

From a Gloss to a Learning Tool: Does Visual Aids Enhance Better Sentence Comprehension?

Takeshi Sato^{a*} and Akio Suzuki^b

a. Tokyo University of Agricultural & Technology, Tokyo, Japan

b. Toyo University, Tokyo, Japan

Abstract. The aim of this study is to optimize CALL environments as a learning tool rather than a gloss, focusing on the learning of polysemous words which refer to spatial relationship between objects. A lot of research has already been conducted to examine the efficacy of visual glosses while reading L2 texts and has reported that visual glosses can be effective for incidental vocabulary learning. This study, however, discusses the efficacy of visual aids on vocabulary learning, from the following three different standpoints. The first point is that previous studies have not covered the meaning of these words and have concluded the aids become effective regardless of the part of speech of these words. That is, some words are easy to learn, but the others are difficult depending on the part of speech. Paying more attention to the meaning structures is necessary. The second is that previous studies have focused on visual aids in vocabulary learning using CALL in terms of a gloss while reading texts. As CALL environments have been developed, however, glosses are now used not merely as a reference tool, but as a learning tool. Finally, a lot of research on vocabulary learning with multimedia has been conducted in reading activities, although visual aids can be effective in other activities such as listening activities, in which deeper discourse comprehension is required. Taking these standpoints into consideration, we hypothesize that the intentional learning of those words with multimedia-oriented visual aids could enhance not only comprehension of vocabulary meanings but also better comprehension of a script that includes those words. To examine our hypothesis, we will conduct an experimental study with computer-mediated learning material for English prepositions which we developed for this study. The findings of this study can contribute to the better CALL environments, leading to more effective web or mobile-based learning tools.

Keywords: L2 vocabulary, prepositions, image schema, sentence comprehension, intentional learning.

* Contact author: tsato@cc.tuat.ac.jp

1. Introduction

The efficacy of pictures or images as visual glosses has been discussed in L2 vocabulary learning and CALL. Most of the studies related to this issue focus on the efficacy for the long retention through incidental learning such as reading (for example, Al-Seghayer, 2001; Chun & Plass, 1996; Lomicka, 1998; Yoshii & Fraitz, 2002). With the development of CALL with multimodality, however, an electronic dictionary as a collective of glosses has been used not only for reference tools but also for learning tools (Pachler, 2001) to intentionally obtain certain vocabulary items, for example. In that sense, it would be better to reexamine the conditions of better use of glosses in intentional L2 vocabulary learning, leading, we believe, to the development of CALL materials. We therefore would like to address this issue by comparing planar still images and animated stereo ones, which depicted conceptual schemes of L2 spatial prepositions.

2. Background

As mentioned above, many studies concerning L2 vocabulary learning with visual glosses have been conducted and then recognized for their positive impact on L2 vocabulary learning. However, we have concerns about the fact that the previous studies focus less on the following points (Sato & Suzuki, 2010, 2011); the first is that they may examine L2 vocabulary learning as incidental learning. As a result, less discussion has not been made about the relationship between certain type of glosses and vocabulary. In addition, they may regard longer retention of words as the goal of successful learning.

In this study, on the other hand, L2 vocabulary should be learned intentionally because we know some types of words which are easier to learn and also harder to learn in terms of meanings. Given our claim that comprehension of the meanings is regarded as successful L2 vocabulary learning, we revalidate the effectiveness of pictures or images as visual glosses.

3. Our study

Therefore, our study focuses on prepositions, schematic image as a visual gloss, and pictorial image and live-action image. The reason we focus on English spatial prepositions is owing to the fact that learning English prepositions is regarded as important but difficult. This is because prepositions appear very frequently in any discourse, but learners do not always understand their meanings (Lindstromberg, 1996). They might learn prepositions as idioms or chunks, but they cannot use them according to context only by memorization (Lindstromberg, 2001). In addition, L2 translation of a sense of the word may confuse us because the connection among the

senses becomes unclear (Tanaka, 1990). These problems L2 learners have encountered in learning L2 prepositions show that more focus on meanings is needed than on the retention of the vocabulary.

Then we focus on image schema as a visual gloss to learn English prepositions. Johnson (1987) defines “image schemata [as] abstract patterns in our experience and understanding that are not propositional” (p. 2), which can be served as a mediator to connect the senses of the word. The image schema can reflect the prototypical sense of the word, but it can be extended into other examples. As a result, the image can cover all the senses. This means that if L2 learners understand image schema as a medium of each sense of the word, they could differentiate senses of other prepositions.

Finally, we compare planar still images with animated stereo images. This is because both images are theoretically supported by different frameworks: CALL and Cognitive Linguistics. CALL research supports the effectiveness of animation as we see Al-Seghayer’s (2001) research. On the other hand, in the field of cognitive linguistics, from which the image schema was derived, schematic images have flexibility and changeability such as their foregrounding, rotation and focusing (Langacker, 1987), which implies that simple image is better while live-motion images might prevent learners from modifying the images due to their fixed configuration.

Therefore, our research question is whether planar still images or animated stereo ones can serve a better facilitator to learn the meanings of English prepositions. We will explain the detail of our experimental research in the next chapter.

4. Research

4.1. Procedures

Fifty-two Japanese university students from freshmen to postgraduates joined our research. They are from the university the first author works at and are not majoring in English language. They were randomly divided into two groups: a control group and an experimental group. First of all, both participants were asked to answer multiple questions about the sense of eight spatial prepositions: above, across, along, below, in, into, on and over. The test consists of forty-five questions and no feedback was given after the test. Then they learned the sense of prepositions using the two kinds of dictionaries we gave them respectively for ten minutes. They were then asked to answer the post vocabulary test which consists of the same questions as the pre-test, but they are randomized. The data we have got are analysed through ANOVA with between and within subject variables.

4.2. Findings

The result we have got from the analysis shows that in both groups participants could get higher scores in post-test than those in pre-test. As a result of ANOVA, in terms of

image, a big difference was found (Images: $F(1,50) = .018, p > .05$; Tests: $F(1,50) = 112.5, p < .05$). The results tell us that there is no statistical significance between the two groups. However, significant difference is found between pre-test and post-test after the treatments, while no interaction between the two factors is found (Figure 1). These results may indicate visual glosses can facilitate intentional learning of senses of English prepositions even if they are planar still images or animated stereo ones, which is different from the results many studies relating to L2 vocabulary learning with visual glosses.

Figure 1. The result of ANOVA analysis

A = Image				
B = Test				
S.V	SS	df	MS	F
A	0.4712	1	0.4712	0.02 ns
subj	1343.2500	50	26.8650	
B	706.1635	1	706.1635	112.49 **
AxB	0.4712	1	0.4712	0.08 ns
sxB	313.8654	50	6.2773	
Total	2364.2212	103		+p<.10 *p<.05 **p<.01

5. Discussion and conclusion

In conclusion, our experimental research shows that the images as visual glosses can be a good facilitator of learning L2 prepositions regardless of their configurations. We have to admit, however, more analysis must be conducted like a delayed test, but our previous studies also show the same result. In terms of L2 vocabulary learning in this setting, technologically advanced visual glosses do not always bring about a better result. Of course, this result does not mean using technology or multimedia functions has no meaning, all we want to say is optimization of the glosses according to the target should be required. We have to think about the condition to make learning successful. Either way, further research is needed.

References

- Al-Seghayer, K. (2001). The effect of multimedia annotation modes on L2 vocabulary acquisition: a comparative study. *Language Learning and Technology*, 5(1), 202-232.
- Chun, D. L., & Plass, J. L. (1996). Effects of multimedia annotations on vocabulary acquisition. *Modern Language Journal*, 80(2), 183-198.
- Johnson, M. (1987). *Body in the Mind*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.

- Langacker, R. W. (1987). *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, Volume I, Theoretical Prerequisites*. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.
- Lindstromberg, S. (1996). *English Prepositions Explained*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- Lindstromberg, S. (2001). Preposition Entries in UK Monolingual Learner's Dictionaries: Problems and Possible Solutions. *Applied Linguistics*, 2(1), 79-103.
- Lomicka, L. L. (1998). "To Gloss or Not To Gloss": An Investigation of Reading Comprehension Online. *Language Learning & Technology*, 1(2), 41-50. Retrieved from <http://llt.msu.edu/vol1num2/pdf/article2.pdf>
- Pachler, N. (2001). Electronic reference tools for foreign language learners, Teachers and users: Offline vocabulary look-up programs. *The Language Learning Journal*, 24(1), 24-29. doi: 10.1080/09571730185200181
- Tanaka, S. (1990). *Ninchi Imiron* [Cognitive Semantics]. Tokyo: Sanyusha.
- Sato, T., & Suzuki, A. (2010). Do multimedia-oriented visual glosses really facilitate EFL vocabulary learning? : A comparison of planar images with three-dimensional images. *Asian EFL Journal*, 12(4), 160-172.
- Sato, T., & Suzuki A. (2011). Verifying Multimedia Gloss: Image Schema and Polysemous Vocabulary in English. *Proceedings of the annual conference of the European Association for Computer-Assisted Language Learning*, 285-293.
- Yoshii, M., & Fraitz, J. (2002). Second Language Incidental Vocabulary Retention: The Effect of Text and Picture Annotation Types. *CALICO Journal*, 20(1), 33-58.



Published by Research-publishing.net
Dublin, Ireland; Voillans, France
info@research-publishing.net

© 2012 by Research-publishing.net
Research-publishing.net is a not-for-profit association

CALL: Using, Learning, Knowing
EUROCALL Conference, Gothenburg, Sweden
22-25 August 2012, Proceedings
Edited by Linda Bradley and Sylvie Thouésny

The moral right of the authors has been asserted

All articles in this book are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 Unported License. You are free to share, copy, distribute and transmit the work under the following conditions:

- Attribution: You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the publisher.
- Noncommercial: You may not use this work for commercial purposes.
- No Derivative Works: You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work.

Research-publishing.net has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Moreover, Research-publishing.net does not take any responsibility for the content of the pages written by the authors of this book. The authors have recognised that the work described was not published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis), or that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate on the date of its going to press, neither the authors, the editors, nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Typeset by Research-publishing.net
Cover design: © Raphaël Savina (raphael@savina.net)
Aquarelle reproduced with kind permission from the illustrator: © Sylvi Vigmo (sylvi.vigmo@ped.gu.se)
Fonts used are licensed under a SIL Open Font License

ISBN13: 978-1-908416-03-2 (paperback)
Print on demand (lulu.com)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data.
A cataloguing record for this book is available from the British Library.

Bibliothèque Nationale de France - Dépôt légal: décembre 2012.