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Focused ethnographies can have meaningful and useful application in primary care, community, or hospital healthcare practice, and are often used to determine ways to improve care and care processes. They can be pragmatic and efficient ways to capture data on a specific topic of importance to individual clinicians or clinical specialities. While many examples of focused ethnographies are available in the literature, there is a limited availability of guidance documents for conducting this research. This paper defines focused ethnographies, locates them within the ethnographic genre, justifies their use in healthcare research, and outlines the methodological processes including those related to sampling, data collection and maintaining rigour. It also identifies and provides a summary of some recent focused ethnographies conducted in healthcare research. While the emphasis is placed on healthcare research, focused ethnographies can be applicable to any discipline whenever there is a desire to explore specific cultural perspectives held by sub-groups of people within a context-specific and problem-focused framework. Keywords: Focused Ethnography, Healthcare Research, Qualitative Methodology, Guidance

Introduction

Within an ever-increasing number of qualitative research genres (e.g., classical or anthropological ethnography, ethnography, grounded theory, phenomenology, narrative inquiry), focused ethnographies (FE) are very suitable for healthcare research as they can be pragmatic and efficient ways to capture data on a specific topic of importance to individual clinicians or clinical specialities, and to determine ways to improve care and care processes. They are able “to address specific aspects of fields in highly differentiated organisations” (Knoblauch, 2005). This paper defines focused ethnographies, locates them within the ethnographic genre, justifies their use in healthcare research, and outlines the methodological processes including those related to sampling, data collection and maintaining rigour. It also identifies and provides a summary of some recent FE conducted in healthcare research. While the emphasis is placed on healthcare research, FE can be applicable to any discipline whenever there is a desire to explore specific cultural perspectives held by sub-groups of people within a context-specific and problem-focused framework.

What is Ethnography?

Ethnography is “the work of describing culture” (Spradley, 1979) using a “process of learning about people by learning from them” (Roper & Shapira, 2000). Ethnographers essentially study situations in real-time, thus as they occur in their natural setting, to gain an in-depth perspective. This includes the overt or explicit dimensions of culture that are known and cognitively salient to members of that culture or subculture, and covert or tacit dimensions that may not be articulated by members of the culture or subculture, but nevertheless shared (Fetterman, 2010). What most clearly distinguishes ethnography from
other qualitative research genres and makes it valuable for researching healthcare issues, is its link between the macro and micro, thus between everyday interactions and wider cultural formations through its emphasis on context (Savage, 2006).

The depth of comprehension sought with ethnographies typically requires multiple data collection methods including participant observation, with “cultural immersion” over an extended period of time, interviews and documentary analysis (Fetterman, 2010). Ethnographic research is shaped by the nature of the relationship between the researched and the researcher, taking into account both emic (insider view) and etic (outsider view) perspectives and therefore acknowledging the existence of multiple realities (Fetterman, 2010). In as such, the reflexive and contextual dimensions are pivotal (Atkinson & Hammersley, 1998; Savage, 2000; Fetterman, 2010). Key characteristics that all ethnographies share include (Atkinson & Hammersley, 1998, p.110):

- Scrutiny of specific social phenomena, as opposed to deductive research that tests out hypotheses;
- A propensity to elicit unstructured data as opposed to pre-coded data;
- Small sample sizes which may include just one case;
- Narrative description as the product of analysis that includes an unequivocal acknowledgement of interpretation of the significance and purpose of human behaviour; and,
- No quantification of data.

While ethnographers may have originally studied whole communities or cultures, there is wide agreement that the methodology is eminently suitable for exploring sub-cultures or groups of people within complex, pluralistic societies (Atkinson & Hammersley, 1998; Higginbottom, 2004b; Fetterman, 2010). Moreover, a discrete field studied by numerous disciplines, considered medical or health sciences ethnography, focuses on describing the relationships between cultural beliefs and health behaviours.

**Focused Ethnographies for Clinically-Orientated Research**

Medical ethnography has at times studied the cultural perspective of an illness rather than that of groups themselves. When investigating specific beliefs and practices of particular illnesses, or particular healthcare processes, as held by patients and practitioners, the ethnography is considered focused (Magilvy, McMahon, Bachman, Roark, & Evenson, 1987; Morse, 1987). The focus on cultures and sub-cultures remains but is framed within a discrete community or phenomenon and context, whereby participants have specific knowledge about an identified problem. With FE, the findings are anticipated to have meaningful and useful application in community or hospital healthcare practice (Knoblauch, 2005). The genre originated with various ethnographers generating understandings in rural (Brink, 1982; Morse, 1984) and urban settings (Carr, 1996) related to the ways in which cultural beliefs determine health practices, such that culturally relevant practices can be planned and delivered. The genre is now used in many health-related fields, including nursing where the goal is often to enhance and understand practice by studying specific phenomena within distinct client or professional cultures and sub-cultures (Cruz & Higginbottom, in press; Roper & Shapira, 2000). Remaining a qualitative genre using an inductive paradigm to gain in-depth understandings, FE offer a different approach to deductive observational studies (e.g. case series, cohort studies) which may fail to capture a holistic perspective. These forms of ethnography have also been termed rapid appraisals, or micro (Spradley, 1980) or mini (Leininger, 1985) ethnographies, but the term focused best describes their problem-focused
and context-specific attributes (Morse, 1987). Moreover, there is a method of rapid assessment process which seems similar although requires intense, team-based fieldwork and does not answer specific questions (Beebe, 2001).

**Characteristics and Methods of Focused Ethnographies**

Figure 1. Characteristics of focused ethnographies (adapted from Muecke, 1994).

Figure 1 illustrates the main characteristics of FE as described by Muecke (1994). Table 1 differentiates between FE and anthropologic ethnographies in terms of their characteristics and data collection methods. With FE, topics of inquiry are pre-selected, participant observation is discrete (within specific timeframes) and can be limited or sometimes deleted (Morse, 2007) and interviews are at times highly structured around the issues. Participant observation might be in effect replaced by hypothetical scenarios, or structured vignettes, which are used to elicit views within interviews especially on sensitive topics when personal experiences may be hard to reveal (Higginbottom, 2006). Participants are often informants with in-depth knowledge and experience of the topic, rather than individuals with whom the researcher has developed a close relationship over time. Observation can include the principal subjects as well as “significant others”, such as the elderly patient’s child, to gain greater insight. Many FE have been performed by various disciplines, with some of those explicitly performed within a healthcare setting summarised in Table 2.
Table 1. Comparison of focused ethnographies to traditional anthropologic ethnographies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focused ethnography</th>
<th>Anthropologic ethnographies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific aspect of field studied with purpose.</td>
<td>Entire social field studied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed field of investigation as per research question.</td>
<td>Open field of investigation as determined through time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background knowledge usually informs research question.</td>
<td>Researcher gains insider knowledge from participatory engagement in field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informants serve as key participants with their knowledge and experience.</td>
<td>Participants are often those with whom the researcher has developed a close relationship.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent and purposeful field visits using particular timeframes or events, or may eliminate observation.</td>
<td>Immersion during long-term, experiential-intense fieldwork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data analysis intensity often with numerous recording devices including video cameras, tape recorders and photo-cameras.</td>
<td>Narrative intensity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sessions with a gathering of researchers knowledgeable of the research goals may be extensively useful for providing heightened perspective to the data analysis particularly of recorded data.</td>
<td>Individual data analysis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conducting Focused Ethnographies

Research Questions

The questions in FE relate to describing experiences within cultural contexts or specific groups/sub-groups. They tend to take the form of first-level questions focused on the “what”, such as “what are the shared beliefs, values, and practice patterns (of a specific population) in a specific setting (or who have a specific condition)?” Other key phrases are “what are the characteristics”, “what is the relationship between”, or “what is it like to.” Secondary questions may relate to ideas such as “what facilitates, constrains or sustains”, or “how did (particular group) engage with (particular group)” (Walsh, 2009). Knowledge of what is known in the literature or in clinical practice will help determine an appropriate question to generate new findings that are relevant and useful for the service environment.

Sampling and Sample Sizes

Qualitative research generally uses non-probability sampling, wherein generalisability is not usually sought and a preference exists for information-rich data applicable to a specific population. Critique of qualitative research’s lack of usefulness and transparency can be diminished by clearly describing the sampling processes and characteristics of the sample (Higginbottom, 2004a). For FE, the most common type of sampling technique is purposive sampling, with complimentary strategies including snowballing (also referred to as opportunistic or nominated sampling) and solicitation. Purposive sampling stems from the fact that the participants have specific knowledge or experience which is judged to be of interest to the investigation (Crookes & Davies, 1980). Often key informants are invited to
participate, with the hope that they will act as gatekeepers and thus enable access to the study population. Snowballing occurs when participants act as recruitment or referral agents for further participation, while solicitation requires “cold call invitation” to individuals in relevant positions within the community or relevant organisations.

In times of economic constraint in respect of healthcare research funding, collecting data from the whole population of a group will usually be far too extensive except in those cases where the group works in or frequents a small hospital unit or clinic rather than, for instance, a population of patients with a particular disease. Often, a method of sampling within case is undertaken, whereby individuals are chosen as determined by their contributing to the achievement of maximum phenomenon variation such that the full range and extent of a phenomenon are represented (Miles & Huberman, 1994; Atkinson & Hammersley, 1998). Nevertheless, the method of sampling will be undermined by the number of participants in the subculture or group being investigated, and the number of participants will not usually be predetermined. Data saturation often dictates the sample size, such that participants are recruited until the topic has been fully investigated and no new interpretations are generated from additional participation (Guest, Bunce, & Johnson, 2006).

Data Collection

Interviews will help validate observations (if undertaken) and provide directions for future observations, collect data on issues that cannot or have not been observed, and collect data on non-observable phenomena including feelings (Roper & Shapira, 2000). They can be formal or informal and usually incorporate open answered questions. Often semi-structured interviews will be performed, with the use of a topic guide containing themes or questions relevant to the research question(s). Moving from general to specific is often appropriate, with descriptive, structural and contrast questions complemented by probes (e.g., “could you tell me more about that?”, or “what do other practitioners do?”) to gain depth of exploration (Spradley, 1979). It is important that the interview be started after an informal opening, to put the participant at ease and establish their trust, and ends after a formal closing, or “sign off”, after the participant has been asked if they have anything else they would like to contribute. The interviews are usually tape-recorded and transcribed verbatim when consent is provided, although in-depth field notes may be written or digitally voice-recorded and transcribed especially when consent for recording is not granted, as has been the case in one of the author’s experience when studying migrants with precarious legal status.

There is a continuum of observation between acting as participant, participant-as-observer, observer-as-participant, and observer (Byerly, 1969). Focused ethnography will typically use the observer-as-participant role which is not as time-intensive as that of the participant-as-observer. This role often serves for collecting specific information in settings where active participation is not allowed, thus making this well-suited for this research. While some intimacy is important to gain trust and close relations for sharing, researchers must not lose their objectivity. Examples of observation locations might be at hospital wards or clinics, or at social events of participants, such as at an ethnocultural dinner to learn about food choices. Often observations may move from descriptive to focused to selective, the latter of which may make use of checklists. The use of recording equipment may leave the researcher in a highly observant role (Knoblauch, 2005).

A variety of documents can be consulted including policies, procedural documents, epidemiological and census data, maps, photographs, patient records, test results, and biographical materials. These can be used to confirm or contrast interview and observation findings. Focused ethnographies often use a variety of recording equipment such as video-recorders, tape recorders, or cameras. When observations with or without recordings are
incorporated, field notes or reflective journals (largely providing contextual observations including those related to non-verbal communications) will often be used to offer a heightened perspective of the data particularly when multiple individuals are interpreting and analysing the data. Respectively, these means of data collection can be highly amenable to data sessions (Table 1) whereby a gathering of researchers may assess the data and provide intersubjectivity to the data analysis thus prompting different perspectives than if the data was analysed individually (Knoblauch, 2005).

Data Analysis

Analysing data from FE demands the researcher engage in an iterative, cyclic, and self-reflective process, as preliminary interpretations are challenged and data are continually revisited to plan for further data collection to generate new insights into the data (Pope, Ziebland, & Mays, 2000; Higginbottom, 2004b). The process of analysing FE is also characterised by the identification and classification of the data, which then progresses to abstract generalisations and explanation of patterns. A systematic approach for analysing ethnographic data, as expounded by Roper and Shapira (2000), can be followed and is highly compatible with computer-assisted qualitative data analysis software such as Atlas.ti (ATLAS.ti Scientific Software Development GmbH, Berlin, Germany) or NiVivo (QRS International, Victoria, Australia). Analytical steps include, (a) coding for descriptive labels, (b) sorting for patterns, (c) identification of outliers or negative cases, (d) generalising with constructs and theories, and (e) memoing including reflective remarks. The analytical steps are focused on creation of answers to specific problem-orientated research questions and consequentially the creation of concrete recommendations.

Maintenance and Evaluation of Rigour

Determining the methodological and analytical rigour of ethnographies is difficult and may largely depend upon the setting and purpose. Rigour and robustness in qualitative research are to some extent established via a self-conscious and reflective approach, but they are also accompanied by an explicit methodological framework (Higginbottom, 2004b). The conventional criteria for evaluating logical positivist approaches are not suitable for qualitative constructivist approaches where the stability (reliability) and wide applicability (external validity) are not always possible or desired (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). The field of evaluation in qualitative research is evolving constantly; it may therefore be considered inappropriate to suggest one approach to evaluate all qualitative research in a generic fashion. Focused ethnographies could be equally evaluated by the criteria of one of many variations listed in Box 1. Much of the terminology can be different, such as that defined in the foundational work by Lincoln and Guba (1985), who postulated that assessment of rigour (quality, trustworthiness) can be performed using the criteria of credibility, transferability, confirmability, and dependability.

In qualitative research the investigators are relied upon to represent the data with integrity, such that one can consider the researcher characteristics when evaluating their conclusions. Additionally, data collection tends to include “triangulation,” with multiple means of data collection (e.g., supporting open-ended or semi-structured interviews with observation) to compare data and confirm findings (Muecke, 1994). Moreover, the depth of understanding can be enhanced by contextualising the participants adequately and accurately in their local environments. The context of the study must be explained with differences and similarities to other similar contexts examined, often using theory or other empirical study (Mays & Pope, 1995).
The Ethnographer’s Role and Reflexivity

Fetterman (2010) states that the ethnographer is a human instrument. In this respect, the role of the ethnographer is to eliminate all personal biases and preconceptions prior to entering the study domain. The extent to which this is truly achievable is open to challenge, as our deeply held, internalised beliefs and values may be impossible to disregard (Higginbottom, 2004b). Focused ethnographies are often performed within the researcher’s own working environment whereby patients, or participants, are conveniently available. During examinations of patient healthcare experiences and perceptions regarding quality of care, which may largely depend on the patient-provider relationship, the concept of reflexivity is crucial during interpretation of the data and when drawing conclusions. Moreover, within this context there becomes a heightened need for ensuring maintenance of respect for human dignity, through gaining full informed consent from both patients and practitioners (emphasising the voluntary and confidential nature of the research) and for demonstrating genuine concern for their welfare and justice.

Box 1. Additional resources related to maintaining and assessing rigour in qualitative research


Conclusion

In healthcare research, many times the methods need to reflect the unique situations in which we are collecting data. Reflecting on the many divergences health researchers have taken with various patient groups, Morse (2007) states that “the methods inherent in qualitative health research are different enough and require enough skill to be considered a subspecialty of qualitative inquiry”.
Table 2: Some recent (2008 – present) examples of focused ethnographies in healthcare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Setting &amp; sample</th>
<th>Study aim</th>
<th>Data collection &amp; analysis methods</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daack-Hirsch &amp; Gamboa (2010)</td>
<td>Eighty purposefully selected individuals in four cities of the Negros Occidental Province in the Philippines, with a cleft lip with or without cleft palate (CL+/- P), or who have children with either or both conditions; controls; and local health care workers.</td>
<td>To describe beliefs about the cause, prevention, and treatment of cleft lip with or without cleft palate, among working class people in the Philippines.</td>
<td>Individual and group informant interviews, using a topic guide. Content analysis of interview transcripts.</td>
<td>By eliciting and comparing patients’ explanations for CL+/- P with biomedical explanatory models, clinicians can better understand patients’ care seeking/treatment behavior. This knowledge is useful to improve health outcomes, and for the design of health campaigns regarding CL+/- P in the Philippines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Eleven parents of children aged 6-12 years who had undergone heart transplant two+ years prior, at a heart transplant clinic at a large children’s hospital in mid-South USA.</td>
<td>To describe parents’ experiences of parenting a school-aged child after heart transplant.</td>
<td>Demographic questionnaires elicited patients’ racial/ethnic background, family composition and income, and transplant history.</td>
<td>One of few studies to document parenting experiences with child heart transplant patients. Calls for targeted attention to assist parents with: - integrating their child’s medical care into their daily lives - obtaining peer support - seeking continuous education to accurately appraise the risk of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Research Questions</td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higginbottom (2008)</td>
<td>Thirty-six adult African-Caribbeans, mostly long-term economic migrants to England.</td>
<td>To understand the meanings and consequences of hypertension to persons of African-Caribbean origin; and, To describe how these individuals perceive primary health care services.</td>
<td>Two focus group interviews, 21 semi-structured individual interviews and 5 vignette interviews. Ethnographic data analysis was guided by the framework outlined by Roper and Shapira (2000). Data management and coding processes were facilitated using Atlas.ti software. Interpretation of findings used Kleinman’s Explanatory Model of Illness.</td>
<td>It is incumbent upon primary health care nurses to recognize and take account of lay explanations of health and illness held by patients and/or their families. Failure to do so may compromise effective care giving.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelley et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Sixty-seven seniors, 13 proxy decision-makers, 61 staff members and 8 key community informants, in the Emergency</td>
<td>To assess the environment of an ED, and its impact on adult care, using a “senior-friendly” conceptual</td>
<td>Multiple methods including interviews with seniors or their proxy decision-makers, staff and key community informants;</td>
<td>The ED is an important part of seniors’ healthcare. Changes to policy and practice, and enhanced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
department (ED) of a regional acute care hospital in Ontario, Canada. A framework was developed using a multi-method approach, which included on-site observations; a staff survey; and hospital administrative data.

Individual analyses were conducted on the various data sets; - descriptive and inferential statistical analyses of quantitative (hospital administrative) data;

- coding and systematic inductive analysis of qualitative (interview and observational data) as outlined by Huberman and Miles (1998).

Findings of individual analyses were integrated and synthesized to formulate recommendations for policy, practice, and education. Research on injury prevention among older adults must take into account in multiple family perspectives when

| Kilian et al. (2008) | Purposive sampling using a ‘chain-referral’ process, of eight older adults who were “fallers” and their six of their | To examine the perceptions of risk regarding falling held by older adults and their adult children; and to | Open-ended interviews using a semi-structured interview guide, elicited insider perspectives (the elder adult’s or | Education are needed to better serve the complex health care needs of seniors in this environment. |
adult children living in urban Toronto, Canada. examine the similarities and differences in these perceptions. their child's); Field notes provided the outsider (researcher’s) perspective to allow reflexive data analysis of both perspectives; and reflexive journaling during data collection and analysis facilitated examination of assumptions and evolving themes.

Thematic analysis, used coded sections of data and examination of emerging themes (Fetterman, 1998).

Participant checking provide elaboration, instead of verification of data.

Data analysis was concurrent with collection, and continued until maximum phenomenal variation was achieved.

taking action to prevent falls.

This will inform the development of strategies that respect seniors’ independence, to encourage better adoption of these measures by the seniors.

| Smallwood (2009) | Nurses in a cardiac assessment (CA) team in an acute hospital trust in | To explore, describe and interpret the roles of nurses in the culture | Seven semi-structured interviews, 5 participant observation | Four main roles were played by nurses on the team. These were the gatekeeper,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spiers &amp; Wood (2010)</td>
<td>Convenience and theoretical sampling of community mental health nurses who had been providing brief therapy (10 sessions or less) or were involved in consulting practice for 3+ years in Alberta, Canada.</td>
<td>To describe the experiences, perceptions and actions of community health nurses in building a therapeutic alliance in the context of brief therapy; and to identify factors that facilitate or impede its development.</td>
<td>Building an alliance consisted of three non-linear overlapping phases: establishing mutuality, finding the fit in reciprocal exchange, and activating the power of the client. Inhibitions to alliance-building were related to patient history, environment (e.g., workload) and experience. Recommendations are made to enhance intentional...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkinson &amp; Callister (2010)</td>
<td>Snowball sampling of 24 women at a prenatal health care clinic in Ghana, West Africa.</td>
<td>To describe the perceptions of childbirth among child-bearing Ghanaian women.</td>
<td>Intensive participant observation, with field notes to record observations, impressions and insights. Using the Health Belief Model was as conceptual framework. 24 women were interviewed individually during outreach clinic days. Content analysis involved comparison of interview and observational data to enhance the quality of findings (Roper and Shapira, 2000).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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