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Abstract

This research which aimed to investigate the relationship between the empowerment of teachers by high school administrators and school commitments of teachers was designed in relational screening model. The study group of the research was composed of 188 volunteer teachers working in the public Anatolian High Schools in Aydin during 2017. “Behavioral Teacher Empowerment” and “Organizational Commitment” scales were used. As a result of the research, it was determined that the highest empowerment was in the administrative support dimension and the highest commitment level was in the affective commitment dimension. It was revealed that there was not a significant difference in the dimensions of empowerment according to gender, seniority and branch variables of teachers. In the analyses performed regarding the commitment levels of teachers, while there was not a significant difference in terms of the dimensions according to branch variable, it was found that female teachers had higher affective commitments than male teachers. Teachers with professional seniority of 31-40 years had higher affective commitments than those with professional seniority of 11-20 years. It was determined that the highest level of relationship was between communication and affective commitment dimensions.
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1. Introduction

Increasing the performances of employees by motivating them so that they perform better and supporting them should be among the first in the to-do-list of the organizations and managers. For this reason, it is an inevitable fact that empowerment should be among the administrative activities. Empowerment is used in many areas ranging from management to education and it is utilized as staff empowerment in the field of management and as teacher empowerment in the field of education. The empowerment of teachers by administrators can increase the commitments of teachers to their school by diversifying their relationship with the school. In the study conducted, the concept of teacher empowerment is explained first, which is followed by the concept of organizational commitment, and then the relationship between the empowerment of teachers and their commitment levels is determined.

The concept expressed as teacher empowerment is empowering the teachers by the administrators and trying to make the school achieve its aims by the empowerment of them (Kiral, 2019). According to Klecker and Loadman (1996), teacher empowerment means powering up teachers by their administrators. Melenyzer (1990) states that teacher empowerment means increasing teachers’ job performance, giving the control and decision processes to teachers, supporting teachers to acquire professional knowledge, skills and
power, and providing the attainment to adequate power. Maeroff (1988) states that increasing the status of teachers and their professionalization is empowerment.

According to Terry (1995), it is a necessity for schools to empower teachers by administrators because it is revealed that the administrators have empowered their teachers, struggled to increase their potentials and focused on their professional developments when successful schools are investigated. The reason for focusing on teachers is to increase teacher competency and thus, to improve students’ achievements and performances. Blase and Blase (1995, 1996) states that school administrators empower teachers by supporting them, creating vision, making them feel sufficient, and taking their views in the activities into consideration, and that they also create empowerment by influencing their teachers, making them feel that they are competent and professional, letting them participate actively in the school activities, and supporting them in all kinds of activities. Since administrators know that work performance and productivity of teachers will increase and they will perform more willingly and enthusiastically when they empower teachers, they make empowerment consciously (Bredeson, 1989; Goyne, Pedgett, Rowicki & Triplitt, 1999; Keiser & Shen, 2000). Thus, it is the school administrators that should undertake the most fundamental role in teacher empowerment.

School administrators should firstly get to know their teachers very well, analyze their weaknesses and strengths appropriately, and act accordingly. Their communication with their teachers should always be good, and they should inform their teachers about the goals and objectives of the school. If they wish to create a desired change within the school, they should plan this in advance and manage this process in the best way (Dufor & Berkey, 1995; Huge, 1977) because it is observed that job satisfaction, work performance, productivity, motivation, and job quality of the teachers who feel themselves empowered increase, that their sense of self-confidence, self-esteem, and entrepreneurship are prompted, and that they struggle to increase both their own success and the success of their students (Keiser & Shen, 2000; Payne & Wolfson, 2000). If the case is the improvement of students and the education system, the enhancement of educational outputs, professionalization in education, a solution-oriented education, and the acceleration of school development, the empowerment of teachers by school administrators (Melenyzer, 1990) is not only a necessity but also an obligation. Within this context, teacher empowerment is examined under five dimensions. These dimensions can be explained as follows.

Delegation of authority: Delegation of authority is the transfer of existing powers to subordinates (Kiral, 2015). Delegation of authority is implemented in all organizations. The benefits of delegation of authority in schools are teachers’ embracement of their jobs and the increase in their commitment to their schools, their job satisfaction, motivation, decision-making and communication skills (Goyne et al. 1999). Whetton and Cameron (2011) state that delegation of authority helps employees to increase their self-confidence and work more effectively, and increase their job performance by supporting them to overcome the negative feelings such as inconvenience and weakness. It is suggested that the delegation of authority has such benefits as increasing the potential of the work to be done, facilitating the supervision of work, acting quickly and fast, approaching the problems rapidly, providing the right and adequate decision-making, determining the responsibilities, and providing the development of employees (Kocak, 2011; Moye, Henkin & Egley, 2004).

Administrative support: The concept of administrative support is the support provided for the employees by the management during the work they have been doing within the organization and is the employees’ perception of this support given by the management as support (Eisenberger, Fasolo & Lamastro, 1990). Administrative support for schools is to
provide teachers with professional, personal and environmental support for achieving educational objectives (Melenyzer, 1990; Short, 1992). Administrative support can be time, money, material, project, educational and resource support (Melenyzer, 1990; Rhoades, Eisenberger & Armeli, 2001). In addition to this, creating learning school by school administrators can be considered as support because encouraging the teachers to improve themselves in the professional and personal sense, giving them the opportunity to learn, and leading them to improve themselves mean supporting them (Short, 1992). Supporting teachers from various aspects is empowering them (Kocak, 2013) and commitment of teachers to their schools and professions (Firestone, 1993) and therefore the development of teachers and consequently the enhancement of students and the education system mean the increase of educational outputs. As can be seen, administrators have some influences on teachers. Encouraging the employees in their work increases their work performance (Aselage & Eisenberger, 2003; Rhoades, Eisenberger & Armeli, 2001; Wayne, Shore & Liden, 1997). Supporting teachers for scheduling their timetable, organizing educational settings, providing materials, providing them assistance for their wishes regarding the lessons both materially and spiritually, and for their professional and personal development, and creating opportunities lead teachers to perform their profession better. (Blase & Blase, 1996; Blase & Kirby, 2000).

**Participation in decision making:** Participation in the decisions is the fact that the administrators take the opinions of the employees while making decisions within the organization and involves them in the decisions made (Niehoff, Moorman, Blakely & Fuller, 2001). Involving teachers in the decisions regarding the school by school administrators is one of the most significant components of empowerment. Participating in the decisions means providing control in the school environment for teachers and feeling that they are effective on the output. This is, of course, related to empowerment (Hicks & Dewalt, 2006; Martin, Crossland & Johnson, 2001; Short, 1992). According to Blase and Kirby (2000), another method of empowering teachers is to ensure their participation in the decisions made. While school administrators make planning regarding the school in order to achieve the goals within the school and schedule the program related to school activities, participating in the decision-making process will lead teachers to have a voice in the task to be done and be successful. Blase and Blase (1996) state that open communication paths and encouragement so as to ensure that the decisions of teachers are respected in the meetings and they participate in the decisions empower teachers. It is also important in the empowerment of teachers that the administrator trusts teachers in solving problems, crises and conflicts, and enable them to participate in the decisions on these issues. Teachers should be turned into individuals that are consulted on a variety of issues from discipline to program, time regulation, parental relationships, and innovation (Bredeson & Johansson, 2000; Short & Greer, 1997; Short & Rinehart, 1992).

**Teamwork:** According to Gard, Lindstrom and Dallner (2003), team is the name given to the group of people who work together and in coordination, and support each other to achieve the goals of the organization. Teams are composed of people from different backgrounds, having different knowledge and skills, and perspectives of life that come together in order to achieve organizational goals (Dee, Henkin & Duemer, 2002; Somech, 2005). In organizations, people with different skills are brought together and organizational goals are aimed to be achieved by benefiting from the dominant role, creativity, talents and intellectual aspects of each individual (Everard, Morris & Wilson, 2004).

Different types of teams are significant in teacher empowerment and educational organizations just as in other organizations because it is revealed that the sense of belonging and commitment among the individuals who have come together with team consciousness
strengthens and by forming the consciousness of us, responsibilities are fulfilled, work performance increases, and the members of the team put their individual interests into the background for organizational goals (Kirkman & Rosen, 1999; 2000; Somech, 2005). The teams established within school are set to solve various problems in the school (Kiral, Arslan & Kiral, 2011). Since the aim is to solve problems, fulfill and accomplish the work, teams undertake such approaches as working together, sharing responsibility and cooperating (Dee, Henkin & Duemer, 2002; Somech, 2005). Organizations working as teams are more successful and perform as solution-oriented (Kiral, 2015). The teams established within school have a structure that focuses on solving the problems of teachers and the school itself, works together, shares responsibility, influences each other and learns from each other when they are supported by the administrators (Dee, Henkin & Duemer, 2002), and thus, teams are a necessary component for organizational development (Somech, 2005).

Communication: Communication is a useful process in which the message is received and delivered in various ways (Schermherhorn, Hunt & Osborn, 2002). Open and direct communication between teachers and administrators is important in terms of being able to establish a channel for sharing information, resources and news so as to achieve educational goals (Moye, Henkin & Egley, 2004) because administrators and teachers should keep in touch in order to achieve the school goals, administrators should inform teachers when necessary and ask their opinions and communicate when making important decisions (Kiral, 2019). Inadequate communication or lack of communication prevents achieving the goals or makes them difficult (Maeroff, 1988). Empowering communication within school is one of the most important tasks of school administrators (Blase & Blase, 1996; Short & Greer, 1997). According to Blase and Kirby (2000), school administrators should be the leader administrator in order to empower teachers and they should convince with a constructive language not by using their authority but by using the leadership power and the ability to influence, without breaking hearts. Besides, administrators should try to integrate the school by adopting a solution-oriented approach. School administrators should approach their teachers by using a positive language and be fair at school. Improper use and expression of the official authority in different ways is negatively perceived by teachers and thus, it undermines their enthusiasm towards their job and their confidence towards the administration. Furthermore, the administrators who are honest, positive, optimistic, thoughtful, and tolerant towards their teachers and who reflect all these to their communication are taken into consideration more by their teachers and thus, teachers make more effort for the school goals. The inconsistent behaviors and expressions of administrators form an environment of distrust by creating a negative atmosphere within the organization.

Byron and Kerchner (1991) state that communication is the most important component of empowerment, and that it is even enough itself to make teachers work efficiently. Short and Greer (1997) express that administrators need to increase communication in order to empower teachers at school and that it is the most important issue to be addressed during their administrative activities. Goyne et al. (1999) reveal that empowered teachers have improved sense of belonging to work and their professional commitments increase.

It can be seen that organizational commitment is defined by Meyer and Allen (1997) as the behavior which is shaped by the employees’ relationship with the organization and which allows them to make the decision of becoming a permanent member of the organization; while it is defined by O’Reilly and Chatman (1986) as the degree of accepting the aims of the organization by the employees. Mowday, Steers and Porter (1982) define the concept of organizational commitment as the integrity and harmony of the aims of organization and employees; whereas Luthans (1995) states that it is an attitude of the employees regarding their loyalty towards the organization. Robbins (1993) describes organizational commitment
as the identification of the employees with the organization and their aims, and the desire of the employees to continue their memberships within the organization. In terms of organizational commitment, while the commitment to organizational aims come into prominence in the definitions of Mowday, Steers and Porter (1982), and O’Reilly and Chatman (1986), Meyer and Allen (1997) emphasized the types of organizational commitment, and Luthans (1995) gave importance to the concept of “devotion”. Based on all these definitions, the concept of organizational commitment can be defined as the indigenization of organizational aims by the employees, their dedication to these aims, and the identification of their aims with those of the organization.

The members of the organization are constantly in interaction with each other. This situation is influential on the employees in discovering each other and revealing their knowledge, skills and abilities. For this reason, in the recruitment of employees, organizations try to select the most appropriate person for the culture of the organization. However, bringing the right staff in the organization is a very difficult task. Yet, it is more difficult to keep this staff within the organization for a long time. While employees get into a number of economic expectations from the organization, they also expect many things regarding the working conditions, job satisfaction, work experience, personal needs, and many other expectations related to the organization. Meeting all these needs is not an easy task. The existence of employees within organizations for years, and sometimes during lifetime can be explained by organizational commitment (Samadov, 2006). Nonetheless, it is important for the individual to obtain a certain reward or output from the organization in their organizational commitment (Balci, 2003).

According to Mowday et al. (1979), the attachment of employees to a certain organization and recognizing themselves with that organization and devoting themselves to it is organizational commitment. Organizational devotion can be classified as value, work and coherence commitment. Value commitment involves the acceptance of organizational aims and values with strong faith; work commitment involves the commitment for the sake of the organization; and coherence commitment involves volunteering to remain as a member of an organization (Chang & Chang, 2008).

Katz and Kahn (1977) discuss the employee’s commitment to the organization in two groups as instrumental and narrative. Instrumental commitment is, in a sense, related to external rewards. In narrative commitment, there are internal rewards and it is not possible for other organizations to impress employees that are committed to the organization with narrative commitment. Mowday, Steers and Porter (1979) discuss organizational commitment as attitude commitment and behavior commitment. Attitude commitment refers to the identification of the employee with the aims and values of the organization and performing accordingly. Behavior commitment refers to the state of staying in the organization considering the damage that the employee may cause in the event of resigning (Nayir, 2013). Organizational commitment can be classified in three ways as; (1) professional commitment; performing the job with passion and identification with the profession; (2) commitment to colleagues; the identification of the individual with other employees and feeling commitment to them; and (3) commitment to work; the feeling that the employee feels for their work (Gozen, 2007; Basyigit, 2006; Meyer, Allen & Gellatly, 1990). As can be seen, researchers have examined commitment in different ways. In this study, organizational commitment is examined as affective, continuance and normative commitment. These are explained below:

**Affective commitment:** Affective commitment of the employee to the organization refers to the integration of the employee with the organization. The employee considers the organization as a family and regards themselves as a member of this family. The employee
continues in the organization not because they need to be a member of the organization but because they really want to (Allen and Meyer, 1990, 1996; Boezeman & Ellemers, 2008; Meyer & Allen, 1997; Meyer, Stanley, Herscovitch, & Topolnytsky, 2002; Mowday, Steers & Porter, 1982).

**Continuance commitment:** In this type of commitment, employees approach the organization utterly with a cost-benefit understanding. There are three factors that allow this type of commitment to be formed in the employee. These are: (a) The rewards that employees hope for as a result of the investments made in time within the context of seniority, time and labor; (b) The fact that employees have little or no alternative of finding a job in another organization; (c) Due to the fact that the employee is satisfied with their salary and that material facilities of the organization is diverse and attractive, the employee does not want to lose them (Karakus, 2008). As can be noticed, material elements are an effective element in the continuance of the employee within the organization.

**Normative commitment:** The employee is bound to the organization by the sense of responsibility as they think that staying in the organization is the best and most moral choice. Three factors are effective in the formation of this commitment. These are: (a) Employee’s family, cultural and organizational values, (b) The norms of doing good to good and evil to evil that stem from the social contract understanding of the employee; and (c) Psychological contract that is the expression of the mutual responsibilities between the employee and the organization and of those between the employee and the administrator (Meyer & Allen, 1991).

As can be seen, the commitment of employees can increase their organizational efficiency. Therefore, they make more efforts for the organization. Increasing the commitments of employees can be achieved through their empowerment. In an organization where empowerment is implemented, employees will work together in harmony and be able to solve problems together. This spirit of unity can play an important role in increasing the continuance and development of the organization. Organizations can ensure their continuity by means of their entrepreneur and responsible members that can renew themselves (Meyer & Schoorman, 1992; San, 2017).

It can be said that as empowerment improves the sense of belonging and commitment to profession, professional work satisfaction levels, motivations and organizational commitments of teachers working in the schools of the school administrators empowering their teachers increase and their abilities of cooperating, communicating and decision-making are at a high level because self-confidence and work performance of teachers strengthened by their school administrators increase (Goyne et al.1999). According to Payne and Wolfson (2000), teachers consider their school administrators as a resource for supporting, informing and improving them professionally. This perception of school administrators by their teachers puts the administrators in an important position within schools, which can be made possible by the empowerment of teachers by their administrators. If school administrators expect success in their schools, they should empower their teachers (Acaray, 2010) and thus, increase their teachers’ organizational commitment. When the literature was reviewed, it was revealed that the studies were conducted on teachers’ psychological empowerment and their commitment levels [e.g. Bogler, Ronit & Somech (2004); Lanschinger et al. (2009); Jha (2011); Joo & Shimm (2010)]. The studies were on psychological empowerment and commitment levels of teachers. There is no research on these two topics (behavioral empowerment and commitment). If you empower the teachers, he/she will be committed to his/her school. So He/she will work better and more efficiently, will be motivated to work. Research results are therefore important. However, in this research, it was aimed to determine
the relationship between teachers’ behavioral empowerment and their organizational commitment levels. Based on this general objective, the following questions were aimed to be answered:

1. What are the empowerment and organizational commitment perception levels of teachers?
2. Do teachers’ empowerment and organizational commitment perception levels show a significant difference according to seniority, gender and branch variables?
3. Is there a significant relationship between empowerment and organizational commitment perception levels of teachers?

2. Method

This study, which aimed to reveal the relationship between the empowerment of teachers by high school administrators and teachers’ commitment to school, was designed in relational screening model (Balci, 2009; Karasar, 1991). The purpose of screening model researches is to picture the current situation related to the subject of the research and make a description about it (Buyukozturk et al. 2008). In this research, it was aimed to describe the relationship between the empowerment of teachers by Anatolian High School administrators and teachers’ commitment to school according to the views of teachers.

2.1. Study Group

Prior to collecting the data of the research, official permission was received from Aydin Provincial Directorate of National Education and then, the researcher collected the data by personally going to the schools. The study group of the research was composed of 188 voluntary teachers who worked in all the public Anatolian High Schools in Aydin province during 2017 year. The number of female teachers participating in the study were 104 (55.3%) and male teachers were 84 (44.7%). 24 of these teachers had a seniority between 1-10 years (12.8%), 61 of them had a seniority between 11-20 years (32.4%), 75 of them had a seniority between 21-30 years (39.9%), and 28 of them had a seniority between 31-40 years (14.9%). When the branches of the participant teachers were analyzed, it was revealed that 93 of the teachers (49.5%) were the teachers of verbal courses, 69 of them (36.7%) were the teachers of numeric courses, and 26 of them (13.8%) were the teachers of skills courses.

2.2. Data Collection Tool

In the study, “Behavioral Teacher Empowerment Scale” and “Organizational Commitment Scale” were used as the data collection tools. The scales used in the research are described in detail below.

Behavioral Teacher Empowerment Scale: The Scale, which was used in order to reveal the empowerment levels of teachers by school administrators, was developed by Kiral (2015). In the scale, five-point Likert grading was used as between “Always (5), Usually (4), Sometimes (3), Rarely (2) and Never (1)”. In the construct validity analysis performed by Kiral (2015), it was revealed that the scale was composed of 5 dimensions. In the scale, there was a total of 30 items; 5 items in “delegation of authority” dimension, 4 items in “administrative support” dimension, 9 items in “participation in decision making” dimension, 8 items in “teamwork” dimension, and 4 items in “communication” dimension. The scale did not include any reverse-coded items. The Cronbach’s Alpha internal consistency coefficient was found between .87 and .96 in the dimensions. As the study was carried out on the teachers for “Behavioral Teacher Empowerment Scale”, construct validity analysis was not performed again in this study. However, the Cronbach’s Alpha coefficients for this study were found to vary between .90 and .95 in the dimensions. These values obtained were the indicator of
adequate validity and reliability for the research. According to Tavsancil (2014), it is adequate to have alpha values between .60 and .80 to claim that the scale has valid reliability values. Therefore, these values obtained are the sign of the fact that the scale has high reliability (Ural & Kilic, 2005; Balci, 2009).

Organizational Commitment Scale: The Scale was developed by Meyer and Allen (1991). The scale was a 5-point Likert type scale as between “I totally disagree (1), I disagree (2), I am neutral (3), I agree (4) and I totally agree (5).” The scale was composed of 18 items and 3 dimensions as “Affective Commitment, Continuance Commitment and Normative Commitment.” Four items in the scale were reverse-coded. The scale was adapted to Turkish language and the validity and reliability analyses were performed by Baysal and Paksoy (1999), and it was used by many researchers (Wasti, 2000; Kurtulmus, 2014; Ozbakir, 2015, etc.). In the reliability study conducted by the researchers, it was determined that the Cronbach’s Alpha values of the scale varied between .66 and .81 in the dimensions. The Cronbach’s Alpha values in the reliability analysis performed for this research were found to be between .73 and .80.

2.3. Data Analysis

In the analysis of research data; frequency, percentage, mean, parametric (t-test and ANOVA) tests and correlation tests were used. The personal information of teachers was determined with frequency and percentage; their empowerment and commitment levels were determined with mean and standard deviation; whether teachers' behavioral empowerment and commitment levels differed significantly according to independent variables (gender, seniority, branch) was determined with parametrical difference tests (t-test and ANOVA) as the data provided the norms of normality; and Tukey test was used in order to determine which groups the difference stemmed from as a result of ANOVA. The norms of normality were determined by central tendency measures and it was revealed that skewness and kurtosis coefficients of the data groups were between +1 and -1. (p > .05) (Can, 2015; Ural & Kilic, 2005). Pearson Product-moment Correlation Coefficient was used to determine the relationship between teachers’ behavioral empowerment and their commitment. In the analysis, the fact that the correlation coefficient was between 0.00-0.29 was interpreted as low, and that the correlation coefficient was between 0.30-0.69 was interpreted as moderate, and that the correlation coefficient was between 0.70-1.00 was interpreted as high (Buyukozturk, 2008). The statistics revealed were tested at .05 significance level.

3. Findings

The mean and standard deviation scores of the responses that the participants gave to the scales so as to reveal teachers’ empowerment by school administrators and organizational commitment levels of teachers were calculated and the results obtained were given in Table 1.

Table 1. The empowerment and organizational commitment perception levels of teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>( \bar{X} )</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empowerment</td>
<td>Delegation of authority</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administrative support</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teamwork</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment</td>
<td>Affective</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continuance</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As could be seen in Table 1, teachers perceived empowerment in administrative support dimension at the highest level ($\bar{X}=3.86$, $S=.78$), which was followed by teamwork ($\bar{X}=3.57$, $S=.98$), participation in decision making ($\bar{X}=3.55$, $S=.87$), delegation of authority ($\bar{X}=3.53$, $S=.88$), and communication ($\bar{X}=2.96$, $S=.99$) dimensions, respectively. Their overall empowerment perception level is good ($\bar{X}=3.52$, $S=.81$). When teachers' school commitment levels were investigated, it was found that they had affective commitment at the highest level ($\bar{X}=3.18$, $S=.54$), which was followed by continuance commitment ($\bar{X}=2.94$, $S=.55$), and normative commitment ($\bar{X}=2.83$, $S=.73$), respectively. Teachers' overall school commitment level was above average ($\bar{X}=2.99$, $S=.42$).

As a result of the tests conducted according to teachers' gender (t-test), seniority and branch (ANOVA test) variables, it was concluded that there was not a significant difference between teachers' empowerment levels. While there was not a significant difference in the tests performed regarding the teachers' commitment levels according to branch variable, it was concluded that there were significant differences according to gender and seniority variables. The results of t-test performed in order to reveal the perceptions of teachers regarding their commitment levels according to gender were given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\bar{X}$</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affective</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td></td>
<td>186</td>
<td>.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Commitment</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When Table 2 was examined, it could be seen that there was not a significant difference in the other dimensions of commitment except for continuance commitment dimension according to teachers' gender [$t(186)=2.494$, $p<.05$]. It was found that the perceptions of female teachers regarding continuance commitment ($\bar{X}=3.03$, $S=.54$) were significantly higher than those of male teachers ($\bar{X}=2.83$, $S=.55$). The results of ANOVA test performed so as to reveal the perceptions of teachers regarding their commitment level according to their seniority were given in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Seniority</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\bar{X}$</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Sig. Diff.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affective</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.165</td>
<td>.026*</td>
<td>2-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-30 years</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.476</td>
<td>.223</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-30 years</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td></td>
<td>.323</td>
<td>.808</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-30 years</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td></td>
<td>245</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-30 years</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When Table 3 was examined, it was revealed that there was not a significant difference in the other dimensions of commitment except for affective commitment dimension according to teachers’ seniority \(F(3,184)=3.165; p<.05\). Tukey multiple comparison test was performed in order to determine from which seniority group the difference stemmed and it was found that affective commitment levels of teachers with 31-40 years of seniority were higher than those of teachers with 11-20 years of seniority (X=3.03, S=.55). Correlation test results regarding the relationship between the empowerment of teachers by school administrators and teachers’ perceptions of organizational commitment were given in Table 4.

Table 4. Pearson Product-moment Correlation Coefficient results regarding the relationship between the empowerment of teachers by school administrators and organizational commitments of teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>.716**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team</td>
<td>.713**</td>
<td>.725**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>.625**</td>
<td>.601**</td>
<td>.764**</td>
<td>.782**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>.119</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>.732**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative</td>
<td>-.048</td>
<td>-.042</td>
<td>-.063</td>
<td>-.081</td>
<td>-.070</td>
<td>.260**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance</td>
<td>.165*</td>
<td>.175*</td>
<td>.169*</td>
<td>.081</td>
<td>.584**</td>
<td>.365**</td>
<td>.113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** p<.01 and * p<.05

When Table 4 was examined, it could be seen that there were positive, high and moderate level relationships between among the dimensions of empowerment and that the highest relationship was between the participation in decisions and communication dimensions (r=.84). It was found that there were moderate and low level relationships among the dimensions of commitment and that the highest relationship was between affective and continuance commitment dimensions (r=.37). It was also revealed that there were significant, positive relationships among the dimensions of empowerment and dimensions of commitment, and it was determined that the highest relationship was between communication and affective commitment dimensions (r=.73).

4. Conclusion and Discussion

When the results of the research were examined, it was concluded that the highest empowerment was in administrative support dimension. In the study conducted by Kiral (2015), the teachers stated that their administrators exhibited empowerment in administrative support dimension at most. Both studies are similar in this respect. It was also concluded in the analyses performed that there was not a significant difference among the dimensions of empowerment according to gender variable. This finding of the research is in parallel with the researches conducted. In the research conducted by Gardenhour (2008), the relationship between work setting and empowerment according to the perceptions of teachers was investigated. According to the research, it was found that gender did not have a significant relationship with empowerment. In addition to this research, it was revealed in the researches carried out by Kiral (2015), Short and Rinehart (1992) that there was not a significant difference in the empowerment of teachers according to gender. In the research, it was concluded in the analyses performed that there was not a significant difference among the dimensions of empowerment according to seniority variable. Similarly, in the research conducted by Dincer (2013), it was revealed as a result of the responses given by teachers that formal authority using behaviors of school principals did not show a significant difference according to seniority. No significant difference was found between the views of senior teachers and the views of teachers with high seniority. In the research carried out by Egriboyun (2013) on the administrators and teachers working in secondary education...
Institutions, it was determined that seniority variable did not show a significant difference in the administrative support dimension in the administrators and teachers. In the researches conducted by Kiral (2015) and Aslan (2006), it was found that seniority did not reveal a significant difference in empowerment.

In the current research, it was concluded that the highest level of commitment was in affective commitment dimension. The results of the researches by Balcik (2018), Balay (2000), Kursunoglu, Bakay and Tanriogen (2010), Maral (2015), Meyer, Stanley and Parfyonova (2012), Odabasi (2014), and Ozbakir (2015) were found to be similar with the current research. The fact that teachers’ affective commitment mean score was the highest is a positive and desirable result because the employee with high affective commitment is identified with the organization and enjoys being a member of the organization (Allen & Meyer, 1996). The fact that affective commitment was higher than other dimensions of commitment may be the indicator that teachers are satisfied with the school they work for, that they identify themselves with the school, and that they are struggling for the success and development of the school. While there was not a significant difference in the analyses performed regarding the commitment levels of teachers according to branch variable, it was concluded that there were significant differences according to gender and seniority variables. As a result of t test performed regarding gender variable, it was concluded that there was a significant difference between the views of female and male teachers in continuance commitment. While there are researches similar to current research revealing that commitment did not differ according to gender variable [Balcik (2018), Kiral and Kacar (2016), Kurtulmus (2014), Ozbakir (2015), Sharma, Mohapatra and Rai (2013), Yuksel (2015)]; there are also researches revealing that commitment differed according to gender [Aksanaklu (2018), Gok (2014), Odabasi (2014), Maral (2015), Meyer, Stanley and Parfyonova (2012), Scandura and Lankau (1997)]. When teachers’ state of commitment was examined, it was concluded that teachers with 31-40 years of seniority had higher affective commitment levels than teachers with 11-20 years of seniority. In the studies by Aksanaklu (2018), Balcik (2018), and Allen and Meyer (1991), it was found that there was a significant difference according to seniority.

It was revealed that there were positive, high and moderate level relationships among the dimensions of empowerment and that the highest relationship was between participation in the decisions dimension and communication dimension. It was found that there was a moderate and low level relationships between the dimensions of commitment, and that the highest relationship was between affective commitment dimension and continuance commitment dimension. It was also revealed that there were positive, low, moderate level and high, significant relationships between the dimensions of empowerment and the dimensions of commitment. The highest relationship was found between communication and affective commitment dimensions.

When the studies investigating the relationship between empowerment and commitment were examined, it could be seen that the studies focused mainly on psychological empowerment. In fact, no similar study was found investigating the relationship between “behavioral empowerment and commitment”. In the study conducted by Bogler, Ronit and Somech (2004) on secondary school and high school teachers in Israel, they examined the relationships among teacher empowerment, teachers’ organizational commitment, professional commitment and organizational citizenship. As a result of the research, a significant relationship was found between teachers’ empowerment perception levels and their organizational-professional commitment and organizational citizenship behavior. The positive relationship between psychological empowerment and organizational commitment is similar to the studies conducted by Lanschinger et al. (2009), Joo and Shimm (2010), San
(2017), and Jha (2011). While Jha (2011) found a significant relationship between psychological empowerment and affective commitment and normative commitment dimensions of organizational commitment, he revealed that there was not a relationship with continuance commitment dimension. As a result of the research, no positive relationship was found between psychological empowerment and affective commitment dimension of organizational commitment, and in the meaning, competence and autonomy perceptions of psychological empowerment.

According to the results of the research, administrators can increase in-school activities and organizational associations in order to enhance continuance commitment levels of male teachers. So as to increase affective commitment levels of teachers with 11-20 years of seniority, platforms where they will be able to share ideas with experienced teachers can be created. By enhancing their communication with teachers, school administrators can help teachers increase their school commitment levels. The same research can be conducted in different school types and the difference between the views of teachers can be revealed. With the researches carried out by using mixed method, administrator strategies that will enable teachers to be committed to their schools can be revealed. By using the Psychological Empowerment Scale, various researches can be conducted aiming to determine organizational commitment levels of teachers.
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