OIDDE Learning Model: Improving Higher Order Thinking Skills of Biology Teacher Candidates
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As the massive advancement in 21st century, the role of education is to prepare generations in mastering the skills they need to face the challenges arised in their era. OIDDE is the abbreviation for Orientation, Identify, Discussion, Decision, and Engage in behaviour. The learning model designed by Hudha et al. (2016) is expected to be able to guide the learners to achieve Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) in term of producing proper and wise decisions through the certain steps which enable them to comprehend the facts faced and engage the decision resulted in their behaviour. This research provides the information of how OIDDE can stimulate the students’ higher order thinking skills by assessing the three aspects thinking skills namely: self-regulated thinking, critical thinking, and creative thinking. This research employed Classroom Action Research (CAR) method conducted in two cycles which comprise of four meetings with duration of 3 × 50 minutes for each cycle. The participants were 45 biology education students in fifth semester.

Keywords: biology teacher candidates, higher order thinking skill, OIDDE, learning model, thinking skills

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century learning demands the students to gain more skills in term of developing and digging their knowledge. As the advancement of many aspects such as computational science, mathematics, engineering, and technology in the globalization frame has been giving many advantages in human’s life, the education field is now attempting to improve the instructional models which guide the students to not only learn by rote but also to think in profound and complex manner (Gullamhussein, 2013; Nesbitt-Hawes, 2005). However, the proliferation of discoveries and inventions has

been daunting challenge due to the problems rose by the solutions that work across purposes. While the inventions in technology are massively developed by people, on the other hand, environmental issues follow and even become more common and apparent with industrialization (Dunlap & Jorgenson, 2012). This changes have, directly or not, been affecting people’s life (Hudha et al., 2016). Thus, life competences for biology teacher candidates in this era are crucial to be enacted. In addition, by considering the various conditions, sharp skills as considerable as professionalism are required (Gullamhusseesin, 2013). These factors will enable the 21st generation to accommodate changes in both the type and quantity of knowledge. In other words, as society continues to change, the students will be confronted go beyond the simple learning of facts and content (Husamah, 2015; Nesbitt-Hawes, 2005).

Life science, in term of integrated biological sciences with physical, computational sciences, mathematics, and engineering, is one of critical subject to build a more expanded biological enterprises with the scope and expertise to address a broad range of scientific and societal problems (National Research Council, 2009). As the results, the education system, as well as teacher professional education curriculum (Ball & Forzani, 2009; Handler, 2010; Okeke & Drake, 2014), has to cultivate the skills which allow the learners to think independently i.e. higher order thinking skill. By having this skill, not only do the teacher candidates comprehend the cases they face, but they also will be able to analyse them and make wise decisions.

Science teacher is one of the most determining factors involved in the educational field. They have an important role in educating the generations to enact the learning goal (Bashir et al., 2014; Mukeredzi, 2013; Setyaningrum & Husamah, 2011) as they are the ‘manager’ in the classroom. Teacher is the one who regulates the students’ activities in the classroom, they manage as well as facilitate the students to optimize their ability in finishing the tasks given. The effectiveness and efficiency in learning creative management will be achieved as the teachers’ competency in managing the instructional processes through learning by doing in real context and direct experiences have been encompassed (Chantarasonbat, 2007; Nesbitt-Hawes, 2005; Pukdeewut et al., 2013).

The ability to collaborate with many sectors of educational community has to be mastered by the 21st century teachers (Selvi, 2010; Shaik & Khoja, 2011). Moreover, technology has been effecting the ways of education, teaching, and learning (Minocha et al., 2010) as well as the teachers’ role (Anastasiades & Vitalaki, 2011; Mukeredzi, 2013; Shaikh & Khoja, 2012). As the consequences, the teacher candidates are required to master higher order thinking skills. By habituating them to comprehend the sciences, teacher candidates are educated to be ready to guide their future students in tackling the issues come.

Higher order thinking skills including critical thinking (Brookhart, 2010), logical, reflective, metacognitive, and creative thinking (King et al., n.d.). The skill of thinking is indicated by students’ ability in implementing wise judgment or produce a reasoned critique. Therefore, six skills are considered to be achieved by students: 1) the ability to judge a source credibility; 2) identify assumptions, generalizations and biases; 3) identify connotation in language use; 4) understand the purpose of a written or spoken
text; 5) identify the audience; 6) make critical judgments about the relative effectiveness of various strategies used to meet the purpose of the text (Collins, 2014).

However, it is still a big challenge for most teachers to find a proper learning model to construct the higher order thinking skills in students. In Biology Education Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Muhammadiyah Malang, the pre cycle data shows that the students’ HOTS were still in ‘low’ category which were 59%, 58%, and 57% for self-regulated thinking, critical thinking, and creative thinking respectively. Hudha et al. (2016) mentioned that not all of learning model can be implemented by teachers for all subjects, especially to character-integrated sciences. The appropriate creative design of learning activities in learning processes can only be encouraged by the creative teachers who have the good classroom management skills (Pukdeewut et al., 2013). Therefore, it is important to choose the proper instructional techniques to strengthen teacher candidates’ higher order thinking skills in terms of Self-Regulated Thinking, Critical Thinking, and Creative Thinking.

OIDDE is an acronym for Orientation, Identify, Discussion, Decision, and Engage in behavior (Hudha et al., 2016). This Instructional model was developed by Atok Miftachul Hudha, who is senior lecturer of Biology Education Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Muhammadiyah Malang. Based on the modification of two kinds of learning model, behavioural systems (Joyce & Weil, 1978) and tri prakoro (Akbar, 2013), OIDDE is expected to be an effective “tool” to stimulate learners’ HOTS, mainly in dealing with moral and ethical issues. There are five steps in OIDDE which guide the teacher candidates to achieve the 21st century learning demand, in which their role is facilitator rather than instructor.

Some researchers have implemented OIDDE model on various subjects which gained significant result of teacher candidate’s abilities in thinking (Setyawans, 2017) and ethical decision making (Pantiwati et al., 2016). However, in order to gain the more holistic data about the effectiveness of OIDDE learning model, it is needed to implement it in the wider various subject conditions. Thus, the aim of this research was describing the way OIDDE stimulates students’ higher order thinking skills by measuring the three thinking aspects i.e. self-regulated thinking, critical thinking, and creative thinking. In the other words, this research was purposed to test whether OIDDE instructional model can be utilized to improve the teacher candidates’ HOTS.

METHOD

Type and Approach

This research employed Classroom Action Research (CAR) which was utilized to observe the teacher candidates’ Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS). There were three aspects measured namely: Self-Regulated Thinking, Critical Thinking, and Creative Thinking during the learning processes conducted by using OIDDE model. The data were taken three times in pre-cycle, cycle I, and cycle II.

This research was conducted in two cycles with two meetings for each cycle with duration of 3×50 minutes (three credits) in which all of the teaching and learning
processes were done based on Lesson Study (LS). It is a supervising model for professional educators through collaborative and continuous learning study based on collegiality and mutual learning in constructing learning community (Syamsuri & Ibrohim, 2011). LS comprises of plan, do, and see stages. Furthermore, each cycle research contained four stages: planning, action, observation, and reflection. The planning aimed to prepare the treatment, action schedule, and all of the instruments needed (classroom action planning, student worksheet, observation sheet, and questionnaire). Whereas the activities conducted in action stage were the implementation of planning stage, in which the observation process by observers was also done during this stage. The reflection stage was addressed to evaluate the strength and weakness of three previous stages. Thus, the reflection results of cycle I would be the database to consider and improve the next cycles.

**Time and Location**

This research was conducted on November 2016 to July 2017 in Biology Education Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Muhammadiyah Malang.

**Study Subjects**

The subjects employed were 45 students in fifth semester, academic year 2016/2017 of Biology Education Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education (FTTE) University of Muhammadiyah Malang.

**Instruments**

The higher order thinking skills were measured by using critical thinking assessment rubric by Marzano (1992). There were three components assessed in this research i.e. self-regulated thinking skill, critical thinking skill, and creative thinking skill. The assessment was conducted three times: pre-cycle, cycle I, and cycle II. In addition, as Lesson Study was employed in this research, there were several instruments used: 1) study journal; 2) Plan, Do, See sheets; 3) observer and lecturer sheets.

**Data Analyses**

The data obtained were analysed qualitatively by considering the lecturer’s journal and observer sheets as well as the students’ scores. The data analysis comprised of arranging the raw data, serve the data, making conclusion, and reflection. The quantitative data obtained were analysed by using percentage formula (Arikunto, 2001) as below.

\[
\text{Score percentage} = \left( \frac{\text{The score gained}}{\text{The maximum score}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

Then, the percentage scores were analysed by using Paired Sample t Test and utilizing SPSS software 21 version.

**FINDINGS**

Higher order thinking skills (HOTS) contain several skills of thinking namely: critical, logical, reflective, metacognitive, and creative thinking (King et al., n.d.). In other words, they include all intellectual tasks which call for more than information retrieval (Baker, 1989). Meanwhile, Brookhart (2010) has categorized HOTS definitions into the
terms of transfer, critical thinking, and problem solving. All those definitions refer to the achievements of individual competencies in encountering complex issues, uncertainties, questions or dilemmas.

The existing evidence of higher order thinking in OIDDE learning model implementation is providing reassurance that the skills occur in learning process: 1) the students conform towards various issues related to certain topics through stories, narration, or documenter film (orientation); 2) the students analyse problems based on dilemma found during orientation process (identify); 3) the students altercate the solving of chosen issue by considering the ethically identification results (discussion); 4) as the ethical dilemma has been discussed, the students adjudge (decision); 5) the students behave ethically as the ethical decision taken (engage in behaviour) (Hudha et al., 2016). In turn, the higher order thinking skills are indicated by students’ behaviour and statement, explanation, decisions, performances, contextually valid products, the availability of knowledge and experience and promote continuous growth in these and other intellectual skills (Kenimer & Morgan, 2003). Thus, learning environment (Hoekstra & Korthagen, 2011) and appropriate teaching strategies are crucial factors to facilitate the thinking skills advance as the learners possess persistence, self-monitoring, and open-minded as well as flexible attitudes (King et al., n.d.).

The students’ higher order thinking skill (HOTS) was represented by the three aspects assessed namely: Self-Regulated Thinking, Critical Thinking, and Creative Thinking. In general, the scores witnessed rising trend from preliminary observation to the cycle I and continued to cycle II.

Table 1
The Score Percentage of Students’ HOTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Self-Regulated Thinking</th>
<th>Critical Thinking</th>
<th>Creative Thinking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-cycle</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of Each Component</td>
<td>59 (low)</td>
<td>58 (low)</td>
<td>57 (low)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle I Improvement</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of Each Component</td>
<td>72 (fair)</td>
<td>70 (fair)</td>
<td>68 (fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle II Improvement</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of Each Component</td>
<td>82 (very good)</td>
<td>81 (very good)</td>
<td>80 (very good)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows that the mean values of the three thinking components i.e. self-regulated thinking, critical thinking, and creative thinking scores witnessed upward trend. It started from 59%, 58%, and 57% for self-regulated thinking, critical thinking, and creative thinking respectively which are categorized as low (measured at preliminary observation). It then continued higher and reached the fair level in the cycle I (72%,
70%, and 68%); whereas it climbed to the peak in the cycle II: 82%, 81%, and 80% in sequence. The significant increase was experienced by the thinking components assessed as much as 23% for each.

The significance of the above percentage rising trends were also supported by the statistical analysis results (Table 2). It is obvious that each component of thinking skills has the significant value less than 0.05, i.e. 0.000 for the three components (self-regulated thinking, critical thinking, and creative thinking); which means that the students’ HOTS have outstandingly increased.

Table 2
The Statistical Analysis Results of Student’ HOTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thinking Skill Components</th>
<th>Paired Differences</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Deviation</td>
<td>Std. Error Mean</td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Regulated Thinking</td>
<td>-.13185</td>
<td>.04549</td>
<td>.00875</td>
<td>-.14985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Thinking</td>
<td>-.23000</td>
<td>.03126</td>
<td>.00602</td>
<td>-.24236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Thinking</td>
<td>-.22593</td>
<td>.04822</td>
<td>.00928</td>
<td>-.24500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the upward trend showed, it can also be seen that the three components of HOTS are supporting each other. The higher the level of self-regulated thinking and critical thinking, the more creative the students will be. Regarding these findings, Husamah & Pantiwati (2014) and Rustaman (2008) stated that as well as someone’s self-confidence and personality in facing problems, her/his thinking skills are also built by the three components inseparably, like a concert, in which each component takes its role to organize thinking skills.

To be elaborated more detail, the bar chart of Figure 1 shows us the rising trend of self-regulated thinking sub components which are represented by A (the awareness of self-thinking way), B (the effectiveness in making plans), C (the awareness in using resources needed), D (the sensitivity of feed backs given), and E (the evaluation of the effectiveness of every decision taken).

Figure 1
The percentage of students’ self-regulated thinking score improvement per cycle
Notes:
A. The awareness of self-thinking way
B. The effectiveness in making plans
C. The awareness in employing resources needed
D. The sensitivity of feedbacks
E. The evaluation self-decision

The greatest rising percentage occurred in sub component A of 27%, in which the second place was sub component C, while the lowest percentage was sub B and E of 19%. This means that not only does the students’ awareness was greatly improved as they were taught by using OIDDE model, but also the awareness in using resources needed as well. Notwithstanding that the effectiveness in making plans and its evaluation were lesser developed than those two sub components, but the improvement gained was still categorized as “very good”. Pantiwati et al. (2016) stated that the implementation of OIDDE through Integrated Field Study Abroad has improved the biology teacher candidates’ ethical decision skills.

Students’ Self-regulated thinking skill in pre-cycle was considered as low. According to the observer’s note, there were less than five of 45 present students who participated in the classroom discussion by asking questions or giving opinion. Husamah, as one of observer in the classroom noted:

“\text{There just four students asked questions and gave their opinion, yet the questions were shallow; while the others seemed hesitate to express their opinion or ask question, thus, they just discussed with the friends beside them or quite}.”

This condition was in contrast with the ideal expected. Boekaerts & Corno (2005) defined that self-regulation is individual consistency in organizing and managing their thoughts, emotions, behaviour and environment through proactive process to enact academic goals.

Moreover, most of the students were tended to confuse to generate the idea, which is a key factor (Yee et al., 2012) in achieving assignments given by the lecturer. This confusedness was implied in questions they tried to answer. Lindariyanti’s question, as one of frequently asked question type among the students in the classroom, was:

"\text{Sir, is it possible for us to use local wisdom as the solution of the issues we chose?}"

Notwithstanding that questioning is a means to encourage the students to think (Larkin, 2002); sporadically accessing the project to encompass the resources needed and looking for various alternatives are, somehow, considered as low level of self-regulated thinking skill (Marzano, 1992).

Teacher quality is one of the education quality determinants (Fadlan, 2010). This because teacher is the role which is tended to be a model (Lunenberg, Korthagen, & Swennen, 2007) and influencing people, not only for students but also the other surrounding and work field (Brown & Trevino, 2006). Considering the crucial role, the biology teacher candidates must have good comprehension about self-regulated thinking.
skill and be habituated with it since in their higher education level. This, in turn, will be addressed to build their professionalism level when they get a job. The professionalism involves ethics, social responsibility, critical self-reflection, and self-responsibility (Pantiwati et al., 2016).

As self-regulated thinking skill has been developed in cycle I and cycle II, the critical thinking skill was also getting better as well. This can be seen at Figure 2. It can be seen that the highest improving percentage occurs in H sub component, ‘open-minded’, as high as 25%, while the lowest was sub component G of 19% which is ‘clear and willing in attempting the clearness’. The all components were witnessed upward trend and reached ‘good’ level in cycle II in spite of considered as ‘low’ level in pre-cycle.

The more the students encounter unfamiliar issues, uncertainties, or dilemmas, the sharper the analysis they have will be (King et al., 1998; Martin, 1989). Through orientation, identification, discussion, decision and engaging in behaviour, the students gained more awareness about their surroundings. Thus, they became more critical in term of making reasons (Grossen, 1991) and reflections which lead them to emphasize about what should be believed and done in making their decisions (Lestari, Nurmilawati, & Santoso, 2015). In the other words, by managing the analytical and strategic thinking behaviour, the critical thinking can be developed (Oak, 2009) as Marzano (1992) has defined thinking skill as ‘Habits of Mind’. This means possessing smart behaviour in tackling difficult problems faced (Costa & Kallick, 2000).
The upward trend of the data gained was also supported by the quality of the both questions and statements posed by students during the discussion sessions in the classroom. Most of students started their questions with the analysis undergirding. In one of discussion session, Dyah asked her question:

“Your team stated that recycle is the proper method to minimize non-degradable rubbish, yet in Indonesia, the recycle technology is still limited. It means that the technology available still far unbalance with the rubbish produced by the Indonesian consumptive people, what are your team arguments facing this facts?”

The above question shows the critical statement in which the student wanted to clarify whether the solution offered by the other team is relevant with the context. Susetyarini et al. (2015) stated that critical thinking skill is indicated by some aspects i.e. clarity, accuracy, precision, relevance, logic, breadth, depth, honesty, information, and implication. The analytical questions can stimulate learners to think critically. By having the skill to construct these kind of questions, the teacher candidates not only think themselves, but also encourage their students to do the same (Venville, 2002) and to generate multiple solutions (Resnick, 1987). Critical thinking skill is indicated by: question posing, decision making, and systemic thinking (Dillon & Scott, 2002; Zohar & Dori, 2003; Zoller, 2002), explore and explain problems, ideas, action, misunderstandings, agreements (Venville, 2002).

Ideally, the critical thinking skill improvement must be integrated with the knowledge level as well as its application in individual daily activities. As the common sense in analysing critical situations cultivated, naturally, thinking skills are also elevated. Thus, the students’ ability to apply wise judgment or produce a reasoned critique (Brookhart, 2010) can be well enacted. OIDDE has been proven effectively develop the biology teacher candidates’ ability in making ethical decision (Pantiwati et al., 2016). In line with Minarno (2001) who stated that communicating decisions through various opinions, whether it is in line or contradict, is an invaluable activity to promote the learners’ horizon and critical thinking skills.

In line with the two thinking skills mentioned, self-regulated and critical thinking, the students’ creative thinking skill was considered well developed.

![Figure 3](image)
The percentage of students’ creative thinking score improvement per cycle
The bar chart of Figure 3 shows the percentage of creative thinking skill improvement witnessed by learners who were taught by using OIDDE learning model. It can be seen clearly that each sub component experienced rising trend. The highest improvement of this skill was in the learners’ ability in ‘making new different point of view instead of using the common one’ (O), the change value was of 26%, started from 58% in pre cycle to 84% in cycle II. While the lowest change value was in sub component L which represents the ability of ‘conducting continuous endeavours in completing tasks albeit the results cannot be predicted’. Notwithstanding that this sub component was the lowest, as of 22%, it was still considered as “very good” category as the last result value was 79%.

Creative thinking is defined, as summarized by Agars et al. (2007), as the production of novel, useful ideas, and believed to associate with the right brain (Kim & Michael, 1995). The novelty, in science domain, is often explained as problem solving (Newton, 2013). It is characterized by information processing in a direct and synthesis way (Torrance et al., 1977). Creative thinking skills are strongly related to the ability in generating new ideas. As the individuals’ creative thinking develop, they will possess the abilities in managing their mind, initiating fresh ideas, broadening connections, having a lot of perspective on things, creating and imagining, and be result oriented (LTS, 2004).

This creative thinking skill can be figured out from student’s statement written in their study journal in cycle II.

“The waste management discussion has reminded me about the issue occurred in my village. One day, in Madura, there was a group of people who said that they came to erect a waste recycle machine there. Having explained the benefits of the technology offered, we felt pleased in case the machine would be well run in our village, considering the way we just piling up the waste. However, after a while the existence of the machine, another problem arose. Trash pickers were mad and tried to destroy the machine due to the loss of their job. I started to think that the waste issue cannot be encountered by only considering one side benefit and ignoring the others. The use of technology in tackling the waste problem must be balanced with the efforts in cultivating society awareness of the importance of having the technology among them, besides preparing alternative solutions for the possible issues arise caused by the technology offered”.

Student’s written opinion above implied the complexity of thinking as considerable as the attempt in making relation of some aspects connected. The students learn their experiences from both community and school which will help them learn higher order thinking skills (King et al., n.d.). One of HOTS criteria for science listed in Florida
Sunshine State Standards (Florida Department of Education, 1996) was ‘understands the interconnectedness of the systems on earth and the quality of life’.

Successful higher order thinking depends upon a learner’s ability to apply, reorganize, and embellish knowledge in the context of the thinking situation (King et al., n.d.). Teachers, somehow, are a part of society components who must give their contributions to the civilization advancement. Thus, they have to possess the attributes needed, including sensitivity and caring towards the environment, to support them in many aspects. Moreover, the individuals’ awareness to gain more information and to equip themselves with high scientific capacity can be improved as their expertise and caring attitude are built which lead them to have thinking skills (Susetyarini et al., 2015).

Considering the findings, it can be said that the three thinking skills are supporting each other. The higher the self-regulated thinking score, the more critical and creative the learners will be. This in line with the findings of Husamah & Pantiwati (2014) who revealed that all components of thinking skills were interrelated, influencing one another, and unified. Meanwhile, Kristiyani & Lestyarini (2011) concluded that students’ critical and creative character traits were showed in components of critical thinking and critical thinking skills which integrated the four abilities: building ideas, conducting reflective assessment, performing self-regulation, and recognizing the trait and behaviour.

Notwithstanding that the improvement of the student’s HOTS were achieved in these research conditions, the implementation of OIDDE in different and more complex cases, as considerable as to measure the other student’s thinking skills are needed to measure its effectiveness. Therefore, the further studies relating to OIDDE implementation are crucial to be conducted.

CONCLUSION

According to the current study, it can be concluded as follows: 1) OIDDE learning models has improved the students’ higher order thinking skills (performed in: self-regulated thinking skill, critical thinking skill, and creative thinking skill), 2) the all thinking components are unity and supporting each other, the greater the self-regulated thinking skill, the better the ability in critical and creative thinking and vice versa, 3) the results showed that OIDDE has risen the each component of thinking skills from the ‘low’ category of 59%, 58%, and 57% to ‘very good’ category of 82%, 81%, and 80% for self-regulated thinking, critical thinking, and creative thinking respectively in which the significant value of the three thinking skill components were less than 0.05; i.e. 0.000.

There are some limitations that may affect the results of this class action research. In the study measurement of HOTS was conducted using critical thinking assessment rubric by Marzano (1992). Students are required to fill the rubric in a relatively limited time, in 5-10 minutes at the end of learning. This scale was used to measure the HOTS of students because of the limited time and personal to measure the ability of students. However, students can fill the rubric with dishonest and in an unserious manner. Therefore the result can be different from the conditions that they really felt/think. In addition, rubrics
developed by Marzano 35 years ago. Therefore, they can have the statements which do not reflect the current conditions. Moreover, the scale cannot be suitable for the students who are the participants of the study because of the cultural differences in Europe and Southeast Asia. As a result, the suggestion of this research is to develop a new HOTS rubric, which is more suitable to the condition of Southeast Asian society, especially Indonesia. HOTS measurements also need to be compared with self-reflection records and progressive reflection records written by students so as to obtain valid and balanced student HOTS information. Research on the implementation of the OIDDE learning model in other courses at different levels of semester also needs to be done to reinforce the conclusion that this learning model is applicable.
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