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Abstract

Problem Statement: The aim of the first five years of primary school is to teach and help the students develop basic skills as stated in the Primary School Language Program and Guide. Creative thinking and intertextual reading are among these skills, and it is important to give these to the students during language courses.

Purpose of Study: The purpose of this study is to determine the effectiveness and efficiency of an intertextual reading approach on the improvement of writing skills among primary school fifth-grade students.

Methods: The “Pretest – Post-test with Control Group” experimental research model has been used. The sample for the study is comprised of fifth-grade students at Akpınar Primary School, located at Kırşehir. The “Creative Writing Rubric” has been used as the data gathering tool. The “Creative Writing Rubric” has eight subdimensions, namely Originality of Ideas, Fluency of Thoughts, Flexibility of Thoughts, Vocabulary Richness, Sentence Structure, Organization, and Writing Style and Grammar”. In this study, the creative writing works of the students have been examined and evaluated in terms of “Originality of Ideas” and “Vocabulary Richness”. One-Way Anova has been used to analyze the relations inside test and control groups and the interrelations between them. Normal distribution of the obtained data has been analyzed in order to determine the reason for the differences between groups. “Post-hoc” has been applied, and the “Scheffe” test’s results have been used.

Findings and Results: At the end of the study, it was found that the Originality of Ideas and the Vocabulary Richness scores of the students from the test group, to whom the intertextual reading approach had been applied, are higher than from the students of the control group, where the courses had been conducted conventionally. This difference is statistically significant. These results show that the intertextual reading approach that
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has been applied to the test group is effective for improving the creative writing skills of the students in terms of “including creative and original ideas” and “word selection” (using the words appropriately and in line with the purpose of the text and making right usage choices, etc.).

Conclusions and Recommendations: By using an intertextual reading approach, students’ thought generation as well as their formation of relations between ideas, have improved. Thus, by using these activities during the creative writing skill development process, original idea generation can be established.

Texts studied using an intertextual approach create a significant difference in the creative writing of fifth-grade students in terms of word selection. Thus, through the higher connections made while applying intertextual reading, students learn more new words and can use them with different meanings, in the right places, and in line with their aims.
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Spoken and written language is an important tool for expressing feelings, thoughts, and desires at every stage of life. Writing is an important element for transferring the cultural heritage to subsequent generations. In order to use written language effectively, writing should be emphasized in all stages of training and education; an appropriate environment leading to better writing should be set and different methods, techniques, and strategies should be applied.

Writing is the process of transferring structured information to texts. “To do this, students should have a good understanding of what they read and they should structure it in the brain” (Ministry of National Education [MoNE], 2005, p. 22). “Writing is the skill of kinesthetically producing the symbols and signs required for expressing thoughts” (Akyol, 2010, p. 51). Writing is explaining feelings, thoughts, and projects that have been seen and experienced. “Like speaking, it is a way to express ourselves, to communicate with others” (Sever, 2004, p. 24).

Writing, which constitutes an important area of language education, requires skill as well as knowledge. Writing has two important dimensions: Firstly, it might be written fast and legible. Secondly, feelings and thoughts should be communicated in an original way via writing. The first dimension is taught in the first classes of primary school, whereas the second dimension is a skill that should be developed during an entire lifetime and is directly related with creativity.

Sever (1991) emphasizes the parallel nature of writing and literary creativity. According to Sever, literary creativity is the bringing to life of basic elements of a creative work and its applications, such as self-recognition and decision making by thinking, planning, and converting decisions into action using these plans.

“Creativity is the ability of developing new ideas, solving problems using original solutions, and being superior to others in terms of imagination, behavior, and productivity” (Buzan, 2003, p. 12). Creativity is setting relations among unrelated contacts, creating a new experience, and introducing experiences, ideas, and products. Creativity is restructuring our meaning of the universe and adding novelty to the reality for individuals or for the culture (San, 1985). Parham (1998, p.
defines creativity as “the skill of finding out new, original and useful solutions to problems”.

Creativity can be displayed with several products such as painting, constructing a building, or composing a song. Or it can be demonstrated by having different and original ideas and expressing them. One of the most important ways of expressing creativity is writing genuine and original texts.

Creative writing is “expressing the impressions received from the outer world with a different presentation” (Aşılıoğlu, 1993, p. 146). According to Oral (2003, p. 7), creative writing is “one of the methods that will improve creativity and personality”. According to Brookes and Marshall (2004), creative writing is authenticity and imagination instead of standardization and the accuracy of thoughts. Also, creative writing is more than transferring knowledge; it is possessing language usage ability. Since creative writing is a personal expression, it does not have a standard format. Sharples (1996, p. 134) also mentions that creative writing cannot accompany limited and standard thinking. He believes that the main philosophy of creative writing is the “recreation of sentimental experiences within the mind”.

According to Rawlinson (1995, p. 20), creative thinking, which is the basis of creative writing, is “establishing relationship among unconnected objects or thoughts”. The main idea here is that the departure points of creative thinking are existing objects or thoughts (Temizkan, 2010, p. 624). The individual’s connection of these aspects with outside events has considerable importance for realizing these mental relations. The basis of an intertextual reading approach is making connections with other texts.

Texts are meaningful structures formed by consecutive sentences, words and visuals, and all kinds of information; feelings or thoughts are added into this structure following a logical order. (Güneş, 2007). Akyol (1996, p. 8) defines text as follows: “everything from which a meaning can be formed is a text”. Kristeva (1969) states that every text is a structure formed by quoted passages and a product of blending with other texts.

Every text is sited inside a culture; thus it may refer not only to the reality of the world we live in, but also to its predecessors, other written or oral texts; these referrals are called intertextual relations (Kıran, 2000). From this perspective, the text meaning is shaped by another text. While setting up such a meaning, the reader uses a top-level cognitive effort. The reader is reading and at the same time discussing the texts (authors). Intertextual reading and meaning formation allow the reader to think intertextually and develop alternative perspectives. (Akyol, 2010).

According to Bothorel, Duberg, and Thoraval (1976, p. 94), a text does not belong to one person; it belongs to everybody. It cannot be limited by a language or by a thought or a world. Each text is a re-reading, a highlighting, a relocation, and a profound expression. Each text is located at the intersection point of many texts. Each kind of text possesses many meanings, independent of its content. A text is the property of its writer until its production; afterwards it is the anonymous property of the reader.

“Intertextuality is the sharing of a text with other ones; it is a cooperation of texts” (Ögeyik, 2008, p. 21). It is a kind of exchange, a speech or communication

According to Hartman (1992), intertextuality should be based on three factors: the writer of the text, the reader of the text, and the context. The expression of style in the text is based on the texture (Cited in Ünal, 2007). “Intertextual reading is producing new meanings by setting up relationships among the thoughts and ideas of the texts” (Akyol, 2010, p., 233). It is running two or more texts at the same time to get meaning (Ünal, 2007). “The intertextual reader gets rid of the limited meaning restrictions of texts. There is not a route directing the reader” (Irwin, 2004, p. 230).

Barthes (1998) argues that with an intertextual approach, the writer disappears at the point in the text where the reader finds himself. Barthes insists that the validity of a text does not lie on its originality; what should be counted is the way that the text directs the reader. Comprehensibility of the text is determined by the understanding of the reader from the text, not from what the writer has written. The learning level of the reader is directly proportional to the meaning assigned to the text by the reader.

Scholes (1985, p. 24) defines the relation between reading and text as, “producing a text inside a text while reading”, “producing a text over a text while commenting”, and “producing a text versus a text while criticizing”. For the importance of the connections, each text should systematically have unlimited connections with another text. Scholes states further that if a text has no connections with the others, it is like emptiness.

This study aims to reveal the effectiveness and efficiency of an intertextual reading approach on the improvement of writing skills among primary school fifth-grade students. Davaslıgil (1994, p. 53) states that “creativity is not a rare ability owned by a minority; it is a cognitive skill that can be developed, improved, and owned by everybody. Primary school students who experience the pleasure of writing, express their feelings comfortably through writing, and reveal their creativity are encountering something really important in terms of education. But conducted studies reveal that students have a negative attitude towards writing; schools have insufficiencies in teaching writing skills, and most of the graduated students have difficulties with writing. (Akkaya, 2011; Öztürk, 2007; Allen, 2003; Hansen and Hansen, 2003; Richards 2000 (cited in İnal, 2006); Gokalp-Alpaslan (2000); Essex, 1996).

The writing skill, which is quite difficult to acquire, should be taught and improved in schools using different techniques and methods. Students who have a positive attitude towards writing and get pleasure from it have reached that position through a teacher’s appropriate creative writing methods and techniques in the education environment. Because of applications’ difficulties, which are exposed by students, the writing skills should have priority over the other learning areas of language study.
In addition, it is obvious that the creative writing skills of the students cannot be improved by using conventional methods; the methods often applied are insufficient. Therefore, providing concrete results that display the improvement of students’ creative writing skills and offering recommendations based on these results is quite important for future studies. This study, revealing the relation between an intertextual reading approach and creative writing skills, will provide an important contribution to the literature.

Method

Research Design

This study, which investigates the effectiveness and efficiency of an intertextual reading approach on the improvement of writing skills among primary school fifth-grade students, is designed as a “Pretest - Post-test with Control Group” experimental research model. The Pretest - Post-test with Control Group model consists of two randomly determined groups. One of them is used as the test group, whereas the other is the control group. Measurements are taken in both groups before and after the experiment. Pretests of the model help to reveal the similarity levels of the groups before the experiment and also help to calibrate post-test results accordingly (Karasar, 1994). Experimental studies are the kind of research where the most accurate results must be obtained. Because the researcher uses comparable applications and observes their effects, the results of these studies are expected to lead the researcher to the most accurate comments. (Büyüköztürk, Kılıççakmak, Akgün, Karadeniz & Demir, 2009)

During the study, an intertextual approach was applied to the students from the test group, whereas students from the control group continued with their normal training. At the end of the application, a creative writing activity was conducted with both groups, and the differences between groups were investigated.

Research Sample

The research sample composed of primary school fifth-grade students in Kırşehir provinces in 2012 academic term. The sample of the study was obtained by randomly selecting fifth-grade students at Akpinar Primary School. In order to guarantee internal validity of the data, the test and the control groups were determined by drawing. There were 42 students, 21 in the test group and the remaining 21 in the control group.

Research Instrument

Data from the study was gathered and assessed according to the “Creative Writing Rubric” developed by Öztürk (2007). The “Creative Writing Rubric” has eight subdimensions, namely “Originality of Ideas, Fluency of Thoughts, Flexibility of Thoughts, Vocabulary Richness, Sentence Structure, Organization, Writing Style, and Grammar”. The creative writing samples from the students were examined and evaluated in terms of “Originality of Ideas” and “Vocabulary Richness”. Scores from each subdimension could vary between 1 and 5. Thus the score of each student could vary between 2 and 10.

Experimental Application
Within the content of the study, three texts were chosen (The Foundation of the Union, The Old Holiday Fragrances, and Atatürk Became Children) under the theme “Our Values” from the course book, published by Engin Publishing House and distributed to the students by the Ministry of National Education. The students of the test group were instructed using an intertextual approach. The same texts were instructed to the students of the control group by following the conventional text processing steps of a language course. After completing each text, both test and control group students were asked to write an informative or narrative essay (creative writing) about the subject of the text. The research took place between April 4 and 29 (year?) as an experimental study.

Experimental Process Stages. Each text was taught to both test and control groups on the same dates for six hours. During the instruction, intertextual connection categories prepared by Pappas, Maria, Anne, and Amy (tran. by Ünal, 2007 from 2003) were applied to the test group by the researcher in four categorical operations. The intertextual connection categories used in the research are as follows: 1. making connections with other written texts about the same subject, 2. making connections with research outputs, 3. making connections with communicated events, and 4. making connections with other situations that were not explicitly explained, only implied. During the same time interval, the same texts were taught to the students of the control group by following the conventional steps of a typical language course. Following each text, the test and the control group students were asked to write an essay about the subject of the text, using either “narrative” or “informative” style.

The first text, in line with the sequencing of the language course book, is “The Foundation of the Union”. The works written by the students after completing this text were scored separately by the researcher and two specialists (one language teacher and one class teacher) according to the Creative Writing Rubric. “Midtest 1” data were formed by figuring the arithmetic mean of these three scores. Data obtained by scoring the writings of students from the test and the control groups after reading and discussing the second text, “Atatürk Became Children,” were recorded as “Midtest 2”. The same procedure applied to the last text, “The Old Holiday Fragrances”; the arithmetic mean of the scores was recorded as “Midtest 3”.

After reading and writing about all texts and performing the measures mentioned above, students from the test and the control groups were asked to write an essay, on the subject and in style that they prefer, in order for the researcher to make a general evaluation. These writings were scored the same way. This essay was designated as the “Final Test”. Midtest 1, Midtest 2, and Midtest 3 were tests given to evaluate the students after each text of the “Out Values” theme, during the process, whereas the final test was a general evaluation aiming to determine the level of the students after the whole process.

Data Analysis

At the beginning of the study, students from the test and the control groups were asked to write a free text about a subject that they chose themselves (adventure, excitement, death, image, environment, friendship, etc.) in order for the researcher to determine the starting levels of their creative writing skills. The students’ creative writing was scored separately by the researcher and two specialists (one language
teacher and one class teacher). The pretest data of the study were formed by taking the arithmetic mean of these three scores. There was no significant difference between the pretest results of the test and the control groups. Data obtained from this evaluation have a normal distribution for both the test and the control groups. In this context, One-Way Anova was used to analyze the data from all the students’ essays evaluated according to the rubrics. “Post-hoc” was applied to determine the source of the difference between the groups and the “Scheffe” test’s results were used. A 0.05 significance level was taken as significant differences.

**Results**

Outputs of the study are summarized and interpreted in the tables below. Table 1 displays the pretest results that show the starting creative writing levels of the students from the test and the control groups.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Significant Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>2,881</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,881</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside Group</td>
<td>99,238</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2,481</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>P&gt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>102,119</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SS: Sum of Squares, df: Degree of freedom, MS: Mean Square, F: F-value, P: P-value*

The output displayed in the table shows that there is not a significant difference between the pretest scores of the students from the test and the control groups \([F(1,161); p>.05]\). This means that, before the investigation, the creative writing level of the test group was close to the creative writing level of the control group.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>54,857</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54,857</td>
<td></td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside Group</td>
<td>163,429</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4,086</td>
<td>13,427</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>218,286</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SS: Sum of Squares, df: Degree of freedom, MS: Mean Square, F: F-value, P: P-value*

As displayed in Table 2, the difference between the means of the post-test in the test and the control groups is significant \([F(13,427); p<.0]\).
Table 3
Findings about the "Originality of Ideas" in the Test and the Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>18,667</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18,667</td>
<td>15,253</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside Group</td>
<td>48,952</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>67,619</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SS: Sum of Squares, df: Degree of freedom, MS: Mean Square,

The findings in the table show that texts taught using an intertextual approach in primary school fifth-grade students create a significant difference in terms of “featuring creative and unusual ideas (originality of ideas)” \([F(15,253); p<.05]\). The Scheffe test was conducted in order to see the groups whose means have created this difference. The data is represented in Table 4.

Table 4
Mean Scores of Test and Control Groups in Terms of Originality of Ideas and Significance Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Test Pre-test</th>
<th>Test Mid-test 1</th>
<th>Test Mid-test 2</th>
<th>Test Mid-test 3</th>
<th>Test Final test</th>
<th>Control Pre-test</th>
<th>Control Mid-test 1</th>
<th>Control Mid-test 2</th>
<th>Control Mid-test 3</th>
<th>Control Final test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Pre-test</td>
<td>M =2,00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Mid-test 1</td>
<td>M =2,90</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Mid-test 2</td>
<td>M =2,14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Mid-test 3</td>
<td>M =2,23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Final test</td>
<td>M =2,90</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Pre-test</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Mid-test 1</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M =1,52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Mid-test 2</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M =1,57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Mid-test 3</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M =1,52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Final test</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M =1,57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: Arithmetic Mean; P: Significance
According to the findings of Table 4, the difference between pretest mean scores of the test (M = 2.00) and the control (M = 1.52) groups’ students is not significant (p > .05). Thus, before the application, both groups were at similar levels in terms of “originality of ideas”. On the other hand, the difference (M = test final-test – control final test = 1.33) between the final test mean scores of the test group (M = 2.90) and the control group (M = 1.57) is found to be significant in favor of test group (p < .05). This finding shows that an intertextual reading approach, which has been applied to the test group, increases the success of students in terms of “originality of ideas”.

It was also found that midtest 1, midtest 2, and midtest 3 mean scores of the test group are higher than the mean scores of the control group and these differences are statistically significant. We can possibly view these results as signifying that an intertextual reading approach applied to the test group is effective in improving students’ creative writing skills in terms of “originality of ideas”.

Findings about the comparison of the creative writing of the test and the control groups in terms of “Vocabulary Richness” (richness of word meanings, appropriate usage of the words, words being in line with the purpose of the text, etc.) are displayed in Table 5 and Table 6.

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>9,524</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9,524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside Group</td>
<td>40,952</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,024</td>
<td>9.302</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50,476</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SS: Sum of Squares, df: Degree of freedom, MS: Mean Square,

Table 5 shows that the difference between the final-test mean scores of the test and the control groups’ students in terms of “Vocabulary Richness” is significant [F(9,302); p < .004]. The Scheffe test was conducted in order to see the groups whose means have created this difference. The data is represented at Table 6.
Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Test Pre-test</th>
<th>Test Mid-test 1</th>
<th>Test Mid-test 2</th>
<th>Test Mid-test 3</th>
<th>Test Final test</th>
<th>Control Pre-test</th>
<th>Control Mid-test 1</th>
<th>Control Mid-test 2</th>
<th>Control Mid-test 3</th>
<th>Control Final test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>M =1.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Mid-test 1</td>
<td>M =2.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Mid-test 2</td>
<td>M =2.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Mid-test 3</td>
<td>M =2.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Final test</td>
<td>M =2.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Pre-test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Mid-test 1</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M =1.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Mid-test 2</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Mid-test 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M =1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Final test</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M =1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: Mean; P: Significance

According to the findings in Table 6, the difference between pretest mean scores of the test (M =1.66) and the control (M =1.61) groups’ students is not significant (p>.05). It is clear that, before the application, both groups were at similar levels in terms of “vocabulary richness”. On the other hand, the difference (M =1.33) between the final test mean scores of the test group (M =2.66) and the control group (M =1.33) is found to be significant in favor of the test group (p<.05).
This finding shows that an intertextual reading approach, which has been applied to the test group, increases the success of students in terms of "vocabulary richness".

It also was found that the midtest 1, midtest 2, and midtest 3 mean scores of the test group are higher than the mean scores of the control group, and these differences are statistically significant. These results show that an intertextual reading approach applied to the test group is effective in improving students' creative writing skills in terms of "vocabulary richness".

**Discussion and Conclusion**

In this study, where the effect of an intertextual reading approach on the writing skills of primary school fifth-grade students has been investigated, a significant difference has been revealed between the final test scores of the test group’s students to whom intertextual reading activities were conducted and the control group’s students to whom intertextual reading activities were not applied. In his study, Ünal (2007) also discovered that an intertextual reading approach positively affects students’ understanding of what they read. In addition, there are several studies stating that creative writing activities provided in class contribute to the creative writing skills of the students (Akkaya, 2011; Susar Kırmızı, 2009; Öztürk, 2007). These findings support the output of the study.

Primary school fifth-grade texts taught using an intertextual reading approach create a significant difference in "featuring creative and unusual (original) ideas". Öztürk (2007), in his study “Creative writing skill evaluation of primary school fifth-grade students”, identified that creative writing strategies done with the students improved the “originality of ideas” dimension. The findings of Öztürk support the findings of this study.

Primary school fifth-grade texts presented using an intertextual reading approach create a significant difference in the “Vocabulary Richness” (richness of word meanings, appropriate usage of the words, words being in line with the purpose of the text, etc.) dimension. Öztürk (2007) also indicated that using creative writing methods with the primary school fifth-grade students to improve their creative writing skills improved the “vocabulary richness” dimension. The findings of Öztürk overlap with the findings of this study.

In Conclusion, an intertextual reading approach can be used to reach effective results in the achievement and improvement of students’ creative writing skills. Intertextual reading allows for an increase in the idea generation of the students; it creates interaction among thoughts by making connections between them. These kinds of activities should take place in order to produce fluency of thought and originality of ideas. In addition, using an intertextual reading approach increases the thinking capacity of the students, and thought disconnection can be prevented. The use of an intertextual reading approach is important for achieving thought flexibility. While applying intertextual reading, the increased number of connections means that students learn new words. They can use these learned words in their creative writing with different meanings, in the right places and to support the purpose of the text.

* This study was created making benefit of the master's thesis titled "The Effect of Intertextual Reading Approach on 5th Grade Students' Creative Writing Skills". It was promoted by Ahi Evran University Scientific Research Project Department (Project No: SBA-1-04).
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Yaratıcı Yazma Becerisinin Geliştirilmesinde Metinler Arası Okuma Yaklaşımının Etkisi


Özet


Problemlere farklı çözüm yolları üretebilmek, üretilen çözüm yollarından yola çıkarak yeni fikirler oluşturabilme ve yeni buluşlar gerçekleştirebilme kişilerdeki yaratıcılık becerisi ile paralellik göstermektedir. Eğitim-öğretim sürecinde yaratıcı yazı becerilerinin geliştirilmesinde uygun yöntem ve yaklaşımların kullanılması öğrencilerin daha başarılı eserler ortaya koyabilimlerini sağlamaktadır.

İlköğretimin ilk beş sınıfında İlköğretim Türkçe Dersi Öğretim Programı ve Kılavuzunda yer alan temel becerileri öğrencilerin yaratıcı yazma becerilerinin geliştirilmesi amaçlanmaktadır. Bu becerilerden olan “yaratıcı düşünce ve metinler arası okuma becerilerinin” Türkçe dersleri içerisinde öğrencilerin kazandırılması önem arz edmektedir.

Araştırmanın Amacı: Bu araştırmada Türkçe derslerinde metinler arası okuma yaklaşımının uygulanmasını, ilköğretim beşinci sınıf öğrencilerinin yaratıcı yazma becerilerinin geliştirilmesinde etkili olup olmadığını tespit edilmiş amaçlanmıştır.


Veri toplama aracı olarak "Yaratıcı Yazma Rubriği" kullanılmıştır. Yaratıcı Yazma Rubriği; "Fikirlerin Orjinalliği, Düşüncelerin Akıcılığı, Düşüncelerin Esnekliği, Kelime Zenginliği, Cümle Yapması, Organizasyon, Yazıt Tarzı ve Dil Bilgisi" olmak üzere sekiz alt boylamdan oluşmaktadır. Araştırmda öğrencilerin yaratıcı yazma eserlerini bu boylamlardan "Fikirlerin Orjinalliği" ve "Kelime Zenginliği" bakımından incelemiş ve değerlendirilmiştir.

Çalışmada deney ve kontrol gruplarının kendi içinde ve birbirleri ile ilişkilerinin tespiti veri analizinde, tek yönlü varyans analizi (One-Way Anova) kullanılmıştır. Elde edilen verilerin normalitik dağılımları incelenmiş, gruplar arası farkın kaynağı belirlenmesi amacıyla "Post-hoc" yapılmış ve bu kapsamda "Scheffe" testi sonuçları kullanılmıştır.

Araştırmanın Bulguları: Uygulamalar öncesinde deney ve kontrol grubundaki öğrencilerin hazırlık bulunmuş ve test seviyelerinin belirlenmesi amacıyla yapılan ön test puanları arasında anlamlı bir fark olmaması üzeri görülmüşdür. Deney grubundaki öğrencilerden fikirlerin orjinalliği boyutuyla ilgili ara test 1, ara test 2 ve ara test 3 ortalamalarının kontrol grubu öğrencilerinden daha yüksek olduğu belirlenmiştir. Ayrıca deney grubunun son test ortalamalarını (M = 2,90) ile kontrol grubunun son test ortalamalarını (M = 1,57) arasında farkın (M deney son test-kontrol son test = 1,33) deney grubu son test lehine anlamlı olduğu saptanmıştır (p<.05). Bu durum...
Deniz grubuna uygulanan metinler arası okuma yaklaşımının öğrencilere “fikirlerin orijinalliği” boyutundaki başarılarını artırdığını göstermektedir. Deney grubundaki öğrencilerin kelime zenginliği boyutuyla ilgili son test ortalamaları ($M = 2,66$) ile kontrol grubunun son test ortalamaları ($M = 1,33$) arasında farkın ($M_{deney	ext{ son test- kontrol son test}} = 1,33$) deney grubu son test lehine anlamlı olduğu saptanmıştır ($p<.05$). Bu durum metinler arası okuma yaklaşımının uygulandığı deney grubundaki öğrencilerin yaratıcı yazmalarında daha farklı ve daha çok kelime kullanıklarını göstermektedir. Kelime zenginliği ile ilgili ara test 1, ara test 2 ve ara test 3 ortalamaları karşılaştırıldığında deney grubundaki öğrencilerin puanlarının, kontrol grubundaki öğrencilerin puanlarından daha yüksek olduğu ve bu farklılığın istatistiksel olarak anlamlı olduğu belirlenmiştir. Bu sonuçlar deney grubuna uygulanan metinler arası okuma yaklaşımının uygulandığı deney grubundaki öğrencilerin yaratıcı yazma becerilerinin geliştirilmesinde, “kelime zenginliği” boyutunda etkili olduğunu göstermektedir.

Sonuçlar ve Öneriler: Araştırma sonucunda, metinler arası okuma yaklaşımının uygulandığı deney grubundaki öğrencilerin yaratıcı yazma eserlerinin orijinal fikirlerle yarın verme ve kelime zenginliği boyutlarındaki puanların, geleneksel yöntemlerle derslerin işlendiği kontrol grubu öğrencilerinden daha yüksek olduğu ve bu farklılığın istatistiksel olarak anlamlı olduğu saptanmıştır.

Metinler arası okuma yaklaşımı ile öğrencilerin düşünce üretimlerinin artmasını sağlanması, fikirler arasında bağlar kurdurarak düşünicilerin birbirlerine etkileşimini sağlanabilmektedir. Bu nedenle İlköğretim 5. sınıfında öğrencilerin yaratıcı yazma becerilerinin geliştirilmesi sürecinde, bu tür etkinlikler yer verilerek orijinal fikirlerin ortaya konulması sağlanabilir.

İlköğretim 5. sınıfta metinler arası okuma yaklaşımıyla işlenen metinler, öğrencilerin yaratıcı yazmalarında “kelime seçimi” boyutunda anlamlı bir fark oluşturmuşlardır. Bu nedenle metinler arası okuma uygulanırken ne kadar çok bağlantı yapıtırlarsa; öğrencilerin yeni kelime öğrenmeleri, öğrendikleri kelimeleri farklı anlamlarda ve doğru yerlerde, amaçları doğrultusunda kullanmaları sağlanabilir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Metinler arası, okuma, metinler arası okuma, yazma, yaratıcı yazma.