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ABSTRACT

Deficits in self-regulatory skills underlie or contribute to a range of adverse developmental problems and disorders, including ADHD (Barkley, 1997), eating disorders (Attie & Brooks-Gunn, 1995) and risk-taking behaviour (Cantor & Sanderson 1998; Eisenberg et al., 2005). Self-regulation has been recognised as an important factor in aiding academic achievements of school-age children. There is less knowledge of the subject in early childhood, yet the development of self-regulatory has been described as an important milestone in early childhood development (Shonkoff & Phillips, 2000). This research describes the implementation of an intervention programme in kindergartens that aimed to help young children with highly impulsive behaviour, develop self-regulatory behaviors. The children were identified by the Achenbach Child behaviour check list (1.5-5) and by the kindergarten teachers. This Research was based on mixed methods. The quantitave data revealed a number of children with highly impulsive behaviour and difficulties in self-regulation. The qualitative data gave a deeper interpretation to these children's behaviour and the difficulties involved. After the implementation of the program the kindergarten teachers reported on an increase in the children’s self-regulatory skills.

By understanding and supporting the processes involved in the development of self-regulation skills, it might be possible for significant adults in young children's lives to have a substantial effect in aiding young children, who are highly impulsive. This was the rationale for the present research.
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INTRODUCTION

Self-regulation consists of an array of complex mental capacities that include impulse and emotional control, self-guidance of thought and behaviour planning, self-reliance and socially responsible behaviour (Bronson, 2000; Kopp, 1991). According to the psychoanalytical theory of Freud (1936) humans are born with basic drives; the ‘id’ which is the base of psychic energy, the ‘ego’ which has executive control and the ‘superego’ which mediates between the individual's drives and the moral demands of society. Erikson (1950), in comparison, perceived the ‘ego’ as an autonomous structure that strives to meet its needs and adapt to the environment. This successfullility to adapt to the environment is the result of an individual being able to regulate personal desires in accordance to society’s demands. In contrast to the psychoanalytical school of thought, behavioural theorists like Skinner (1938) conceive self-regulation as learned self-control. There is no internal control of desires or personal drives, self-control is learned behaviour that attains positive feedback from the environment and is therefore behaviour that it is advantageous to adopt. This perception of the acquisition of self-control is very simplified and depends on an external ‘locus’of control. The individual has less responsibility for their behaviour.

Albert Bandura (1977, 1997) perceived learning as occurring through observation without any need for external reinforcement; the individual learns by observation and evaluation which behaviours are valued by society and which are not. These evaluations lead to an understanding of probable outcomes for certain behaviours and the building of internal criteria that regulate personal behaviour. With the increase of internal criteria for behaviour, the individual is
less dependent on external rewards or negative reactions. Thus, the development of self-regulation is an internal differentiated graduated process, dependent on the interaction between the individual's observation and society. For a child to successfully take part in society, he needs to have a combination of internal natural abilities and also needs the assistance of significant adults in their life who should provide efficient mediation and reflection to the child about their behaviour.

Like Bandura, Vygotsky (1962) saw the development of self-control as an integrative process between the individual and society. According to his perception, the desire for self-control is innate, and the socio-cultural environment plays an important role in the outset of self-regulatory behaviours. Vygotsky believed that the interactions that assist in the development of self-regulating behaviour involved active ‘scaffolding’ by significant adults or peers. The act of ‘scaffolding’ involved modelling strategies, questions and other supporting behaviour that allow the child to build independent self-regulated behaviour. This support has to occur in the ‘Zone of Proximal Development’ (ZPD), a virtual area that exists between a child's present abilities and what a child can achieve with support; this area represents the child’s ability to learn (Vygotsky, 1962).

Vygotsky asserted that language was the main cultural tool for enhancement of self-regulatory behaviours, language being the prime means of thought and voluntary self-regulation. The development of language occurs in stages, the first stage consists of developing language skills for communication and self-expression, at a later stage language becomes an internalised tool for regulating thoughts and actions. Vygotsky and Piaget observed Egocentric or ‘private’ speech in young children. Private speech is an on-going monologue that accompanies activities, at a later stage; it precedes or assists activities and by the age of six years it becomes internalised and part of internal thought processes and behaviour regulation. Vygotsky was also aware of the effect of culture on the development of higher levels of thought and self-regulatory behaviour. Each culture has a specific language, ways of solving problems and views on self-regulatory appropriate behaviour (Bronson, 2000). Vygotskian theory acknowledges the basic desire of individuals to take part in community life and be part of society. For this desire to be fulfilled the individual needs to be able to mediate between external and internal processes. According to Vygotsky, this is a social and cognitive development process that occurs in stages and the environment can assist in guidance or ‘scaffolding’ (Vygotsky, 1986).

In contrast, to Vygotsky, Piaget (1985) believed that human development occurs as a result of two complementary processes, ‘accommodation’ and ‘adaptation’. The young child actively interacts with the physical environment and as such receives information through sensory channels. If the information received is inconsistent with present existing information, then the experience causes a state of mental disequilibrium. If the incoming information is similar to existing mental structures, then there is a state of equilibrium. In a state of disequilibrium, a natural mechanism of accommodation will occur to adapt and change the existing ‘schemes’ (Ibid.). Piaget's universal theory indicates that development occurs in stages according to natural growth. The direction of development is towards increasingly stable mental structures and processes that are adaptive to reality. Decisions and behaviour are governed by cognitive capacities and self-regulatory behaviour increases as a result of cognitive development. Self-regulating behaviour develops out of a combination of personal experiences, observations and processes of adaptation to reach the ideal state of equilibrium with society. Piaget's theory does not address the interactive relationship between individual and society or the emotional factors involved in such a process.

Each of the various psychological theories has addressed the development of self-regulating behaviour from their particular perspective. The ability to control actions and behaviour are of utmost importance to enable an individual to integrate successfully into society. The motivation to want to take control of one's actions and behaviour is affected by biological factors such as temperament, the maturity of certain brain structures and environmental factors, such as culture.

Development of Self-Regulation at an Early Age

Rothbart and others define self-regulation as the child's ability to modulate behaviour according to the cognitive, emotional, and social demands of a particular situation (Posner & Rothbart, 2000). The immediate responses of the infant are a result of reactions to sensory stimuli of different qualities and intensities. This reactivity is thought to be present from birth and reflects a relatively stable characteristic of the infant (Rothbart, Derryberry & Hershey, 2000). Regulatory processes begin to develop prenatally and evolve into more sophisticated and self-initiated processes over
the course of development from toddler to preschool and into school age (Posner & Rothbart, 2000; Rothbart & Jones, 1998).

**Self-Regulation and Maturity of Brain Structures**

Research on brain activity has increased dramatically. The knowledge shows that certain areas of the brain structure that are responsible for self-regulatory abilities. The frontal lobes of the brain support a variety of self-regulatory or executive functions which include the ability to inhibit the behavioural response, control distractions, regulate emotions, hold and analyse information in working memory, generate and manage internal motivation, plan and sequence activities (Bronson, 2000).

Research shows qualitative differences between younger and older children in their capacity to engage in more organised and effective cognitive processing as they grow older. Younger children possess fewer ‘executive control structures’, which are responsible for attention spans and self-regulatory behaviours (Case, 1992). With the increase in age the process becomes more sophisticated as the brain matures, and by the age of six or seven, children begin to develop meta-cognitive skills that allow them to understand and monitor their cognitive processes (Brown, 1978; Brown & De Loache, 1978; Flavell, 1978). Children of this age gain better control of attention and memory. They learn to use feedback and actively attempt to modify their behaviour, although according to Kopp (1982), the ability to develop self-regulatory functions is present from birth.

Kopp (1982) describes the developmental process from control of arousal and sensory functions in the early months of life to an increased ability to comply with external demands at the end of the first year and then the beginning of the ability to control internal impulses in the second year (Kopp, 1982). Self-regulating is present from the early stages, in the first few months of life. At this stage, the neurophysiological systems help protect the newly born child from extensive stimuli.

Babies can minimise the extent of arousal by turning their gaze away or shutting their eyes when they feel over-awarded, or by engaging in self-calming methods such as sucking on a pacifier. When a young baby is able to differentiate between day and night in their sleeping patterns and enter into a regular feeding schedule, they enter the next stage. Small babies can use other strategies of self-regulation such as diverting attention. Johnson and Rothbart (1991) described the behaviour of infants, 3-6 months old, who were distressed because of excessive auditory or visual stimuli. These young infants learned to divert their attention to alternative stimuli that were less excessive, and as such reduce the symptoms of stress. By the age of 9-12 months, a young baby can consciously engage in actions that are focused on specific targets, like reaching out to place a pacifier in its mouth to self-regulate.

By the end of the first year, babies can act on simple orders (Kopp, 1982). During the second year, toddlers develop their ability to feel more complicated feelings, such as pride and shame, which are signs of self-awareness (Sroufe, 1996; Lewis, Alessandri & Sullivan, 1992). More advanced motor and cognitive abilities enable them to control their behaviour and allow them to be receptive to outside demands for self-control. There is still difficulty in delaying self-gratification and in initiating self-regulation strategies, and in times of stress or emotional arousal, the young toddler will exhibit frustration by crying or throwing themselves on the floor in anger, screaming and kicking. By kindergarten age, 3-6 years, there is an increased ability in cognitive strategies, such as language, to control impulses and emotions, and more awareness of social standards. Children of this age group can also monitor their behaviour according to external demands (Flavell, Miller & Miller, 2002).

As already noted, the concept of ‘mutual regulation’ refers to the ability of each partner to recognise and understand the emotional and affective expressions and signals of the other and to support the interaction in a way that will effectively allow the interactions to continue. The characteristics of each partner in this dialogue will influence the quality of the interpersonal interactions; this is also true of the partners’ temperamental traits. Following the classical longitudinal studies on the subject of ‘temperament’ by Thomas, Chess and Birch (1968) temperament has been assessed and studied in a variety of ways. The assumption being temperamental traits, which are genetically based, determine the characteristic patterns of responding to the environment and also help to regulate inner sensations and the formation of patterns of interpersonal relationships (Klien & Yablon, 2008). Temperamental characteristics affect the individuals’ ability for self-regulation Rothbart (1981).
Most of a child’s self-regulatory development is due to the child’s increased ability to control attentional processes, as well as motor behaviour (Fox et al., 2001; Kochanska, Coy & Murry, 2001; Rumemoff & Rothbart, 1996). A key concept in Rothbart’s and Ruff’s theory (1996) is the idea of effortful control, a group of self-regulatory behaviours that develops with the maturation of attentional abilities. Effortful control begins to emerge at the end of the first year of life, its development continues, at least through preschool years. During the years self-regulatory behaviour becomes more voluntary and systematic which enables the child to fit into society.

The effects of regulatory processes on development processes have become a popular subject for research. One theoretical perspective looks to the child-caregiver relationship as the primary context in which children learn to regulate their emotions: research relating to face-to-face interactions between mothers and infants focused on the mutual regulation within the dyad as an important factor in the infants’ emotional development (Gianino & Tronick, 1988; Tronick, 1989).

Deficits in self-regulatory skills underlie or contribute to a range of adverse developmental problems and disorders, including ADHD (Barkley, 1997), eating disorders (Attie & Brooks-Gunn, 1995) and risk-taking behaviour (Cantor & Sanderson 1998; Eisenberg et al., 2005). Self-regulation has been recognised as an important factor in aiding academic achievements of school-age children, less has been written about the subject in early childhood, yet the development of self-regulation has been described as an important milestone in early childhood (Shonkoff & Phillips, 2000).

The ability to apply self-control is also an important element in social acceptance. During this time, children shift from primarily external control to internal control, but the environment still plays a critical role in the development of self-regulation. It provides opportunities to develop new strategies and to practice increasing self-regulatory control. It also provides constraints within which children must operate. They begin to build internalised standards of behaviour that they can use as a guide in the absence of adults. They can talk about mental states, such as thinking and believing and develop a more sophisticated understanding of other minds (Bronson, 2000).

Preschool and kindergarten children are more advanced than infants in their ability to achieve cognitive self-regulation. They can select goals that are suitable for their age group and work consistently to reach these goals. In a widening range of tasks, they can resist distraction, use appropriate and effective strategies to monitor their progress and reach their goals successfully. Adults in their environments, specifically caregivers or kindergarten teachers that offer suitable language strategies, assist in the development of cognitive self-regulation. Questions that help children generate their solutions foster the development of independent problem-solving skills (Casey & Lippman, 1991; Casey & Tucker, 1994). During this period, children also become interested in the products that they produce and begin to evaluate their products according to internal or external standards. Children have motivation for self-regulation when they believe that they are responsible for their actions, that they are capable of controlling them and that they have choices (Bronson, 2000).

Supporting the Development of Self-Regulating Behaviours

Responsive and close interactions that respect the child’s growing autonomy and sense of self, support growth in self-direction (Nucci et al., 1996). The child is more willing to adapt and internalise adult behaviour and when the relationship is loving and trusting (Sroufe, 1996). Coercive external control may result in immediate compliance, but it undermines the development of internal self-regulation (Lepper, 1983). Strategies that allow the child to gain control over their behaviour offer limited appropriate options, use suggestions rather than commands and focus on
explanations rather than direct orders since these strategies are most likely to lead to inner control (Hoffman, 1970b; Maccoby, 1980). By providing opportunities to make decisions and choices and attributing inner control to the child, these strategies support self-regulation, since they increase the child's awareness of the decision-making process, and the results of their behaviour. On the other hand, caregiver interaction styles that overwhelm or belittle, or are erratic or unpredictable, make the child feel confused, angry or helpless and undermine the development of inner control in social situations. The environment mediates the growing understanding of acceptable behaviour.

Children build cognitive self-regulation through the construction of ‘schemes’ that develop through interaction with the environment, enabling the child to bring coherence to their experience. However, there are individual differences in the child's ability to cope with various levels of stimulation and complexity, so that caregivers need to adjust the environment to suit the needs of individuals in their care.

In addition to empathetic caregiving and showing concern for others, which provide a nurturing environment for pro-social behaviour, it is also necessary to verbalize the rules of behaviour and the results that behaviour can have on others (Zhan-Waxler & Radke-Yarrow, 1982; Zhan-Waxler et al., 1979). Order and predictability in the environment contribute to the development of the ability to focus attention effectively and the ability to engage in self-exploratory play while learning how to master activities. Such order also allows children to feel competent and exercise more control, especially when they know where to find things, what comes next and how to participate. In a disorganised or unpredictable environment, they find it difficult to cope effectively. Supporting children's lengthening periods of focused attention in independent activities supports their growing self-direction and persistence.

In contrast to babies, who need substantial support and guidance from adults to help them maintain control, preschool and kindergarten children are increasingly more capable of exercising self-control. As the executive, inhibitory and working memory functions develop, they become more capable of refraining from forbidden behaviours, and can hold in mind and carry out increasingly more complicated directions.

By understanding and supporting the processes involved in the development of self-regulation skills, it might be possible for significant adults in young children's lives to have a substantial effect in aiding young children, who exhibit difficulties in developing self-regulatory behaviour. This was the rationale for the present research.

**METHODOLOGY**

In this research, the data-collection and analysis were based on both quantitative and qualitative methods. Quality data was the priority and was collected after and in reliance to the quantitative data results.

For the first part of the study the kindergarten teachers were requested to fill in the Achenbach Child Behaviour Checklist (1992) for kindergarten teachers, C-TRF 1.5-5. A widely used international tool translated into Hebrew for this research.

The request from the kindergarten teachers before filling in the checklists was to think of a child in their care who showed problems of self-regulation and to fill in the checklist according to what they encountered in their daily meetings with these children. One of the advantages of using this tool is the use of T-test scores, which give an indication of the cut-off points for normal behaviour. The checklist consists of 100 items of behaviour that the kindergarten teacher is requested to grade between 0-2: 0 = never occurs, 1 = sometimes occurs, 2 = very often occurs.

After receiving the checklists from the kindergarten teachers, the researcher was able to build up profiles of the children in the population sample. The profiles ranged from normative to marginal to clinical behaviour, according to the scores the child received in each category of behaviour.
As can be seen from Table 1 above, one significant correlation was found and a few marginally significant correlations.

- A significant negative correlation was found between the number of children per kindergarten group and the teacher's evaluation of total behaviour problems: larger groups were associated with an evaluation of fewer behaviour problems.

Several marginally significant negative correlations were found as follows:

- Three marginally significant negative correlations were found between the number of children per kindergarten group and the teacher's evaluation of total externalizing problems, affective problems, and pervasive developmental problems; larger groups were associated with an evaluation of fewer problems.

- Three marginally significant negative correlations were found between the length of teacher-child acquaintance and the teacher's evaluation of aggressive behaviour, total externalizing problems, and oppositional defiant problems; longer teacher-child acquaintance was associated with an evaluation of fewer problems.

From the population sample, three children were selected to take part in an intervention program, which focused on the development of self-regulating behaviour. In addition, to the checklists, post and pre-intervention open-ended interviews were held with the kindergarten teachers.

**Intervention Program**

The intervention program was based on teaching strategies of Mediated Learning (Feuerstein, 1991) and scaffolding (Vygotsky, 1978) in everyday situations. The program took place in the kindergartens under the auspices of the kindergarten teacher. The researcher and kindergarten teacher meet regularly, once every three weeks, for 3 months for an evaluation.
The intervention model involved five stages:

1. Identifying and defining a concern.
2. Establishing mutual goals between the kindergarten teachers and the consultant (the researcher) that participated in the program.
3. Co-constructing a pedagogical plan based on scaffolding and mediated learning that could be implemented in the kindergarten.
4. Implementation of the plan in the kindergarten.
5. Collecting data to determine goal achievement.

Figure 1. Illustrates the integration of all the parts of the intervention program to enable the child to achieve self-regulatory behaviours. The organization of the educational environment, the teaching strategies, mediated learning and scaffolding and the supporting of interpersonal relationships.
Results from Table 2

Table 2 shows the distribution of the ranges of behavior in the syndrome scales and DSM-Orientated scales. The ranges are between normative, marginal and clinical.

The number of boys identified with difficult behaviours was larger than the number of girls: 23:7.

From the table, it is possible to discern that the distribution of the ranges of behavior extends between all levels, normative, borderline and clinical. In the boys’ sample in the syndrome scales, there were 8 in the clinical range for emotionally reactive and 4 in the marginal range: 12 altogether (52.2%) who are at risk.

Also, 6 were in the clinical range for attention problems, (26.1%) and 3 (13.0%) in the border range, all together 39.1% The largest number of boys in the clinical range was in the category of total behavior problems, 16 (69.9%) of the sample population.

There were indications of aggressive behavior, 10 (43.5%) in the marginal range and 5 (21.7%) in the clinical range, all together 65.2%.
In the DSM-Orientated scales, there were 4(17.4%) in the clinical range and 9(39.1%) in the marginal range for attention hyperactivity problems. Identification of lack of concentration and impulsive behavior are related to Attention Hyperactive behaviour (Barkley, 1997). Altogether 56.5 % of the population sample who are at risk.

There were 7:30.4% with Pervasive Developmental problems in the clinical range and 2: 8.7% in the marginal range.

It is necessary to remember that these children came from mainstream kindergartens. According to the research there are a number of children with clinical and borderline profiles, visiting these kindergartens every day.

In the girls’ sample, the distribution was slightly different.

For attention problems, 3 were in the clinical range and 4 in the normative range,0 in the marginal range.

The highest number in the girl population in the clinical range was found in the Total externalizing problems, 6 (85.7%), and only 1 in the normative range.

In the aggressive behavior category, there were no girls in the clinical range,4 in the marginal range(57.1%).

These scales show the wide range of behavior between normative to clinical for both boys and girls. The research looked at the characteristics of a lack of self-regulating behaviours. These were identified in both girls and boys.

**Results from Open-Ended Interviews with Kindergarten Teachers Before and After Intervention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impulsivity</th>
<th>Difficulties in self-regulation</th>
<th>Feelings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Impulsive</td>
<td>• Lack of control</td>
<td>• Frustrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dangers herself</td>
<td>• Difficulty in self-relaxation</td>
<td>• Anger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Unpredictable</td>
<td>• Cannot stop when asked to</td>
<td>• Difficult days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Difficulties in delaying self-gratification</td>
<td>• There are days when it feels like the “war of attrition”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Internal agitation</td>
<td>• There are days when I cannot wait until 16.00(the end of the day).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Needs outside help to help her interact</td>
<td>• It is still very difficult despite all we do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• With the children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Aggressive behaviour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Unruly behaviour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Runs away</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Messy in eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Talks a lot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Climbs on everything</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Difficulty in falling asleep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Throws chairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cries extensively</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Difficulties in changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• He is always checking the boundaries, what he can do, what is dangerous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• “Speaks with a very loud voice all the time,”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Talks all the time”, “Cannot stop when asked”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• “Finds it difficult to internalize the rules and regulations of the kindergarten”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• “Uses aggressive measures to solve problems”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

Self-regulating behaviour is an important skill in modern life. Research shows that a child develops internal self-regulatory behaviour within the context of biological and environmental factors. The motivation for self-regulation occurs when children believe that they are responsible for their actions, that they are capable of controlling them and that they have choices (Bronson, 2000).

This research implemented an intervention program which aimed to increase the children’s self-regulatory behaviours through pedagogical measures. According to the findings, the intervention program was successful in increasing certain aspects of the children's self-regulatory behaviours in the kindergarten settings.

The research showed that in kindergartens there were a proportion of children with challenging behaviours, above normative levels. The kindergarten teachers indicated that they found it difficult to cope with these challenges and that they lacked the appropriate tools and skills to be able to contain these behaviours. Without early diagnosis and professional consultation, the kindergarten teachers are alone in their daily management of these children. This lack of knowledge and skills for efficiently working with these children could lead to a high level of frustration or even feelings of failure when teachers try to advance the group or specific children in the group. Also the interpersonal interactions between the significant adults in the children’s life are very often negative for the child, thereby affecting their self-image and confidence.

It is therefore important to find tools for early identification of challenging behaviours, combined with a suitable intervention program targeted to reduce these behaviours. Thereby aiding integration and consequently enhance the emotional climate of the educational setting. The program suggested in this research and was seen to be effective is unique in that it empowers the kindergarten teacher to implement the program and that it is easily applicable to the daily routine of kindergarten. There is no need to take the child out of the educational setting to a clinical situation, once a week. The program is implemented in the child’s natural environment every day.

Table 4. Behavioural traits identified in the post-intervention interviews with the kindergarten teachers, by category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Less Impulsivity</th>
<th>Self-regulation</th>
<th>Feelings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thinks before he does things</td>
<td>Does less dangerous things</td>
<td>Less frustrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He can build without destroying the building: once he would build – destroy – build- destroy now he builds</td>
<td>Manages to stop himself</td>
<td>Wonderful to see him working nicely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manages to stop himself</td>
<td>She internalizes what is expected of her</td>
<td>She builds so nicely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can regulate himself when frustrated, cries a lot less</td>
<td>Doesn’t run away</td>
<td>We are better able to be empathetic to her</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can sit longer in circle time</td>
<td>Better able to state what she needs without crying</td>
<td>Much better feeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More cooperative</td>
<td>I see significant results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plays better</td>
<td>We have a “tool” to work with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advancement in little things but significant things</td>
<td>I almost cried when I saw him working nicely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can regulate himself at times of frustration, cries a lot less</td>
<td>Better experience with her</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eats in a much more regulated way</td>
<td>There is less to remark about</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All of his self-regulation has improved</td>
<td>All child’s interpersonal interactions have improved: with children and staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greater improvement in social behaviour. All the interpersonal interactions have improved: with the children and the staff, His self-regulation has entirely improved</td>
<td>I feel that I have tools to work with, you have filled my &quot;box of tools&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>So running or jumping on the trampoline before he has to sit in circle time helps him be more focused. And after sitting for a period he needs to be able to move around.</td>
<td>These are all small things but very important because they occur every day, a number times during the day. It is a real change in the quality of life in the kindergarten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Also, he sits next to me, and my touch helps him be calmer and be more focused</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At meal times, allowing him to eat with a tablespoon cause less room for comments, makes for a more pleasant meal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Integration of children with special needs is part of the educational philosophy of modern education, it is therefore vital that all kindergarten teachers should receive the necessary skills and knowledge for working with children who exhibit difficult behaviours. Not only students that are studying to be kindergarten teachers in special education.
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