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Abstract

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of Argumentation Based Science Teaching on 5th grade students’ conceptual understanding of the subjects related to “Matter and Change”. This research is a qualitative research and its design is a multiple (compare) case study. In this study, semi-structured interviews related to the concepts were conducted before and after the experimental period in order to assess students’ conceptual understanding. The result of the study showed that students who have been trained with argumentation-based science teaching approach showed progress in conceptual understanding. In addition, it has been observed that students were able to explain these concepts with correct warrants and connect them with everyday life.
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Introduction

In recent years, studies in the field of education reflected the importance of argumentation on scientific knowledge acquisition, construction and the development of mental activities. New learning and teaching approaches are intended to develop students’ scientific speaking skills in science subjects, especially in science and technology education. Viewed from this perspective, argumentation is also a helping tool which has a particular importance for scientific speaking to develop scientific knowledge (Erduran, Ardaç and Güzel, 2006).

For this purpose, the role of scientific argumentation on students’ improving themselves on the creation of scientific knowledge and learning issues should be taken into consideration. When the importance of knowledge that individuals have in the social life is considered, the importance of the argumentation activities in science teaching is understood better. In case appropriate argumentation activities are presented and evaluated in classrooms, it is possible for students to make claims which are compatible with these activities and understand the claims of science better (Driver, Newton and Osborne, 2000). The aim of science teaching oriented with scientific arguments is to make students combine in conceptual and epistemic aims and as teachers or trainers, the aim is to lead students to think scientifically and reason (Osborne, Erduran and Simon, 2004a).

During science teaching, critical thinking skills and scientific arguments that enable them to understand science as a way of knowing rather than informations about scientific phenomena should be emphasized (Driver et al., 2000). If scientific thinking skills imparted to students, they will also develop science process skills. Therefore, students will change their perspectives about the world and events, and their critical thinking skills will develop.

Scientific Argumentation

The origin of argumentation activities is based on the ideas of philosopher Aristotle. Argumentation has different definitions one of which is relevant to science education: argumentation as a social and intellectual activity including an intellectual refutation or verification, directly obtaining the approval of the listener (van Eemeren, 1995). Argumentation, has also been defined as an environment that enhance students’ thinking process (Ohlsson, 1995) or putting forward ideas about a scientific subject, backing, criticizing, and evaluating process (Kuhn, 1992). By providing valid and acceptable alternative ideas on the focus of argumentation, there
are intra-group or individual interactions to convince the opposite side (Clark and Sampson, 2007). As for the common feature of these definitions, argumentation is a process of reaching conclusions by judging data in evaluating the alternative perspectives and alternative solutions.

According to Toulmin (1958), scientists use arguments to relate the evidence they select to the claims they reach through use of warrants and backings. Toulmin (1958), has given a model in his book, "The Uses of Argument", describing the essential elements of argument and showing the functional relationship between them to explain how an argument should be. This model is used for the analysis of the argument in many fields, including science teaching (Driver et al., 2000). Toulmin's model of argument has 6 items: data, claim, warrant, backing, qualifier, and rebuttal. Toulmin’s Argument Pattern (TAP) is given in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Toulmin’s Model of Argumentation](image)

Toulmin’s elements of argument are described below (Kaya, 2005):

**Data;** are the evidence, knowledge, and facts that form the claim. Data form the basis for the establishment of argumentation. Data; may be an example (someone else’s anecdotes or events we see around us, phenomena), witness (someone's opinions or views, statements) or statistical information.

**Claim,** is the primary objective of an argumentation. It represents the idea of the discussants argued about. They are the results whose accuracy is to be determined.

**Warrant;** provides logical relationships between data and claim. It explains how individual creates claims by evaluating data (reasoning process). It explains how the claim is reached by means of the data to the audience.

**Backing;** strengthens the warrants, allows the audience to understand the reason in the argumentation. Audiences inquire the accuracy of the warrant in the argumentation by backing, or the audience may not accept that the claim is correct when they encounter with non-reliable backing. Therefore, backings allow credibility for claims. Backings may be personal, example, or statistical information like data.

**Rebuttal,** is the situation or exception in which one of the ideas would not hold true in the argument. In the model of argumentation, while the warrant validates progress from data toward conclusions, backings are the assumptions that reveal the accuracy of the warrant.

**Qualifier,** determines that under which conditions the claim is true.

In this study, the course was taught using argumentation-based science teaching approach, course materials have been prepared based on Toulmin's Argument Pattern (TAP).

**Argumentation and Science Teaching**

If students are desired to learn science by dealing with scientific claims, they should be given the opportunity to discuss with appropriate classroom activities. Some of these activities are described below (Osborne et al., 2004a-b):
1. **Expressions Table:** a table which consists of true or false statements about the studied topic of science is presented to students and they are asked to choose one of these statements. S/He discusses why s/he chooses that statement.

2. **Concept maps:** a concept map is prepared by surveying the literature on students’ understandings of the studied science subjects. Concepts and connections in this concept map are discussed individually and in small groups in the classroom.

3. **Experiment report:** the experiment reports and findings of the report performed by other students are given to the students and they are engaged in discussion.

4. **Competing theories with concept cartoons:** two or more competing theories is given to students in the form of a concept cartoon.

5. **Competing theories with a story:** written stories are given to students and they are engaged in discussion.

6. **Competing theories with evidences and ideas:** two or more physical events are presented, but preferably two explanations are given. Students choose one of them that they think as correct one and discuss about their reason.

7. **Creating an argument:** a physical phenomenon such as the formation of day and night is given. A statement about this incident is done, and preferably four statements are presented. Students are requested to choose the correct statement according to them and to discuss about their reasons.

8. **Predict-Observe-Explain:** Students are introduced with an experiment or an activity and asked to predict what will happen as a result of the experiment before doing the experiment. Then the experiment is performed. In the last stage students are asked to compare the results with their predictions and discuss the reasons for differences between their predictions and the observations.

9. **Designing an experiment:** by giving a hypothesis, students are asked to design experiments about it and discuss. In this study, except competing theories with opinions and evidence, and creating an argument, all of the other activities have been applied in argumentation-based science teaching.

Most of the studies done to uncover students’ misconceptions related to science topics or to determine by which teaching method or technique the students learn better how they have learn better the concepts of science (Driver et al., 2000; Osborne et al., 2004a-b) show that students perceive the concepts involved in science within the framework of their right, despite the formal education they had in educational institutions, and their understanding remains outside of scientific validity. To avoid this situation, students should be encouraged to ask questions to each other by creating an atmosphere in the classroom in which they can discuss and assess their conclusions scientifically. They should also be able to comment on the opinions which were put forward, and analyze the descriptions. By this way, learning becomes more meaningful and lasting and these help students to develop and increase the concepts on their own conceptual understanding. Therefore; this argumentation atmosphere provides students as a group or an individual with the chance to interact by the concepts. Here, students are expected to acquire and to develop their conceptual understanding.

The purpose of this research is to determine 5th grade students’ conceptual understanding, who were applied argumentation-based science teaching, on the concepts of "Matter and Change" teaching unit. The research questions as follows:

1. What are the students’ understandings of Precipitation Pattern and Water Cycle before and after the application of argumentation based science teaching?
2. What are the students’ understandings of heat and temperature before and after the application of argumentation based science teaching?
3. What are the students’ understandings of expansion and water freezing before and after the application of argumentation based science teaching?
4. What is the students’ understanding of condensation before and after the application of argumentation based science teaching?
5. What are the students’ understandings of ice and vapor before and after the application of argumentation based science teaching?
6. What are the students’ understandings of the distinctive features of liquids before and after the application of argumentation based science teaching?
7. What are the students’ understandings of floating and sinking before and after the application of argumentation based science teaching?
Method

This research is a multiple (compare) case study. A multiple case study enables the researcher to explore differences within and between cases. The goal is to replicate findings across cases. Because comparisons will be drawn, it is imperative that the cases are chosen carefully so that the researcher can predict similar results across cases, or predict contrasting results based on a theory (Yin, 2003).

Participants

A total of 26 students who are 5th grade students participated in this study. Face to face interviews were conducted with six students who showed the most progress in understanding of the concepts (precipitation pattern and water cycle, heat and temperature, expansion-freezing of water, condensation, ice-vapor, distinctive properties of liquids, floating and sinking). For example, the student A is the student who made the largest progress in conceptual understanding in precipitation pattern and water cycle concepts.

Data Collection Instruments

Science Concept Test

Science concept test which was prepared and implemented by the researcher was used in order to identify students’ understandings of the concepts related to the “Matter and Change” before and after the application of argumentation based science teaching. Science concept test consists of 49 questions in total, 4 questions related to precipitation and water cycle concepts, 12 questions related to heat and temperature concepts, 5 questions related to expansion-freezing of water concepts, 4 questions related to condensation concept, 5 questions related to ice-vapor concepts, 8 questions related to distinctive properties of liquid, and 11 questions related to floating and sinking concepts. Content validity of the test was controlled by two experts and was decided that it is valid for purposes of the research. KR-20 reliability coefficient of the test is found to be 0.78.

Interviews

This research was conducted by face to face interview with the semi-structured interview questions before and after the application to determine students' conceptual understanding of the subjects related to "Matter and Change” teaching unit. Preliminary and final structured interviews were conducted to determine whether the students taking argumentation-based science course constructed the concepts correctly and performed conceptual understanding right. These interviews were recorded with a voice recorder with the permission of the students. Interview questions are given below:

Master Questions
1- How do rain, snow, hail, and fog occur? What is the water cycle?
2- What is heat and temperature?
3- When a filled and tightly closed glass bottle is left in the freezer, it freezes after a while, and then it may crack. What is the reason?
4- What is the cause of the windows misting in homes in winter?
5- The ice (-5) Celsius degrees is heated constantly. What are the state changes until it changes into vapor and what is the reason?
6- Is it possible to distinguish liquids without seeing or tasting?
7- Why does a gravel sink, while a big piece of wood thrown into the water floats? Could you explain?

Helping Questions
1- What are the differences between rain, snow, hail, and fog? What makes the water cycle?
2- What are the differences between heat and temperature?
3- What is the expansion?
4- What is condensation?
5- What are freezing, melting, boiling, evaporation?
6- How are liquids identified?
7- What does floating and sinking depend on?
8- What is the cause of ships floating?
The Instruction

Argumentation-based activities were performed during the courses. Before starting the discussion of scientific activity, a teacher’s guide was presented to the teachers in order to introduce the method of argumentation. After that, the argumentation approach was described in detail with the introductive activities first to the teachers and then to the students (Introduction Activity). In these activities, students were asked to identify the argument components. Throughout the event, students made claims. They produced warrants to reinforce the relationship between data and claims, revealed the promoters to strengthen their warrants.

Argumentation activities applied in this study are as follows:

Activity 1: Concept Map: In this activity, a concept map about “where water comes from and where does it go” was given (see appendix 1). Here inaccurate and incomplete associations were given. These groups were asked to correct the map their by giving their reasons for the changes. After a small group discussion, activity was completed with a class discussion. The highest score that can be achieved on this activity was determined as 16 and 80 minutes were allotted for this activity.

Activity 2: Designing an Experiment: in another activity, the groups were asked to design an experiment about floating and sinking (see appendix 2). Because they had difficulties in designing the experiment, a hint was given as to what materials they can use for the experiment. Following argumentations with small groups, experiments were carried out in the classroom, and the activity was finished with a class discussion. The highest score that can be achieved on this activity was determined as 16 and 80 minutes were allotted for this activity.

Activity 3: Concept Cartoons: in another activity, the concept cartoon about the intensity was given (see appendix 3). The groups were asked to explain why they agreed on which ideas. After argumentation with small groups, experiments were conducted in the classroom and the activity was completed with a class discussion. The highest score that can be achieved on this activity was determined as 16 and 80 minutes were allotted for this activity.

Activity 4: Report of the Science Experiments: In this activity, the experiment reports were given about heat and temperature (see appendix 4). Incorrect and incomplete information was given in these reports. The groups were asked to correct them. Following the argumentation with small groups, experiments were carried out in the classroom and the activity was finished with a class discussion. The highest score that can be achieved on this activity was determined as 16 and 80 minutes were allotted for this activity.

Activity 5: Predict-Observe-Explain: In this activity, the groups were asked to predict the effects of heat on matter by asking five questions. Students were encouraged to describe their prediction and why they felt this way with the warrants (see appendix 5). Following the argumentation with small groups, experiments were performed in the classroom; then they were asked to explain their observation. Comparing their predictions with observations the activity was completed with a class discussion about the real cause of the incident. The highest score that can be achieved on this activity was determined as 16 and 80 minutes were allotted for this activity.

Activity 6: Story Activity: In this activity, four stories about expansion-contraction were given and the groups were asked to distinguish the components of argumentation (data, claims, backing warrants, rebuttal) (see appendix 6). Following the argumentation with small groups, the activity was completed with a class discussion. The highest score that can be achieved on this activity was determined as 16 and 80 minutes were allotted for this activity.

Activity 7: Expressions Table: In this activity, eight expressions on the water’s adventure were given (see appendix 7). The groups were asked to describe whether the expressions were correct or incorrect with the reasons. Following the argumentation with small groups, the event was completed with a class discussion. The highest score that can be achieved on this activity was determined as 32 and 80 minutes were allotted for this activity.

Findings

In this research, data were collected by two different methods. First, students’ answers were obtained from a multiple-choice test with 49 items. Second, six students who were successful in this test were chosen for face to
face interviews. The students were called as A, B, C, D, E, F. Researchers and students’ conversations are presented in the findings.

**Precipitation Pattern and Water Cycle**

Students (N=26) who were taught with argumentation based science instruction answered 4 questions related to precipitation and water cycle concepts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Comparison of the Pre-test and Post-test Results on Precipitation Pattern and Water Cycle Concepts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pretest and posttest findings of these concepts in Table 1 show that students’ conceptual understanding increased as a result of the application. When the students’ responses before experimental period were examined, it was observed that they were not accurately aware of the formation of precipitation patterns and differences, and the concept of the water cycle. After application, students explained complete patterns of precipitation and differences, and water cycle with the warrants. Views of the student A before and after the application related to this subject are given below:

**Before application**

Researcher: How do rain, snow, hail, and fog occur? What is the water cycle?  
Student A: precipitation is formed when clouds come across cold air.  
Researcher: So What are the differences between rain, snow, hail, and fog? And what makes the water cycle?  
Student A: ... Rain is liquid, snow is solid, hail is solid, fog is gas. There is no other difference between... I do not know the water cycle.  
Researcher: Do you think the differences between pattern of precipitation are all so?  
Student A: I do not know anything else ...

**After application**

Researcher: How do rain, snow, hail, and fog occur? What is the water cycle?  
Student A: As a group, we discussed this issue and We made the argument data, claims, warrants, etc... Sea water is vaporized and forms clouds, the clouds encounters cold air layers, water vapor condenses and rain occurs. If the layer is too cold, snow, if water droplets freezes, hail, if vapor is in place close to the earth as water droplets, the fog is formed.  
Researcher: So could you tell the difference between these forms of precipitation?  
Student A: The differences are that they are in solid, liquid and gas forms; are composing formats and their proximity to the ground.

**Heat and Temperature**

Students (N=26) who were applied argumentation based science instruction answered 12 questions related to heat and temperature concepts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Comparison of the Pre-test and Post-test Results on Heat and Temperature Concepts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pretest and posttest findings of these concepts in Table 2 show that students’ conceptual understanding increased as a result of the application. Before application, it was found that students mistake the concepts of heat and temperature. Views of the student C before and after the application related to this subject are given below:

**Before application**

Researcher: What are heat and temperature?  
Student C: they are not the same. I think both are measured with a thermometer ...  
Researcher: Why are they not the same?  
Student C: No, different ...  
Researcher: So what are the differences between heat and temperature?  
Student C: I don’t know...

**After application**

Researcher: What are heat and temperature?  
Student C: Heat is energy. Temperature is not energy.  
Researcher: Can you explain the difference a little more?  
Student C: If temperature rises, matter take heat, but when heat increases the temperature will not rise every time. When we discussed the event of ice melting, temperature remained constant while changing state. Units are different. Heat is measured with calorimeter vessel, the temperature with a thermometer.
Expansion-Freezing of water

Students (N=26) who were applied argumentation based science instruction answered 5 questions related to expansion-freezing of water concepts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expansion-Freezing of water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Students (N=26) who were applied argumentation based science instruction answered 5 questions related to expansion-freezing of water concepts.

Table 3. Comparison of the Pre-test and Post-test Results on Expansion-Freezing of Water Concepts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th></th>
<th>Post-test</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.923</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pretest and posttest findings of these concepts in Table 3 show that students' conceptual understanding increased as a result of the application.

Before application, students could not establish any relationship between cracking of the glass bottle and the water freezing. After application, the students made the correct description with the warrants together. Views of the student D before and after the application related to this subject are given below:

Before application | After application
---|---
Researcher: When a filled and tightly closed glass bottle is left in the freezer, it freezes after a while, it may crack. What do you think the reason could be? | Researcher: When a filled and tightly closed glass bottle is left in the freezer, it freezes after a while, and it may crack. What do you think the reason could be?  
Student D: Water is meant to change of state ...  
Researcher: Can you explain a little more?  
Student D: Water freezes.  
Researcher: Yes, right, the water freezes, but how does this situation affect the glass bottle?  
Student D: I do not know ... | Student D: We talk about this story in our argumentation.  
water freezes, the volume increases and bottle cracks...  
Researcher: What is the reason?  
Student D: Reason is expansion. Upon water becomes ice, unlike other substances, its volume grows, expands when it stays in the freezer for a long time and glass bottle may crack.

Condensation

Students (N=26) who were applied argumentation based science instruction answered 4 questions related to condensation concept.

Table 4. Comparison of the Pre-test and Post-test Results on Condensation Concept

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th></th>
<th>Post-test</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pretest and posttest findings of this concept in Table 4 show that students' conceptual understanding increased as a result of the application.

Before application, students were not aware of the concept of condensation, even mistook with evaporation. After application, knowing the concept of condensation, the students explained it with the warrants. Views of the student F before and after the application related to this subject are given below:

Before application | After application
---|---
Researcher: What do you think is the cause of the windows misting in homes in winter?  
Student F: it is an evaporation.  
Researcher: Can you explain a little more?  
Student F: Evaporation. | Researcher: What do you think is the cause of the windows misting in homes in winter?  
Student F: it is a condensation.  
Researcher: Can you explain a little more?  
Student F: There is water vapor on the window. When it comes across cold air outside, it gets into water droplets.  
Vapor giving heat out gets into water droplets.
**Ice-Vapor**

Students (N=26) who were applied argumentation based science instruction answered 5 questions related to ice-vapor concepts.

| Table 5. Comparison of the Pre-test and Post-test Results on Ice-Vapor Concepts |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Pre-test         |                  | Post-test         |                  |
| N                | Mean             | SD               | N                | Mean             | SD               |
| 26               | 3.12             | 1.107            | 26               | 3.92             | 1.495            |

The pretest and posttest findings of these concepts in Table 5 show that students' conceptual development increased as a result of the application.

Before application, the students were aware of the state changes of ice into vapor but could not fully explain the warrants. After application, the students gave the correct answers with the warrants together. Views of the student B before and after the application related to this subject are given below:

**Before application**
- Researcher: The ice (-5) Degrees Celsius is heated constantly. What are the state changes until it changes into vapor and what is the reason?
- Student B: ... Ice is solid and melts, it becomes water, then boils and evaporates.
- Researcher: So how would you explain the reason?
- Student B: I do not know ...

**After application**
- Student B: Ice is melting by getting heat, turning from solid to liquid. Water is boiling by heat, evaporates and is going through from liquid to gas.
- Researcher: So what is the reason of this event?
- Student B: We discussed it in ice melting experiments. We even corrected the example of experiment reports. The temperature remained constant during state change. Ice takes the heat, melts, water takes heat, boils and becomes vapor.

**Distinctive Properties of Liquids**

Students (N=26) who were applied argumentation based science instruction answered 8 questions related to distinctive properties of liquids concept.

| Table 6. Comparison of the Pre-test and Post-test Results on Distinctive Properties of Liquids Concept |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Pre-test         |                  | Post-test         |                  |
| N                | Mean             | SD               | N                | Mean             | SD               |
| 26               | 2.73             | 1.638            | 26               | 5.27             | 2.164            |

The pretest and posttest findings of this concept in Table 6 show that students' conceptual understanding increased as a result of the application.

When the responses of the students before application analyzed, it was seen that students were not accurately aware of the concepts about the distinctive features of liquids. After application, students explained how they would distinguish liquids using the right backings. Views of the student E before and after the application related to this subject are given below:

**Before application**
- Researcher: Is it possible to distinguish liquid without seeing, tasting it?
- Student E: It's possible, yes ...
- Researcher: So how does one distinguish liquids?
- Student E: By looking at the boiling point ...
- Researcher: What else?
- Student E: That's it.

**After application**
- Researcher: Is it possible to distinguish liquid without seeing, tasting it?
- Student E: Yes ...
- Researcher: How does one distinguish liquids then?
- Student E: In Ethyl alcohol experiments and our argumentation, we said that we distinguish by boiling, freezing, melting temperature of ethyl alcohol and water ... In the case of a water-olive oil, we distinguished them from their densities.
Floating and Sinking

Students (N=26) who were applied argumentation based science instruction answered 11 questions related to heat and temperature concepts.

| Table 7. Comparison of the Pre-test and Post-test Results on Floating and Sinking Concepts |
|---|---|---|---|---|
|    | Pre-test |   | Pro-test |   |
| N  | Mean | SD | N  | Mean | SD |
| 26 | 4.27 | 2.359 | 26 | 6.85 | 2.649 |

The pretest and posttest findings of these concepts in Table 7 show that students’ conceptual understanding increased as a result of the application.

When the responses of students before application analyzed, it was seen that students were not aware of the concepts with floating and sinking. After application, the students had full and accurate explanations along with the warrants. They have even linked these concepts with everyday life. Views of the student D before and after the application related to this subject are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before application</th>
<th>After application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Researcher: while a large piece of wood thrown into the water floats, why does a gravel sink? Could you explain? Student D: if the matter is heavy, it goes down, if light, it floats... Researcher: what does floating and sinking depend on? Student D: it is dependent on the mass of substance.</td>
<td>Researcher: while a large piece of wood thrown into the water floats, why does a gravel sink? Could you explain? Student D: it is due to density. Researcher: Can you explain a little more? Student D: We discussed in the concept cartoon ... It is dependent on the density. If the density of water is greater than the density of matter, it floats, if smaller, it sinks ... Researcher: What do you think why the plates made of metal sinks, whereas ships float, though the same plates formed them? Student D: density of the plate is more than the water, however ship has less density than water.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

One of the purposes to apply argumentation in science classes is to develop students’ conceptual understanding. Conceptual understanding is gained through comparing new concepts with the pre-existing concepts, thinking about them in depth, creating arguments and counter arguments about a phenomenon in a suitable learning environment. Conceptual understanding is realized when students think and make evaluations about new concepts, and create arguments in the process of solving problems. It has been attempted, by this study, to determine the effects of argumentation-based science teaching approach in conceptual understanding.

Effectiveness of creating the right image for science teaching in learning concepts has been demonstrated by several studies (Sadler, 2006; Atasoy, Kadayıçı and Akkuş, 2007). In addition, many studies surveying the impact of scientific discussion on developing students’ conceptual understanding have been done. In the studies done by Eryılmaz (2002), Günrah and Kabapınar (2010), Yeh and She (2010), Niaz, Aguilera, Maza and Liendo (2002), Aslan (2010), Demirci (2008), Yeşiloğlu (2007), Eşkin and Bekiroğlu (2008) it was determined that students overcome their misconceptions, increase their understanding of the concepts, and they constructed the concepts meaningfully and correctly as a result of argumentation-based science teaching. The results of these studies showed that conceptual understanding is realized when students have provided with several possibilities, listening their answers, choosing appropriate answers, and reflecting on the idea, counter idea, resistance and contradictions. In this study, it was determined that a significant change in the level at which students realized concepts meaningfully and accurately owing to argumentation-based science teaching. The findings of this study are consistent with the results of the above mentioned studies.

In the study, it was seen that students efficiently understood patterns of precipitation and concepts of the water cycle by a concept map and expressions table; the concepts of heat and temperature by experiment report activity; distinctive properties of liquids and the concepts of evaporation and condensation by predict -observe-
explain activities; the concepts of expansion and contraction by story activity; concepts of floating and sinking by experiment designing activity; concepts of density by concept cartoon activity. The fact that argumentation is an effective approach that can be used comfortably at primary level was observed in this study. In Kaya (2013) study, argumentation approach is found to be effective to teach concepts of science and therefore it is stated that it should be utilized at primary and secondary schools and should be known by teachers, as well. The findings of the present study are consistent with the Kaya’s (2013) study.

In this study, it was observed that students studying with argumentation-based science teaching approach could set up a better link between the new concepts they learned and previous ones they had learned. e.g., while they previously referred how it is possible to distinguish liquids without seeing and lasting to only the boiling temperature, after application of predict-observe-explain ethyl alcohol activity, they demonstrated that link better. These findings of the research support the finding of the Aslan’s (2010) study, that the students who were trained with argumentation-based teaching approach are more successful in constructing the concepts correctly and realizing conceptual change meaningfully. In addition, it was seen that students at the primary level could create arguments and they could explain their claims with evidence. As a result, students' argumentation experiences increase and a significant improvement in the quality and quantity of argumentation was seen. In Berland and McNeill (2010) study, it was observed that students created the components of argument easily at primary level and there occurred a progress in the quality and quantity of these arguments. Berland and McNeill’s (2010) study are consistent with the findings of the present study.

**Conclusion**

Considering the findings of the study, it was seen that students had prior knowledge and alternative conceptions before application. However, after argumentation-based science teaching was implemented, it was determined that there occurred differences in students’ conceptual understanding. Analysis of the data showed that, a significant change was found in students’ styles of thinking about events and concepts after argumentation-based approach implemented in science course. It was found that the pre-existing image in the minds of students changed dramatically as much as the targeted level by the preferred approach in this study. Students could explain the reasons of the answers with the elements of argumentation, by using the right warrants and backings. This result showed that argumentation-based science teaching has a significant effect in constructing concepts correctly and achieving conceptual understanding.

Another conclusion derived from the data was that students, who are taught with argumentation-based science approach, established a better link between the concepts they newly learned and the ones they previously learned. In argumentation based activities, it was observed that the stage of supporting the warrants, one of the argumentation stages, with backing allowed students to establish links between subjects. In addition, the importance of argumentation in science teaching has emerged as students combine events in everyday life with their knowledge through argumentation.

According to the results of the study, it may be said that argumentation-based science teaching activities in which students’ interactions with the ideas are effective in science learning. When this approach is preferred, strategies that students will be more interested should be implemented and activities should be sufficient in number. Toulmin’s Argumentation Model could be preferred by teachers often since it can be used at all educational levels and can be created by students themselves.
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APPENDIX

INTRODUCTION ACTIVITY (Turkish Version)

ÇALIŞMA YAPRAĞI-2

SUYUN ELEKTROLIZİ

Asagıdaki argümanı dikkatlice inceleyiniz ve iyi bir argüman için hangi
unsurların bu argümanda yer aldığı ve bunların hangi ifadeler olduğunu
grupa tartışınız.

“Suyun elektrolizi kimyasal bir değişimdir. Kimyasal değişimde
değişime ugrayan maddenin özellikleri benzemeyen yeni madde ya
da maddeler oluşturur. Su yamcu, yakıcı ve patlayıcı olmayan bir
maddedir, elektroliz edildiğinde elde edilen hidrojen yamcu ve patlayıcı,
oksijen ise yakıcı bir maddedir.”

Barada iddia edilen şey

Suyun... elektroliz... kimyasal... bir... değişim

Iddia'nın nedeni veya gereklisi

Kimyasal değişimde... değişim... ugrayan... maddeler

Iddia'nın kanıtıları

Elektroliz... ugradiğinde... elde... maddeler... 

Elektroliz... ugradiğinde... elde... maddeler...
INTRODUCTION ACTIVITY (English Version)

Electrolysis of Water

Please check the following argument carefully and discuss in your group which elements for a good argument take place in this argument and which these statements are.

“What Electrolysis of water is a chemical change. New matter or matters that do not resemble properties of the matter which has been changed during chemical change appear. Water is a substance that is not flammable, caustic and explosive, when electrolyzed, hydrogen a substance flammable and explosive, oxygen is caustic.”

What is claimed here?

Electrolysis of water is a chemical change.

The reason or warrant of the claim

New matter or matters that do not resemble properties of the matter which has been changed during chemical change appear.

Evidence of the claim

When electrolyzed, hydrogen a substance flammable and explosive, oxygen is caustic.
ACTIVITY-1 (Worksheet a-Turkish Version)
ACTIVITY-1 (Worksheet b-English Version)

Waters in the Oceans, lakes and streams forms water vapor. Water in the oceans, lakes, and streams flow towards surface water. Vapor condenses and falls as snow, rain, hail. Water vapor rises and forms clouds.
YÜZME-BATMA

Fen takımının elemanları denize açılmışlar. Vayyyy denize açıldı!!! Suyun üzerinde neler yüzüyor aman Allahım mı... Yaprak ve ördek yüzüyor... Ama çapı batıyor... Hangi eleman, hangi eleman batıyor? Buna uygun bir etkinlik tasarlayınız ve şekil çiziniz.


Tahtalı, elmalı, madeni para, anıhtar, kum gibi cisimler suya bırakılır. Tahtalı, elmalı, madenli para, anıhtar ve kum batmayarak suyun altına indirgenir. Sonra eleman, yoğunluğu suyun yoğunluğundan küçük olan cisimler batar, büyük olan cisimler ise yüzerek suya ulaşırlar.
ACTIVITY -2 (Worksheet b - English Version)

FLOATING-SINKING

Science team members sailed. Gee, we went to the sea!! What is floating on the water ... oh my God, Leaves and duck swims ... But ... anchor is sinking. Which floats, which sinks? Accordingly, design an activity, and draw the figure of it.

Hints: bodies such as board, apple, Coins, Key, sand are left in the water. Which of these will float, which will sink? Why? How can we reach a conclusion? Please explain.

When bodies such as board, apple, Coins, Key, sand are left in the water, it is seen that the board and apple float but Coins, Key and sand sink. In conclusion, when the density of the body is higher than water, it sinks. If it is less, it floats.
ACTIVITY-3 (Worksheet a-Turkish Version)

YÜZME-BATMA

Bir cismin yüzmesi ya da batması onun kütleşi ile alakalidir. Ağır cisimler batar, hafif cisimler yüzür.


Aye, Ahmet, Damla.

Bir arkadaşları Damla da oshire baza gözlem soruçlarını sunmuştur. Bunlar ise;

1) Bir diet kola yüzzer ama normal kola batar.
2) Oyun hamurundan yaptığım geri yüzülü ama top battı.
3) 4 tane aynı büyüklükte fakat farklı kütüledeki cisimlerin bazılarını battı, bazıları yüzülü.
4) Suya kum attım battı fakat tahta parça attım yüzülü.

...
1) a) Ayşe, kendi fikrini savunmak için bu gözlem sonuçlarından hangisini kullanır? 
   b) Ahmet, kendi fikrini savunmak için bu gözlem sonuçlarından hangisini kullanır?

2) a) Ayşe'nin bu gözlemi kullanmasının gerekçesi nedir? 
   b) Ahmet'in bu gözlemi kullanmasının gerekçesi nedir?

3) a) Sizin bunlardan hangisine katılsınız? Ya da başka görüşünüz var mı?
   b) Eğer Ayşe'ye katılsanız, neden Ahmet'e katılmadığınızı açıklayınız.
   c) Eğer Ahmet'e katılsanız, neden Ayşe'ye katılmadığınızı açıklayınız.
   d) Eğer her ikisine de katılsanız, kendi görüşünüzü nedenleriyle açıklayınız.

2) a) Yoğunluğu suya yakın yoğunluğunundan büyük olan sıvılar botur, küçük olan sıvılar yüzür.
   b) Yoğunluğu suya yakın yoğunluğunundan büyük olan sıvılar botur, küçük olan sıvılar yüzür.

3) Her ikisi de katılsınız. Çünkü suda botma ve yüzme gibi hareketler birlikte olabilir. Bu nedenle, bir yoğunlukta olup suda fonksiyon olmaya devam eder.

Başka düşünceleriniz yok.
ACTIVITY-3 (Worksheet b-English Version)

Floating or sinking of a body is related to its mass. Heavy objects sink and light objects float.

Floating or sinking of a body is related to its mass as well as its volume. i.e. when mass of the body (gr) is larger than its volume (cubic centimeters), object will sink. However, the volume is smaller than its mass, the body floats.

Ayşe             Ahmet                 Damla

Damla, one their friends, presented them the results of some observations. They are below:

1) A diet cola floats, but a regular Coke sinks.
2) The ship I've made from play dough floated but the ball sank.
3) 4-unit bodies at the same size but different mass, some of them floated, some sank.
4) I threw sand into the water, it sank, but I threw a piece of wood, it floated.
1) a) Which of these observation results does Ayşe use to defend her opinion?

*The result of observation numbered 3 is used*

b) Which of these observation results does Ahmet use to defend his opinion?

*The result of observation numbered 4 is used*

2) a) What is the rationale Ayşe used for this observation?

*The bodies whose density is bigger than water sink. The smaller ones float.*

b) What is the rationale Ahmet used for this observation?

*The objects whose density bigger than water sink. The smaller ones float.*

3) a) Which of these do you agree with? Or do you have another opinion?

b) If you agree with Ayşe, please explain why you don’t agree with Ahmet?

c) If you agree with Ahmet, please explain why you don’t agree with Ayşe?

d) If you do not agree with both of them, please explain your opinion with reasons.

*I agree with both. Sinking and floating in the water can not be explained by only mass or only volume. It needs to have bigger density than water to sink. I have no other opinions.*
ETKINLIK 2:


Bulgular söyledir:

1) A kabindaki maddenin ısısının daha yüksek olduğunu belirlemişlerdir.
2) Her iki kaptaki maddenin etkisi olduğuunda A kabindaki daha az ısı verir.
3) Bir süre beklendiğinde A kabindaki madde ısı kaybeder.
4) Bu kaptardaki maddeler arasında sıcaklık alışverisi ısı değerleri eşitlenene kadar devam eder.

Yukarıdaki bulgulara ve sonucu bazı yanlışlıklar yapılmıştır. Bu yanlışları düzeltin ve nedenini açıklayınız.

A kabindaki maddenin sıcaklığı daha az olduğu belirlemiştir.

Günkü A kabili -5 santigrat olduğu rain. (2)

2) Her iki kaptaki maddenin etkisi olmadığından kabindaki daha az ısı verir.

Günkü A kabili B kabindan daha soğuktur.

B kabindaki maddenin ısı kaybeder.

Günümüzce A kabili daha soğuk olduğu rain (2)
ACTIVITY-4 (Worksheet b- English Version)

A group of students had an event. A matter at -5 degrees Celsius in container A and water at 24 degrees Celsius in the container B. containers A were put into the container B.

Results are as follows:
1) It was determined that temperature of the matter in the container A was higher.
2) When the amount of the matter in both the container is equal, the one in the container A releases less heat.
3) When waited, the substance in the Container A loses heat.
4) The temperature exchange between these matters in containers will continue until heat values get synchronized.

Some mistakes were made in the above findings and results. Please correct these mistakes and explain why.

1) It is seen that the temperature of Container A is less, as the temperature of Container A is -5 degrees Celsius.
2) As the amount of matter in both containers is not equal, the one in A releases less heat. Because container A is colder than B.
3) Matter in container B releases heat, because container A is colder.
TAHMİN ET-GÖZLE- AçIKLA


1) Sıcaklığı en düşük ve en yüksek yer?

2) En erken ve en geç kuruyan bez nerededir?


4) Bezler daha soğuk bir yerde bekletilseydi kurur muydu? Neden?

5) Bezlerin kurumasıyla bulundukları yerin sıcaklığı arasında bir ilişki var mıdır? Neden?
ACTIVITY-5 (Worksheet b- English Version)

Predict-Observe-Explain

Do wet clothes dry in cloudy air?
Is there any relationship between temperature of the medium and the evaporation?
Please explain. Please give examples from daily life.

Clothes dry in the cloudy weather.

Let's do an activity with 4 pieces of cloth, 4 thermometers, 4 plastic plates, and water.
Let’s soak 4 pieces of cloth and then wring. Let’s put them four separate plastic plates.
Let’s put each plate in 4 different places whose temperatures are different.
Let's put the first near the radiator, the second in a corner of the classroom, the third into the interior of the window, and the fourth out of the window.
Let’s measure the temperature of the places where we put the plates with a thermometer.
Every 15 minutes, let's check whether the clothes dry.

1) The places with the lowest and highest temperature?

The one near the window is the lowest and the one near the radiator is the highest

2) Where is the earliest and latest drying cloth?

The one out of the window is the latest, the one near the radiator is the earliest.

3) If we put these clothes in a warmer place, will drying time change? Why? Please explain.

If we put some of these clothes in 4 different plates in a place with equal temperature, their temperature will be same.

4) If the clothes kept in a colder place, would they dry? Why?

Yes, they would, but they will dry late

5) Is there a relationship between temperature of the place and cloth drying? Why?

Evaporation, condensation, liquid, gas
ACTIVITY-6 (Worksheet a-Turkish Version)

HİKAYE-3


Cevap: Cam sıvıdedi su donmuşlardır. Cam sıvıdan sızdıgı zaman onarılmıştır.

HİKAYE-4


Cevap: Loguadan sıvıda geçtik içir - Eğer başka bir kap kullanılırsa, süt zey alması. Sadece bu kadar kalmış.
ACTIVITY-6 (Worksheet b- English Version)

STORY-3
Serpil and her family go on a picnic on a hot day. One day before they go, they completed preparations. They took out food and drinks from the fridge when they got up in the morning. They forgot to take the closed glass bottle filled with water in the freezer. When they arrived home in the evening Serpil opened the freezer, saw the water bottle get frozen and the bottle was cracked. What do you think is the cause of this event? Please explain with the warrants.

Answer: The water in glass bottles is frozen, glass breaks when it is moved from hot to cold

STORY-4
Guests will come to the Yağmur’s house. At the moment when Yağmur would do the tea service, the glass cup in which she poured tea was broken. She put tea into another glass cup and it was broken again. What do you think is the reason for this? What should Yağmur do in this situation? What would happen if other cups she used?

Answer: As it is moved from hot to cold. When another container (e.g. a plastic one) was used, plastics could melt. However, a china does not. Only breaks the glass.
# İFADELER TABLOSU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maddenin değişimi ve tanınması ile ilgili ifadeler</th>
<th>Doğru</th>
<th>Yanlış</th>
<th>Düşünceniz destekleyen nedenler</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Yağmur, su buharının buharlaşması sonucunda oluşur.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Yağmur, su buharının buharlaşması sonucu olur.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ACTIVITY-7 (Worksheet b- English Version)

**Expressions Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanations on Matter recognition and change</th>
<th>TRUE</th>
<th>FALSE</th>
<th>Reasons supporting your opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) In summer the water level in rivers and lakes reduces, it increases in the spring.</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>In summer, water vaporizes, the level of water reduces</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Rain occurs after evaporation of the water vapor.</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td><em>Rain occurs as a result of water vapor condensation</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) The amount of water contained in the nature is balanced.</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>If the amount of water in nature is not balanced, the living creatures can not live on earth.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Mist is observed when the bottle is removed from the fridge.</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>When hot bottle is put into the fridge, it gets mist.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. There is no need for energy to water cycle occur.</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td><em>For water cycle, energy of sun is needed. Energy of sun turns into heat</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Solar energy can be converted into heat energy.</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Energy of sun changes into both energy of light and heat.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) There is no relationship between the building's heating and the direction of the window in the buildings.</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>When the windows of the building are on the side of sun, the house becomes warmer.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Precipitation and evaporation do not balance each other.</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Precipitation and evaporation balance each other.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>