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Abstract

This study provides empirical support that extracurricular participation correlates positively and significantly to future education, both directly and indirectly. However, which type of extracurriculars are best for students in their pursuit of higher education, sport or non-sport activities? Rooted in Social Capital Theory, the present study focuses on which type of participation provides the best capacity to acquire social capital that correlates to gains in higher education. Structural equation modeling was used with a representative, longitudinal sample of 5,239 ninth through twelfth graders. Total effects reveal that mixed participation (at least one sport and one non-sport activity) correlate with the highest future levels of education. This study greatly aids educational administrators' decisions including extracurricular offerings, funding, and experiential context to improve life chances of students.
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The mission of the American public education system may be debatable. Initially, public education was formed to enhance and sustain democracy through education of citizens to be informed voters (Meyer, Ramirez, & Soysal, 1992). However, American public education recently has been viewed as an institution that prepares students for higher learning. Level of education elicits tremendous life impact with achievements in education determining earning potential, positive health outcomes, and social inclusion (Lin, 1999; Thorpe et al., 2013). However, as college enrollment numbers continue to climb, college dropout rates continue to climb as well (Mahatmya and Smith, 2017).

Logically, students' previous school experiences increase the understanding of future education level. Public schools are an incredibly pervasive social institution within American society. Parents, teachers, coaches, sponsors, and school administrators are part of the matrix of decision-making in the public school structure. At the heart of this decision-making is the desire to improve the life chances of students. This study evaluates the experience of high school extracurricular activities in this regard.

School budgets have recently been under strain leading to the introduction of pay to participate programs (Barron et al., 2000; Bowen and Hitt, 2016; Snellman et al., 2015). The potential lack of access to activities through such programs is an urgent social concern since participation has implications for adult success (Pruter, 2013; Snellman et. al, 2015). The current study adds to the quality of knowledge.
about extracurricular activities influence on students’ futures helping guide various decisions, programs, policies, and budget allocation. Access to extracurricular activities may explain the growing achievement gap and therefore could be critical to the civic future of society (Bowen and Hitt, 2016; Snellman et. al., 2015). The research questions addressed: Which type of extracurricular activities correlate with students’ future education, sport or non-sport? Is social capital an explanatory mechanism between high school participation and future education?

Theoretical Framework

Social Capital theory forms the theoretical framework for this study. Social capital is defined as a resource assembled through relationships within social structures (Coleman, 1988). Youth social capital specifically includes social networks, trust and reciprocity, and sense of belonging (Schaefer-McDaniel, 2004). The full model used for this study places social capital as the mechanism explaining student’s extracurricular participation’s impact on future education level. Adolescents spend much of their time in the school environment, and therefore school-related factors are a significant part of youth social capital (Nielsen et al., 2015; Strohschein and Matthew, 2015; Weiss, 2012;). Social capital in this light can be defined as investments between students and schools (Dufur et al., 2013). Those investments can translate into educational outcomes. Therefore, school environments can either empower or alienate youth (Staton-Salazar, 2011). As Morrow (2004) suggests, the school is, in essence, a youth’s neighborhood.

Relationships at school become increasingly important as children grow into adolescence (Dufur et al., 2013; Parcel et al., 2010; Strohschein and Matthew, 2015; Weiss, 2012). School officials, as institutional agents, become a non-familial adult offering exposure to additional social capital (Staton-Salazar, 2011). Schools, through socialization, also create an accumulative social capital (Arriaza, 2003). For example, schools become one of the dominant conduits of teaching norms and beliefs (Paccagnella and Sestito, 2014; Stanton-Salazar, 2011). School related factors that contribute to accumulative social capital formation include the general academic atmosphere, safety, and tolerance (Weiss, 2012). Alternatively, a lack of these factors may create schools that deny social capital and perpetuate inequalities (Arriaza, 2003). Social capital is not always beneficial as social capital could breed exclusion (Strohschein and Matthew, 2015).

Social capital in schools opens vertical relationships as well (Staton-Salazar, 2011). Vertical relationships are those relationships between individuals of multiple power levels, many times termed linking social capital (Allan and Catts, 2014). Linking capital can be especially powerful in its ability to affect outcomes such as educational attainment (Allan and Catts, 2014; Staton-Salazar, 2011; Woolcock, 1998). Teaching students how to navigate education-based institutional forces is one way that linking social capital leads to positive outcomes (Arriaza, 2003). Adults in schools hold experiential knowledge of educational attainment which extends to specific and practical information (Broh, 2002; Dufur et al., 2013; Stanton-Salazar, 2011). Also, institutional agents in schools transmit resources explicitly connected to educational attainment, such as recommended high school courses or the college application process (Staton-Salazar, 2011). Therefore, school officials may be capable of “counter stratification”, connecting those without traditional ties to higher education to meaningful resources through purposeful social capital interaction (Staton-Salazar, 2011). School sponsored extracurricular activities logically allow more access and opportunity for such relationships (Allan and Catts, 2014; Dufur et al., 2013; Haff et al., 2010; Stanton-Salazar, 2011). Therefore, activities beyond the home and regular school day are principally important for the study of youth social capital (Rothon, Goodwin, & Stansfield, 2012). More sociological theory needs incorporation into the research of outcomes of
extracurricular activities since sociological theory provides insight into the differing outcomes of participation (Broh, 2002).

**Literature Review**

Extracurricular participation is not uniform; not all students participate in sports. Previous literature has typically addressed participation as a whole or evaluated only the category of sports. Today, sports are the most popular school-sponsored activity in the United States (Eide and Ronan, 2001) with over half of high school students involved in a school-sponsored sport (Koebler, 2011). The popularity and general structure of sport involves large numbers of people (Widdop et al., 2016) which logically provides a greater chance of expanding social networks.

Studies that address sports only include Barron et al. (2000) who explored sports’ influence on future educational attainment finding a positive correlation. Mechanisms of productivity and industriousness explained sports’ unique ability to enhance skills necessary for educational success (Barron et al., 2000). Shifrer et al. (2015) also focused on sports finding a positive correlation to college enrollment; yet, the study did not assess attainment. Gorry (2016) compared individual and team sports’ effect on high school grades and high school completion with positive results with team sports showing a stronger correlation. The positive effects from these previous studies do not include a comparison to other types of activities. And “it is imperative to test participation in different types of activities simultaneously to isolate the effects of participation in specific activities.” (Broh, 2002, p.84).

Of those limited studies that compare sport and non-sport activities, sport participation had a stronger effect than non-sport on risk of dropping out of school (McNeal, 1995) and trust levels (Brown et al., 2014). More specifically, McNeal (1995) compared sports, fine arts, academic, and vocational clubs resulting in sports as the only type of participation that significantly reduced the risk of dropping out. Brown et al. (2014) found that community sports were a stronger predictor of trust levels as compared to other community organizations. However, this study was operationalized at the community level rather than the school level. Two studies found positive effects for both sport and music categories. Broh (2002) used a multivariate approach resulting in only sport and music correlating to higher grades and standardized test scores. Similarly, Cabane et al. (2016) found only sport and music positively influenced grades and attendance at the university level. In contrast, Hanks and Eckland (1976) evaluated sport versus non-sport and found sports had little relevance to academic attainment while non-sport participation was a positive association.

Therefore, limited studies evaluate high school extracurricular participation’s link with future educational attainment, with most addressing other academic outcomes or more short-term attainment. A further gap in previous research is the lack of testing the differing outcomes of sport versus non-sport extracurriculars. The sparse and varied approaches possibly explain the contradictory results. The current study adds to the limited research by separating types of extracurriculars while using the outcome variable of educational attainment. Previous studies identified above demonstrate methodological strength in representative, longitudinal samples, and duly controls considered; yet, two main components are missing from the conversation: 1) The most recent applicable nationally representative longitudinal data is used in this study gauging possible changes over the last decade. As a brief example, Hanks and Eckland (1976) provide similar variables in attainment and sport versus non-sport evaluation; however, data used was prior to the variety of extracurricular offerings and prior to the entrance of girls into interscholastic sports. 2) A mixed category (participation in at least one sport and one non-sport) is included to further isolate effects of participation type. No previous literature has
been identified that includes this categorization. Tight school schedules lend to students specializing in one type of activity making a mixed category especially informative.

**Method**

**Sample**

Data were drawn from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health), a longitudinal, school-based, nationally representative study of American adolescents in grades 7 through 12 (Harris et al. 2009). Add Health gathered data through 5 waves from 1996-2016. The data was collected from 80 high schools and 52 middle schools and was stratified by region, urbanity, school type, ethnic mix, and size (Harris et al. 2009). Stratified by grade, sex, and race, Add Health samples 200 randomly selected students per school (Harris et al. 2009). Add Health gathered data from students, parents, and school administrators through five waves from 1994-2016. At Wave I, Add Health questioned a sample of 20,745 students, grades 7th-12th (Harris et al. 2009). The sample was restricted to 9th-12th grade students in Wave I, reducing the sample to 14,752. Focusing on high school activities that require more time and motivation strengthens the analysis (Cabane et al., 2016). A sample of 10,562 adolescents remained after excluding those cases missing a sampling weight. Lastly, list-wise deletion eliminated cases with missing study variables, leaving a final sample of 5,239.

**Measures**

Educational attainment was measured in Wave IV with students aged 25-34. Responses were recoded as: 1=eighth grade or less, 2=more than eighth, less than high school, 3=high school degree, 4=trade or vocational school, 5=some college, 6=college bachelor’s degree, 7=post-bachelor’s education. All other variables were measured in Wave I. Comparison groups of extracurricular participation were recoded to identify four categories: sport, non-sport, mixed (both sport and non-sport), and none. Sport was coded as the reference group. The social capital construct operationalized through the adolescents themselves with more than one indicator, contributing a strong social capital construct to the literature (Dufur et al., 2013; Morrow, 1999; Morrow, 2001; Schaefer-McDaniel, 2004; Rothon, Goodwin, & Stansfield, 2012; Strohschein and Matthew, 2015). Social capital was operationalized through both family and school. Family social capital used three student rated scale items from 1 (not at all) to 5 (very much) to the extent their family pays attention, understands, and has fun (Haff et al., 2010; Dufur et al., 2015; and Weiss, 2012). Cronbach’s alpha score calculated .778. School social capital used five rated scale items from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree) to the extent the student felt close to people at school, felt a part of the school, felt happy to be at school, felt students were treated fairly, and felt safe in school. Higher values indicated more school social capital by reverse coding. Cronbach’s alpha score calculated a strong .783. The full model included social capital working through parent expectations and grade point average. Parent expectations contained one proxy item of disappointment (Mahatmya and Smith, 2017). Students rated from 1 (low) to 5 (high) the disappointment level of their parent(s) if they failed to graduate from college. Two parent household responses were summed and averaged to adjust for students with single parents or missing responses (Adedokun and Balschweid, 2008). The average of self-reported grades in english, history, science and mathematics calculated grade point average (Adedokun and Balschweid, 2008). Each student indicated his or her letter grade in these four subjects. Grades were reverse coded reflecting a typical grading scale (A=4, B=3, C=2, and D or below=1). Cronbach’s alpha score calculated a strong .712.

Demographic control variables incorporated in the analysis included gender (0=female; 1=male), race (0=white; 1=black, non-white), and parent education level (resident parent(s) highest education level attained ranging from 1=eighth grade or less to 7=post bachelor’s education).
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Analysis Strategy

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was used to examine all paths, direct and indirect. SEM analyzes a matrix of associations between both latent and observed variables simultaneously (Hoyle & Panter, 1995; Thompson, 2000). SEM estimates multiple, interdependent relationships; represents unobserved concepts with corrected measurement errors; and explains the entire set of relationships in one model (Hair & Black, 2012). Therefore, SEM is distinct from factor analysis and linear regression (Hair & Black, 2012). Important to the analysis of the current study, social capital can be evaluated completely through the variables of expectations and grade point average. Analysis was conducted using R software. SPSS constructed variables prior to model analysis. R completed all structural stages of analysis including stratified and clustered data using Lavaan, Survey, and Survey Design libraries. Lavaan estimated the SEM model while the survey and survey design libraries incorporated the cluster, weight, and strata variables.

Results

Table 1 depicts descriptive statistics for sample demographics. Almost 80 percent of students participated in extracurricular activities, with the majority participating in a mixture of sport and non-sport. The sample also showed relatively high educational attainment with 38 percent earning a bachelor’s degree or higher. Female students are slightly more represented and the majority of the respondents identified as white.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-sport</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Less than HS</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HS Grad or GED</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Some College/Vocational</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bachelor Degree</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beyond Bachelor</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Education Level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Less than HS</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HS Grad or GED</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Some College/Vocational</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bachelor Degree</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beyond Bachelor</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for variables in the analysis.

Table 2 presents unstandardized parameter estimates for the full structural model including direct effects (B), indirect effects (Bie), total effects (BT), and coefficients of determination ($R^2$s). Unstandardized estimates interpret the multi-category variable of participation. Model fit statistics indicated a good model fit. The Satorra-Bentler’s corrected robust statistics remedy the violation of
distributional assumptions. The chi-square value was excluded as recommended for MLR estimation and sample size over one thousand (Kline, 2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct Effects (B)</th>
<th>Social Capital</th>
<th>Parent Expectations</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>Educational Attainment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>0.17*</td>
<td>0.20**</td>
<td>0.31**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Sport</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.31**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>-0.64**</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Capital</td>
<td>0.14**</td>
<td>0.15**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indirect Effects (B_{16})</th>
<th>Social Capital</th>
<th>Parent Expectations</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>Educational Attainment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>0.02+</td>
<td>0.04**</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Sport</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.18**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>-0.09**</td>
<td>-0.11**</td>
<td>-0.11**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Effects (B_{17})</th>
<th>Social Capital</th>
<th>Parent Expectations</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>Educational Attainment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
<td>0.35**</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Sport</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.31**</td>
<td>0.30**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>-0.17*</td>
<td>-0.12*</td>
<td>-0.50**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| R²                       | 0.08           | 0.03                | 0.13 | 0.27 |

*Table 2: Structural Equation Model Unstandardized Parameter Estimates (Standard Error); Direct, Indirect, Total Effects and R²s (N= 5,239 Listwise)*

Model Fit Indices: \( x^2 \) (100) = 260.044, p < 0.001; CFI = 0.941; SRMR = 0.041; RMSEA = 0.019; RMSEA 90% confidence level = .017 to .020

*Note. +0.10 ≤ p < 0.05; *0.05 ≤ p < 0.01; **p ≤ 0.01 (Two - Tailed Tests)*

**Analysis**

Somewhat contrary to expectations, social capital did not have a significant, direct correlation with educational attainment, yet does have a significant, positive indirect and total correlation working through parent expectations and grade point average. Results clearly show participation is important to educational outcomes as those students that did not participate were significantly less likely to garner social capital and higher levels of education, both directly and indirectly through social capital.

Upon examination of total effects, differing types of participation yield interesting distinctions. Sport participation did show to have a more positive correlation on social capital than non-sport participation, although the result was not significant. Students involved in non-sport activities and mixed participation significantly correlate with higher levels of education than sport participants. The most important finding was in the mixed participation category.
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Mixed participation demonstrated significantly higher levels of correlation to all measures including social capital and levels of education, directly and indirectly.

Results show methodological strength in representative sample provided by the complex design of Add Health as well as assessing a matrix of associations through structural equation modeling. Most importantly, Add Health data stratifies at the school level and individual student level. Therefore, data drawn incorporates varying levels of school wealth and allows for the important included controls of parent education level, race, and gender. Structural equation modeling permits analysis of associations within all variables (i.e. social capital, grade point average, and parent expectations) beyond extracurricular participation and education level attained.

Discussion

Rooted in social capital theory, extracurricular participation’s correlation to higher education attainment was estimated. Consistent with previous research, extracurricular activities increased the opportunity to build social capital (Broh, 2002; Dufur et al., 2013; Haff et al., 2010; Stanton-Salazar, 2011). Interestingly, social capital significantly correlated with education level indirectly, rather than directly, through parent expectations and grade point average. Social capital only translates to educational attainment if resources garnered are capable, specific, and purposeful (Stanton-Salazar, 2011). Perhaps, social capital’s path through parent expectations and grade point average renders resources specific and purposeful. Knowledge of this capital mobilization empowers institutional agents, such as schools, to best support students. Alternatively, social capital measured holistically may limit the study. Social capital measured more specifically in the contexts of extracurricular activity and higher education is a possible direction of future study. Social capital as the outcome variable, with no regard to level of education could also reveal more in-depth insight for school administrators.

In contrast to most previous studies that offered comparison of sport and non-sport activities (Broh, 2002; Brown et. al, 2014; Cabane et. al, 2016; McNeal, 1995), the present study found non-sport more strongly correlated with educational attainment. Total effects revealed that non-sport and mixture categories both significantly correlated to higher levels of education. Although, sports were slightly stronger in relationship to social capital. Perhaps students involved in both types of activities benefit from the various advantages of sport’s influence on social capital while also reaping the found advantages of non-sport participation, namely grade point average.

The Add Health data constitutes limitations. For example, school extracurriculars and other extracurriculars combine in one survey item. One may speculate that the design of the survey naturally steers towards school sports and activities, but it is not a certainty. This distinction would enhance the study as previous research found school sports positively impacting academics while club sports showed negative effects (Broh, 2002). Secondly, level of participation such as varsity, junior varsity, or leadership status was not included in the survey. Level of participation could add compelling richness. Add Health also consists of self-reported survey items, a necessary mention in limitation. From an operational standpoint, extracurricular participation could be disaggregated further into a multitude of categories such as fine arts, musical arts, school service, academic clubs, team sports, individual sports, etc. Evaluating such distinct type of participation on outcomes would add tremendous depth to the knowledge of the field and administrator budget priority.
Conclusion

In conclusion, non-sport extracurricular participation is better correlated than sports to educational attainment. However, participation in both sports and non-sports (mixed) show the strongest correlation. Therefore, educational administrators should make extracurricular activities a priority at no charge as well as encourage participation in both types. Further study into the mixed category could also aid administrators in practice. For example, administrators could implement school schedules more conducive to student participation in multiple activities. Or perhaps schools are unintentionally encouraging sport or non-sport based on race, gender, or socioeconomic status. For instance, the sports scholarship culture carries a belief that sports are the absolute best path to achieving future education (Johnson and Migliaccio, 2009), even though only about 2% of high school athletes earn a college sports scholarship (O'Shaughnessy, 2012). Do students in the mixed category not subscribe to the sport scholarship culture? Or perhaps students that participate in both types of activities are already strongly college-bound and purposely building a varied admissions portfolio. Insight to these possibilities could greatly assist schools in educational direction.
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