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Abstract. This article introduces self-regulation features with Partial and Synchronized Caption (PSC) for practicing listening skills and fostering self-paced and autonomous learning. PSC generates a partial caption by focusing on acoustically and lexically difficult words and synchronizes each word’s appearance with the speaker’s speech. The aim is to reduce textual density in the caption and encourage more listening than reading. Given that difficulty translates differently for individual learners, we created a user-friendly interface that allows a more individualized experience with our generated caption. We collected the user-configuration log data of 33 intermediate English learners to analyze learner behavior during self-paced practice, along with the comprehension scores of the subsequent tests and learner feedback. The data showed differences in learners’ strategies with a relative tendency to reduce their reliance on captions. Self-paced practice was received positively by most but not all learners, indicating the importance of individual differences in self-regulated listening.
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1. Introduction

In the sphere of second language acquisition, technologies have changed the way we train and develop skills. However, technologies for teaching and learning listening skills have been limited for many years to the use of captions and multimedia controllers (Gass, Winke, Isbell, & Ahn, 2019). Numerous research
studies have been conducted on the benefits of captions in classrooms, such as increased comprehension and vocabulary acquisition (Fievez, Perez, Cornillie, & Desmet, 2020), but studies also indicate that captions could promote reading over listening with increasing reliance on the text (Leveridge & Yang, 2013). Captions also ignore the requirements of individual learners by providing full text to everyone, regardless of level. Therefore, there is a need to open a window onto the development of contemporary tools for listening and pedagogy with particular attention to different learners’ needs.

In this context, self-directed learning plays a critical role. It emphasizes that individuals take the initiative in their learning process by diagnosing their needs, adapting the appropriate learning strategies, and evaluating learning outcomes (Knowles, 1975). In the case of listening, learners are dealing with fleeting speech and need to process the input quickly before the next piece of information is received. Putting the control of listening input in the hands of the listener will allow for self-paced learning, which personalizes the learning practice, supports the self-regulatory processes (Kitsantas & Dabbagh, 2011), fosters the sense of agency, motivation, and positive learning experience (McBride, 2011), reduces cognitive overload (Ozcelik, Van den Branden, & Van Steendam, 2019), and improves information processing and performance (Roussel, 2011).

Learning from the ample research available on the L2 listening, with a particular focus on the individual learner’s strengths and weaknesses, we introduce PSC with enhanced self-regulating features that allow for generating captions for different language learners. We investigate how learners use self-regulated captioning and how it affects their performance.

2. Self-regulation using PSC

PSC provides for a limited number of words by introducing features that enable the detection of difficult words/phrases. It benefits from automatic speech recognition systems and corpora to identify challenging acoustic and lexical video segments. It further provides word-level synchronization for smoother audio-to-text matching. We considered a combination of acoustic and lexical features, ranging from acoustic neighbors, speech rate, and word frequency, to conduct a rigorous calculation that distinguishes easy from problematic words (see Mirzaei, Meshgi, & Kawahara, 2018). The system prepares captions for different levels – beginners, intermediates, and advanced – by adjusting the parameters when generating the caption. Given that some learners have a better
vocabulary reservoir but less tolerance in coping with fast speech or vice versa, we have incorporated a user-friendly interface to adjust the system’s features and override the default parameters. This enables increasing or decreasing the number of words shown for personalization and promotes autonomous and self-regulated learning (Figure 1).

Figure 1. PSC with self-regulation features to adjust the caption based on preference

3. Preliminary experiment

Our participants were 33 intermediate English learners (520~725 TOEIC scores), undergraduates (19~20 years old, 15 males and 18 females, from engineering and humanities fields) whose native language was Japanese. The whole experiment was conducted online. The participants connected to the experimental sessions using a web browser, and the entire sessions and log data were recorded. Two pilot sessions were conducted to help learners familiarize themselves with the system and suggest parameters for their level. Before watching videos, the learners were tested for vocabulary size and tolerable speech rate to adjust the system’s default parameters accordingly. They watched a series of TED talks (15~20 minutes each) on various topics and answered multiple-choice comprehension questions after each. During the watching phase, learners could play with the system to generate the appropriate amount of captions or keep the suggested parameters. The first five minutes of each video were used to find the best setting through self-control, and the log data of the learner’s preferable setting was analyzed once the setting had been decided. We also elicited learner feedback through an open-ended questionnaire to complement our data with the actual learner experience.
4. Results and discussions

Most participants (18) took a conservative approach by starting with a high-density caption, keeping it for a while, then gradually decreasing it. We observed that a few learners (5) took a more challenging path by starting with less than 30% of the text. Three learners quickly switched to a higher density caption, and only two continued with less than 30% text throughout the experiment. Ten participants leaned toward a trial-and-error approach, mostly starting with a medium-density caption and a slight increase or decrease over time. Two of them kept a steady strategy and barely changed the amount of the text.

As Figure 2 shows, the overall trend analysis shows that, on average, the learners tended to choose fewer words in the caption while striving to gain an acceptable performance. We also observed that such a decrease did not significantly affect the overall comprehension, and the learners’ performances showed a relatively steady increase.

Video #7 was notably harder than other videos in terms of topic (medical), vocabulary (terminologies), and speech rate. For this particular video, most learners considered receiving more captions to deal with the video’s difficulty; however, given that unknown technical terms were frequently presented in this video, the average performance showed a decrease. This result indicates that when the input is high above the learner’s level or the topic is difficult, using more text in the caption
will not necessarily lead to better performance. This finding is essential in that when presenting an input to the learner, it is necessary to consider the learner’s background knowledge and the difficulty level of the video relative to the learner’s level.

The analysis of self-regulation strategies used by the learners shows that they were primarily successful in picking the appropriate amount of words needed to attain a relatively acceptable performance level. Meanwhile, for some learners, adapting the wrong settings led to low performance, while for others, it was hard to find the best setting. Even though the overall performance was not significantly high, data from the learners’ self-disclosure showed that most learners enjoyed self-regulation. They felt motivated to control their learning process, which may promote autonomous learning, highlighting the effectiveness of using self-regulated methodologies for practicing listening. However, it is crucial to consider that this approach may not work for all learners, especially those who find it hard to self-regulate (Roussel, 2011). It is anticipated that through practice, learners can become more familiar with the system, the types of listening challenges they face, and the areas they need to improve. Additionally, learner feedback revealed that for those overly reliant on the caption, the idea of using partial text is not easy to adapt. For this group, it may take significantly more time to feel confident enough to decrease the dependence on the caption slowly.

5. Conclusions

This article introduced a smart caption with adjustable parameters to meet the requirement of individual listeners. Several experiments were conducted with self-regulation listening episodes. The findings revealed a positive learning experience while gleaning valuable insights into differences in learner preferences and indicating the importance of incorporating learner differences into computer assisted language learning systems to provide personalized L2 listening practices. The current study focused on intermediate learners; however, the findings indicate a need to fortify the results by including different proficiency levels and backgrounds in future studies.
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