special education teacher said: “The number of courses from Educational Technology Department, which is required in our special education program, is not enough for us to be ready to apply computer technologies in teaching.” Likewise, a special education pre-service teachers declared, “I know the importance of using technology in teaching, especially with special needs children; thus, I need more training to master some programs and software.” On the other hand, a pre-service special education teacher mentioned the different skills she and her peers gained by writing: “My friend learned how to use PowerPoint in teaching with a different instructor, while I learned how to integrate the scientific journey with another instructor in the same semester.” The differences in student responses about the kind of computer technology they learned to integrate into teaching were explained by one of the researchers, an instructor in a university’s educational technology department. She saw the various outcomes of the program due to the number of instructors who taught the same course on integrating technology into the learning environment. This course is a requirement in the study plan of all the departments in the college of education. Instructors had the option of choosing any computer technology program or software to teach special education pre-service teachers how to integrate them into teaching. Multiple
choices would naturally yield multiple responses from students who took those courses.

4.3 Barriers to Integrating Computer Technology in Teaching

The third purpose of this study is to identify the barriers to integrating computer technology in teaching according to the pre-service special education teachers’ perspective. The coding, grouping, organizing, and themes were used to analyze the data. The results indicated several barriers to using computer technology in teaching students with disabilities. These barriers have been divided into the following categories: lack of equipment, lack of knowledge and training, maintenance, and technical problems. These findings align with Hasselbring and Glaser’s (2000) report on the high cost of equipment, which significantly obstructs the use of technology in the classroom. Additionally, the findings were supported by Koc and Bakir’s (2010) results where 38.5% of the participants reported “lack of knowledge,” 31% reported “lack of equipment,” 8% reported “maintenance problems,” and 8% reported “internet connection” as barriers to using technology in teaching. Riasati et al. (2012, pp. 27-28) provided the following suggestions on how to address these barriers:

Teacher training centers should work towards providing teachers with sufficient computers, Internet access, and technical support. Additionally, as time is a legitimate concern of teachers to plan technology-based lessons, to surf the different websites, and to cover sufficient content in the allotted time frames, schools should provide teachers with sufficient time to spend on incorporating technology into their teaching practice. For example, giving opportunities to teachers during regular professional development courses to explore different aspects of technology can help them increase their confidence in using technology and thereby change their negative attitude, “lack of time” and “lack of effective training.

The first barrier that pre-service special education teachers reported regarding integrating computer technology in special education classrooms was the lack of equipment and software programs. Most of the participants stated this obstacle in the open-ended questions. The participants were engaged in pre-service instruction in different urban schools. One pre-service special education teacher wrote, “I used PowerPoint software to design one of my lessons so autistic students can go through the material by themselves, but the class didn’t have enough devices for each student.” Another survey participant mentioned that “lack of devices make it hard on us, plus some devices do not work.” A pre-service special education teacher stated, “Allowing two or more students to share a device doesn’t help them learn due to their special needs. Although there is enough devices in the class but some of them doesn’t work.” Moreover, a pre-service special education teacher wrote, “lack of devices and out-of-date ones waste my effort and time in design and production, and finally results in not using the program or material”. Researchers blame this obstacle on the low budget allocated by the Ministry of Education for special education, which caused a shortage of computer devices and software programs required to meet the needs of students with disabilities. The lack of maintenance services also led to the abandonment of all the computers due to the variance between the number of students and the number of working devices during hands-on class activities.

The second barrier that pre-service special education teachers reported was a lack of knowledge and training on using some computer technologies. One participant wrote, “What I learned is enough and I need more practice (hands-on) to master some of the computer technologies.” One pre-service teacher explained the need for training as follows: “As I’m a beginner in using computer technology, I wish there was a training course that goes step-by-step from designing to implementing the technology in teaching.” Another participant added that “more courses in integrating education technology will help me feel more confident to use it with special needs students of any age,” and a pre-service special education teacher stated that “I need to learn about software and programs that can suit autism students.” These statements suggest how much pre-service special education teachers need knowledge and training courses or programs that could help them build their confidence in using computer technology in teaching students with special needs. This reflects on the findings of the this study that participants reported knowledge and experience in using computer technology but not in using technology in educational settings.

For the pre-service special education teachers who participated in this study, addressing technical (maintenance) problems was one of the barriers to integrating computer technology in teaching. These problems include slow internet connection, unreliable internet provider, and unresponsive IT support. A participant wrote that “the school where I am receiving my training doesn’t have an internet connection,” while another pre-service teacher stated that, “I can’t use YouTube due to the slow internet speed.” These barriers can lead to frustration and abandonment of the technology because of technical difficulties and highlight the need for proper coordination of information technology (IT) support for classroom use. It also underlines the importance of collaboration.
among all personnel involved in integrating technology in education. For example, the integration of technology will be more successful when supported by school administrators, IT personnel, and the governing administration, as well as the classroom teacher.

4.4 Pre-Service Teachers’ Level of Confidence Gained from Using Technology Applications in Teaching

4.4.1 Pre-Service Teachers’ Level of Comfort with ICT Devices

Another barrier raised by pre-service special education teachers in integrating computer technology in the classroom was the fear of students misusing the electronic devices (e.g., computers, iPads, mobile phones). One participant mentioned that, “Students with special needs must be observed while using a device because they may break it,” while another wrote, “Autistic students may throw the device if they feel scared.” These statements reflect a lack of knowledge among the survey participants about best practices in introducing computer technology to students with special needs. They also suggest that the technology courses the teachers studied were focused more on theory rather than practical applications. The participants themselves commented that they needed more practice in using technology in their classrooms. They also stressed the importance of introducing computer technology to children with special needs before the age of three so that they may obtain the necessary skills in using computer technology in alignment with school goals. These necessary skills include operating a computer and navigating the internet (Tinio, 2002; Wartella, Schomburg, Lauricella, Robb & Flynn 2010).

4.4.2 Pre-Service Teachers’ Level of Comfort Using Technology Applications

The ultimate purpose of this study was to determine pre-service special education teachers’ level of comfort in using technology applications. The frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviations of each application in this section were used. Table 4 displays the mean scores of participants’ ratings of the applications in descending order. Ten applications were rated on a three-point scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computer’s Applications</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Mid</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Presentation software (e.g., PowerPoint)</td>
<td>N 0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Search engines</td>
<td>N 3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 5.17</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>82.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Internet communication applications (e.g., email, forums, chat)</td>
<td>N 3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 5.17</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>81.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Word processors</td>
<td>N 2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 3.44</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>75.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Hypermedia/Hypertext applications</td>
<td>N 9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 15.51</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>72.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Drill-practice programs, tutorials</td>
<td>N 3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 5.17</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Concept mapping applications (e.g. Inspiration)</td>
<td>N 12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 20.7</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td>41.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Video editing software (e.g., Adobe Premiere, iMovie)</td>
<td>N 14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 24.13</td>
<td>48.27</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Webpage designing applications (e.g., HTML, FrontPage, Composer)</td>
<td>N 27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 46.55</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) Databases (e.g., Access)</td>
<td>N 35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 60.34</td>
<td>25.86</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results did not align with the study of Koc and Bakir (2010), where participants reported their high level of comfort with word processors (M=2.92, SD=0.27), while video editing software (M=1.23, SD=0.52) was the most difficult to work with. According to the same study, teachers are most comfortable with presentation programs (PowerPoint) and search engines (e.g., Google), while instructional programs, such as drill and practice tutorials and video editing software, were the least comfortable programs to use.
5. Recommendations and Conclusions

This research contributes to the discussion about preparing pre-service special education teachers to use technology effectively in their practice and the effectiveness of preparation programs designed to train them adequately. The findings of this research reveal that pre-service special education teachers have skills and knowledge in computer applications but lack the knowledge to integrate these technologies into their teaching practices. Also, respondents were eager to acquire more knowledge and training in integrating new computer software and devices to help them gain enough confidence in using them in teaching. Based on the results of the survey, the researchers recommend the following:

1) Intensify or enhance the technology courses in programs that prepare special education teachers and focus on the practical aspects of integrating technology in teaching.

2) Provide the necessary support to increase the efficiency of the use of computers in the education of students with disabilities, such as periodic maintenance of the devices in schools, consistent internet connection, and the availability of technical support.

3) Provide an adequate number of computers in special education classes.

4) Provide the latest computer hardware and software for students with disabilities.

5) Provide training courses for teachers who are not trained in the use of computers to improve their efficiency and to promote their use in the educational process.

6) Enhance cooperation between specialists in the field of special education and the field of technology to create educational programs suited to the needs of students with disabilities.

7) Provide information about the needs of special education teachers that can be used to build partnerships with schools and universities around the world who have special qualitative professional development programs that the Ministry of Education can adopt to support the development of our teachers.
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