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Abstract
This paper discusses translation problems awaiting Turkish students as well as the creative solutions they develop in overcoming them. It consists of two parts; The first part studies the barriers concerning translation procedures from the perspective of translation theory and Turkish translation history; The second part analyses the impact of translator training programmes by submitting a case study to disclose in what way they shape and affect creative decisions of trainees in dealing with translation problems. Within this framework, it first discerns linguistic skills from translation skills in consideration for theoretical account of translation competence. Second, it focusses on cultural distances and divergences, and their role in taking translatorial decisions in solving translation problems. Third, it studies the learning habits of Turkish students and their impact in acquiring translation competence from the point of “logics of languages”. Forth, it discusses the scheduling of translator training programmes of the departments of translation studies in Turkey, and the way they bridge the gap between theory and practice to enhance functionality of translations. Accordingly, it discusses translator training programmes of Turkish universities in terms of international standards of translation and emphasizes university understanding to reach universally acknowledged standards of translation, which surpasses the past notion of translation as an act of literal transfer of message from one language to another, thereby supporting creativity for the sake of functionality as long as they maintain the message of original text.
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1. Barriers in Translator Training

Today the demand for translation has boosted as a result of globalization as well as the advances recorded in the field of Information Technologies. This means nations can survive as long as they abide by the global decisions taken by the international organizations such as UN, or regional organizations such as the EU to provide political, economic and technological integration. According to this introductory remark, I can enlist the barriers in translator training as follows:

1. The barriers arising from the confusion of linguistic skills with translation skills
2. The barriers arising from cultural divergency
3. The barriers arising from “learning habits of Turkish students” as well as the barriers arising from “the logics of languages”
4. The barriers arising from the scheduling of programs, or the barriers arising from “the gap between theory and practice.

In fact, the above-mentioned barriers are closely interrelated with each other, which can be categorized as components of “translator training” (Yazıcı 2012: 17-20). On the other hand, we can also subsume them under the category of linguistic and cultural barriers since language is the heart of culture, and one can gain professional identity only if s/he overcomes the cultural and linguistic barriers mentioned above. Accordingly, departments of translation studies should consider the above-mentioned barriers in shaping their curricula.

1.1. The Barriers Arising from the Confusion of Linguistic Skills with Translation Skills

Providing unity in diversity is a difficult task since international correspondence today is maintained in “a third code” called “translation”, and the member nations agree on English as lingua franca. This inevitably ends not only in dirtying of languages, but also in betraying the main principle of the EU as “integration”, especially when considered the reverse direction of translation from native languages into English. The underlying reason why I emphasize the reversal of direction is that translation into mother tongue enhances the dynamics of languages and enriches them. It is for this reason that we can claim intensive translation activity after the Script Reform in 1928 contributed to the dissemination of the literacy and enrichment of modern Turkish as a literary language in a short span of time. Internationally well-known Turkish novelists or poets, Nazım Hikmet, Nurullah Ataç, Halide Edip Adıvar, who bore dual identity as authors and translators, thought and discussed on translation since translation was assumed to be a tool of modernization. They had a great share in introducing young Turkish Republic as a haven of translation. However, they came from “rewriting tradition” and their
social status as “eminent authors” entitled them to act more freely in taking translatorial decisions, which even extended to “transcreation”. This way of freedom can also be attributed to literary translation as a genre since translators have a recourse to shifts to convey the “expressive identity” of the original work. Undoubtedly, socio-cultural factors also played a role in entitling the above-mentioned authors to such an extent of freedom since literary translation was assumed as a means of disseminating literacy, or as a means of launching “campaign of literacy”. However, coming from such tradition in the past, we have difficulty in training translators in academia, and cannot fully meet the expectations proposed not only by the universal organizations, but also those posed by the universally acknowledged theoretical knowledge although the number of departments of translation studies is increasing at full speed every day. This may arise from the difference between the past and present definitions of the concept “translation”.

Gideon Toury defines “translation” as a fact of target culture. Accordingly, the past definition of it as a linguistic transfer of knowledge based on linguistic proficiency in source language is replaced with the knowledge of translation activity in the target culture (Toury 1995:80-81). The new orientations in research inevitably affect the training methods. That is to say, training based on developing bilingual proficiency in the past, which ended in language teaching, has been replaced with teaching translation as a fact of target culture. In this case, “translations” has become the object of study in the departments of translation studies and linguistic proficiency has become the prerequisite for the entrance of these programs. This was just opposed to the established misconception of the past translator training programs that these departments should develop linguistic proficiency due to the fact that second language was taught through translation for ages in Turkey. Accordingly, in the last two decades translator training programs arrange their curricula focusing on translations as a fact of target culture and ignore source language competency. For example, spotting translation problems on the source text is replaced with translation relevant source text analysis. Although this erased the past image of translation as a sign-oriented activity, or just as a linguistic transaction based on transfer of translation, they encounter cultural and linguistic barriers in developing teaching strategies in the light of translation theories. Even if translator training programs try to adapt themselves to this new concept of translation, they encounter cultural and linguistic barriers in developing teaching strategies in the light of contemporary theories since established cultural norms of training in the past hindered translator training programs from reach their aim. That is to say, training is culture-bound and the norms concerning it are ingrained in trainees mind in such a way that it takes a long time to revolutionize, to reverse, or to replace the past concepts with the new ones. The question here is how to erase the past definition of “translation as a linguistic transfer” and replace it with the concept of “translation as transcultural interaction” in consideration for the established misconceptions concerning translator training. This raises the question of “how a trainer can

1.2 Cultural Barriers
Hans Vermeer’s definition of translation best verifies the way the concept of translation has changed in the course of time:

I understand translating roughly as a procedure initiated by a commissioner consisting of a set of (verbal and nonverbal) instructions (plus additional material) to prepare an (oral or written) “target text” for transcultural interacting on the basis of “source text” material. (Vermeer 1996:6)

Vermeer even transcends the bicultural borders of translation in this definition and defines it as “transcultural interaction”, which implicates the third code peculiar to the translated literature. This way of approach led instructors to focus on teaching the system of translated literature. It means teaching the norms of the culture the translators are affiliated to. If we define culture as a set of norms and conventions, it means we act in a manner acceptable by the society. Accordingly, as members of a society translators also belong to a nation (a para-culture), or a group, organization (a dia-culture). Accordingly, if they want to survive and operate in it, they have to abide by the norms shaped by para or dia-culture. On the other hand, translators develop their own idio-culture by distinguishing and infiltrating the above-mentioned norms and electing those only peculiar to their personalities. If we assume society as a network of relations based on the principle of division of labour, and translation as an offer of service, translator’s experience and bi-cultural knowledge in transcultural interaction as an expert involves to provide the best service to the client. Accordingly, as an expert, translator also sometimes has to break some of the instructions, or norms set by the commissioner since both the commissioner and the client see and define translation task not as a transcultural interaction, but as a fact of target culture. (ibid, 1996: 2-5). The conflict between the sides appears as a cultural barrier in the daily life of the translator who, in fact, bears the identity of intercultural intermediary.

On the other hand, the national education system based on the norms of para-culture poses a barrier in reshaping the established norms in spite of the new perspectives acquired from scientific knowledge, or from translation theories. Even if translator training programs in Turkey try to adapt themselves to this new concept of translation, they encounter cultural and linguistic barriers in developing teaching strategies in the light of contemporary theories since established cultural norms of training in the past in a way hindered translator training programs from reach their aim. That is to say, training is culture-bound and the norms concerning it are ingrained in trainees mind in such a way that it takes a long time to revolutionize, to reverse, or to replace the past concepts with the new ones. The question here is how to erase the past definition of “translation as a linguistic transfer” and replace it with the concept of “translation as transcultural interaction” in consideration for the established misconceptions concerning translator training. This raises the question of “how a trainer can
compromise “translation as a culture bound term” with the established norms of Turkish education system concerning translator training. This is why I discuss the learning habits of Turkish trainees from the perspective of national education system in the next section.

1.3. Learning Habits of Turkish Trainees and the Logics of Languages

Turkish students tend to learn by formulae. Even the recent communicative language teaching books end in developing transfer competences of students since they focus on reaching formula of learning a foreign language through grammatical rules. This way of learning only helps to develop transfer competence of students. The following exercise in the best seller medical English teaching book, which was first published in 1977, and has had 9 editions since then, may exemplify deeply rooted learning habits of Turkish students:

Şaşılık (strabismus/1) doğuş-(birth/6) ta-(at/5) farked-(noticed/4) il-3-mişti /2. (Türçin 2005:54)

Not being fluent enough in Turkish to read Pamuk’s original text, I have been repeatedly blown away by the deftness and artistry of her (Maureen Freely’s) translations. Turkish is a particularly tricky language to render into English parlance. With its subject-object-verb (SOV) syntax and system of agglutinating suffixes and prefixes, an English sentence as simple as “I went to İstanbul” is expressed as “Istanbul-to go-(past tense)-I.”

On the other hand, we can claim learning habits are shaped by the logics of languages. Turkish is an inductive language. The following quote from Maria Isabel, which states the difficulties in translating from Turkish into English by discussing the English version of Orhan Pamuk’s latest novel titled “Peculiarity in My Mind” verifies my claim concerning the correlation between the logics of languages and learning habits.

On the other hand, translation is a combination of reflex and reflection. While the concept “reflex” refers to linguistic proficiency and creative skills based on bicultural knowledge, “reflection” concerns “theorizing”, or meta-level thinking (macro-scale processing of the text). It means deciding on the function and the factors or agents concerning translation task, and taking micro-scale linguistic decisions concerning lexis or syntax accordingly. Only if the trainee can coordinate these two procedures, s/he can achieve to unite her/his decisions in uncontrolled and controlled workspaces of mind (Hönig 1990:78-88).

1.4 The Barriers Arising from the Scheduling of Programmes

Theoretical knowledge as an import cannot revolutionize mental processes of translators in four years. It is only after a huge amount of practice under the guidance of well-informed instructors can one start to question his/her decisions retrospectively, and relate his/her actions to theoretical knowledge. The unconscious way of teaching translation theory may slow down their reflexes. However, if translation is taught by bridging the gap between theory and practice, students can improve their reflexive and reflective skills in place of developing error avoiding strategies. That is to say, If we arrange our curricula just to develop “reasoning”, or “reflectionary skills” through theoretical knowledge, the trainee may lose his/her reflexive skills. Translator training programs will succeed only if they teach how to synchronize “reflexes” with “reasoning” (Hönig 1987: 37-41).

In the foundation years of departments of translation studies Turkish trainers thought they could reverse the past learning habits of trainees by allocating more time to theoretical classes with the hope of brainwashing students’ minds in translation classes. As a result, the number of theoretical classes increased twice as much as translation practice classes. However, translation is a time-limited and achievement-oriented task. For example, when I asked the students why they failed in young translators’ contest held by the ministry of European Union Affairs in 2008, the students complained about time limitation although they were the top students in class. I tried to understand the underlying reasons and studied the schedules of the departments of translation studies. I observed that the schedules were approximately the same except for the curricula of vocational schools, which are expected to arrange their programs on translation practice. Subsequently, I asked myself what was wrong with it?

Then, I thought we have made a mistake somewhere concerning the perception of theoretical knowledge. The answer to these questions can be related to the “logics of languages”, “learning habits”, as well as to “the ways of assimilating knowledge”. For example, Turkish students have a recourse to theoretical knowledge only as “a manual” to teach them “how to translate”. That is to say, trainer’s recourse to theoretical knowledge as a means of brainwashing would fall behind the expectations. Since theory proceeds from the question “why?”, the trainers’ expectations from theoretical classes as reversing the bottom-up processing to top-down processing have ended in fiasco since students only memorized them without correlating them to the applied field. It only served to the transfer of the western theoretical thinking since we disregarded the fact that theoretical knowledge becomes functional only if there is a huge amount of descriptive study on translations, and
only if the data and findings obtained from descriptive research are discussed and questioned in the target culture. One can infer from these remarks that theoretical knowledge in translator training serves for an end, or a goal only if it interacts with the applied field. Without questioning theoretical knowledge in practice, or reversing without conducting descriptive study in our own setting, questioning the data within the framework of theoretical knowledge, most of the knowledge acquired from theoretical classes would just have a little impact on trainees’ translation competence. Such an approach may even end in the disruption of the coordination between translatory reflexes and reflection based on theoretical knowledge.

The instructor’s awareness of the cultural and linguistic barriers and the knowledge of the profile of the trainees require sound theoretical knowledge and worldly experience. As opposed to the expectation that the trainee can put theoretical knowledge into practice, instructor’s guidance in translation practice in the light of the theoretical knowledge help them gain reflexes and reflectionary skills much faster than teaching theory without translation practice. Accordingly, instead of expecting students to set up correlations between theoretical knowledge and practice, an instructor who is well equipped with theoretical knowledge can guide them by assigning such translation tasks as guiding them to combine translatory reflexes and reflection. In this case, instead of isolating theoretical classes from practice, adopting a holistic approach would serve much better for the ends of Turkish students. Although our task as trainers increases twice as much, we may achieve trainees to become constructive learners (Hönig 1990:77-83).

The question is how we can achieve to bridge the gap between theory and practice at graduate level. For example, giving a directive as “translate this excerpt from x language to y” in translation classes while teaching theoretical knowledge would impede them from developing translation competence. However, teaching them under the guidance of theoretical knowledge will broaden their horizons on the path to professionalism. For example, suppose that an instructor assigns trainees to translate an advertisement. Trainer’s knowledge of Skopos theory will lead her/him to give such a brief as to define the translation task and create communicative atmosphere for students to draw up a scene or scenario. Such a macro-scale approach will inevitably help them to reflect on their operational decisions. Even the choice of text and the length of the task require the trainer’s experience and awareness of theoretical knowledge. The following example may illuminate what I mean by combining theory with practice.

2. A Case Study with Turkish Students

At this stage I proceed from my own experience to explain better. I assigned the following text to the undergraduate students in 2005, which was issued by Skoda UK Ltd (quoted by Dainty 1995: 84), and wrote down the following brief on the board:

**Brief:** The following ad of Skoda was issued in The Daily Mirror in 2004. Now the same ad will appear in Turkish Newspaper Hürriyet. Translate it in such a way as to appeal to the Turkish consumers:

**A few of my Favorit things**

Waking up in the early hours of a hot summer’s day. No thought given to shopping or housework. CAUTION thrown to the wind. SWIMMING costumes, towels, buckets, spades and children thrown in to the Favorit. FEELING wonderfully relaxed as we speed through its five gears towards the near coast. 1.3 litres, and a top speed rather more than the legal limit will get us there in no time. BREAKFAST at a roadside café. BACON and eggs sizzling, fresh bread toasting, MUGS of piping hot tea. THE works all round. BACK on the road. THE excitement in the kids voices as we get closer. BEING the first to see the sea. NOT far now. SAND between toes. ICE cream dripping down finger. CRICKET on the beach. PRINCE catching John out. SCONES and jam The long golden sunset. THE slow, reluctant walk arm-in-arm back to the welcoming comfort of our Favorit. PLENTY of room in the back for the kids to snuggle down for the journey home. I still can’t quite believe they manage to fit so much in for the price. LISTENING to my favourite album on the stereo. WATCHING the shadows cast by our halogen headlights. TUCKING the children, then ourselves up in bed. WANTING to do it all again tomorrow. (Skoda UK Ltd) (Quoted from Dainty 1999:85)

2.1 The Trainer’s Awareness

Trainer’s awareness in textual choice and the brief plays very important role in guiding students. For example, trainer’s decision on choosing an operative text in place of an informative text as well as the brief accompanying it will distract students’ attention away from linguistic or micro-scale difficulties on source text to the translation-oriented text analysis, which means to take prospective decisions to produce a functional text. Furthermore, introducing soft-sell advertising by asking them to describe their favorite advertisements help them to question what works better to sell the product. These warm-up questions at macro-level will lead them to take appropriate linguistic decisions at micro-level. At this stage the trainer may also ask them to spot linguistic or iconic features such as iconicity with words, connotations, prosody or alliterations as well as puns. Asking them the medium of their popular advertisements, or asking whether they are broadcasted, or published will help them to discern printed material from visual material. All these introductory questions guiding trainees to
research in target culture will enable them to question the function of their translations as commercials. After such brainstorming on the part of the trainer, I asked the trainees to translate the text and kept the most interesting paper of all so as to disclose to what extent the trainer’s theoretical knowledge may guide the trainees and help them take creative decisions. Now I would like to share it with you:

Source Text
A few of my Favorit things
Waking up in the early hours of a hot summer’s day. No thought given to shopping or housework. CAUTION thrown to the wind. SWIMMING costumes, towels, buckets, spades and children thrown in to the Favorit. FEELING wonderfully relaxed as we speed through its five gears towards the near coast. 1.3 litres, and a top speed rather more than the legal limit will get us there in no time. BREAKFAST at a roadside café. BACON and eggs sizzling, fresh bread toasting, MUGS of piping hot tea. THE works all round. BACK on the road. THE excitement in the kids voices as we get closer. BEING the first to see the sea. NOT far now. SAND between toes. ICE cream dripping down finger. CRICKET on the beach. PRINCE catching John out. SCONES and jamv The long golden sunset. THE slow, reluctant walk arm-in-arm back to the welcoming comfort of our Favorit. PLENTY of room in the back for the kids to snuggle down for the journey home. I still can’t quite believe they manage to fit so much in for the price. LISTENING to my favourite album on the stereo. WATCHING the shadows cast by our halogen headlights. TUCKING the children, then ourselves up in bed. WANTING to do it all again tomorrow. (Skoda UK Ltd)

Original Text
Skoda Favoritle yapılabilecek 100 Şey
Sıcak bir yaz günü erkenden uyanır, alışveriş- ev işlerini boş verir, “ihtarları” çöpe atarsınız. Yüzme kıyafetlerini, havluları, kovaları, kürekleri ve çocukları Favorit’inize doldurup en yakın kıyıya 5 vitesyle hızlı giderken, bir tıy kadar haffî hissedersiniz. 1.3 litre moturu ve yasal sınırların çok üstündeki hızıyla hedefe çabucak ulaşır, yol kenarında bir kafede kahvaltı yaparsınız. Pastırmalı yumurta cıvruları, dumanı üstünde taze ekmek, içe belli bardakta tavan kanu çaylar... Bir kuş süüt eksik! Tekrar yola çıkarısınız, kısa süre sonra deniz görünür. Çocukların heyecanı hat sahladılar. Plajın sıcak kumları girer ayak parmaklarınızın arasına. Dondurma elinizine damlar. Plajda kriket oynanır. Prince John’u yener. Çörekler, reçel... Ve, ağır ağır gelen-altın sarısı günbatımı... Favorit’in konforuna doğru kol kola, yavaş ve isteksize yürüür. Eve giderken, çocuklar geniş arka koltuklarda rahata uyur, bu sırada siz müzik setinde en sevdiğiniz albümü dinler, halojen ön farlarını oluşturduğu gölgeleri izlersiniz...Çocuklarınız’ yataklarına yatırsız, kendilerine doğru giderken, tüm bunları yanınız bir kez daha yapmayı isteriniz...Bu fiyat, bu teknoloji ve konfor. Favorit, favoriniz olacak!

2.2 Comparative Analysis

Now there comes the time to analyse the trainees in the course of translation. The following table is the back translation of the Turkish version:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source text</th>
<th>Back translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A few of my Favorit things</td>
<td>100 Things that can be done with Skoda Favorit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waking up in the early hours of a hot summer’s day. No thought given to shopping or housework. <strong>CAUTION thrown to the wind.</strong> SWIMMING costumes, towels, buckets, spades and children thrown in to the Favorit. <strong>FEELING wonderfully relaxed as we speed through its five gears towards the near coast.</strong> 1.3 litres, and a top speed rather more than the legal limit will get us there in no time. BREAKFAST at a roadside café. BACON and eggs sizzling, fresh bread toasting, MUGS of <em>piping hot tea.</em>* THE works all round.** BACK on the road. THE excitement in the kids voices as we get closer. BEING the first to see the sea. NOT far now. SAND between toes. ICE cream dripping down finger. CRICKET on the beach. PRINCE catching John out. SCONES and jamv The long golden sunset. THE slow, reluctant walk arm-in-arm back to the welcoming comfort of our Favorit. PLENTY of room in the back for the kids to snuggle down for the journey home. I still can’t quite believe they manage to fit so much in for the price. LISTENING to my favourite album on the stereo. WATCHING the shadows cast by our halogen headlights. TUCKING the children, then ourselves up in bed. WANTING to do it all again tomorrow. (Skoda UK Ltd)</td>
<td>Waking up early on a hot summer day, you shrug off household chores, &quot;Caution&quot; thrown to the bin. Swimming clothes, towels, buckets, shovels and their children while speeding the nearest shore, 5 spd you fill your Favorit, you will feel as light as a feather. 1.3 liter engine and a top speed rather more than the legal limit will get us there in no time, you can have breakfast at a roadside café. Pastrami and egg sizzle, fresh bread on the smoke, small waisted cup of tea in rabbit blood colour... A bird's milk is missing! You hit the road again, the sea appears shortly after... are children excitement phase lines. The beach is hot and sand enters between your toes. Ice cream dripping to your hands. <strong>Playing cricket on the beach. Prince beats John.</strong> Scones, jam... And, slowly coming golden sunset... Walking slowly towards and reluctantly towards the comfort of Favorite arm in arm. Even when are going home, children sleep comfortably with large rear seat; meanwhile you listen to your favorite albums in stereo watching halogen shadows created by headlights... you take the kids to the bed. On the way to your own bed, You want to do all of them again... <strong>At this price, the technology and comfort. Favorit, will be your favorite!</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One can observe the trainee is very creative in translating the text. He considers consumer buying habits and soft selling strategies in the light of the brief. He foregrounds the cultural expectations of the Turkish consumers in translating the title, and exaggerates it by inserting 100 Things that can be done with Skoda Favorit in place of A few of my Favorit things and inserts the car brand Skoda since it is known as an affordable car brand by middle class Turkish consumers. In other words, he draws consumers’ attention to the propensity of Turkish drivers to violate traffic rules. However, towards the end of text he has a recourse to direct transfer of cultural elements peculiar to the source culture as in the examples of “Playing cricket on the beach. Prince beats John” in spite of his cultural awareness in soft selling strategies. This may be correlated to the third code of translations, which discerns it from non-translations. Stylistically, one can observe that the source text author has has a frequent recourse to "ellipsis", which makes the source text more poetic and dreamlike. However, “ellipsis” does not provide the same dreamlike tone in Turkish. It may have been for this reason that the trainee tried to seize the dreamlike feature of the source text by referring to «idiomatic language» in Turkish, and chooses those especially peculiar to material culture as in the examples of “small waisted cup of tea in rabbit blood colour” in place of “mug”, or “you will feel as light as a feather” in place of “feeling wonderfully relaxed”, “A bird’s milk is missing!” in place of “The works all around”.

2.3 Theoretical Account of Translator’s Decisions

One can observe that he is loyal to the linguistic and textual features of “informative advertising”. He preserves “the representatives”, “opinions” and “directives” as much possible as softselling strategy allows. Accordingly, he uses “the representatives”, which disclose the truth of the expressed propositions through transferring assessable factors such as "100 things that can be done" in the title, “we speed through its five gears towards the near coast”, “1.3 litres”. On the other hand, the text is mostly composed of “opinions”, which are based on “individual evaluation” as opposed to the “assessable facts”. The trainee was so much aware of the expectations and buying habits of Turkish customers, and was so confident of his decisions that he did not seem to have
difficulty in appealing to the emotions of customers. As he proceeded, he was so involved with the text that he even inserted a last remark to it in the form of “directive”, and inserted “At this price, the technology and comfort. Favorit, will be your favorite!”.

The trainer’s task after such a practice should be to discuss the decisions and translation problems with the trainees on the text to gain them awareness of their decisions, and help them develop self-confidence based on critical thinking.

3.Conclusion
We can draw up following conclusions:

➢ Hans Hönig claimed that doing a lot practice would not serve to develop translation competence (Hönig 1991:65). However, in distant languages and cultures students require a lot of practice to overcome linguistic and cultural barriers so as to reach meta-level thinking stage. Adopting down-to-earth strategies and submitting translation tasks simulating real life conditions in the form of briefs, apprenticeship, or doing some brainstorming exercises to shape the macro-scale decisions will prevent the trainees from thinking translation just as an act of transfer of signs. By this way, they become aware of the complex procedures followed in translation.

➢ It is only after the discussion of translatorial decisions with the trainees at object level can trainer introduce theoretical knowledge to the trainees since discussing something on object level is not the same as thinking it at meta level. Learning is constructive; first you get acquainted with a new situation, or a new problem; next you picture it in your mind; then, you refer it to your daily life, or worldly experience; after that, you try to adapt it to the present situation. All these stages refer to object level of thinking. However, professional identity requires meta-level thinking.

➢ We can claim that identifying translation problems, naming and revising them at meta level is different from studying translations at object level. What I mean is that asking trainees to memorize theoretical knowledge without questioning it in their own language and culture would serve for no end. It becomes functional only if it serves for prospective ends (Wills 158-161). Accordingly, we can claim that trainees cannot develop professional identity without translation practice in consideration for the logics of languages and cultural barriers under the guidance of well-informed and experienced trainers. Theoretical knowledge serves for its ends and becomes functional only if the gap between theory and practice could be bridged.

➢ As for translator training in Turkey, it will reach international standards only if network university understanding is established, which may create a public sphere for discussing training schedules in Turkey. Although they exchange knowledge by surfing on the internet pages of universities, they lay behind the expectations since they do not discuss the problems face to face with each other. Theoretical thinking develops as long as the communication continues since universal knowledge serves for its end only if you discuss them in your own reality. It is only then we can claim that we have reached universal standards in translator training.
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