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Abstract: The objectives of this study were to analyze the validity, practicality, and effectiveness of South Kalimantan local wisdom-based biology learning and its effect on student learning outcomes. The research method used is research and development. This research was in a Develop stage of Thiagarajan’s Model. This development has produced learning models (lesson plans, student’s worksheet, learning achievement test questions, teacher activity sheets, student activity sheets, and student response sheets). The local wisdom-based learning model were designed with seven stages using Banjar language (regional language of South Kalimantan). Model that have been developed were tested for the level of validity, practicality, effectiveness, and its effect in learning. The level of validity is determined based on the assessment and review of the four validators. To find out the effectiveness and the effect of the learning model, quasi-experimental design was applied by involving two classes at SMAN 7 Banjarmasin-Indonesia. Data were collected using a variety of instruments, namely the validity assessment sheet, the student’s worksheet and lesson plan sheets, student achievement test questions, and student response sheets. Data analysis was implemented to measure the effectiveness and the effect of learning by calculating n-Gain and ANCOVA, respectively. The results, the learning tools met the “valid” criteria so that it can be implemented. Learning also concluded having good practicality criteria. Moreover, it can be seen that the application of local wisdom-based learning model and tools was quite effective in improving student learning outcomes, in contrast to learning in the control class. Furthermore, ANCOVA test concluded that there were significant differences in learning outcomes between students in the experimental and the control class.
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Introduction

There were questions about how do we need to study biology? It was very clear, because biology will connect us with the world, the place where we live and show how it relates to all forms of life in this world. Ballard et al (2017), Darling-Hammond et al (2019), Fleischner et al (2017), Serdyukov (2017), and Turrini et al (2018) all state that biology is also a part of social and economic problems throughout the world. Biology learning is a tool to improve the strengthening of attitudes, skills, knowledge, values and responsibilities as a citizen towards environment, society, nation that is based on faithfulness and devotion to the Almighty God.

Biology is concerned with finding out and understanding about nature systematically. Biology concerns not only the mastery of knowledge collection in the form of facts, concepts, principles but also a process of discovery. Learning biology in schools is expected to be a vehicle for students to learn themselves and the surrounding nature (Aida et al., 2016; Karyadi et al., 2018; Usmeldi & Amini, 2019). Various biology learning activities supported by self-regulated learning strategies have been proven to significantly influence self-directed learning readiness and attitudes towards science experiments (Kayacan & Ektem, 2019).

Biology learning will be successful if the teacher is able to develop and use suitable learning tools (Fadilah et al., 2017; Fatmawati, 2016; Mursali, 2015). The teacher as an important component in education has a great responsibility and is very decisive in achieving students’ competence (Malm, 2009; Mendo-Lázaro et al, 2018). Every teacher in the
education unit is obliged to compile learning tools in a complete and systematic manner so that learning takes place interactively, inspiratively and fun, which then can motivate students to participate actively and independently in accordance with their talents, interests, and physical as well as psychological development (Budiman & Arif, 2018). The selection of appropriate learning tools is significant for a teacher because it can be used as a guide for the learning process to run systematically. The selection of learning tools is also used as a benchmark, enhancing teacher professionalism and tools to facilitate teachers in succeeding the learning process (Chaerunnisa et al., 2017; Ismomon, 2016). In fact, the lack of learning device often becomes an obstacle that causes the learning process to not meet expectations (Aufiana et al., 2015; Gleason et al., 2011).

The utilization of good tools will guide the implementation of quality learning in accordance with expectations and standards that have been set. Appropriate use of the device can certainly improve good results (Darling-Hammond et al., 2019; Serdyukov, 2017). Furthermore, the development of excellent learning device is expected to be able to provide opportunities for students to explore knowledge that will be discussed in class, provide opportunities for a more student-student, student-teacher interactions in group discussions (Le et al., 2018; Taylor & Parsons, 2011). In addition, this learning boosts a positive contribution to students in terms of gaining understanding, increasing interaction and participation, and developing their positive characters (Adawiyah & Hidayah, 2017).

Students are more interested in studying biology if the subject is presented in an integrated and holistic manner (Mustami et al., 2017). The learning tools that are compiled should adjust to the characteristics of students, the nature of biology learning, and the problems encountered in the learning process and in everyday life (Utami et al., 2018). Learning tools are arranged by taking into account the needs of their users, that is, the needs based on geographical, ethnographic, and regional wealth characteristics. Therefore, learning tools should be developed in accordance with local customs or tradition (Hadi, 2017), including the interpretation of aspects of local wisdom, for example from the Province of South Kalimantan-Indonesia.

Biology learning can be developed by relying on the uniqueness and abundance potentials of an area, including local (traditional) culture and technology (Damayanti et al., 2013; Peat, 2012; White et al., 2017). The development of a learning tool that is integrated with local wisdom is expected to develop the potential of each region and increase the creativity and character of students (Mannan et al., 2015). Emphasis on local wisdom as indigenous science in learning biology is considered very necessary. Utilization of local wisdom content in learning besides being able to save the knowledge of local wisdom can also help students in learning biology with real life learning (Anwari et al., 2016; Hadi, 2017; Parmin et al., 2015). In addition, learning with local wisdom can improve students’ relationships with surrounding communities and can link local knowledge with modern knowledge (Leksono, 2016). This is certainly in line with the concept that the expected outcome of learning biology is so that students have both scientific and environmental literacy. This shows that the environmental context cannot be separated in biology learning (Ilhami et al., 2018).

Efforts to develop biology learning tools based on local wisdom are still not widely researched in Indonesian school context (Lestari et al., 2019). General research and development of learning tools have indeed been carried out by previous researchers. In the Indonesian context, researchers have tried to focus on developing science and biology learning tools. Some of them were the development of inquiry-based learning with the subject of digestive system in biology (Handriyan, 2016), the development of learning tools specifying on student worksheets (Jamind, 2014; Mujahidin, 2017), development of an instrument to measure the higher-order thinking skill in physics (Ramadhani et al., 2019) or also on textbooks and student worksheets (Setiawati et al., 2017). There was also a research on the development of concept-based biology learning tools consisting of lesson plans, worksheets and mind map learning media to foster interest in learning of junior high school students (Jon, 2017), or equipped with evaluation tools (Marneli, 2017). Some researchers have focused on developing biology learning tools with a contextual approach and with the guided inquiry method on angiosperm material (Norra, 2018), fungi material, and the excretion system (Aida et al., 2016; Fadilah et al., 2017). Meanwhile, more specifically there are researchers who develop biology learning tools using problem based learning models (Wardah, 2016) and produce e-modules based on valid and appropriate local wisdom at kindergartens in general topics (Sofyan et al., 2019). Based on this, it can be concluded that their research results have not touched the aspects of biology learning tools based on local wisdom.

It is still considered very rare to find previous studies that focused on high school biology learning tools based on local wisdom, especially those related to the local wisdom of South Kalimantan Province. In fact, local potential and wisdoms exist in South Kalimantan Province if not utilized will be neglected and vanish or even extinct (Rusmana et al., 2019). One of the provinces in Indonesia that has its own uniqueness is South Kalimantan. South Kalimantan is a province located in the south-eastern part of the island of Borneo, which has a lowland area on the west and east coast, and a plateau formed by the Meratus Mountains in the center. Other geographical conditions of South Kalimantan are the existence of many swamps and rivers, while the largest tribe in South Kalimantan is the Banjar tribe. Urang Banjar (Banjarese) is the largest ethnic group that inhabits this province (Istigomah & Setyobudihono, 2014). Local wisdom in South Kalimantan implies a view of people's lives towards life values (Yulianto, 2019). Cultural properties that are characteristic in South Kalimantan can be an inspiration in learning science (Fusad et al., 2018). This proposed study
will certainly make a concrete contribution to the strategy of building environmental awareness through the values of local wisdom that originate concretely from the lives of river communities in South Kalimantan (Suratno et al., 2015).

Research that needs to be elaborated for example was Kahar and Fadhillah (2018), on environmental literacy, and conservation attitudes. However, the focus was on local potential in West Kalimantan, which was differentiated according to the fields of Natural Resource, Human Resource, Arts and Culture, Tourism and Culinary. Also the research of Tomi et al (2018) on the development of a biology learning tool based on local wisdom of Kerinci-Jambi Province; Hadi and Dazrullisa’s research (2018) about the development of biological teaching materials based on local wisdom in West Aceh; and Hasanah et al (2018) research on the development of biology learning tools based on the local potential of West Lombok-West Nusa Tenggara. There is also a study of Saputra et al (2016) which is more specific on the development of a Natural Science module based on local wisdom in the Puger-East Java coastal area on the subject of the plant transportation system at Secondary level. While other research was more focused on aspects of the model and/or learning strategy, for example the implementation of a Natural Science learning model based on local wisdom in junior high school students (Pamungkas et al., 2017); and mini-research based on local wisdom on the ability to master the material of conservation biology (Leksone, 2016).

Based on the various descriptions, it can be said that there has been no development research related to the biology learning tools based on local wisdom in South Kalimantan as a support for biodiversity material for class X, SMA/MA (senior high school) level. Researchers have developed the indispensable learning tools.

**Research Goal**

The goals of this study are to (1) analyze the validity of South Kalimantan local wisdom-based biology learning model and tools; (2) analyze the practicality of the biology learning tools based on South Kalimantan local wisdom; (3) analyze the effectiveness of the biology learning tools based on South Kalimantan local wisdom; and (4) analyze its effect on students’ learning outcomes.

**Methodology**

**Type of Research**

This development research was the continuation of the previous study by Thiagarajan et al (1974) by implementing the following stages: Define, Design, Develop, and Disseminate. This research is in the Develop stage, to develop learning model based on South Kalimantan’s local wisdom. Learning tools that have been developed consisted of lesson plans, student’s worksheet, learning achievement test questions, teacher activity sheets, student activity sheets, and student response sheets. At this Develop stage, to find out the effectiveness of the learning model, the quasi-experimental design was applied.

The local wisdom-based learning model was designed with seven stages using Banjar language as the regional language of the people of South Kalimantan. It was utilized as a form of local wisdom to understand and preserve Banjar language. The seven stages in question namely “Urientasi” (orientation), “Mambaca” (reading), “Tatakunan” (questioning), “Panyalidikan” (investigating), “Rifliksi” (reflection), “Paninjauan” (re-checking), and “Pengkaran” (mind mapping).

At the stage of “minentasi”, the teacher opened the learning by presenting a problem related to nature both potential and local wisdom in South Kalimantan. The next stage was "mambaca" where students read material provided by the teacher. The reading material has been designed by the teacher by utilizing the phenomena of the potential and local wisdom of South Kalimantan. In the stage, “tatakunan”, students made questions after reading activities. Then, at the “panyalidikan” stage, students had the opportunity to carry out investigations in the field and establish relationships with the community to obtain various for problem solving. In the “rifliksi” stage, the teacher directed students to be able to connect and link concepts from general to specific based on the results of the investigation. Then in the “paninjauan” stage students actively reviewed the reading material or phenomena conveyed. The last stage was the “pengkaran” which came from the word “kar” which means map. At this stage, after students completed the previous stages, students mapped the concepts that had been found, both in the form of concept and thought maps. On the other hand, the “panilaian” stage was an assessment activity by the teacher which was carried out from the “minentasi” stage to “pengkaran”. In more detail, a diagram explaining all the learning activities in this model is presented in Appendix 1.

**Sample and Data Collection**

In this study, model and tools that have been developed were tested for the level of validity, practicality, effectiveness, and its effect in learning. The level of validity was determined based on research from the validator. There were four validators involved, namely teaching experts, curriculum instructors, Banjar linguists (local language), and Indonesian linguists. Afterwards the practicality of the learning model was assessed based on student responses after participating in the learning. To find out the effectiveness of the learning model and its effect on student learning outcomes, quasi-experimental design was applied by involving two classes at SMAN 7 Banjarmasin (each class consists of 34 students, so the total number of samples is 64 students). The sample selection is done by purposive sampling technique. Pretest-
posttest control group design was implemented, where the X-MIPA-5 class was positioned as the control group and X-MIPA-3 as the experimental group. The control class follows the learning with lecture and question-answer method learning, while the experimental class implemented local wisdom-based learning. The material chosen in implementing local wisdom-based learning was biodiversity, while the local wisdom raised is the diversity of genes, species, to ecosystems that describe various types of rice, fruits, plants, animals, and ecosystems typical of South Kalimantan.

Collecting and Analyzing of Data

Data were collected using a variety of instruments to measure learning validity, namely the validity assessment sheet of the learning model, the lesson plan and student’s worksheet validity sheets, student achievement test questions, and student response sheets. There were nine components used as bases for evaluating the validity of the learning model by the validator, namely (1) philosophical foundation; (2) supporting theories; (3) empirical basis; (4) the description of each syntax; (5) syntax; (6) social systems; (7) reaction principles; (8) support system; and (9) instructional and accompaniment impacts. Then to measure practicality, the questionnaire responses of students while using the learning tools based on local wisdom in South Kalimantan were used. The questionnaire was composed of eleven items derived from three aspects, namely (1) convenience, (2) attractiveness, and (3) assistance. Furthermore, to measure the effectiveness, the instrument was constructed in the form of student learning outcomes test sheet. The test consists of 19 essay items with C2-C5 cognitive level. The validity of the test instrument was tested using Pearson-Product Moment, while the reliability was tested using Cronbach’s Alpha. As a result, all items were valid (n = 126, r >0.174, p <0.05) and the test instrument was very reliable (Alpha = 0.886).

Data analysis in measuring the validity of learning developed referred to Arikunto (2010), as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Criteria for the level of validity of local wisdom-based learning tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring Scales (%)</th>
<th>Qualifications</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85.94 – 100</td>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>No revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.19%– 85.93</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>No revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.44 – 67.18</td>
<td>Less valid</td>
<td>With revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 48.43</td>
<td>Invalid</td>
<td>With revision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meanwhile, the analysis of the data to measure the practicality of learning tools was done by calculating the percentage of students who provided responses in accordance with the criteria referred to Khabibah’s (2006) work, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Practicality criteria South Kalimantan local wisdom-based biology learning tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Percentage (%)</th>
<th>Practicality Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85 – 100</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 – 85</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 70%</td>
<td>Less positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
<td>Not positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, data analysis was implemented to measure the effectiveness of learning by calculating n-Gain. The n-Gain value for each student was calculated using the formula proposed by Hake (1998), as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Interpretation categories of n-Gain effectiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>Interpretation categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;76</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 – 75</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 55</td>
<td>Less effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 40</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, a one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) test was also conducted to determine whether the application of local wisdom-based learning had a significant effect on improving student’s learning outcomes.
**Findings / Results**

**Define**

At the Define stage, some analysis needs to be conducted where the information obtained will be the basis for the formulation of objectives and learning form. Front-end analysis informed that high school biology learning in South Kalimantan was dominated by teacher-centered where the teacher explains the subject matter directly to the students dominantly. The teacher does not associate learning material with the environment around students and learning sources obtained by students was limited from teacher explanations. In other words, the learning form did not develop students’ mindset to respond to natural events that occur around them.

Furthermore, the learner analysis informs that the cognitive development of students at the formal operational stage. They have a low level of learning outcomes (only one of 134 students were able to get a score of 60 when asked to solve test with high cognitive levels questions). Textual learning is the cause of low student learning outcomes. Responding to these results, the results of the concept analysis inform the need for a model that is able to link learning with local wisdom of South Kalimantan as a source of learning. Therefore, the instructional objective formulated in this study is to facilitate students to empower the ability to answer high cognitive level questions through knowledge and in-depth understanding of the potential and local wisdom of South Kalimantan.

**Design**

The results of the analysis at the Define stage are the basis of the analysis at the Design stage. Based on instructional objectives that have been formulated, then constructivism learning involving local wisdom in South Kalimantan needs to be developed. To evaluate the effectiveness of learning, high cognitive level learning outcomes tests also need to be developed. In more detail, the results of the analysis in the Design stage are presented in Table 4.

**Table 4. Results summary of each analysis in Design stage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Constructing criterion-referenced test</td>
<td>19 high cognitive level essays items on the biodiversity concept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Media selection</td>
<td>Local wisdom learning model that is equipped with Lesson Plans, Student Worksheets, Learning Outcomes Test Sheets, Teacher Activity Observation Sheets, Student Activity Observation Sheets, Student Response Sheets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Format selection</td>
<td>Constructivism, student-centered learning, local wisdom-based learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Initial design</td>
<td>The local wisdom-based learning model is designed with 7 stages using Banjar language as the regional language of the people of South Kalimantan. The seven stages in question are namely “Urientasi”, “Mambaca”, “Tatakonan”, “Panyalidikan”, “Rifliksi”, “Paninjauan”, and “Pengkaran”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Develop**

After the product design was formulated, the product was developed. Products in the form of learning models and their tools were then validated by the validator. A summary of the results of the model and learning tools’ validation is presented in Table 5.

**Table 5. Results summary of model and learning tools’ validation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Validation Components</th>
<th>General Evaluation (%)</th>
<th>Qualification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Learning Model</td>
<td>98.21</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lesson Plan</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Student Worksheet</td>
<td>88.22</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Learning Outcomes Test Sheet</td>
<td>86.40</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Teacher Activity Observation Sheet</td>
<td>93.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Student Activity Observation Sheet</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Student Response Sheet</td>
<td>88.62</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on Table 5, Learning Outcomes Test Sheet has the lowest percentage (86.40%), while the learning model has the highest percentage (98.21%). However, all learning components that have been developed met the "valid" criteria so that they do not need to be revised and can be exemplified in the classroom. Upon measuring the level of validity of the models and learning tools, the practicality of learning tool was also measured. The highest percentage is in the aspect of attractiveness (95.07%), while the lowest is in the aspect of easiness (87.27%). Nevertheless, these three aspects still belong to the "very positive" response category. Therefore, learning that has been developed was able to make it easier for students to study biology, draw their attention to learning, and help them understand the concepts being studied. A summary of practicality test results obtained from students' responses after participating in local wisdom-based learning is presented in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Aspects</th>
<th>Percentages (%)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Easiness</td>
<td>87.27</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Attractiveness</td>
<td>95.07</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Helpfulness</td>
<td>88.02</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, the effectiveness of learning the effect of its learning was measured through the application of quasi-experiment involving two classes. The summary of the N-Gain results of the two classes is presented in Table 7, while the summary of the ANCOVA test results is presented in Table 8. Based on Table 7, it can be seen that the application of local wisdom-based learning was fairly effective in improving student learning outcomes, in contrast to learning in the control class (not effective). This finding was reinforced by the results of the ANCOVA test (Table 8) which concluded that there were significant differences in learning outcomes between students in the experimental class and students in the control class \([F (303.06) = p < 0.05]\). Because the average learning outcomes of the experimental class are better than the control class, students in the experimental class can be stated to have significantly higher learning outcomes than students in the control class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>70.18 ± 9.845</td>
<td>Fairly effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>36.36 ± 11.296</td>
<td>Not effective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>21933.372a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10966.686</td>
<td>151.72</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>92278.202</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>92278.202</td>
<td>1276.64</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>4065.096</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4065.096</td>
<td>56.24</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups</td>
<td>21906.127</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21906.127</td>
<td>303.06</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>9468.983</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>72.282</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>565917.590</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>31402.355</td>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| a. R Squared = 0.698 (Adjusted R Squared = 0.694) |

Disseminate

After learning developed was declared valid, practical, effective, and has significant effects, this development product needs to be disseminated. Dissemination is carried out since the product has been successfully developed and tested until it is known in the South Kalimantan region. The target, within 2 years the teachers in various high schools in the region are familiar with this learning. Various steps were taken to disseminate the product. In more detail, a summary of activities at the Disseminate stage is presented in Table 9.
Through these two activities, active student participation is expected to be formed during the learning process. Upon the completion of "urientasi" stage, learning process proceeds to the stage of "tatakonan", so that learning requires direct and factual experience. "Tatakonan" is also supported by a statement which views that science is always dynamic and always evolving (Rörsch, 2014). This stage of "urientasi" is also supported by a statement which views that science is always dynamic and always evolving (Rörsch, 2014), so that learning requires direct and factual experience in its learning process.

The application of constructivism learning was reflected in the first stage in learning. At the stage of "urientasi", students made the formulation of the problem from the raised issue. In connection with these learning activities, the application of constructivism learning was reflected in the first stage in learning. At the stage of urientasi (Mustaffa & Ismail, 2015; Yaqinuddin, 2013). This stage of “urientasi” is also supported by a statement which views that science is always dynamic and always evolving (Rörsch, 2014), so that learning requires direct and factual experience in its learning process.

Upon the completion of "urientasi" stage, learning process proceeds to the stage of "mambaca" followed by "tatakonan". Through these two activities, active student participation is expected to be formed during the learning process. "Mambaca" aims at activating students' working memory and increasing their insight. “Mambaca” or reading activity will also develop cognitive processes through students' thinking abilities (Pena & Canon, 2008). On the other hand,
"tatakonan" activity aims to broaden students' curiosity and develop higher-order thinking skills through asking themselves about biological concepts related to the potential and local wisdom of South Kalimantan. The activity of compiling questions is said to be able to increase mastery of the material in students' learning sphere (Zubaidah et al., 2019).

The learning phase continued to "panyalidikan", "rifliksi" then "paninjauan". These three stages help students to construct their cognitive skills. The implication is that students will have deep comprehension of the potential and local wisdom of South Kalimantan. Local potential with a variety of local wisdom of the community is an environment that is very close to students. Through the support of concepts conveyed by the teacher, then students constructed new knowledge. At this stage, the constructive process ran, where students connected concepts they already knew with new information to form a new established knowledge (Bachtold, 2013; Bada, 2015). This process led students to have meaningful construction of concepts (Darling-Hammond et al., 2019).

In the final stage, "pengkaran", students were given the opportunity to freely develop what they have learned through mapping activities. In connection with these activities, students were likely to be able to actualize themselves to the maximum level if in the learning process was free from pressure and coercion. Mapping in this stage can be in the form of concept mapping and mind mapping, where students freely expressed their thoughts in systematic or abstract way, but still described their understanding of concepts that have been found in problem solving. With this condition, students' self-potential in the cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains can be developed. In addition, various learning activities that include concept mapping activities are also reported to have a positive impact on improving student competence (Andariana et al., 2019; Zubaidah et al., 2019; Zvacek et al., 2013).

Besides effective, based on Table 8, this research found that the learning also has a significant effect on student learning outcomes. This result is in line with some previous study that also studying the effect of local wisdom-based learning on students' competencies. Those previous research was implementing local wisdom-based learning in some location, such as in South Sulawesi (Uge et al., 2019), Yogyakarta (Putri & Aznam, 2019), and West Sumatra (Oktavia et al., 2018). This significant effect due to the local wisdom-based learning could facilitate students learn biology contextually. Through contextual learning, learning becomes more meaningful (Hudson & Whisler, 2007). Therefore, not surprisingly, students become easier to understand, remember, and apply what they have learned.

The positive influence of local wisdom-based learning on outcomes is also caused by its benefits in increasing student scientific literacy. As stated in the research method, the implementation of learning models was carried out in science classes with biodiversity material. Earlier reports have informed that learning based on local wisdom can increase scientific literacy, both students in secondary school level (Setiawan et al., 2017) and university level (Saefullah et al., 2017). Increased scientific literacy is also reported to improve student academic achievement (Guduer & Kesercioglu, 2012).

In addition to the various positive impacts that have been expressed, the learning model that has been developed and researched in this study also still has potential that needs to be explored as learning that is able to voice local wisdom is reported to be able to improve critical thinking skills (Oktavia et al., 2018; Putri & Aznam, 2019; Wahyuni, 2015), problem solving (Kristanto et al., 2019; Putri & Aznam, 2019), and scientific communication skills (Dewi et al., 2017). This learning is also able to generate a positive impact on the entrepreneurial spirit of students (Hairida, 2017). In addition, positive character can also be built through this learning (Khussniati et al., 2017; Rasna & Tantra, 2017; Subali et al., 2015). In fact, learning based on local wisdom is considered as one form of potential learning that is able to facilitate students in mastering the 21st-Century skills (Jumriani & Prasetyo, 2017). Therefore, research that examines the influence of South Kalimantan's local wisdom-based learning model on a variety of thinking skills and other 21st-Century competencies needs to be conducted subsequently.

**Conclusion**

In this study, the South Kalimantan local wisdom-based learning tools were tested for their validity, practicality, effectiveness, and its effect on student learning outcomes. Based on the assessment of learning experts, curriculum instructors, as well as Banjar and Indonesian Language experts, learning models were declared valid. Based on student responses, the learning model also has practical criteria in the "very positive" category. Quasi-experimental results also state that the learning model was effective where the application of this learning has a significant impact on student learning outcomes Therefore, the application of this learning model is highly recommended to be applied in biology learning in South Kalimantan, given its application, the positive character of students and their love for local wisdom can improve.

**Suggestions**

Further research aimed at exploring the benefits of learning is also recommended to be carried out, in particular its impact on 21st-Century students’ competencies.
Limitations
The limitation of this research is the dissemination was not conducted. In addition, in testing the learning model, the parameters used to determine the effectiveness of the learning were only cognitive learning outcomes. However, the inclusion of two classes during testing the model of the learning model is the strength to this study.
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Appendix

Appendix 1. A flow diagram of South Kalimantan local wisdom-based learning model