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Abstract

In this study it was intended to investigate the Boredom and satisfaction perceptions of the teachers who participate / do not participate in recreational activities during their leisure time in Konya. The screening model was used in the study, 390 questionnaire forms were distributed to the participants. As the result of the review, 300 of the questionnaires were found to be worth for analyzing after excluding those which were invalid due to the following reasons marking the same options or leaving the questionnaire incomplete. The information of 300 participants (46.7% male n=140, 53.3% female n=160) were taken into consideration. The Leisure Boredom Scale whose Turkish adaptation was made by Kara, Gürbüz and Öncü was used as data collection tool in the research. The boredom and satisfaction perceptions of the participants in their leisure time were evaluated in terms of the age, gender, income level and the frequency of participation. In the study significant difference was observed at the significance level in the leisure boredom perception according to variables age, gender, and the frequency of participation. In terms of these variables significant difference was not observed in the satisfaction perceptions. On the contrary, in terms of the income level variable while significant difference wasn’t observed in the leisure boredom perception, in the satisfaction perception significant difference was observed. At the participation point of the educational administrators and teachers in leisure time activities contribution can be ensured to the satisfaction perception against the boredom with the necessary planning and implementing possibilities.
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1. Introduction

All the behaviours in a society are carried out in the institutions which make up that society. People fulfil their roles and relationships through these institutions and learn from them. These are the institutions which have the feature to be the most important to the welfare of the individual and society; family, education, economy, politics, religion and the leisure time evaluation (Fichter, 1996). When considering from this point of view, the institution of the leisure time evaluation which one of the six basic institutions is universal, compulsory and important (Aydn, 1997).

The concept of time should be addressed in the studies conducted on the issue of leisure time or leisure time evaluation. Time has a great importance since the creation of the mankind and the share of the time understanding is great in the human development. It was the same for the societies also. The societies who arrange their working lives social relations, recreation and entertainment habits on this issue of time in this way are more advanced and shaped compared to the other societies (Mutlu, 2008). So, the planning and using of time is a considerable issue, and we should be aware of planning and consuming this resource in a way that it can be contribute to the individual and social development (Saglam, 2008). Frank Cheley wrote in the 'Investing Leisure Time' that the education of leisure increases the personal happiness therefore the personal effectiveness drastically. Planning and training the leisure time is the way to fight with the greatest threat to the civilization. It should be known that each minute needs to be used in order to serve a good purpose and a good investment (Currell, 2005). Time which has vital importance both for the individual and for the society is the life itself. It is impossible to compensate or replace the time which has been lost already. Wasted time means wasted life (Baltaş and Baltaş, 2000). In summary, in the human life time is sometimes short and sometimes long, it is impossible to repeat it, its beginning and end is certain and it can be measured in hours. There are several types of time. These are the followings; times about being, livelihood related time and leisure time (Teczan, 1993).

According to the general consensus, leisure is the time when the people are free from work, obligations and responsibilities. Ordinary time is the time that occurs naturally and is not controlled by its own free will (Kulbas, 1994). Thus is can be used for responsibility-free activities (such as sport), media activities (such as watching TV, listening to music, playing computer games and reading), performance activities (such as music, dance and drama) and for community services (voluntary work and religious groups) (Byrne et al., 2006). In fact, it can be defined clearer if we say that it is the opposite idea of working. According to De Grazia it is when a person is performing an activity for its own benefit (Tükenmez, 2009). It also involves a process that starts with free will and volunteerism. Volunteering to undertake leisure activities without being under pressure in a pleasant and satisfying way by using the abilities and opportunities (Stebbins, 2013). Free time is time spent away from business, work, job hunting, domestic chores and education. It also excludes time spent on necessary activities such as eating and sleeping.

The terms of leisure time, free time and spare time are often confused due to the fact that they are interchangeable. Spare time is the time which remains outside the time spent to sustain life. Spare time includes the leisure time to meet the individual needs such as eating, sleeping and child care. The sense of obligation is the least in this need and it depends on the own will of the person. According to it, the spare time is the period of time remained from the working time which can be used freely. In fact there is not a significant difference between free and leisure time. Leisure time or free time is the time spent out of working, searching for job, domestic work and education. While free time refers to direction lessness, leisure time has a potential open for willpower (Köybaş, 2006). Similarly, leisure time as a complex phenomenon is used by some authors as the synonymous of free time while some others insists on stating that there are qualitative differences between them. The authors, who use leisure time in the sense of free time, say that people are connected to their own judgements and choices as enjoyment or as the remaining time approaches, most of the researchers used leisure time as free time. However, in terms of the features that determine the leisure time it can be seen that they unite at one point; it must be out of obligations or work and can be used according to the wish of the individual. Another thing which should be noted about leisure time is that the evaluating form of the leisure time is added to the factor determining the statue (such as consumer behaviour, education level and profession) (Erkal, 1987).

It can be observed that the speed of the scientific and technological developments in our age causes reduction in the working hours and increase in the leisure time by facilitating the human life. In the daily life the fact that the working and off working activities turned into repetitive activity by becoming boring made the leisure time evaluating applications important (Özäker, 2012).

Leisure satisfactions are not observable structures, they are positive emotions and perceptions that occur due to the attractive results of the leisure time activities and preferences (Place and Beggs, 2012). The leisure time satisfaction is known to have positive impact on life satisfaction /quality as much as the age and income variables are known to have. Considering that each act done by the individual has an aim and there is a result revealed by this aim, the activities and preferences that occur due to the leisure time activities express the formation of positive or negative leisure satisfaction. The leisure time that emerges along with the working life suggests that the person is engaged in certain activity and as a result of this activity he will feel satisfaction or dissatisfaction. This naturally raises the concept of satisfaction in leisure time (Demir and Demir, 2014). While satisfaction demonstrates the feeling of satisfaction, dissatisfaction expresses the low and unsatisfactory state of arousal and the long exposure to monotonous arousal and boredom. The leisure time boredom perception is a negative mood and reflect a mismatch among the expressions available for the individuals with optimal experience (Yang and Guo, 2011). The leisure time boredom perception emerges along with the leisure time satisfaction as the meaninglessness of an activity or situation. Clearly, the boredom perception does not emerge from not doing anything in the leisure time. On the contrary, it emerges from activities which are not interesting of provocative (Stebbins, 2005).

While the aim of this research was to determine the teachers' perceptions of leisure time boredom and satisfaction according to different variables but it was also intended to find answers to the following sub-problems along with the teachers' participation levels in the leisure time activities.

1. Do the teachers' leisure time boredom-satisfaction perceptions show significant difference according to the age?
2. Do the teachers' leisure time boredom-satisfaction perceptions show significant difference according to the gender?
3. Do the teachers' leisure time boredom-satisfaction perceptions show significant difference according to the level of income?
4. Do the teachers' leisure time boredom-satisfaction perceptions show significant difference according to the frequency of participation?

2. Method

2.1. The Research Model

The general screening model which is one of the descriptive research methods was used in this study. It is defined by Arseven (1993) as a research form which is based on the data obtained from a sample selected from the society universe in order to determine the actual state of the event and case within a certain time and under dependant conditions.

2.2. Working Group

The sample group of the study consisted of teachers working in the province of Konya.

2.3. Data Collection Tools

The Personal Information Form prepared by the researchers and the Leisure Boredom Perception Scale were applied to the participants of the study.

Personal Information Form: This form was prepared to collect the teachers' demographic information. It consisted of four questions in order to learn about the participants' age, gender.

Leisure Time Boredom Perception: The original of this scale was developed by Iso-Ahola and Weissinger (1990). The original scale was one-dimensional and consisted of 16 items. The validity and reliability test of its Turkish version was made by Kara et al. (2014). The 10 questions in the scale are applied by using the five point Likert type scale whose scoring varies from (1) I definitely disagree to (5) I totally agree. The 1st, 2nd, 6th, 7th and 10th questions in the scale express the boredom perception while the 3rd, 4th, 5th, 8th and 9th questions represent the satisfaction perception. The Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient was found to be .72 for the boredom perception and .77 for the satisfaction perception.

Data Analysis: the scales were applied to the volunteer teachers after information was provided by the researchers. The statistical analysis was carried out by transferring the raw data to the SPSS 20.00 statistical software package.

3. Findings

The demographic features related to the analyses conducted according to the aim of the research were given in Table 1 while the findings were given in Table 2, Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5.

Table 1. The Demographic Features of the Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Average Monthly Income</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>Below 2800</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>Between 2801-3100</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More than 3101</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>36.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Participation in Activities</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 29</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 30 and 39</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>Once per week</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly Income</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When examining Table 1, it can be seen that 46.7% of the participants were men while 53.3% of them were women, 38.3% of the participants were between the age of 30 and 39, 38.3 % of them had an average income between of 2801 and 3100 TL, the average income of the participants’ 36.3% was more than 3101 TL and in terms of the participation the rare participation appeared to be 55%.

Table 2. The T-Test results related to the Leisure Time Boredom and Satisfaction Levels according to the Gender Variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeling</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boredom</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>20,821</td>
<td>3,70158</td>
<td>2,103</td>
<td>.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>20,906</td>
<td>3,80962</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>18,714</td>
<td>3,74221</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td>.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>18,887</td>
<td>3,57940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When examining Table 2, a significant difference was observed in the 0.05 significant level of the participants’ leisure time boredom perception in terms of the gender variable. In other words the boredom perceptions of the participants differed on the basis of the leisure time assessments. It was observed that the male participants appeared to have more boredom perceptions than the female participants. Significant difference was not detected in the participants’ leisure time satisfaction perceptions in terms of the gender variable.
When examining Table 3, significant difference \( (F(2,297)=6.325, p<0.01) \) was observed in the 0.01 significance level of the participants’ leisure time boredom perceptions in terms of the gender variable. In other words, the boredom perception of the participants differed under the age of 40 in terms of the age variable. Significant difference was not detected in the participants’ leisure time satisfaction perceptions in terms of the age variable.

Table 4. The Result of the One –way ANOVA test related to the Leisure Time Boredom and Satisfaction Levels according to the income level variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeling</th>
<th>Sum of Square</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Significant Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boredom</td>
<td>Between the Groups</td>
<td>173,630</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87,315</td>
<td>6,325</td>
<td>.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within the Groups</td>
<td>4100,036</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>13,805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4273,667</td>
<td>299</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between the groups</td>
<td>42,541</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21,271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within the Groups</td>
<td>5288,459</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>17,638</td>
<td>1,206</td>
<td>.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5528,000</td>
<td>299</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When examining Table 4, significant difference \( (F(2,297)=2.775, p<0.05) \) was not detected in the participants’ leisure time boredom perceptions in terms of the income level variable. Significant difference \( (F(2,297)=4.854, p<0.01) \) was observed in the participants’ leisure time satisfaction perceptions in terms of the income level variable. In other words, the leisure time satisfaction levels of the participants whose average monthly income were less than 3100 TL differed in terms of the income level.

Table 5. The Result of the One –way ANOVA test related to the Leisure Time Boredom and Satisfaction Levels according to the Frequency of Participation in the Activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeling</th>
<th>Sum of Square</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Significant difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boredom</td>
<td>Between the Groups</td>
<td>78,802</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39,401</td>
<td>5,996</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within the Groups</td>
<td>4196,265</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>14,129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4275,067</td>
<td>299</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between the groups</td>
<td>167,160</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>83,580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within the Groups</td>
<td>5115,840</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>17,218</td>
<td>4,854</td>
<td>.006**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5281,000</td>
<td>299</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When examining Table 5, significant difference \( (F(2,294)=5.986, p<0.01) \) was observed at the 0.01 significance level of the participants’ leisure time boredom perceptions in terms of the frequency of the participation in the activities. In other words, the leisure time boredom perception of the participants differed in terms of the participation variable. Beside this, significant differences were not detected in relation with the teachers’ leisure time satisfaction levels in terms of the participation variable.

4. Discussion and Results

The aim of the study was to evaluate the leisure boredom and satisfaction perceptions of the teachers working in the city of Konya in terms of age, gender, income and the frequency of the participation in recreational activities. When looking at the gender status of the participants it was observed that 53.3% of the participants were women while 46.7% of them were men Table1. The fact that in the study of Tunçel which was conducted on the teachers in İzmir, 57.9% of the participants were women while 42.1% of them were men and in the research made by Karakuçuk on the issue of teachers 51% of the participants were women and 49% of them were men supported the results of our research (Karakuçuk, 1995; Tunçel, 1999). In this context, it was understood that the profession of teaching was preferred generally by women. In this research while the leisure time boredom scores of the male participants were higher than the same scores of the female participant, difference was not observed between the satisfaction perceptions in terms of gender. In the studies of Riddick (1986); DiBona (2000) differences were not observed in relation with the gender (Sommezgül et al., 2014). In the majority of the studies conducted on the free time satisfaction levels differences were not observed in terms of the gender (Ardahan and Yerlisu, 2010). In this regard our study is in line with the literature. In contrast to this, in the studies made by Emir et al. (2012) the boredom points of the female participants were observed to be higher than the points of the male participants (Kara and Özdedeoğlu, 2015). The findings of these studies do not comply with the findings of our research.
While in terms of the age variable significant differences were detected in the leisure time boredom sub-dimension, difference was not observed in the sub-dimension of satisfaction. Especially the leisure boredom perception scores of the participants under the age of 39 were detected to be higher than the same scores of the participants who were older than 40. In the literature, in the study conducted by Kara and Gücal on the same issue, in the sub-dimension of boredom the scores of the participants at the age group of 20-20 were higher than the scores of the participants who were between 40 and 49 (Kara and Gücal, 2015). In this context, although the findings of this study were parallel with the literature it could be understood that the younger individuals adopted the passive life. In addition, in a research conducted by Öcalan on the issue of the leisure time activities and sport places of the newly appointed young teachers working in the eastern city centres it was identified that the teacher who spent relatively the most free time in our society sought for passive activities with less recreational features and participated very less in sporting activities (Öcalan, 1996). In the study of the in various sub-dimensions of the leisure time satisfaction score on those who participated in individual recreational sports was found to be higher in the age group over 40. Therefore, studies which do not comply with our research are also available in the literature.

In this research significant differences were not observed among the leisure time boredom perceptions of the participants in terms of the income level variable, but a certain level of income increase led to differences in the leisure time satisfaction perceptions (except for those with the highest income level). In the literature, in the research conducted by Gümmüş and Karakullukçu (2015) it was suggested that along with the increase in the income level of the individuals the amount of the satisfaction received from the leisure time activities also increased. In a study which supported the findings of our study up to a certain degree obtained a moderate correlation between the income and leisure satisfaction providing the relationships between the life satisfaction and social competence acquired in the leisure time participation for the middle aged or older adults (Gökçe, 2008). It can be stated that this relationship was the nearest study to our research findings. Indeed, differences were detected in the leisure time satisfaction perceptions of the participants who were in the lower –income and middle-income category. Researches which do not support the results of our study are also available in the literature. For example, significant difference was not detected between the income and leisure satisfaction in a study conducted by Brown and Frankel (1993) on the relationship between the leisure time satisfaction and the demographic variables. The participation in activities did not have important impact on the sub-dimension of satisfaction however significant difference was detected in the sub-dimension of boredom. In terms of the frequency of the participation in activities, it was observed that the boredom perception was increased by the rare participation and the frequent participation while the weekly only participation did not cause any difference. In Brown and Frankel’s study called ‘Activity Through The Years; Leisure, Leisure Satisfaction And Life Satisfaction’ a small but significant correlations was detected between the participation in physical activities and the leisure satisfaction (Brown and Frankel, 1993). In the study of Kara and Özdedeoğlu (2015) which intended to investigate the relationship between leisure boredom perception and the obstacles of leisure time it was stated that the participation in the leisure activities did not have fundamental impact on the sub-factors of the leisure boredom perception scale. In the study of Ağduman (2014) it was determined that the leisure satisfaction of those who participated in more leisure time activities was higher than of those who participated in fewer activities. These studies are not intended to support the findings of our research. According to the study conducted by Andrew and Wither the vast majority of the people were satisfied in the leisure activities (47%) while a very small part of them were not (8,3%), whereas the different leisure activities provide satisfaction at different levels. According to Lu and Argyle (1994) the serious, stable and construal satisfaction provide more satisfaction than the relationship between the leisure time and life satisfaction. The researchers revealed that the participation in leisure activities and the satisfaction obtained as the result of this participation improved the character and personality of the individuals. However the different leisure activities provide benefits and satisfaction in different sizes. For example the serious leisure activities provide more satisfaction due to the fact that they are more stressful, gripping and require more struggle and control. But it should be also known that non-serious leisure activities provided less benefit even they led to boredom by achieving less satisfaction (Akyıldız, 2013). According to the universal judgement the satisfaction effect of the active participation is weakening through the passive participation. According to Kara and Gücal (2015) the participation in leisure activities affect the leisure satisfaction while it can be stated that the leisure satisfaction will affect the intention to participate in the future leisure activities (Sevil, 2015). Therefore it is possible to say that there is a reflexive relationship between the participation in the leisure activities and the leisure satisfaction.

Undoubtedly, using the leisure time actively will enhance the personal life of the individual and along with the leisure satisfaction also the life satisfaction will be ensured. It will help to socialize and to adopt the social rules during the socialization process by making the person feel dynamic, healthy and relaxed. In this way it has become the matter of curiosity in what degree the recreation used widely by the teacher educating the children and the young people can be applied. It was observed the generally the teachers chose passive activities such as reading books and newspapers, watching TV and listening to music as leisure assessing activities.
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