A Good Supervisor—Ten Facts of Caring Supervision
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Abstract

This article describes the elements of caring supervision of doctoral theses. The purpose was to describe the best practices as well as challenges of supervision especially from the supervisor’s perspective. The analysis is based on the author’s extensive experience as a supervisor and related data obtained for research and developmental purposes. Caring supervision is summarized into ten facts that illustrate the multidimensional nature of a supervision relationship. The findings can help supervisors develop in their important work of supervising doctoral theses.
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1. Introduction

The supervisor’s role in supervising research and theses is a demanding and important one. When it comes to the supervision of doctoral theses, the positions and roles of supervisor and PhD students are even more unique. Still, many same roles that the supervisor adopts during supervision processes of any thesis are included in the supervision of PhD theses as well. The supervisor’s roles vary from a guide to friend and critic, and everything in between.

Caring supervision of PhD theses has its own special features and presents a more student-centered approach than for example the concept of efficient supervision (Boud & Lee, 2009; Cryer, 2003; Delamont et al., 2008; Dunleavy, 2003; Evans & Kamler, 2005; Golde, 2007; Philips & Pugh, 2003; Taylor & Beasley, 2005). I will discuss them in detail in this article. First, I will introduce my background and experience with PhD theses.

I have supervised 60 PhD theses at the Department of Education of the University of Lapland since 2002. I have described the dissertation processes and documented various phases of supervision and doctoral research work in the form of a researcher’s diary (see also Määttä, 2012). Furthermore, some of my students (N=25) gave me their own written stories about their dissertation process. In addition, I corresponded with them by e-mail in hundreds of pages over the years during their dissertation processes. This is a review paper summarizing my findings based on the aforementioned data and practical experience as a supervisor.

My specific focus has been on the nodes of the doctoral dissertation process and on my action as a supervisor. I have been interested in the research process from a supervisor’s point of view. What kinds of obstacles and drags the process includes that a supervisor especially could help smooth down? How can I as a supervisor support PhD students in their research processes? In summary, my purpose in this article is to answer the following question: What is caring supervision of doctoral theses?

For this purpose, I will use the data I have obtained during my years of a supervisor as described earlier. The communications used in the data are supervision conversations that took place in real supervision situations. As considered as a dialogue between a supervisor and a student, the original purpose of the supervision conversations was to enhance the work with thesis. I have asked every doctoral student for permission to use the data anonymously for this research purpose. All participants gave me permission to make their correspondence public. The data were analyzed with the content analysis method (Denzin, 1989). The main themes have been derived from the PhD students’ stories and my own observations and experiences as well as reflections of my own supervision.

The purpose is to provide as much space as possible for my own perceptions alongside the PhD students’ voices. At the same time, I will reflect on the results compared with previous research about the supervision of the...
doctoral theses and its problems.

2. The Elements of Caring Supervision

According to my interpretation, caring supervision of doctoral theses consists of ten facts. They lay the foundation of a good supervision relationship and research process. It is important to pay attention to these facts, although not even the best supervision can guarantee that a doctoral thesis will be finished. Ultimately, the PhD student is responsible for his or her solutions and choices (Shavelson, 2007). And still, a caring supervisor can be irreplaceable. The following ten themes can also help supervisors develop in their important work of supervising doctoral theses.

2.1 Caring Supervision Is Sensitive Interaction

Fundamentally, supervision is based on interaction and collaboration (see Wisker et al., 2003). This reciprocal relationship can be very sensitive and fragile, but it also has quite energizing and empowering features. Interestingly, all these features are experienced in mutual interaction between the supervisor and PhD student and, therefore, the depth and closeness of the relationship do not become very easily visible to other people (see Parry, 2007; Whitelock, Faulkner, & Miell, 2008). The supervision relationship and the supervisor’s and student’s way of working together can also be model that is transmitted to the next researcher generation (Lindén, Ohlin, & Brodin, 2013). However, it is possible to finish a dissertation process without abundant supervision, and on the other hand, some students need constant guidance and still do not ever reach the ultimate goal of graduation as a doctor.

A doctoral dissertation as the highest academic thesis is always a proof of the PhD student’s profound studies and skills (Dill et al., 2006; Green & Powell, 2005). A supervisor can encourage the student and help him or her make it to the top achievement. A good supervisor is also capable of supporting and strengthening the emerging talent in PhD students. Along with experience, the supervisor can recognize the PhD students’ need as well as obstacles of these future top researchers’ research work.

The most far-reaching and rewarding aspect in supervision is to encourage talented researchers to use their abilities and expertise for the development of science. This can provide supervisors with opportunities to be creative and to perceive the influence of their work. A good supervision relationship and sensitive collaboration between the novice and senior researcher stimulates, gives new perspectives, and lead to new innovations in the supervisor’s research work as well (Halse, 2011; Kearns, Gardiner, & Marshall, 2008).

Every PhD student becomes to know that the dissertation process will require plenty of initiative, familiarization, and toil. Although the work can be merely overestimated than underestimated, the supervisor should evaluate the student’s preparedness and commitment to PhD studies. It is unnecessary for the supervisor to engage in supervision without the student’s own engagement and enthusiasm. Both of them must be certain about the significance of the research.

A dissertation process does not happen just in the collaboration between the supervisor and the PhD student. Although the completed thesis is the PhD student’s great achievement, numerous other people have also contributed to it. Support is given and especially needed from the student’s family and friends, but also from colleagues and employer. The university and academic world offer several beneficial services as well, such as library services as well as conferences and seminars (see Eraut, 2004; Boud & Lee, 2005).

The seminars are beneficial because there students can safely talk about and share their thoughts with their peers who can comment on them and related solutions, and even give new ideas (Llado et al., 2014). The supervisor has a crucial role in creating a supportive atmosphere in the seminar and familiarizes PhD students with the research culture in their own field. Then, the students are socialized in the prevailing norms and standards in the field (Ferguson, 2009).

2.2 The Emphases of Caring Supervision Change during the Process

A doctoral research has its ups and downs, and critical nodes. This necessitates that foci and elements of supervision change during the process as well. First the research theme as well as concepts become specified (Golde, 2000; Rae, 2002). After deciding these fundamental directions, the PhD student can start familiarizing with the theory, methodology, and practice related in the research theme (McWilliam et al., 2005).

The first encounters, conversations, and moments with a supervisor are determining factors at the beginning. Often, the student is shy and doubtful of his or her research abilities. If the student finds the supervisor caring, he or she is likely to start having belief in his or her chances. A caring supervisor supports the students, shows interest in and appreciates the student’s plans, and spares also time and give suggestions to enhance the quality of
During the research process, the supervision relationship changes. The initial sense of appreciation can become deeper, turn into friendship, or change toward an opposite direction, into collaboration between colleagues. Disagreements cannot be always avoided either (Wright & Cochrane, 2000).

The professionalism of a caring supervisor becomes evident by not abandoning or leaving PhD students in trouble; not even when experiencing that the value of the supervision has not been appreciated at all. A caring supervisor understands and is able to predict the powerful impact the research work and the dissertation process can have on the student. Particularly, when working hard, at the limits of disappointment and fatigue, the supervisor’s sincere and unwavering support is invaluable to students. The special value of caring supervision is manifested in the PhD student’s trust in the supervisor staying on his or her side even when the progress is tangling or slow (Ellis & Shockley-Zalabak, 2001; Willemyns, Gallois, & Callan, 2003).

As the doctoral research and the contents of the thesis develop, the ability to supervise and become supervised set a constant challenge. The work changes continuously due to new choices, reflections, and pendulum of options. The initial foci may not necessarily be functional at the end, even if their seemed so clear at the beginning—both in the supervisor’s and PhD student’s eyes. The final thesis is invariably just a shade of the initial research idea.

2.3 A Caring Supervisor Does Not Hold Back Compliments and Appreciation

The research process takes PhD students to the edges of their limits. Successes make them happy, while revision needs can frustrate. However, students are quite happy to admit and work with many deficits if the criticism is expressed alongside positive feedback and appreciative comments on the elements of the research. Therefore, a caring supervisor should not underestimate the value of compliments (see Berg & Dejong, 2005).

Actually, the well-written parts of a thesis may easily be disregarded as self-evident. A supervisor can assume that the PhD student already knows his or her good sides as an author, researcher, and expert in the field. Even if meaning good and trying to motivate the student, the supervisor can tend to focus on the limitations and weaknesses without noticing the strengths of the work sufficiently.

A caring supervisor uses words of compliments being aware of their inspiring meaning and even consciously looks for successes on which base the positive feedback. It is hardly impossible to give too much positive feedback, which can become determining comments during the difficult phases of the research work and writing the thesis. In addition to the positive interaction and atmosphere in supervision, the structural clarity is important (Atkins & Brown, 1988).

It is also important that the PhD student thanks the supervisor for insightful comments, time spent with his or her research, and conversations or useful viewpoints. This can be energizing to the supervisor and make him or her want to make the student's process smooth and avoid unnecessary sidetracks. Still, a straight path may not be easily found and accomplishing the goal necessitates humility and careful introspection from the supervisor and the student. The greatest reward is thanking, which can be said and experienced reciprocally (Lindén, Ohlin, & Brodin, 2013).

2.4 A Caring Supervisor Motivates to Write

Writing cannot be emphasized too much in the supervision of PhD theses. There is no short cut to how to learn to be an excellent writer. Often, reasonable advice for a PhD student is to assure that hardly anyone writes finished text at once, but writing takes numerous rounds of revisions and re-organizations (Aitchison & Lee, 2006).

A supervisor can take the reader’s role and estimates whether the text is understandable to readers who are not familiar with the study beforehand. It is quite usual that PhD students find it problematic to write clearly about their own research solutions and related choices made during the process. When writing for the academic audience, the most important guideline is to avoid vagueness. In the scientific discussion, there is no room for different interpretation but every choice made has to be explicitly explained in the thesis (Kamler, 2008).

Indeed, PhD students frequently are worried about their writing work and may find it impossible to type words. The best solution to this problem is to encourage them to write those sections that they consider the easiest to address at that moment. There are always parts that should be written anyway, and therefore, the words may start to roll in when the first threshold is conquered (Booth, Combs, & Williams, 2003).

The interconnectedness of writing and thinking is manifested in the way the text reveals the researcher’s reasoning, and therefore, keeping up the rhythm of writing is crucial during the research work (Paltridge & Starfield, 2008).
Likewise, the supervisor has to be prepared to read differently various students’ texts; while some need to be merely assured of their direction, others will benefit from a more detailed reading and feedback already at the beginning of their writing work. Learning writing skills never ends; there is always something to improve and practice, and, here, caring feedback can be of great help (see Murray & Moore, 2006).

2.5 Caring Supervision Clarifies the Requirement of PhD Research

PhD students easily tend to think that the demands and expectations set for a doctoral thesis are overwhelming (see Mullins & Kiley, 2002). To prevent these thoughts taking too big a role during the research process, constant communication between a supervisor and a PhD student is needed. Sometimes, students are afraid of bothering their supervisors too often or with matters they consider minor. On the other hand, students do not always have words to explain issues holding back their progress but which caring supervisors can be able to identify when talking or communicating otherwise with the students. PhD students who work hard with their theses will find the supervisor’s caring inquiries about their progress encouraging and helpful.

Caring supervision means that the supervisor gives time to PhD students. If communication has long delays, many matters or problems will change or lose their topicality. Creating an open interaction and reciprocal, prompt manner of communicating can prevent the research process from sidetracking (Wright & Cochrane, 2000).

2.6 A Caring Supervisor Teaches Time Management

A supervisor has to accept the fact and be aware of that students use their time very differently. A schedule for working with a doctoral thesis will help planning and organizing the phases and contents of research work and writing. The doctoral research process is peculiar because it does not usually involve any particular deadline. This is also one reason why the processes progress differently; students do differ quite much on their ability to push themselves to work. However, when the finish line looms, most students want to advance their work at full speed; their work manifests inspiration and sense of expertise (Doncaster & Lester, 2002; Malfroy & Yates, 2003; Murray & Lawrence, 2008; Ryan & Deci, 2000). Some students become overwhelmed by their aspiration to get the work done. Too tight a schedule can turn into burden or stress. At their worst, they can staunch the writing work totally, and the fluent working at the beginning can turn into awkward or clumsy presentation. This can be the result of trying too much, too perfect, and too quickly. The solution, however, may seem simple. The caring supervisor can help the PhD student see that it is time to slow down and call a time out. Still even, the supervisor may lose the sense of speed (Delamont, Atkinson, & Parry, 2000; Philips & Pugh, 2000).

A caring supervisor is able to notice students’ various life situations and changes in their motivation. They cannot have similar goals when it comes to scheduling of the work. The doctoral research is not necessarily the only content of life, and progress in doctoral studies can require time for family, hobbies, and other work tasks as well. Some students focus on research intensively, prioritizing it in their lives. Some others proceed more peacefully alongside day jobs and other areas of life.

2.7 A Caring Supervisor Helps Tolerate Uncertainty and Criticism

Students also differ in their ability to accept criticism and advice. Some will be more easily disappointed or offended than others. The risk of a PhD student quitting the work is always possible. The supervisor has to evaluate how to help sensitively and constructively the student, and also show appreciation. Many supervisors think how to be critical but encouraging to students when they dissect their research (Caffarella & Barnett, 2000). Usually, PhD students are prepared to criticism and know how evaluations make an important part of scientific work. Still, criticism can be surprisingly hurting, and probably everyone has to experience disappointments and frustrations at some point. It is normal—and understandable—that students tend to defend themselves and underestimate revisions. The core of caring supervision is to mold criticism and problems in the thesis into concrete revisions suggestions. Then, criticism seems like feedback that can improve the research (Cullen et al., 1994). All this requires humbleness not only from the student but also from the supervisor as well. Caring supervision means constant learning and renewal (Costley & Stephenson, 2009).

Indeed, the supervisor can experience uncertainty during the supervision process too. Admitting this can help the PhD student understand the nature of research work: there are not ready solutions while optional routes are abundant. Caring supervision maintains sensitivity and openness to various solutions. The right direction is found in the ability to justify the choices made (Stracke & Kumar, 2010).
2.8 A Caring Supervisor Monitors the Fulfillment of the Quality Criteria of a Doctoral Thesis

A supervisor has a special responsibility in the dissertation process. He or she has to evaluate the progress of a thesis in the light of the criteria set by the academic community (see Golde, 2000). A PhD thesis is a research-based, scientific presentation in one of the academic fields. It is to show the student’s ability to apply scientific research methods independently and critically, and to create new scientific information. The doctoral thesis should fulfill the following criteria of scientific contribution and the student’s scholarship and ability. It has to (a) include new scientific information and well-developed results and be scientifically convincing; (b) show the candidate’s ability to critical thinking, deep familiarization with one’s academic field, and knowledge of scientific research methods and their application; and be scientifically honest and follow the research ethical guidelines (Golding, Sharmini, & Lazarovitch, 2014).

Sometimes, it is necessary to clearly emphasize that the thesis is not made for the supervisor and who therefore cannot close eyes from the problems in the thesis. The deficits have to be addressed sooner or later: after unfavoring pre-examination statements, the revision work can be laborious to the student and the supervisor as well. Certainly, the supervisor can become blind to the text too; when focusing on some parts of the thesis, the supervisor can disregard other significant issues that are commented by pre-examiners, for a good reason. A PhD student has to be able to trust a supervisor’s opinions when assessing the quality of a thesis (Kearns, Gardiner, & Marshall, 2008). For a student, it is difficult to know when the thesis is ready for pre-examination, and thus, the supervisor should point out the strengths and weaknesses of the work. Still, the student has the ultimate responsibility for putting the thesis to the official review. Realizing the possible deficits in the thesis, the student likely finds it easier to wait for the pre-examiners’ statements and to revise the thesis according to them (see Winter, Griffiths, & Green, 2000).

2.9 A Caring Supervisor Provides Many Kinds of Feedback

The feedback and suggestions the supervisor provides to the PhD students require many kinds of expertise, too. Thus, the writing talent is necessary for a supervisor if aiming at giving clear written directions. The purpose of feedback is to provide concrete advice and to enhance the smooth progress of the student’s PhD research. Still, students accept feedback differently, which can, however, depend on a supervisor’s skills of giving it, too (Cho, Schunn, & Charney, 2006). Caring supervision includes repetitions, feedback giving both verbally and literally, as well as making sure that the feedback was received and understood (Tracy, 1997).

According to my experience, written feedback has its special benefits. Emails and papers can be saved and returned whenever needed. This makes it possible to consider and make choices based on the feedback in peace, and also check the way the notes are understood. The risk of misunderstandings should never be underestimated. Therefore, supervision meetings and comparing notes together are invaluable.

Caring supervision leans on constructive feedback. Everyone’s work has strengths that can be highlighted first during each supervision meeting. The feedback will always focus on the text and study, not on the student as a person. An accepting and encouraging atmosphere is the key because it also allows the feedback be honest and respectful. Feedback meetings should be arranged regularly. At its best, a supervising meeting ends with a mutual understanding of how the student proceeds next. It is important to sum up to correct any misreading (Ellis & Shockley-Zalabak, 2001).

2.10 Caring Supervision Provides Suggestions and Options, in Addition to Questions

It is important that a supervisor has the ability to ask, but, more often than not, that is not enough (Lea & Street, 2000). Although good questions make a student focus on and ponder various parts of the work, these questions will be better concretized if a supervisor is able to suggest alternatives. Fundamentally, the student is the one making decisions concerning the research, but examples and suggestions can help with the task.

The language used in supervision and the questions concerning a thesis change during a dissertation process. A caring supervisor tries to find ways to guide the process that do not overwhelm the student (Watkins & Scaturo, 2014). Even the correction suggestions can be divided and suggested one section at a time (cf., Tepper, 2000). This kind of piecing of the work can make the progress smoother and prevent unnecessary work.

3. Conclusion

The ten elements described in this article illustrate the various sides of caring supervision. It becomes evident that supervision means also the supervisor’s self-reflection, testing of one’s limits, and being able to change even supervising methods that he or she has previously found good. A caring supervisor has to constantly evaluate what he or she is capable of as a supervisor, how to inspire the student to toil and persevere, and to marvel their
own abilities.

A committed supervisor can find the work the most satisfying and self-fulfilling when realizing the core of supervision relationship. Supervision of doctoral theses fulfills the core features of meaningful and appropriately challenging work allowing plenty of opportunities to develop personally and professionally (e.g., Uusiautti & Määtä, 2011). Caring supervision can even lead to the so-called “perfect storm” that can turn into a much deeper and more personal engagement with the supervisor’s work (see e.g., Flint, Kurumada, Fisher, & Zisook, 2011). Successes and personal development in supervision boost positive experiences that are important to the supervisor’s coping as well. Rimé (2009) has stated that positive emotions enhance individual well-being by the increase of the level of positive affect that they entail and by the positive feedback that a successful experience brings about one’s action. This way caring supervision cares for the supervisor, too (Halse, 2011).

The nature and importance of the supervision relationship has been studied relatively much. The relationship has been analyzed from many perspectives, for example, as a role relationship (Ellis & Dell, 1986) or power relationship (Manathunga, 2007). In addition, students’ (Heath, 2002) and supervisors’ (Delamont, Atkinson, & Parry, 2000) perceptions of good or failed supervision relationships have been studied. Several phases of the process or flaws in supervision can threaten or hinder the completion of the doctoral thesis (see Burton & Hoobler, 2006; Grant 2005; Lian, Ferris, & Brown, 2012). The approach introduced in this article can help overcoming many of this nodes and obstacles.

To conclude, caring supervision can help address the challenges and opportunities the work entails today and in the future. How do students perceive supervision during their dissertation processes (Health, 2002) or how do supervisors describe their supervision work (Johnson, 1997) are interesting questions that needs to be addressed and further studied as the number of doctoral theses increases and how their supervision has to adjust to the current requirements (see e.g., Park, 2005). Certainly, supervision practices will get new forms, but the core is still the interaction between the supervisor and student. That also makes supervision extremely fascinating. The relationship between a supervisor and a doctoral student is always one of a kind, as is each and every dissertation process. Still, the goal is the same; it is the way toward it that could be found in the tenets of caring supervision. Completing a doctoral thesis is a fantastic and immemorial experience for a PhD student and a supervisor. It is the pinnacle of caring supervision.
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