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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to determine special education teachers’ self-efficacy belief levels toward science. In the study, an explanatory mixed method was used in which quantitative and qualitative research methods were involved together. This study included both survey and case study methodology. To determine the level of special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science, the “Self-Efficacy Beliefs toward Science Scale” developed and adapted in 1990 by Riggs and Enochs was used. This scale was translated to Turkish by Bıkmaz (2004). The main data of the study were collected through a survey by 115 special education teachers in Kirşehir in Turkey during the spring semester of 2017–2018 academic year. In addition, semi-structured interviews were conducted with four special education teachers selected from the main study. When the results of the study were examined, it was seen that the teachers’ level of self-efficacy beliefs toward science was at a neutral level. According to the results of qualitative data analysis, teachers stated that they felt sufficiently prepared for their science course and that they affected the competency levels of their students’ performances. In addition, special education teachers stated that they experienced no problems in some subjects they experienced problems in other subjects. Special education teachers believed that if the teacher gives a lesson in accordance with students’ level, he/she can have a positive impact on the student, and if the teacher likes the science course, the success of the student would increase.
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INTRODUCTION

People are born with individual differences. Individuals with special needs to maintain their lives independently and productively need to acquire the skills required for daily life (Idol, 2006). It is important that individuals with special needs should be completely independent to participate in social roles (Harry and Klingner, 2014). This may be possible by determining the educational needs of these individuals by considering their needs and abilities and by providing educational environments according to their own needs (Bennett, 1986; Deno, 1990; Meyer & Rose, 2000; Wilson, 2018). Every child has the right to an education (i.e. Article 42 of the Constitution of the Republic of Turkey, 1982; First Protocol, Article 2 of the Human Rights Act of United Kingdom, 1998; The Constitution of India [Eighty-sixth Amendment], 2002 inserted Article 21-A; United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, 1989). Children should be provided with an education regardless of whether they are healthy or disabled. Given the fact that, the development of children with disabilities is different from the development of the other children, appropriate training should be given in accordance with their characteristics (Ashman & Conway, 2017; Snow, 2017). Special education is essential to improve the situation of children with special needs (Ashman & Conway, 2017). Special education is the education of students with special needs given by specialist personnel in an environment that is organized according to the characteristics of disabled individuals and children (Kauffman et al., 2018).

Inclusive education is where all students are trained together. Sebba and Sachdev (1997) described inclusive education as the process of restructuring an educational institution to provide all students with an opportunity to achieve equality. From this definition, inclusive education means giving children the right to receive education in a natural environment based on equality. The underlying reason for this is to provide children with the opportunity to study in a natural environment that is not much different from the atmosphere of classes with their peers (Donovan & Cross, 2002; Kauffman et al., 2018). Although all students are considered to have the right to be educated, there are some obstacles to the quality of education. Some of these obstacles are the inadequacy of the physical conditions of the schools, the intensity of the classes, lack of the enrichment of the classes with special educational materials, and the lack of material of the support education classrooms, as well as the lack of teachers who will provide special education (Kauffman, et al., 2018; Turnbull et al., 2013). Considering the content of these obstacles related to teachers about the success of teachers, lack of knowledge, skills, and abilities of teachers, pedagogical deficiencies, and low levels of self-efficacy beliefs related to their professions are the leading factors that significantly affect the quality of education and student achievement (Bandura, 1977). Among these factors, the level of self-efficacy beliefs of
teachers is frequently emphasized in the literature. Considering the related literature, it has been highlighted that teachers have high or low levels of self-efficacy beliefs which affect both teachers’ and students’ motivations and interests toward science course (e.g. Ateş & Saylan, 2015; Bandura, 1986; Pajares, 2002; Yildiz et al., 2018; Zajacove et al., 2005). According to Bandura (1986), the concept of self-efficacy is defined as the individual’s own self-judgment on the individual’s ability to perform certain tasks or duties.

**The Purpose and Importance of the Study**

The judgments of special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs related to making up a shortage of special needs children constituted the basis for this study. The aim of this study was to determine the special education teachers’ self-efficacy belief levels toward their science course. It is of great importance that teachers have high levels of self-efficacy beliefs to ensure that special education teachers struggle with the difficulties they face in science courses. In addition, it is important the motivation, and academic success levels of the students are kept high during the education-teaching process. Another reason for the importance of this issue is that the number of students who require special education increases every year. According to National Center for Education Statistics in United States (2009), while the number of students in need of special education between the ages of 3 and 21 was 4.1 million in 1980 (10% of total students), this number reached 6.5 million in 2009 (13% of total students).

Special education teachers should contribute to the development of students’ knowledge, skills, attitudes, motivation, and academic achievement at all stages of the teaching process as well as in all courses. Considering the related literature, importance of science courses to provide increasing students’ levels of success has been emphasized in many studies (Courtade et al., 2013; Mulvey et al., 2016; Scruggs & Mastropieri, 2007; Steele, 2007; Vannest et al., 2009; Topping et al., 2004). In the comprehensive review of the teaching of science education courses to students with special needs, guidelines were published as science education standards by the National Research Council (1996) in the United States, and some recommendations were presented. The guidelines contain eight topics, including the nature and history of science, the world and space science, science, and technology. Similarly, in Turkey, the importance given to the subject in the science curriculum updated in 2018 was presented in two different ways (Turkish Ministry of National Education, 2018). First, the purpose of the program and its preparation are stated under the title of individual development and education programs. In addition to this, the assessment and evaluation approach of the students with special needs in the curriculum is also included. It is stated that it is not appropriate to provide only a single type of assessment and evaluation method for all students, due to the fact that, individual differences are related to the principles that guide assessment and evaluation practices in educational programs. Because of these reasons, it can be said that the curriculum is a guide in this respect. Since diversity in education is severely affected by internal and external dynamics such as individual, education level, course content, social environment, and school facilities, it is expected from teachers and education practitioners not to prioritize education programs in ensuring the effectiveness of assessment and evaluation practices. It is extremely important to decide which teaching strategies are effective in supporting the learning needs and to have high levels of self-efficacy belief in teaching this subject, along with the aims, objectives, and approaches given in the program as well as the difficulties experienced by science teachers in all levels and contexts (McGinnis, 2013).

**Research Questions**

There were five research questions this study sought to address. Both quantitative and qualitative research methods were used. Two of the research questions were addressed by quantitative research methods:

1. What are the levels of special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science course?
2. Is there a significant difference between the special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science course in terms of demographic variables (gender, department, history of science, and interest in special education)?

Moreover, three of them were addressed by qualitative research methods:

3. How do special education teachers feel themselves when teaching science courses in support education classroom?
4. What are the special education teachers’ views about the effect of teachers’ self-efficacy level on students’ learning?
5. What are the special education teachers’ views about the effect of teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs level toward science course on the student’s academic achievement?

**METHODS**

**Research Design**

To fulfill the purpose of the study, mixed method was used. Among, mixed methods, to obtain the data from the quantitative data of the study, survey methodology is frequently used in the social sciences (Muijs, 2004). Within the scope of this study, the study was carried out with a cross-sectional study method. In a cross-sectional study, data were collected over a sample determined from a predetermined population (Fraenkel et al., 2012). In cases where only one data form obtained by quantitative or qualitative research methods alone in the mixed method are insufficient to reach the expected result, a more detailed analysis of the study is provided (Brewer and Hunter, 1989; Tashakkori et al., 1998).

**Sample**

The main data of the study were collected through a survey by 115 special education teachers ($N_{female} = 72, N_{male} = 43, M_{age} = 34.42$) in Kırşehir in Turkey during the spring semester of 2017–2018 academic year. During the selection of the sample included in the study, convenience sampling was used.
Eighty of the teachers participating in the study work in public schools under the Turkish Ministry of National Education, while 35 work in private education institutions. Descriptive statistical data for demographic variables are given in Table 1.

Selected Study Group for Collecting Qualitative Data
To collect the qualitative data of the study, semi-structured interviews were conducted randomly with four special education teachers who were selected from the quantitative data of the study. In order not to explain the identities of the teachers in the study group, codes were given to them (T1, T2, T3, and T4).

Quantitative Data Collection Tool
Within the scope of this study, the “Personal Information Form,” including information such as gender, age, professional experience, high school, university, and department, and the “Self-Efficacy Beliefs toward Science Scale” developed in 1990 by Riggs and Enochs and adapted to Turkish by Bıkmaz (2004) were used. This scale was prepared as a 5-point Likert type from “strongly agree” to “strongly disagree.” The scale consists of 20 items and two sub-dimensions: “Efficacy belief” and “outcome expectation.”

Qualitative Data Collection Tool
Interview form
The semi-structured interview form was used during the collection of qualitative data. Data were collected with four teachers working in Kırşehir in Turkey. Within the scope of the study, two questions were asked to the teachers during the interview. Questions asked to teachers are listed below.

Validity and reliability of data
The reliability study was conducted in a pilot study with 427 pre-service teachers who were studied in the Department of Early Childhood Education and Primary School Teaching, resulting in a Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient of the scale as = 0.78. The results indicated that internal consistency value is acceptable (Pallant, 2005).

Data Collection
The data were collected from special education teachers by the researchers after the permission received from the Directorate of National Education of Kırşehir. Before the administration of the questionnaires, all participants were given and signed a consent form confirming that they volunteered to participate in this study. The duration of application of the study was about 10 min in quantitative part, and each interview lasted about 30 min during the semi-structured interview.

Analysis of Quantitative and Qualitative Data
The analysis of the quantitative data obtained within the scope of the study was analyzed with SPSS version 22 program. Factor analysis, inferential statistics, and descriptive analysis were used to analyze these data. During the descriptive analysis of the data in the study, central tendency measurements such as mode, median, percentage, frequency, and standard deviation were used. In addition, the number of the group formula (Ranj/Group Number) formula to determine the intervals by the descriptive analysis of the interpretation was made. In addition, independent samples t-test and one-way ANOVA were used during inferential statistics. Some analyses were performed before applying exploratory factor analysis. KMO values of these analyzes were found to be 0.744 (Kaiser, 1974) and Barlett value was found as significant (Bartlett, 1954) (X² = 764.996, df = 171, p < 0.05). These results indicate that the data were appropriate for factor analysis (Pallant, 2005). According to the results of the factor analysis, the two dimensions (Efficacy Beliefs and Outcome Expectation) were found in which the eigenvalue value was over 1, and the results explained 39.98% of the total variance. Each of the two sub-dimensions obtained as a result of the factor analysis contains ten items. Data toward qualitative research were analyzed with content analysis.

FINDINGS

Findings toward Quantitative Analysis
Special education teachers’ self-efficacy belief levels toward science course
The factors, the items, and the descriptive statistical data for these items related to the self-efficacy beliefs of the special education teachers toward the science course are shown in Table 2.
According to the results of descriptive analysis, the average of special education teachers in terms of self-efficacy beliefs toward science courses was 3.33 out of 5. When the results are examined in terms of items, 74% of special education teachers reported that they would generally welcome students’ questions while teaching science courses (M = 3.80). However, many teachers (70%) think that students’ success in science courses is directly related to teachers’ effectiveness in science teaching (M = 3.64). In addition, most of the teachers in the study (66%) think that the shortcomings of a student can be solved with good science teaching (M = 3.64).

**Investigation of the difference between special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science course in terms of demographic characteristics**

To examine the differences between the special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs in terms of demographic characteristics, analyses were conducted based on the variables of interest in gender, education department, reasons for choosing this department, residential area, science background, and interest toward special education. Independent samples t-test analysis were conducted to examine the difference between special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs about...
science courses. The findings of the analysis are presented in Table 3.

According to Table 3, there was no significant difference between special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science courses in terms of gender \([t(113) = 1.492, p > 0.05]\).

One-way ANOVA was conducted to examine the difference between special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science courses. The findings of the analysis are presented in Table 4.

According to Table 4, there was a significant difference between the self-efficacy beliefs of the special education teachers toward science course \([F(3-114) = 3.182, p < 0.05]\). When this difference was examined, the highest average belonged to people who graduated from elementary school teaching \((M = 3.49)\) and the lowest to those people who marked as others \((M = 3.08)\). In addition, the average of teachers who graduated from special education \((M = 3.38)\) was higher than teachers who graduated from elementary school teaching and lower than those who graduated from pre-school teaching \((M = 3.34)\). To measure the magnitude of this difference, the effect size was examined and found to be 0.07. This value shows that the difference has a medium size effect (Cohen, 1988). Data on means are shown in Table 5.

Independent samples t-test analysis was conducted to examine the difference between the special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs in terms of having a science background or not. The findings of the analysis are presented in Table 6.

According to Table 6, there was no significant difference between the special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs in terms of having a science background or not \([t(113) = 0.087, p > 0.05]\).

Independent samples t-test analyses were conducted to examine the difference between special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science courses (interest in special education subject). The findings of the analysis are presented in Table 7.

According to Table 7, there was no significant difference between the special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science course in terms of interest in special education subject \([t(113) = 0.255, p > 0.05]\).

**Findings Related to Qualitative Analysis**

**Do special education teachers see themselves qualified when they teach science subjects?**

In the scope of the study, first of all, it was asked to do special education teachers see themselves qualified to teach science subjects. When the answers were examined, the teachers T1 and T2 believed that they were qualified, while T3 and T4 stated that they felt unqualified in terms of teaching the science. They also stated that they felt unqualified in terms of content knowledge. In addition, special education teachers stated that they experienced problems in certain subjects, which were hard for them, and they also stated that they could easily teach not difficult science subjects. An example of teachers’ answers to this question is shown below:

*I graduated from department of primary school teaching. Even if I graduated from special education teaching, it won’t be a problem. We became a special education teacher with the certificate. If I am a special education teacher, I do not think that I can be useful to the mainstreaming students in the science education field. In addition, my benefit to students depends on students’ academic performance. (T1)*

**Table 3: Investigation of the difference between special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science course in terms of gender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: One-way ANOVA results explaining special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science course**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variance</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>(\eta^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within groups</td>
<td>29.34</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31.87</td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5: Self-efficacy score average in terms of department teachers graduated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-school teaching</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school teaching</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special education</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6: Difference between the special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs in terms of having a science background or not**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7: Difference between special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science course (interest in special education subject)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Qualification in the planning and preparation of science courses

Special education teachers were asked about their qualifications in the planning and preparation phase of the science course related to the previous question. According to the answers from the teachers, they stated that they felt sufficient about their planning and preparation, but they experienced problems related to the applicability of the planning and that the students had problems about what subjects they should learn. An example of teachers’ answers to this question is shown below:

I can make an appropriate plan and preparation, but I can have difficulty applying to it. (T1)

Qualification related to experimentation in science courses

Special education teachers were asked whether they felt sufficient about the ability to set up and implement experiments while teaching science. When the answers of the teachers were examined, teachers T1 and T3 stated that they did not give much place to experimentation as they were giving priority to the skills students need to learn for daily life. T2 and T4 stated that they could prepare experiments based on the level and competence of the students and emphasized the experiments that would meet the needs of the students. For example:

I think I can, because I think I’m enough in that sense. If the subject I teach is intertwined with nature and contains an experiment that I will teach with constructivism, I try to prepare it to help the students I will teach. I’ll try to do as much as I can. (T1)

Teachers’ opinions related to eliminating failure of students with effective teaching method

Teachers’ opinions related to eliminating failure of students with effective teaching methods were given. When the answers were examined, teachers have emphasized that methods and materials should be provided so that students achieve success. Teachers stated that students can be successful in determining the activities that could be applied is provided, three-dimensional materials will provide success, simple observations and experiments, visual materials, fun materials use, experiment, and observation that the methods can be effective in providing success. However, it was among the answers emphasized by the teachers that it may be beneficial to cooperate with science teachers during the construction of these activities, as evidenced below:

I don’t think the gaps will be gone. I had a student who was very interested in music. I taught Turkish lesson and Mathematics lesson with music. If students are interested in science, you can give Turkish and mathematics together with science. It’s important to catch interest. (T2)

Teachers’ self-efficacy status in solving learning problems of students toward science course

It was questioned whether or not teachers found themselves sufficient in solving the students’ problems related to science learning. When the answers were examined, T1 and T2 felt adequate, and T3 and T4 stated that they did not feel sufficient. Teachers who saw themselves sufficient think that if they understood the level of the student, success could be achieved, and the students’ needs could be benefited if it was determined. Teachers who felt inadequate stated that this was due to lack of experience and stated that they did not think that the deficiencies related to learning problems would disappear completely. An example of teachers’ answers to this question is below:

For that moment, I may not be able to solve it. But I can solve problems over time what this child needs, what kind of teaching method can benefit from the technique. (T1)

The effect of teacher’s self-efficacy belief on students’ science learning

In the second question, the teachers were asked whether their self-efficacy beliefs toward science teaching affected the students. When the answers received were examined, special education teachers believed that the teacher could benefit if they understood the level of the students and if teachers like the science, they would positively affect the success of the students. For example:

Affects positively. Because the teacher enjoys doing something that he/she likes. She/he will make a kid happy. This is also the case in society. You can enjoy sharing something you love with someone. And when you transfer it students, they also like. (T2)

The effect of special education teachers’ self-efficacy level toward science course on students’ academic achievement

Questions were asked about the effect of the special education teacher’s self-efficacy level toward science courses on students’ academic success. When the answers were examined, special education teachers stated that if teachers had high self-efficacy beliefs toward science the students could achieve success in their academics and do their homework. They stated that the high level of self-efficacy of the teacher would positively affect students’ academic achievement, as students’ self-confidence would increase. However, they expressed that if the teacher was reluctant; the student might be reluctant and would have a negative effect on their academic success, as shown below:

If we can pass that emotion to the child, we will have a positive impact on in terms of child doing homework if we can keep their self-efficacy beliefs high. (T3)

The effect of self-efficacy level of the special education teacher toward science course on the social acceptance of students in the classroom

It was asked how special education teachers’ self-efficacy belief levels affect students’ social acceptance in the classroom. When the answers received were examined, special education teachers stated that if they learn something in the lesson, students would believe that they would benefit from it and increase their social acceptance. In addition, they stated that if the teacher’s self-efficacy beliefs level toward science was
high, the academic success of the student would be positively affected and the social acceptance of the students will be positively affected, and the student’s self-confidence will be increased, see below:

...the increase in academic achievement of the student may affect the relationship of friends in the classroom by removing the child from the inclusive student profile. (T2)

DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Quantitative research methods and qualitative research methods were used to obtain the objectives of the study.

Results of Quantitative Data of Study

When the results of the study were examined, the average of the 20 items under the “Efficacy Belief” and “Outcome Expectation” dimensions was 3.33 out of 5. According to this result, it is seen that teachers’ self-efficacy belief level towards science is at an undecided level. Nevertheless, most of the teachers stated that they would generally welcome the students’ questions while teaching science. In addition, the success of the students in the science course is directly related to the effectiveness of teachers in science teaching, and students’ deficiencies in science could be solved with good instructional strategies.

When the related studies about the subject were examined, it has been seen that the number of studies on the special education teachers’ or pre-service special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science is limited in the literature (e.g., Aydoğan, 2016). According to the results of the study conducted by Aydoğan (2016), it was found that the self-efficacy beliefs of pre-service special education teachers about science teaching were 3.46 out of 5. In the literature, the number of studies examining special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs on science teaching is limited. About 11% of the special education teachers in this study graduated from pre-school teaching and 50% graduated from the primary school teaching department. For this reason, the results of the studies examining the self-efficacy beliefs of pre-school and classroom teacher teachers or pre-service teachers toward science in Turkey and other countries are also discussed (e.g., Bayraktar, 2011; Bergman & Morpew, 2015; Çorapçıl et al., 2016; Davis et al., 2006; Flores, 2015; Gökgül, 2013; Hechter, 2011; Kazempour, 2014; Kazempour & Sadler, 2015; Knaggs & Sondergeld, 2015; Kurtulus & Çavdar, 2010; Serin & Bayraktar, 2015; Tortop & Çeker, 2014; Wingfield et al., 2000; Yılmaz, 2014). Among studies abroad, in a study conducted by Bergman and Morphey (2015), a pre-service elementary teacher education program at a Midwestern University in USA was arranged to contain a new science content course purposed. A pre-/post-assessment study method was used (154 preservice elementary teachers) completing a Science Teaching Efficacy Belief Instrument for pre-service teachers (STEBI-B) (Bleicher, 2004; Enochs & Riggs, 1990) at the beginning and end of the course. Results between pre- (M = 35.29, SD = 4) and post-assessments (M = 37.47, SD = 4.71) involve statistically significant enhanced in both sub-scales (Personal Science Teaching Efficacy Beliefs and Science Teaching Outcome Expectancy) in STEBI-B scale (t(153) = 4.09, p < 0.001, α = 0.05). Implications contain the role of a science content course specifically prepared for pre-service elementary teachers, as well as suggestions for enhancements and collaboration among faculty in natural sciences and education. In a study conducted by Flores (2015), 30 pre-service elementary teachers from a 4-year university in Southern California in USA enrolled in a field-based science course were involved at a public elementary school for coursework and for teaching practice with them. During the first 10 weeks, pre-service elementary teachers concentrated on placing conceptual understanding of science content and pedagogical methods through innovational curriculum development and other course assignments. Teaching practice with 5th-grade students at the elementary school occurred over a 5-week period toward the end of the course. After the implementation process, it was revealed that levels of pre-service teachers’ personal science teaching efficacy and science teaching outcome expectancy increased significantly (t(29) = 5.710, p < 0.05). Knaggs and Sondergeld (2015) conducted a study with 26 pre-service teachers who study at the departments related to early childhood (n = 21, 80.8%) and middle childhood majors (n = 5, 19.2%) an institution in Northwest Ohio in USA. During the implementation, researchers carried out a science course and measured the self-efficacy beliefs toward science. As a data collection tool, they used STEBI-B instrument, including two sub-scales as “personal efficacy” and “outcome expectancy.” Results of the study revealed that Regarding “personal efficacy,” pre-service teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs significantly increased from before the course ([M = 46.46, SD = 5.01] to after [M = 51.46, SD = 5.67]; t(25) = 5.00, p < 0.001). Similarly, for outcome expectancy sub-scale, their self-efficacy beliefs significantly increased from before the course ([M = 34.85, SD = 2.80] to after [M = 37.12, SD = 3.68]; t(25) = 2.27, p < 0.05). Considering the studies conducted in Turkey, In Gökulu and Koç (2016) study, classroom teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs about science teaching were examined, and according to the results of the study, it was stated that the level of teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs was positive. According to the results of the study conducted by Bayraktar (2011), it was concluded that the levels of pre-service classroom teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs increased in parallel with the grade level. Gökgül (2013) concluded that the level of self-efficacy beliefs of the pre-service classroom teachers is above the mid-level (M = 3.44, 57.38%). Similarly, Meriç and Ersoy (2007) reported that the level of self-efficacy beliefs of the pre-service classroom teachers was between adequate and good (M = 3.28). Vural and Hamurcu (2008) stated that the levels of self-efficacy beliefs of the pre-service classroom teacher increased in parallel with the grade level. When the results of the previous studies are examined, it was emphasized that the levels of self-efficacy beliefs toward science, in general, are moderate, good, or
sufficient. Therefore, it could be said that these results in the literature are similar to the results of the current study. This means that teachers can provide more useful results in science teaching.

Conclusions on the Differences between Self-Efficacy Beliefs in Terms of Demographic Characteristics
In the second research problem of the study, the difference between the special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science in terms of demographic characteristics was examined. Among these variables, gender, department graduated from, science background, and interest in special education were involved to examine the difference between special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science courses.

Conclusions Regarding the Differences between Self-efficacy Beliefs in Terms of the Department
According to the results of the study, the difference between these variables was observed only in terms of the department. Among these departments, the teachers who graduated from primary school teaching had the highest average. In addition, teachers who graduated from special education teaching had a higher average than pre-school teaching. The lowest average belonged to teachers who graduated from other departments. According to the results of the study on the subject, in the literature, it was seen that the results of the studies emerged in favor of primary school teachers or pre-service primary school teachers in the studies in which the primary school teachers were compared with other teachers (Kurtuluş & Çavdar, 2010). As in the literature, the reason of this study is that why teachers who graduated from the classroom teaching department have higher levels of self-efficacy beliefs toward science than the ones who graduated from pre-school and special education is can be that they are interested in science during their undergraduate education and after graduation. In the primary school and secondary school curricula updated in 2018, there are also topics related to science within the scope of the life science lesson, which is one of the courses that classroom teachers teach. For example, environmental awareness, healthy living, plant breeding, and animal feeding, contributing to the recycling of consumed substances and the effects of the shape and movements of the world on human life are the subjects related to science and are included in the scope of life sciences course. In addition, in updated teacher education curriculum in 2018, pre-service primary school teachers receive many courses related to science during their undergraduate education. These courses include environmental education, basic science in primary school, science laboratory applications, and science teaching. For all these reasons, it was seen that there was no significant difference in gender of participants in terms of self-efficacy beliefs toward science courses (Gökulu & Koç, 2016; Meriç & Ersöy, 2007). For example, in a study conducted by Gökulu and Koç (2016), the effect of gender on the self-efficacy of classroom teachers who teach special education courses on science was examined, and it was found that there was no significant difference.

Results of Qualitative Data of Study
In this study, together with the quantitative applications, special education teachers’ views, about self-efficacy beliefs toward science were taken in semi-structured interviews. Among these views, questions were asked about the self-efficacy beliefs levels of the special education teachers and the effect of the teacher’s self-efficacy level toward the science course on students’ success. Special education teachers stated that they experienced problems in certain subjects and subjects, which were hard for them, and they also stated that they could easily teach easy science subjects. Teachers who feel themselves sufficient think that if they understood the level of the student, success could be achieved, and the student’s needs could be benefited if it is determined. Teachers who feel inadequate stated that they were due to lack of experience and stated that they did not think that the deficiencies related to learning problems would disappear completely.

SUGGESTIONS
Considering the limitations of the study including limited number of teachers, data collection tools and time, the following recommendations can be made:

Toward Research to be Conducted
• This study was conducted to determine the level of special education teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs toward science. The application should be repeated in different provinces and districts, and the results could be more reliable.
• Interviews could be conducted with the students’ parents since they should be part of students’ education.

Toward Application
• In the support education classrooms, simple sets of science material can be provided.
• The data of this study were collected in a single time period.
• In subsequent studies, data collection time may be longer.
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