

- and argumentation about biotechnology: An indicator of scientific literacy? *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(11), 1421-1445.
- Dimopoulos, K., & Koulaidis, V. (2003). Science and technology education for citizenship: The potential role of the press. *Science Education*, 87(2), 241-256.
- Dolan, T.J., Nichols, B.H., & Zeidler, D.L. (2009). Using socio scientific issues in primary classrooms. *Journal of Elementary Science Education*, 21(3), 1-12.
- Dori, Y.J., Tal, R.T., & Tsaushu, M. (2003). Teaching biotechnology through case studies-can we improve higher order thinking skills of nonscience majors? *Science Education*, 87, 767-793.
- Driver, R., Newton, P., & Osborne, J. (2000). Establishing the norms of scientific argumentation in classrooms. *Science Education*, 84(3), 287-312.
- Erduran, S., & Jiménez-Aleixandre, M.P. (2007). *Argumentation in Science Education: Perspectives from Classroom-based Research*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.
- Erduran, S., Simon, S., & Osborne, J. (2004). TAPping into argumentation: Developments in the application of Toulmin's argument pattern for studying science discourse. *Science Education*, 88(6), 915-933.
- Foong, C.C., & Esther, G.S. D. (2013). Students' argumentation skills across two socio-scientific issues in a Confucian classroom: Is transfer possible? *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(14), 2331-2355.
- Gay, L.R., Mills, G.E., & Airasian, R. (2006). *Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Applications*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson/Merrill/Prenticehall.
- Hodson, D. (2003). Time for action: Science education for an alternative future. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(6), 645-670.
- Isbilir, E., Cakiroglu, J., & Ertepinar, H. (2014). Pre-service science teachers' written argumentation qualities: From the perspectives of socio-scientific issues, epistemic belief levels and online discussion environment. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 10(5), 371-381.
- Jiménez-Aleixandre, M.P., & Pereiro-Muñoz, C. (2002). Knowledge producers or knowledge consumers? Argumentation and decision making about environmental management. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24(11), 1171-1190.
- Kachan, M.R., Guilbert, S.M., & Bisanz, G.L. (2006). Do teachers ask students to read news in secondary science? Evidence from the Canadian context. *Science Education*, 90(3), 496-521.
- Karışan, D., Yılmaz-Tüzün, Ö., & Zeidler, D.L. (2018). Pre-service teachers' reflective judgment skills in the context of socio-scientific issues based inquiry laboratory course. *Turkish Journal of Education*, 7(2), 99-115.
- Klosterman, M.L., Sadler, T.D., & Brown, J. (2012). Viral news: Media literacy for the 21st century. *Science Scope*, 35(9), 61-69.
- Kolstø, S.D. (2001). To trust or not to trust, pupils' ways of judging information encountered in a socio-scientific issue. *International Journal of Science Education*, 23(9), 877-901.
- Kutulca, A.Y. (2016). Fen Bilgisi Öğretmen Adaylarının Sosyo-bilimsel Argümantasyon Kaliteleri ile Bilimin Doğası Anlayışları Arasındaki İlişkinin İncelenmesi [The Investigation of the Relationship Between Pre-service Science Teachers' Quality of Socioscientific Argumentation and the Nature of Science Understanding]. Unpublished Doctorate Thesis. Kastamonu, Turkey: Kastamonu University.
- Marshall, C., & Rossman, G.B. (2006). *Designing Qualitative Research*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Martin, A.M., & Hand, B. (2009). Factors affecting the implementation of argument in the elementary science classroom: A longitudinal case study. *Research in Science Education*, 39, 17-38.
- Merriam, S.B. (2009). *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation*. 3rd ed. San Francisco, CA: Jossey Bass.
- Nielsen, J.A. (2012). Arguing from nature: The role of "nature" in students' argumentations on a socio-scientific issue. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(5), 723-744.
- Nussbaum, E.M., & Edwards, O.V. (2011). Critical questions and argument stratagems: A framework for enhancing and analyzing students' reasoning practices. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 20(3), 443-488.
- Oulton, C., Dillon, J., & Grace, M.M. (2004). Reconceptualizing the teaching of controversial issues. *International Journal of Science Education*, 26(4), 411-423.
- Öztürk, N., & Erabdan, H. (2018). Fen bilgisi öğretmen adaylarının gazetelerde yer alan sosyo-bilimsel konulara yönelik farkındalıklarının incelenmesi. [Investigation of pre-service science teachers' awareness of socio-scientific issues appearing in newspapers]. *Sakarya University Journal of Education*, 8(4), 319-336.
- Öztürk, N., Eş, H., & Turgut, H. (2017). How gifted students reach decisions in socio-scientific issues? Warrants, information sources and role of media. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 9(4), 1111-1124.
- Patton, M.Q. (2002). *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Ratcliffe, M., & Grace, M. (2003). *Science Education for Citizenship: Teaching Socio-Scientific Issues*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Reznitskaya, A., Anderson, R.C., Mcnurlen, B., Nguyen-Jahiel, K., Archodidou, A., & Kim, S.Y. (2001). Influence of oral discussion on written argument. *Discourse Processes*, 32(2-3), 155-175.
- Sadler, T.D. (2004). Informal reasoning regarding socioscientific issues: A critical review of research. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41(5), 513-536.
- Sadler, T.D., & Zeidler, D.L. (2005). Patterns of informal reasoning in the context of socioscientific decision-making. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42(1), 112-138.
- Sadler, T.D., Amirshokoohi, A., Kazempour, M., & Allspaw, K.M. (2006). Socioscience and ethics in science classrooms: Teacher perspectives and strategies. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 43(4), 353-376.
- Sadler, T.D., Barab, S.A., & Scott, B. (2007). What do students gain by engaging in socioscientific inquiry? *Research in Science Education*, 37(4), 371-391.
- Sampson, V., & Clark, D. (2009). The impact of collaboration on the outcomes of scientific argumentation. *Science Education*, 93(3), 448-484.
- Thelwall, M. (2018). Social media analytics for YouTube comments: Potential and limitations. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 21(3), 303-316.
- Topçu, M.S., & Atabey, N. (2017). Sosyobilimsel konu içerikli alan gezilerinin ilköğretim öğrencilerinin argümantasyon nitelikleri üzerine etkisi [The effect of socioscientific issues based field trips on elementary school students' argumentation quality]. *Bartın University Journal of Faculty of Education*, 6(1), 68-84.
- Topcu, M.S., Sadler, T.D., & Yılmaz-Tuzun, O. (2010). Preservice science teachers' informal reasoning about socioscientific issues: The influence of issue context. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(13), 2475-2495.
- Turun, F., & Şahin, S. (2016). Determining the student's argument levels in social studies course based on argumentation. *Education and Science*, 41(186), 233-251.
- Venville, G.J., & Dawson, V.M. (2010). The impact of a classroom intervention on grade 10 students' argumentation skills, informal reasoning, and conceptual understanding of science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47(8), 952-977.
- Von Aufschnaiter, C., Erduran, S., Osborne, J., & Simon, S. (2008). Arguing to learn and learning to argue: Case studies of how students' argumentation relates to their scientific knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(1), 101-131.
- Zeidler, D.L. (1997). The central role of fallacious thinking in science education. *Science Education*, 81(4), 483-496.
- Zeidler, D.L. (2014). Socioscientific issues as a curriculum emphasis: Theory, research and practice. In: Lederman, N.G., & Abell, S.K. (Eds.), *Handbook of Research in Science Education*. Vol. 2. New York: Routledge. p697-726.
- Zeidler, D.L., & Keefer, M. (2003). The role of moral reasoning and the status of socioscientific issues in science education: Philosophical, psychological and pedagogical considerations. In: Zeidler, D.L. (Ed.), *The Role of Moral Reasoning on Socioscientific Issues and Discourse in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer. p7-38.
- Zohar, A. (2007). Science teacher education and professional development in argumentation. In: Erduran, S., & Jiménez-Aleixandre, M.P. (Eds.), *Argumentation in Science Education: Perspectives from Classroom-based Research*. Dordrecht: Springer. p245-268.
- Zohar, A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students' knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(1), 35-62.