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ABSTRACT
This study aims to test the usability of MyLA (My Learning Analytics), an application for students at two German universities: The Cooperative State University Mannheim and University of Mannheim. The participating universities focus on the support of personalized and self-regulated learning. MyLA collects data such as learning behavior and strategies as well as personality traits. This paper presents the findings of a usability test of the web app prototype. A total of 105 students from both universities participated in the study. In addition to a quantitative usability survey, the app navigation and design was evaluated through an eye tracking investigation with seven participants. The findings indicate that the MyLA prototype is easy to use but requires slight modifications concerning the app design.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The utilization of technologies in everyday life is constantly growing. For students, technologies are mostly indispensable. For example, 95% of 14 to 29 years old Germans used a smartphone in 2016 (Statista / Bitkom, 2017). However, the potential of mobile devices has not been fully developed in many universities. There are numerous possibilities how digital technologies can improve learning at higher education institutions. In the NMC Horizon Report 2017, six key trends were identified to adopt technologies in the higher education sector: (a) advancing cultures of learning (higher involvement in innovation development processes), (b) deeper learning approaches (connection of learning with the real world), (c) growing focus on measuring learning (analytics of data out of learning environments), (d) redesigning learning spaces (improvement of the technical infrastructure), (e) blended learning designs (combination of online and face-to-face learning), and (f) collaborative learning (social interaction and intercultural experiences) (Adams Becker et al., 2017). The presented study covers two emerging fields of research in higher education: (1) learning analytics and (2) mobile learning.

Learning analytics (LA) use static and dynamic information to support students’ learning process and optimize learning environments. Besides its flexibility, the main advantages of LA are personalization and the real-time availability of data (Ifenthaler et al., 2014). Lecturers can use rich data for pedagogical decision-making, understand individual performance development of students, identify potential lack of students’ capabilities, or the need for curricular improvements (Mattingly et al., 2012). With LA, both students and lecturers can reflect on and improve their communication skills. By capturing, analyzing, and visualizing the available information about learning and teaching, lecturers are able to make more reliable predictions about their students’ academic success (Macfadyen and Dawson, 2012). Furthermore, students at risk can be identified and given support through personalized pedagogical interventions (Lockyer et al., 2013). Successful applications of LA at universities are for example, Course Signals at Purdue University, which identifies students at risk using an approach similar to a traffic light system (green – no risk, yellow – potential risk, red – risky). Students and lecturers can identify needs for action to improve their learning situation. Furthermore, lecturers are able to intervene and help early (Ifenthaler and Schumacher, 2016). At
the University of Wollongong, SNAPP (Social Networks Adapting Pedagogical Practice) is used. The main purpose of this system is to increase collaborative learning. For example, conversations in forums are analyzed for investigating the relationship between students and excluded students can be determined. The system is also used for reflection at the end of a lecture (Sclater et al., 2016).

Mobile Learning – m-learning – enables learning through personal portable electronic devices across multiple context. Through the usage of mobile devices students have access to learning materials more easily. The precondition is the availability of web-connected devices. In addition, students are independent from locations, time and they can communicate asynchronous (Lin et al., 2016). Mobile learning supports self-regulated learning on the one hand and it’s an essential element of blended learning environments on the other hand (Al Saleh and Bhat, 2015). An example for a German mobile application is ARSnova of the THM University of Applied Sciences Gießen. ARS stands for Audience Response System. Via this app, students and lecturers can communicate interactively. For example, students can ask questions anonymously during a lecture and the lecturer is able to answer the questions in near real-time. Moreover, the communication can take place before or after a lecture. Another function is the evaluation of a lecture directly in the app (ARSnova, 2015).

The app MyLA (My Learning Analytics) of the Cooperative State University Mannheim and the University of Mannheim targets to improve learning processes at universities. MyLA provides ubiquitous communication in form of short messages from students to their lecturer and vice versa. This is especially useful for dual system courses where students are often away from the campus. Using this data, lecturers can adapt their lectures at university and implement personalized interventions, for example, adaption of learning materials or a detailed view at topics the students have problems with. Furthermore, the app supports a more personalized and individual way of learning. The students can document their learning motivation through their learning process. The app provides statistics, which enable students to observe their personal progress over time. In summary, MyLA combines learning analytics and mobile learning with the extension of personal learning.

This paper focuses on the components of the MyLA app prototype and the usability testing of the app.

2. MYLA APP

The web app prototype of MyLA (My Learning Analytics) consists of three different main units (see Figure 1): (I) My Profile, (II) My Learning, and (III) My Progress. The general page structure consists of the following:

- Header: with an icon for the side menu, the MyLA logo and an icon for the home button (except on the index page)
- Main: with contents (e.g. select lists in the profile data or pinboard elements like button and post)
- Footer: with the linked Cooperative State University Mannheim logo, imprint and privacy, icons linked to: contact, frequently asked questions (FAQ), settings and search function
I. My Profile contains two subcategories: (1) profile data with input options like username or university and (2) the trophy center where app users have access to their rewards for i.e. when entering the profile data or participating on a certain questionnaire. This section includes administrative information of every app user.

II. My Learning contains two subcategories: (1) the pinboard where students can create posts for their lecturers and (2) the survey center where students can response to regular conducted questionnaires. Within this section of the app, the students can communicate with their lecturers and vice versa. Via pinboard, students can post messages by using tags (e.g. ask questions or point to a problem). This will be only visible for the responsible lecturer on their dashboard interface.

III. My Progress contains two subcategories: (1) MyLA data where students can enter personal data like learning motivation or learning effort and (2) MyLA stats where the MyLA data will be visualized in charts. This part displays the individual progress in additional (learning) factors.

The design of the app was realized to accommodate the corporate design of the Cooperative State University Mannheim and the University of Mannheim. Therefore, one significant color of each education institute had been extracted. As a next step, the colors were combined and supplemented by neutral colors.

According to the approach of learning analytics, the first prototype of MyLA app was designed to capture user data. Further steps will be collecting the data reports and deriving individual actions for students. Thereby, the main objective of developing personalized and adapted learning environments will be striven.

3. USABILITY TESTING

3.1 Research Questions

The usability testing focused on three major research questions:
1. How intuitive is the MyLA prototype (design, navigation) for students?
2. Is there room for improvement for the development of the MyLA prototype?
3. How can the empirical results (quantitative and qualitative) help to optimize the MyLA prototype for its initial implementation?
The questionnaire was conducted in order to evaluate design, navigation, text elements and used icons of MyLA. Beforehand, the students were shortly introduced to the topic of Learning Analytics. Afterwards, they were able to view the MyLA app either on web browser (Cooperative State University Mannheim) or on mobile browser (University of Mannheim) and afterwards responded to an online-questionnaire. For the purpose of comparability, none of the participants has used the MyLA web app before.

### 3.2 Methodology

#### 3.2.1 Participants

The usability test was conducted with 105 students (56 Cooperative State University Mannheim, 49 University of Mannheim; 51 female, 54 male) in April 2017. The average age of the participants was 23.65 years ($SD = 3.72, Min = 19, Max = 35$). The majority of the respondents ($N = 99$) were studying in the field of business administration. More than half of the students were enrolled in a bachelor program (53.33 %) and 46.67 % were studying in a master program. In addition, seven students (4 female, 3 male) took part in an additional eye tracking study. In average, participants reported that they are spending 29 days ($M = 28.90, SD = 4.56$) per month with apps generally, but only four days ($M = 3.65, SD = 6.06$) days per month with apps for learning.

#### 3.2.2 Design and Procedure

The usability test was divided into two parts using a standardized instrument (see 3.2.3): First, the participants made themselves familiar with MyLA app prototype via web browser or mobile browser. This included the navigation through the app and reviewing the design and the app’s structure. Second, they responded to an online-questionnaire which was structured as follows: (1) Socio-demographic information, general usage of mobile devices and technologies, (2) MyLA-specific questions (open and closed questions). The MyLA-specific questions focused on navigation and navigation elements, design, and app structure. The main group ($N = 98$) followed this procedure. A smaller group ($N = 7$) participated in an eye tracking study (see 3.2.4). A significant difference between the participants was the device on which MyLA was tested: One group (Cooperative State University Mannheim) tested on a web browser via personal computer and another group (University of Mannheim) on a mobile browser via tablet. In order to ensure the anonymity various identification numbers had been given to the students.

#### 3.2.3 Usability Instrument

The feedback of the students was committed via an online-questionnaire. The question pool within the MyLA-specific part was chosen following the usability testing instrument developed for HIMATT (or Highly integrated model assessment technology and tools). The instrument has been successfully tested for reliability and validity (see Pirnay-Dummer et al., 2010). For the MyLA Usability Testing, 13 items had been chosen. An example: “I found it easy to navigate through the software”. All items were answered on a five-point Likert scale ranging from highly agree (5) to highly disagree (1). Figure 2 shows the thirteen items used in the usability testing.

#### 3.2.4 Eye Tracking

According to Rayner (2009), eye movements are connected to a participant’s attention and can therefore contribute to the usability testing of screen-based applications. Eye tracking is a standard methodology to record the eye movement of participants. The data evaluation is conducted with special eye tracking software. A very useful feature is the report function that visualizes the eye movements through heat maps or gaze plots (Kurzhals et al., 2017). Common observations in eye tracking studies include (Ehmke and Wilson, 2007):

- Fixation points: where participants have a long look,
- First look: where participants look first, or
- Non-looking: elements participants do not pay attention to.
After a short introduction, the students were instructed to solve three tasks by using the app prototype. The difficulty of each task was ascending. Therefore, seven AOI (Areas Of Interests) were defined for the analysis with Tobii Pro Studio software. All participants were recorded with regard to their “first look” and on which MyLA-contents they looked more often (“fixation points”). Furthermore, the student’s solution approach was analyzed. The topics of the three tasks can be summarized as:

1. Calling the Cooperative State University Mannheim website as soon as possible.
2. Selecting and saving their respective university in the subcategory profile data.
3. Creating a post for a lecturer.

Students from the eye tracking study also participated in the survey-based usability test.

3.3 Results

The investigation of the results is divided into the survey-based and eye tracking usability test. Data analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS 23.0 and Tobii Pro Studio.

3.3.1 Survey-based Usability Test

The main part of the questionnaire was to investigate the app’s navigation and design. Figure 2 shows the findings of the thirteen items from the survey-based usability test.

The bar charts in Figure 2 are divided into two sections. The chart on left side shows the results concerning the navigation and structure of the MyLA prototype. The second chart on right side highlights the outcomes regarding the design and colors of MyLA. According to navigation and structure it is conspicuous that all six average values are constantly high. The highest value was reported for the simplicity of MyLA app prototype with an average of 4.45 (SD = .83). Followed by “I found it easy to navigate through the app” (M = 4.36, SD = .82) and “The navigation of the app is user-friendly” (M = 4.28, SD = .85). Based on the second chart it is obvious that there were some divergent opinions concerning the design and colors of MyLA. The lowest rated value was the use of color with an average of 3.28 (SD = 1.15). In addition, the participants ranked the design of MyLA as “optically appealing” with 3.36 (SD = 1.19).
3.3.2 Eye Tracking Usability Test

For the purpose of statistical analysis either the time of first fixation or the time to first mouse click were calculated. Table 1 shows the average times the pilot tester (conducted by a research team member) and the participants needed to complete the three tasks. The eye tracking study was implemented using the web browser version of MyLA (on a personal computer).

Table 1. Overview of the eye tracking usability test (all values in seconds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>Pilot-Test (N = 1)</th>
<th>Participants (N = 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 – Website challenge</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>M = 4.86, SD = 2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(click on a logo)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(solved by 5 of 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 – University selection</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>M = 14.55, SD = 9.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(in the profile)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(solved by 5 of 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 – Pinboard post</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>M = 10.12, SD = 9.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(create a new one)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(solved by 7 of 7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows that the majority of the participants (at least 5) were able to solve the simulated tasks. For task three, the students needed more time to find the solution in comparison to the first two tasks when compared to the benchmark of the pilot test. A possible explanation may be an unclear description of the task. Some students were not able to find the pinboard on a direct way (via chapter icon “My Learning”). However, all participants completed task three through an alternative solution (via side navigation menu).

As a next step, a heat map analysis was conducted which is a reflection of the screen where the participants viewed longer than other parts (Ehmke and Wilson, 2007) identifying gaze behavior precisely (Duchowski et al., 2012). Through the accumulation of all single viewpoints of a participant, the fixation points can be highlighted. Figure 3 shows the accumulation of the fixation points recorded by all participants. The viewpoints were predominantly recorded on the left side of MyLA prototype. For solving task one (pictured in the heat map of Figure 3), the participants had to look at the left side in order to find the Cooperative State University Mannheim logo. Additionally, it has to be considered that the participants saw the app via a desktop browser, hence, the screen width was obviously wider than on a mobile device.

![Heat map](image-url)  
Figure 3. Heat map showing the cumulated fixation points of all Eye Tracking participants. 
Heat map exported using Tobii software


4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Usability testing is a very useful and advantageous method for formative evaluation of the development process. The project MyLA can benefit from the valuable input of the potential app user.

With regard to research question 1 ("How intuitive is the MyLA prototype (design, navigation) for students?") it can be suggested that the prototype is intuitive for the target group. Overall, the results were predominantly positive (all average values were higher than 3), however, some issues were identified for improvement, especially with regard to colors.

In addition, students provided feedback within the scope of open questions. The students evaluated the app’s clarity, simplicity, navigation/structure and features as very positive. Regarding the assessment of the color scheme the responses were heterogeneous. Critical issues were partly used dark colors as well as color combinations. Moreover, some students mentioned that the app contained a broad color spectrum. Furthermore, they suggested additional features for future app versions, for example, a calendar function.

With regard to research question 2 ("Is there room for improvement for the development of MyLA prototype?") it can be summarized that the colors need to be adjusted.

To answer the research question 3 ("How can the empirical results (quantitative and qualitative) help to optimize the MyLA prototype for the main survey?") the following aspects can be summarized: The involvement of the target group (students) was very important at this early project stage. The reason for that is very simple, because the students are prospective users of MyLA. Therefore, it is inevitable to get them highly involved. The success of a project depends on its acceptance. If the acceptance is high, the potential usage can be high, too. For reaching a large consumption of MyLA it is necessary to implement the students’ feedback and recommendations. With help of these new insights future adaptions can be managed. Hence, the findings of the MyLA usability testing provided detailed insights to optimize the app prototype. Some lessons learned of the MyLA usability testing were the following proven statements:

- The handling of the MyLA web app prototype is intuitive.
- The app’s structure is easy to learn.
- The navigation within the app is clear and user-friendly.
- The students mostly like the idea of MyLA.
- The design and colors can be improved, because the opinions deviate fairly high.

The next steps in the project will be the further development and implementation of the app prototype regarding the panel study in autumn 2017. Therefore, the technical infrastructure has to be developed. Furthermore, the feedback of the students concerning navigation and design has to be verified and adapted in the app. Additionally, the dashboard for lecturers, as associated software will be finalized. For generating a high acceptance within the project, the researchers want to involve the target group (students). By regularly viewing new features and other technical changes they make sure that the application will meet the students’ needs.

Currently, the field implementation of the MyLA app is being prepared. Within this next project stage, more data will be available about the target group and the use of the application. According to the literature, the research area of learning analytics is still in its infancy in German-speaking countries (Ifenthaler and Schumacher, 2016). Moreover, with the advance of digital technologies, new skills and competencies are required from students at higher education institutions as well as their future workplace. In addition, the situation of non-traditional academics will be considered within the project. The combination of learning analytics and mobile learning seems to be beneficial in order to contribute to the individual demands of a diverse group of learners. For example, the project team wants to identify patterns of learning behavior and the management of learning tasks given a high demand of workload at the university and the workplace. In summary, the project can be seen as an important contribution to the topic of learning analytics for higher education in Germany.
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