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Abstract
The tendency that there is a global warming issue and the environmental disaster throughout the world became top news in media. While scientists and politicians are gathering to find solutions for the environmental issues, educators are aware of the fact that a sustainable future needs an effective education for today’s children. However, how these children should be educated on environmental issues and what they should be required in this technology age is still a question. This paper, thus, provides an intensive review of the literature on environmental education and how different instructional strategies could be used effectively in educational programs.
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Introduction
Recently, there has been a growing interest in environmental issues, and in particular, global climate change. This interest extends not only to researchers and educators in science but also nonprofit organizations (NGO), governments, concerned citizens and advocacy groups who aim to raise awareness on environmental issues. The international community has increasingly paid more attention to the importance of environmental education to environmental protection.

In the literature, the term environmental education (EE) began to be used in the 1960s as an effort “to produce citizens who are knowledgeable about the biophysical environment and its problems, aware of strategies that can be used to deal with those problems, and actively engaged in working toward their solution” (Stapp et al., 1969, cited in Fisman, 2005, p.39). A few years later, The United Nations Education Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) announced three major declarations that structured the objectives of environmental education courses.

The first declaration, the Stockholm Declaration, was created in 1972. Three years later, UNESCO and UNEP with representatives from 60 countries, announced the Belgrade Charter in former Yugoslavia. According to this charter, the goal of EE is “to develop a world population that is aware of and concerned about the environment, its associated problems, so that the population will have the knowledge, skills, attitudes, motivation and commitment to work individually and collectively towards the solutions of current problems and prevention of new ones” (1996, p. 94). The Tbilisi declaration, in 1977, by the same international communities, focused on local environmental issues (Fisman, 2005). More recently, former UN Secretary General, Kofi Annan, stated the importance of current environmental problems and how humans are causing these problems. He also called nations and individuals to take action to end thoughtless or deliberate waste and destruction (Annan, 2004, cited in Haigh, 2006).
Academicians established a US-based international NGO, the Earthwatch Institute, in 2003 “to work together to promote environmental education and the cause of sustainable development” (Haigh, 2006 p.330). With similar goals, there are different organizations such as TEMA in Turkey (2009) and the Worldwatch Institute in the US (2009), companies such as Shell in Malaysia (Said, Yahaya, Ahmadun, 2007) and several worldwide NGOs such as The National Audubon Society, Sierra Club, and GRACE (2009). Recently, Live Earth organization, which is founded by producer Kevin Wall, in partnership with former U.S. Vice President Al Gore, organized a worldwide concert on 07.07.07 called “round the world”. The aim of this event was to increase people’s awareness on environmental issues and global change.

In addition, special days and events focused on the environment are commemorated worldwide, and are often familiar to children in schools: Earth Day on April 22nd of each year and World Environment Day on June 5th of every year. These initiatives are designed to stimulate worldwide awareness of the environment and enhance political attention and action (UNEP, 2009). Tree Planting Day is also organized in different countries such as China, Turkey, Australia, Iran, and Ireland in order to increase awareness of nature among young generations by planting trees.

Research on Environmental Education

Studies on environmental education from the 1960s and 1980s were mainly concerned with the identification, prediction, and the control of variables for environmental behavior (Palmer & Suggate, 2004). In the last decade, however, researchers have examined various perspectives related to the environment such as students’ environmental knowledge (Morgil, et al. 2004), environmental awareness and concerns (Sherburn & Devlin 2004; Zimmer et al. 1994), behavior (Negev et al. 2008), and comprehension and participation (Said, Yahaya, Ahmadun, 2007).

Table 1: Summary of reviews for the studies about environmental education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Study</th>
<th>Country-Age Level</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Methods and Purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicolaou, et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Greece, 11-12 years old</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Qualitative study to explore the development of decision making skills and environmental skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shobeiri, Omidvar, &amp; Prahallada, (2007)</td>
<td>India-Iran, secondary school</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>Comparison of students environmental awareness in two different countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barraza and Walford (2002)</td>
<td>Mexico-UK, 7-9 years old</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>Comparison to find possible reasons of influencing the environmental knowledge and perceptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinliang et al, (2004)</td>
<td>China, primary and high school students</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>Quantitative study to analyzes the status and characteristics of environmental awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negev et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Israel, Middle and High school students</td>
<td>3101</td>
<td>Quantitative study to evaluate students’ environmental literacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Said, Yahaya, &amp; Ahmadun, (2007)</td>
<td>Malaysia, secondary school students</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>Quantitative study about environmental education and behavior changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duan &amp; Fortner (2005)</td>
<td>China, university students</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Quantitative study to examine students perceptions about internal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. External Factors in Environmental Education

As Nicolaou et al. (2009) stated, environmental problems are complex and ill structured, and these problems involve consideration of values, tradeoffs, social interests, and culture. For instance, Shobeiri, Omidvar, and Prahallada, (2007) found cultural differences between Indian and Iranian students’ perceptions of identifying environmental problems in their countries.

Barraza and Walford (2002) found that students have different perceptions about environmental issues in each country. For example, students in Mexico ranked population growth whereas students in England ranked nuclear waste as the most dangerous environmental issues. In another study conducted in China, students listed the quality of water and pollution as the main environmental problem (Jinliang et al., 2004). Similarly, lack of water was identified in a study in Madagascar (Korhonen & Lappalainen, 2004), and air pollution in studies in Israel (Negev et al., 2008) and in Malaysia (Said, Yahaya, Ahmadun, 2007).

Similarly, when examining Chinese students’ awareness of global problems and local problems, Duan and Fortner (2005 p.30) say “It is reasonable that people would determine that an issue is real if they can see or smell it. The most significant issues are the certain ones that can be directly sensed.” They suggest further “educators should choose effective sources and formats to make more complicated environmental issues tangible and understandable” (p.30). However, none of these studies focused on a diverse classroom environment.

Barraza and Walford, (2002, p.178) stated that “children’s environmental knowledge varies according to the school ethos, the teacher, and their access to information through books, media such as television, computer games, and other social activities. Thus, when children are exposed
to situations that involve environmental dilemmas, their reactions vary according to four major factors: (1) culture; (2) experience; (3) affiliation for a particular animal; and (4) school ethos”. Shobeiri, Omidvar, and Prahallada (2007) stated that type of school management, private or public, also has an impact on environmental awareness of students.

II. Cognitive Structure of Environmental Education

Some studies also focused on how people’s environmental knowledge and awareness is structured. According to Palmer and Suggate (2004), “environmental problems are socially constructed in terms of their conceptualized effects on individuals, groups, other living things and systems, [and accordingly,] research based on constructivist principles provides not only a coherent framework in which to theorize about learning, but also a context for understanding socially constructed issues and knowledge” (p. 208).

Students’ perceptions about environmental issues, however, seem mainly influenced by media coverage (Barraza & Walford, 2002; Jinliang et al. 2004). For example, survey results from Jinliang et al (2004) showed that students learned their environmental knowledge from TV (34.259 percent), followed by the press (27.350 percent), teachers (13.746 percent), and only 4.630 percent from the parents.

Even though most prior studies explored students’ environmental knowledge and awareness, there are still concerns about transferring knowledge into action. For instance, in one study, it was found that people were aware of environmental aspects but were not prepared to transfer their environmental beliefs into consumer behavior (Tuohino, 2003). A similar finding was also reported in the Barraza and Walford study (2002) in Mexico and England where students perceived environmental issues and had a high level of knowledge of environmental issues, but, they were not able to transfer this knowledge into action. Thus, in order to deal with such problems, Nicolaou, et al. (2009 p.49) suggest that “students should be able to reason cause and effects, advantages and disadvantages, and alternative outcomes to the decision making process.”

Since today’s children will be responsible for the remaining natural resources, children’s environmental knowledge, environmental awareness, and attitudes toward environment is important (Korhonen & Lappalainen, 2004). To address that problem, UNESCO has urged educators, institutions, and governments to design environmental education curricula for students that provide learning modules that bring skills, knowledge, reflections, ethics, and values together in a balanced way (Haigh, 2006).

Since the 7-9 age group is at a state where the child’s mind undergoes a developmental change, some researchers specifically examined these students’ environmental awareness (Barraza, Walford, 2002). According to Palmer and Suggate (2004), “the analysis of understanding shows that children as young as 4 years of age are capable of making simple accurate statements about the effects of major environmental change on habitats and living things. Occasionally by the age of 8 and certainly by the age of 10, pupils are capable of appreciating and explaining the complexity of some of the relationships that exist among plants, animals and their habitats, and to provide accurate reasoned explanations of some of the effects of significant changes to global environments” (p. 205).

III. Instructional Strategies for Environmental Education

In order for students to have sustainability, educators start teaching Environmental Education courses either as part of science class, or a separate course. Environmental education as conservation was established in the second half of the 20th century. For example, formal
environmental education started in England in the 1950s and in Mexico in the 1980s (Barraza & Walford, 2002). In the US, conservation education started in 1953 and current environmental education started with U.S. Congress Environmental Education Act in 1970 (McCrea, 2006).

In environmental education classes, there have been different programs and activities organized to increase awareness and knowledge of students about environmental issues. Some of them are traditional class lectures, media coverage, camping (Dresner & Gill 1994), or involving students in “the use of facilities, such as botanic or zoological gardens, or museums, as educational resources” and “involvement of the local community in the management of resources” (Evans & Gill, 1996, p. 245). Computer-based instruction is also used for environmental education (Morgil et al. 2004).

Even though environmental issues have an effect on several subject areas, it is rarely integrated with subject areas other than science in formal schooling. Some areas of integration in the research are as follows; math (Jianguo, 2004; Foorrest, Schnabel & Williams, 2006), geography, science, moral education, and life skills (Said, Yahaya, Ahmadun, 2007), web-based storytelling (Heo 2004), mobile technologies (Uzunboylu, Cavus & Ercag, 2009), and art (Day, 2004) in order to increase students’ environmental awareness. Day (2004), for instance, designed a study where students created art work to increase their environmental awareness. The results showed that the artwork reached students on an emotional level, affected critical thinking, and assisted memory retention.

Researchers have acknowledged that children’s and adolescents’ opinions and knowledge concerning the environment have been under-researched (Korhonen & Lappalainen, 2004). In addition, some scholars believe that environmental education should not be restricted to formal education class time since environmental education is a lifelong process (Haigh, 2006). Accordingly, Evans and Gill (1996) suggested having cross-curriculum teaching for environmental education.

Given the growing interest in including more environmental content in education, efforts to increase students’ knowledge and awareness of environmental issues are valuable. However, “young people will not act immediately because there is an inevitable time lag before the children or students, who are being educated, are in planning or decision-making roles” (Evans & Gill, 1996, p.245). Likewise, some scholars have criticized the learning strategies employed in environmental education classrooms. Heo (2004), for instance, argued that most classrooms focus solely on learning facts and principles of environment. Others note that studies are focusing solely on local problems (Evans and Gill 1996). Students, therefore, fail to consider environmental issues from a global perspective.

Game play also has been explored as a formal and informal learning environment about environmental issues. For instances, 6th graders were asked to play the game Second Chance to increase their environmental awareness (Pacheco, Motloch, & Vann, 2006). In another study, 6th grade students designed games about global warming (Pinkard, 2007). However, this study only focused on girls’ engagement in programming. It was found in this study that designers should have clear definition of their responsibility during collaboration.

**Conclusion**

In sum, most of the previous studies have focused on educational strategies and tactics to improve students’ environmental knowledge and increase their environmental awareness. However, there is a lack of studies that explore children’s behaviors in the environment. The
previous researches have not measured in a long-term process whether the children’s achieved environmental knowledge and awareness affects their behaviors and attitudes toward the environment. Especially with the growing interest of children’s in technology and games could be a powerful instructional strategy to teach these children about environmental education.
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