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Abstract

Social gender is the difference that gender creates in a society, it is related to how one thinks of him or herself, how him or her interacts with others, social opportunities, professions, family roles, and the roles allowed for men and women. There is pluralist participation and a sense of justice in the philosophy of sports. In this context, all stakeholders (athletes, coaches, assistants, etc.) involved in sports activities are expected to evaluate and interpret sports activities in this context. The research was carried out using quantitative research method. A total of 318 students from the School of Physical Education and Sports (fourth grade) of Kastamonu University participated in the study. Perception Gender Scale consisting of 1 dimensions and 25 items, created by Altinova and Duyan (2013) was used as measurement tool. High average score of the scale indicates the positive perception of society gender roles. The data were found to be normally distributed and parametric test methods were used. According to results of the research conducted, the perceptions of gender of sports science students are at a medium level. In addition, gender perceptions of female sports science students were differentiated from male sports science students. The perceptions of gender did not differ among sports science students within the scope of the departments they received education. In addition, a low level of negative correlation was found between the ages of sports science students and their gender perceptions.
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1. Introduction

When the concept of gender is evaluated physiologically, it is about whether the individual is male or female (Manning, Bernhard, & Robert, 2018; Vatandas, 2007). In addition, when the concept of gender is examined sociologically, it expresses the place of men or women in society, their duties and responsibilities, in other words, their role in the society (Ozyazdinlik, 2014). Social gender is the difference that gender creates in a society, it is related to how one thinks of him or herself, how he interacts with others, social opportunities, professions, family roles, and the roles allowed for men and women (Guzura, 2017).

Gender divisions shape the lives of both women and men, and this diversity is more than just difference. Therefore, the way, proportion, representation and visibility of women and men in a society are affected by the perception of gender that is valid in that society (Ongon & Aytaç, 2013). Although the concept of gender equality has become prominent in social life recently, roles given to men in patriarchal societies may be more valuable than roles given to women. According to the social learning theory, parental attitudes have a significant effect on the child's gaining roles suitable for gender. The results of many studies show that fathers behave in the direction of a more traditional gender role than mothers and be gentle, understanding and helpful to girls; it has shown that it teaches boys autonomy, leadership and assertiveness (Gumus, 2019).

In Turkish society, which is partially separated from western societies with its patriarchal social structure (Kiran, 2017) while gender roles are sharply separated, this distinction is not clear in another segment. In other words, although some of the society thinks that they have determined the duties and roles given to men and women, the concept of gender equality is widely accepted for some of the society (Oz, 2018).

Research on sports and gender-related content has been increasing in recent years (Adriaanse & Schofield, 2014; Archer & McDonald, 1990; Cunningham, 2008; Dermot et al., 2019; Huggins & Randell, 2007; Klomsten, Skalvik, & Espnes, 2004; Van Ingen, 2003). Seeing sports as an activity specific to men by integrating it with the athletic structure of men is a product of patriarchal understanding that accepts men as the sovereign in society, and there is a close relationship between the gender roles of this understanding that prevails in society and the sports experiences and sports experiences of women and men (Koca, 2006).

There is pluralistic participation and a sense of justice in the philosophy of sports. In this context, all stakeholders (athletes, coaches, managers, assistants, etc.) involved in sports activities are expected to evaluate and interpret sports activities in this context. In addition, sports activities are seen as a way of ensuring sociological equality of women and men (Beal, 1994). Nevertheless, in the sports environment, there is also a point of view of humiliating women athletes and seeing a sports area of sports activities for men (Hannon, Sohoo, Reel, & Ratcliffe, 2009). In another perspective (Gill, 2002) stated that gender should be evaluated in the context of broader social diversity, which includes analysis of other ideologies (eg race, social class). Prejudices about gender also affect sports activities, which is an important communication process, negatively. Communication skills of a good sports trainer are expected to be high (Sunay, Cengiz, & Yasar, 2017).

Until the early 1900s, sports activities were concerned with the evaluation of distant and leisure times for women, rather than competitive sports activities (Bell, 2007). However, with the beginning of the 20th century, the increase and change in free time periods of human life’s and leisure consumption, it is seen that women are in sports activities and competitive environment (Hargreaves, 2002). It is thought that sports media's work on gender equality plays an important role in this process. Within the scope of sportive activities, media literacy is important in this process (Erdevciler, Uyar, Sunay, & Atlı, 2010). In addition, many sports advertisements bring male gender to the fore and can undermine the gender equality in the sports environment (Balić & Ožgoš, 2017). But still it is possible to determine that the concepts of gender perception and sports have been evaluated with a different and positive perspective recently.

In the light of all this information, individuals who are studying sports science and who are future sports scientists should be formed within the scope of free and equitable (fair) thinking. Nevertheless the last year of university importance can be stressful for university students (Yasar & Turgut, 2020). In this context, gender prejudices may be high among senior students who are educated in the field of sports sciences. The aim of the research is to determine the gender roles perceptions of senior sports science students and to examine them according to different variables (Sex Age, Department).

Hypothesis 1: There is a difference between university students’ sex and perception of the gender roles.
Hypothesis 2: There is a difference between university students’ departments and perception of the gender roles.
Hypothesis 3: Participant university students have a positive attitude towards society gender roles.
Hypothesis 4: There is a positive relationship between university students’ age and perception of the gender roles.

2. Method

2.1 Participants

The research was carried out using quantitative research method. A total of 318 students from the School of Physical Education and Sports (fourth grade) of Kastamonu University participated in the study. As a sampling method, simple random sampling method was preferred. Characteristics of the sample is given in Table 1.
Table-1. Characteristics of the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal Information</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Frequency (f)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>M=23.01</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>P.E.T.E.</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coaching Education</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sport management</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Data Collection Tool in the Research

Perception Gender Scale consisting of 1 dimensions and 25 items, created by Altinova and Duyan (2013) was used as a measurement tool. High average score of the scale indicates the positive perception of society gender roles. Data collection tool was a self-report scale with 5 Likerts. Data collection was carried out via Google Forms. Expressions in the scale, I fully agree “5 points (4.00−3.20)”, I agree “4 points (3.19−2.40)”, I am undecided “3 points (2.39−1.60)”, disagree “2 points (2.59−1.80)”, I strongly disagree The n−1/n formula was used to determine the score ranges (5−1/5 = 4/5 = 0.80).

2.3. Data Collection and Analysis

According to the obtained kurtosis and skewness values, the data were found to be normally distributed and parametric test methods were used. The reliability value of the research data was tested with Cronbach Alpha value and it was found 0.83 for the whole scale. The study was conducted following the Declaration of Rickham (1964).

3. Findings

The mean score and skewness kurtosis values obtained according to the results of the research are given in Table 2.

Table-2. Scale scores and skewness kurtosis values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender Perception Scale</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>.546</td>
<td>.187</td>
<td>1.837</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the analysis of the data, the mean score obtained by the participants from the Gender Perception Scale scale is 3.21. In other words, the Gender Perception levels of the participants were moderate.

Table-3. T-Test Results according to the gender variable of the participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Gender/Sex</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Ss.</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender Perception Scale</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>1&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p<0.05.

According to the Gender/Sex variable in Table 3, there was a statistical difference in the mean score of the scale. According to the results, the average score of male participants’ obtained from the Gender Perception Scale scale was higher than female participants. In other words, Gender Perception Scale of male participants was found to be significantly higher than female participants.

Table-4. Anova Results According to the department variable of the participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Ss.</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender Perception Scale</td>
<td>P.E.T.E.</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coaching Education</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sport Management</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p<0.05.

According to the department variable in Table 4, there was not any statistical difference in mean scores of scale. According to the results, the participants with the highest average of the Sport management department and the participants with the lowest average were of the coaching education department.

Table-5. Correlation analysis for participants’ age and scale scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p<0.05*.

The results of Gender Perception Scale according to the age variable of the participants were given in Table 5. According to the results a low negative correlation was found between the participants’ ages and Gender Perception.
4. Discussion and Conclusion

As a result of the research, it was determined that the Gender Perception Scale values of the participating students were high (5.21). The high scores obtained from the scale indicate negative gender perception, and the low score indicates positive gender perception. In the research conducted by Özpulat (2017), gender perceptions of university students were examined. According to the results of the research, gender perceptions of the participating university students were determined at a medium level. In this context, the results of this research and the research conducted by Özpulat show similarity within the scope of the mean score. Another similar study was done by (Vefikuluca, Zeyneloglu, Ergolu, & Taspin, 2007). According to the results of the research, the gender groups of the university senior students were found higher if they are traditional. In this context, there seems to be a difference between this research and the research of the results conducted by Vefikuluca et al. The differences in the social structure in different geographical regions and the role between men and women can be shown as the reason of the results of the research in the same culture difference.

According to the results of the research, a statistically significant difference was found in the gender variable of the participants. According to this difference, gender perceptions of female participants showed a positive difference compared to male students. In the study conducted by Pesen, Kara, Kale, and Abbak (2016) the gender perceptions of university students were examined according to the gender variable of the participants. In this context, it is seen that there is a similarity between this research and the results of the research conducted by Pesen and his friends by gender. Another study on this subject has been examined by Oneng and Aytaç (2013) the gender perceptions of university students. According to the results of the research, gender perceptions of female participants were found to be higher than male students. In this context, it is seen that there is a similarity between the result of the research conducted by Oneng and Aytaç and the gender variable. In the researches about gender, the reason and desire of the female participants to have gender equality can be shown as a reason as the reason why the female participants have higher perceptions at a positive level.

There was no statistically significant difference in the department variable of the participants according to the research results. The lack of differences according to the department variable of the participants can be shown as the reason why the participants come from a similar cultural structure and have the same generation habits. According to the results of the research, a low negative correlation was found between the participants’ age variable and their gender perceptions.

According to the results of the research, a low negative correlation was found between the participants’ age variable and their society gender perceptions. In the research conducted by Karasu, Göllüce, Güvenç, and Çelik (2017) the attitudes of university students towards gender roles were examined. According to the results of the research, a significant difference between the groups was determined within the age variable of the participants. In this context, no significant difference was found between the results of this research and the results of the research conducted by Karasu and Göllüce by age variable.

In conclusion, according to the results of the research conducted, the perceptions of gender of sports science students are at a medium level. In addition, gender perceptions of female sports science students were differentiated from male sports science students. The perceptions of gender did not differ among sports science students within the scope of the departments they received education. In addition, a low level of negative correlation was found between the ages of sports science students and their gender perceptions.
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