THE ABCs OF SCHOOL CHOICE

The comprehensive guide to every private school choice program in America

2016 EDITION

Friedman Foundation For Educational Choice
About the Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice

The Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit and nonpartisan organization, solely dedicated to advancing Milton and Rose Friedman’s vision of school choice for all children. First established as the Milton and Rose D. Friedman Foundation in 1996, the Foundation promotes school choice as the most effective and equitable way to improve the quality of K–12 education in America. The Friedman Foundation is dedicated to research, education, and outreach on the vital issues and implications related to school choice.
## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface .............................................................................................................................................. 2  
Educational Options .......................................................................................................................... 3  
Number of Students Receiving a Voucher, Tax-Credit Scholarship, or ESA ..................................... 3  
Number of Currently Enacted Private School Programs by Year Launched ..................................... 4  
Types of School Choice Programs ..................................................................................................... 5  
School Choice Program Profiles and Color Key................................................................................. 6  

**ALABAMA**  
Alabama Accountability Act of 2013 Parent-Taxpayer Refundable Tax Credits .............................. 7  
Education Scholarship Program ........................................................................................................ 9  

**ARIZONA**  
Original Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program........................................................ 11  
Low-Income Corporate Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program ................................................... 13  
Lexie’s Law for Disabled and Displaced Students Tax Credit Scholarship Program ...................... 15  
Empowerment Scholarship Accounts ............................................................................................. 17  
“Switcher” Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program ..................................................... 19  

**ARKANSAS**  
Succeed Scholarship Program for Students with Disabilities ........................................................ 21  

**COLORADO**  
Douglas County Choice Scholarship Pilot Program ........................................................................ 23  

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
Opportunity Scholarship Program ................................................................................................... 25  

**FLORIDA**  
John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program .......................................... 27  
Florida Tax Credit Scholarship Program .......................................................................................... 29  
Gardiner Scholarship Program ........................................................................................................ 31
GEORGIA
Georgia Special Needs Scholarship Program ................................................................. 33
Qualified Education Expense Tax Credit ........................................................................ 35

ILLINOIS
Tax Credits for Educational Expenses ............................................................................ 37

INDIANA
School Scholarship Tax Credit ......................................................................................... 39
Choice Scholarship Program ............................................................................................ 41
Private School/Homeschool Deduction ............................................................................ 43

IOWA
Tuition and Textbook Tax Credit ..................................................................................... 45
School Tuition Organization Tax Credit ........................................................................... 47

KANSAS
Tax Credit for Low Income Students Scholarship Program ........................................... 49

LOUISIANA
Louisiana Scholarship Program ....................................................................................... 51
Elementary and Secondary School Tuition Deduction ..................................................... 53
School Choice Program for Certain Students with Exceptionalities ................................. 55
Tuition Donation Rebate Program ................................................................................... 57

MAINE
Town Tuitioning Program ............................................................................................... 59

MINNESOTA
Education Deduction ....................................................................................................... 61
K–12 Education Credit ..................................................................................................... 63
TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

MISSISSIPPI
Mississippi Dyslexia Therapy Scholarship for Students with Dyslexia Program ......................... 65
Nate Rogers Scholarship for Students with Disabilities Program .................................................. 67
Equal Opportunity for Students with Special Needs Program ...................................................... 69

MONTANA
Tax Credits for Contributions to Student Scholarship Organizations ............................................. 71

NEVADA
Education Choice Scholarship Program .......................................................................................... 73
Education Savings Accounts ........................................................................................................... 75

NEW HAMPSHIRE
Education Tax Credit Program ......................................................................................................... 77

NORTH CAROLINA
Special Education Scholarship Grants for Children with Disabilities ............................................ 79
Opportunity Scholarships ................................................................................................................ 81

OHIO
Cleveland Scholarship Program ...................................................................................................... 83
Autism Scholarship Program ........................................................................................................... 85
Educational Choice Scholarship Program ....................................................................................... 87
Jon Peterson Special Needs Scholarship Program ........................................................................ 89
Income-Based Scholarship Program ............................................................................................... 91

OKLAHOMA
Lindsey Nicole Henry Scholarships for Students with Disabilities ................................................ 93
Oklahoma Equal Opportunity Education Scholarships ..................................................................... 95

PENNSYLVANIA
Educational Improvement Tax Credit Program ............................................................................... 97
Opportunity Scholarship Tax Credit Program .................................................................................. 99
RHODE ISLAND
Tax Credits for Contributions to Scholarship Organizations ................................................................. 101

SOUTH CAROLINA
Educational Credit for Exceptional Needs Children ............................................................................... 103
Refundable Educational Credit for Exceptional Needs Children .......................................................... 105

TENNESSEE
Individualized Education Account Program .......................................................................................... 107

UTAH
Carson Smith Special Needs Scholarship Program ............................................................................ 109

VERMONT
Town Tuitioning Program .................................................................................................................... 111

VIRGINIA
Education Improvement Scholarships Tax Credits Program ............................................................. 113

WISCONSIN
Milwaukee Parental Choice Program .................................................................................................... 115
Parental Private School Choice Program (Racine) ............................................................................. 117
Parental Choice Program (Statewide) ................................................................................................... 119
K–12 Private School Tuition Deduction ............................................................................................... 121
Special Needs Scholarship Program .................................................................................................. 123
Rules & Regulations ............................................................................................................................ 125
Legal History .......................................................................................................................................... 129
Data Sources for Program Profiles ..................................................................................................... 134
Methodology & Data Sources for Eligibility ..................................................................................... 135
Twenty years ago, Milton and Rose Friedman established the Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice and charged it with advancing educational freedom for all children. Their vision was that our team study and educate the public on the problems and solutions facing American K–12 education—ultimately becoming an information clearinghouse.

To advance that vision, the Foundation launched *The ABCs of School Choice* 12 years ago. The idea was simple: put all the latest details from every school choice program in America, such as student eligibility requirements, funding amounts, and regulations, into a compact, easy-to-use publication.

The response was almost instantaneous. Policymakers started carrying it around with them and referring to it in committee meetings. The media began using it to inform their coverage. Advocates requested thousands of copies to distribute to their allies. In short, *The ABCs of School Choice* became the go-to comprehensive guide for all things educational choice.

We built on that success over the years, continuously improving what has become our flagship publication. Since its inception, we have added historical participation rates, eligibility rate estimates, average scholarship or voucher amounts, and a section where we provide feedback on how to improve each program to meet Milton Friedman’s gold standard for educational choice.

The 2016 edition of *The ABCs of School Choice* is the best yet, not just because of the new look and the fantastic growth in the number of school choice programs, but also because it is now paired with a searchable database on our website at www.edchoice.org/dashboard. For example, if you want to look at the average scholarship amounts for all tax-credit scholarship programs, all you have to do is click and search. Or, if you want to find out how many children are eligible to participate in America’s five education savings account (ESA) programs, just sort and download.

Our goal is for readers to use the information in *The ABCs of School Choice* and our website to compare and contrast educational choice programs and make informed decisions on how to best empower parents and students. The information is at your fingertips and ready and easy to share.

We have come a long way since the Friedman Foundation’s early years, and although we still have a long way to go, universal educational choice is closer than ever. We have seen and continue to see so much growth, and every year, *The ABCs of School Choice* will be there to document the progress—until all parents are free to choose.
**EDUCATIONAL OPTIONS**

**PRIVATE SCHOOLS**
Private schools are educational institutions run independently of the government, but with some limited regulation. They typically charge tuition and/or receive private donations to afford their operations and services.

**TRADITIONAL PUBLIC SCHOOLS**
A traditional public school is an educational institution run by the government and maintained at taxpayers' expense. Traditional public schools are organized into districts, and government officials determine district lines.

**CHARTER SCHOOLS**
Charter schools are independently run public schools exempt from many rules and regulations in exchange for increased accountability. Typically, if charters receive more applications than they have open seats, they must accept students based on a lottery.

**MAGNET SCHOOLS**
A magnet school is a public school that offers specialized curricula and programs not available in traditional public schools. Magnets are designed to attract students with a common interest or skillset, and students must apply and be accepted to enroll.

**HOMESCHOOLING**
Homeschooling is an alternative form of education for children outside of public or private schools, typically within their own homes. Homeschooling is regulated differently from state to state.

**ONLINE LEARNING**
Online learning allows students to work with their curriculum and teachers over the Internet—in combination with, or in place of, traditional classroom learning. Online schools can be public or private.

**CUSTOMIZED LEARNING**
Customized learning is unique to every child. For example, some students might mix courses from public schools with privately tutored courses at home, special education therapies, and a work-study internship. The possibilities are endless, especially as new innovations in learning continue to emerge.

---

**Number of Currently Enacted Private School Choice Programs by Year Launched**

**Number of Students Receiving a Voucher, Tax-Credit Scholarship, or ESA**

- **Voucher**
- **Tax-Credit Scholarship**
- **ESA**

---

**Number of Students**

- 1991: 0
- 1992: 50
- 1993: 100
- 1994: 150
- 1995: 200
- 1996: 250
- 1997: 300
- 1998: 350
- 1999: 400
- 2000: 450
- 2001: 500
- 2002: 550
- 2003: 600
- 2004: 650
- 2005: 700
- 2006: 750
- 2007: 800
- 2008: 850
- 2009: 900
- 2010: 950
- 2011: 1000
- 2012: 1050
- 2013: 1100
- 2014: 1150
- 2015: 1200
- 2016: 1250
EDUCATION SAVINGS ACCOUNTS (ESAs)
Education savings accounts allow parents to withdraw their children from public district or charter schools and receive a deposit of public funds into government-authorized savings accounts with restricted, but multiple, uses. Those funds can cover private school tuition and fees, online learning programs, private tutoring, community college costs, and other higher education expenses.

VOUCHERS
Vouchers give parents the freedom to choose a private school for their children, using all or part of the public funding set aside for their children’s education. Under such a program, funds typically expended by a school district would be allocated to a participating family in the form of a voucher to pay partial or full tuition for their child’s private school, including both religious and non-religious options.

TAX-CREDIT SCHOLARSHIPS
Tax-credit scholarships allow taxpayers to receive full or partial tax credits when they donate to nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. Eligible taxpayers can include both individuals and businesses. In some states, scholarship-giving nonprofits also provide innovation grants to public schools and/or transportation assistance to students choosing alternative public schools.

INDIVIDUAL TAX CREDITS / DEDUCTIONS
Individual tax credits and deductions allow parents to receive state income tax relief for approved educational expenses, which can include private school tuition, books, supplies, computers, tutors, and transportation.

2016 SCHOOL CHOICE PROGRAM PROFILES
USE THIS COLOR KEY TO IDENTIFY PROGRAM TYPE THROUGHOUT THIS GUIDE:

- EDUCATION SAVINGS ACCOUNTS
- VOUCHERS
- TAX-CREDIT SCHOLARSHIPS
- TAX CREDITS AND DEDUCTIONS
Alabama provides a refundable tax credit to parents who transfer their children enrolled in or assigned to a “failing” public school to a “non-failing” public or private school.

**TAX CREDIT VALUE**
Parents receive a tax credit worth the lesser of (1) 80 percent of the average annual state cost of attendance for a K–12 public school student during the applicable tax year or (2) their children’s actual cost of attending school. If the taxes owed by the parents are less than the total credit allowed, they may receive a rebate equal to the balance of the unused credit.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Parents who transfer their child from a “failing” public school to a “non-failing” public or accredited private school are eligible. Parents with a child who is starting school for the first time in Alabama and zoned to attend a “failing” public school are also eligible. Alabama defines a public school as “failing” if it meets one or more of the following requirements: The school is labeled as persistently “low-performing” by the Alabama State Department of Education; the school is designated as a “failing” school by the state Superintendent of Education; the school does not exclusively serve a special population of students; or the school has been listed three or more times during the most recent six years in the lowest 6 percent of public K–12 schools on the state standardized assessment in reading and math.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
The most glaring weakness of Alabama’s individual tax-credit program is its eligibility restrictions. Instead of basing participation on whether an entire school is “failing,” as measured by an arbitrary, changeable state metric, participation should be permitted whenever a parent determines that a child’s educational needs are not being met at the current school. Only 3 percent of students in the state can take advantage of the credit. Alabama also should consider amending this program to allow more money to follow participating students. The amount of money parents receive through Alabama’s credit is less than the average funding parents receive through private school choice programs in other states. The credit is worth up to 80 percent of what the state spends per student. The sending public school keeps the remaining 20 percent of state funding in addition to any local or federal money associated with the cost of educating the transferring student. An effective next step would be to allow all of the state money to follow the child to his or her school of choice.

**LATEST STATS (2014)**
Students participating: 88
Average tax credit/rebate value: $2,037

Percent of Alabama K–12 students whose parents/guardians are eligible for Parent-Taxpayer Refundable Tax Credits: 3%
GOVERNING STATUTES
Ala. Code §§ 40-2A-7(a)(5) and 16-6D-1

PARENT REQUIREMENTS
• Must provide certification the student was enrolled in or assigned to a “failing” school, certification that student was transferred to a “non-failing” public or private school, and proof of the cost of attendance at the “non-failing” public or private school

---

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/AL_credit](http://www.edchoice.org/AL_credit).
Individuals and corporations can claim a 100 percent tax credit for contributions to approved scholarship granting organizations (SGOs). Individuals and corporations (including S corporations and LLCs) can claim tax credits up to 50 percent of their tax liability; however, individual tax credits cannot exceed $50,000 per taxpayer or married couple filing jointly. Taxpayers may carry forward a tax credit under this program for three years. The total amount of tax credits awarded statewide is limited to $30 million.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Scholarship amounts are determined by SGOs. Scholarships are capped at the lesser of the private school tuition and fees or $6,000 in grades K–5, $8,000 in grades 5–8, and $10,000 in grades 9–12.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Children are eligible to receive scholarships if their families qualify for the federal free and reduced-price lunch (FRL) program ($44,863 for a family of four in 2015–16). Also, qualifying students must (1) be younger than 19 years of age, (2) be public or private school students zoned to attend a public school designated as “failing,” or (3) have been a non-graduate scholarship recipient in the previous school year under this program from a family with an income less than 275 percent of the federal poverty level ($66,688 for a family of four in 2015–16). Alabama defines a public school as “failing” if it meets one or more of the following requirements: The school is designated as a “failing” school by the state superintendent of education or the school does not exclusively serve a special population of students and is listed in the lowest 6 percent of public K–12 schools on the state standardized assessment in reading and math. If an SGO has scholarship funds unaccounted for on July 31 of each year, scholarships may be made available to eligible students in public school, regardless of whether or not their assigned public school is considered “failing.”

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Alabama’s tax-credit scholarship program was amended in 2015 to prohibit SGOs from accepting donations for a particular group of schools—for example, schools of a particular faith or particular learning style. This is an overtly restrictive setback for the program and should be repealed. The program has a low cap ($30 million) relative to similar tax-credit scholarship programs in other states. Allowing automatic increases in the cap each year would be an improvement. Also, although it is positive that the program opens opportunities for students in “non-failing” public schools, the bifurcated process for distributing scholarships is difficult to navigate for schools, SGOs, and parents. The state should consider dropping the “failing” school provision altogether to bring clarity to the application process. The state should also heed advice from its private school leaders and ease newly imposed regulatory burdens.

**LATEST STATS (FALL 2015)**
- Students participating: 3,701
- Schools participating: 147
- Scholarship organizations: 7
- Average scholarship value: $6,094 (2015–16 projected)

Percent of Alabama families with children meeting the Education Scholarship’s income requirement: 57%
burdens, while allowing private schools the freedom they need to serve children at the highest level.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Ala. Code §§40-2A-7(a)(5) and 16-6D

**SGO REQUIREMENTS**
- Use at least 95 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Conduct criminal background checks on all employees and board members
- Make scholarships portable to any qualifying school
- Spend a portion of expenditures on scholarships for low-income students (family income does not exceed 200 percent of the federal poverty level; $48,500 for a family of four in 2015–16) equal to the percentage of low-income students in the county where the SGO expends the majority of its scholarships
- Ensure at least 75 percent of first-time scholarship recipients were not enrolled in a private school during the previous year
- Submit annually to the state:
  - Data on accepted contributions
  - Data on scholarships awarded and funded, including the amount awarded to students who qualify for the federal free and reduced-price lunch program and the percentage of first-time scholarship recipients who were enrolled in a public school the previous year
  - Financial audit performed by a certified public accountant

Enrollment change in Alabama’s Education Scholarship Program is hard to gauge because the state recently changed from reporting calendar-year data to reporting school-year data.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/AL_scholarship](http://www.edchoice.org/AL_scholarship).
Arizona provides a credit on individual income taxes for donations to School Tuition Organizations (STOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. In the tax year 2016, individual taxpayers contributing to STOs may claim a dollar-for-dollar credit of up to $545, and married couples filing jointly may claim up to $1,090. The amount an individual can claim for a credit increases each year by the amount the Consumer Price Index changes.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Scholarship amounts are determined by STOs.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must be in grades K–12 or be a preschool enrollee identified by the school district as having a disability under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act or Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. STOs must consider financial need when awarding scholarships and cannot make decisions based solely on donor recommendations. Individual taxpayers may not make STO contributions earmarked for their own dependents, nor may donors make agreements among one another to “trade” donations for their respective dependents.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Arizona’s individual tax-credit scholarship program is one of the most universal programs in the nation. It does not restrict eligibility based on arbitrary family income levels, prior public school enrollment status, or any other factor. The program also excels by not restricting the amount a scholarship can be worth. Every school choice program should provide those opportunities. Another plus of Arizona’s individual tax-credit scholarship program is the lack of red tape placed on participating schools, which must comply with the state’s private school regulations, including health, safety, and nondiscrimination requirements. Where the program could improve is increasing the amount that individual and joint taxpayers can claim as a credit. This improvement would allow for more donations to STOs, which would in turn allow those STOs to increase the number and funding value of scholarships. (Note: This issue is somewhat alleviated by the existence of the “switcher” individual tax-credit scholarship program.)

**GOVERNING STATUTES**

**LATEST STATS (2013–14)**
- Scholarships awarded: 27,362
- Schools participating: 331
- Scholarship organizations: 48
- Average scholarship value: $1,853

All Arizona K–12 students are eligible for the Original Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program
STO REQUIREMENTS

- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Make scholarships available for more than one school
- May allow donors to recommend student beneficiaries but shall not award, designate, or reserve scholarships solely on the basis of donor recommendations
- Cannot exchange recommendations of student beneficiaries with other donors
- Report annually to the state:
  ✓ Data on accepted contributions, grants awarded, dollar amount of scholarships granted to students who qualify for the free or reduced-price lunch program, dollar amount of scholarships granted to those students whose household income falls between 185 percent and 342 percent of the federal poverty level ($44,863 and $82,935 for a family of four in 2015–16), amount of money being held for identified student scholarships in future years, list of participating schools with the number and dollar amount of scholarship awards received, the salary of the STO’s top three officials for the fiscal year, and proof of independent review of financial statements by a certified public accountant

Arizona’s Original Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program has awarded more than 128,000 scholarships over the last five years.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/AZ_scholarship1.
Low-Income Corporate Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program

Arizona provides a credit on corporate income taxes for C-Corporations and S-Corporations for 100 percent of their donations to School Tuition Organizations (STOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. STOs receiving donations for this program must award scholarships to low-income students. Corporate taxpayers contributing to STOs may claim a tax credit equal to the full amount of their contribution. The program is limited to a total of $51.6 million in available tax credits per year, a figure that will rise 20 percent annually.

STUDENT FUNDING
Each STO determines the amount of scholarships it distributes. In 2015–16, scholarships are capped at $5,100 in grades K–8 and $6,400 in grades 9–12. Those amounts increase annually by $100.

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY
All students receiving scholarships under this program must come from families whose household incomes are at or below 185 percent of the federal free and reduced-price lunch program ($82,997 for a family of four in 2015–16). Additionally, students must either be (1) enrolled in private school kindergarten, (2) enrolled in a private preschool program for students with disabilities, (3) a public school enrollee for at least 90 days in the previous year or one full semester of the current school year, (4) a dependent of an active-duty member of the military stationed in Arizona, or (5) a prior scholarship recipient under this program or the individual tax-credit scholarship program.

FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK
Arizona’s corporate tax-credit scholarship program has room to grow, particularly with student eligibility limited to those with family incomes lower than 185 percent of the free and reduced-price lunch threshold. On funding power, the total credit cap of $51.6 million limits both the number of scholarships that can be awarded and the amount of each scholarship ($1,869 per scholarship, on average, in 2013–14), far less than what students receive on average in their public schools. The program’s regulations are reasonable, requiring schools to comply with the state’s private school regulations, including health, safety, and nondiscrimination requirements, and fingerprinting teachers. To make this program more universal, the low-income eligibility requirement would have to be lifted, and the cap on available tax credits would need to be removed or dramatically increased.

GOVERNING STATUTES
Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§43-1183; 43-1501 through 1507; and 20-224.06

LATEST STATS (2013–14)
- Scholarships awarded: 13,118
- Schools participating: 228
- Scholarship organizations: 25
- Average scholarship value: $1,869

Percent of Arizona families with children who meet the Low-Income Corporate Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program’s income requirement: 53%
STO REQUIREMENTS

- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Make scholarships available for more than one school
- Must allow the state to verify that scholarships are awarded to students attending a qualified school
- Report annually to the state:

  ✓ Data on accepted contributions, grants awarded, amount of money being held for identified student scholarships in future years, list of participating schools with the number and dollar amount of scholarship awards received, salary of the STO’s top three officials for the fiscal year, and proof of independent review of financial statements by a certified public accountant

The number of scholarships awarded through Arizona’s Low-Income Corporate Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program increased approximately 13 percent from 2012–13 to 2013–14.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/AZ_scholarship2.
Arizona allows corporations, including stockholders of S-Corporations, to receive tax credits for donating to School Tuition Organizations (STOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships to children with special needs and students who are currently, or have been at any time, part of the Arizona foster care system. The total credits claimed cannot exceed $5 million in a given year.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Scholarship amounts may be awarded up to the lesser of the private school tuition or 90 percent of the state funding that otherwise would go to that pupil had he or she remained in public school. That amount varies depending on the services the student’s disability requires. Individual STOs have discretion to award scholarships of less than the allowed amount.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students are eligible if they have been identified by a school district as having a disability under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act or Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, or if they are now, or have ever been, in the Arizona foster care system. Students must also either be an enrollee in a kindergarten or prekindergarten private school program for students with disabilities, a public school student for the prior semester of the current school year or at least 90 days in the previous year, or a dependent of an active-duty member of the military stationed in Arizona.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
For Lexie’s Law to improve, the $5 million cap on tax credits available to donors should be increased. As for funding power, the possibility of receiving 90 percent of state funding is generous; however, the actual average scholarship amount is far less than per-pupil funding in Arizona public schools for all students, not even taking into account the larger amounts usually spent to serve students with special needs. Additionally, the public school attendance requirement prohibits newly diagnosed private school students from accessing scholarships without first un-enrolling from their private school and spending at least 90 days in public school. The program is strong in that it places no special admissions or testing requirements on participating private schools.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§15-891; 43-1184; 43-1501 through 1507; and 20-224.07

**LATEST STATS (2013–14)**
Scholarships awarded: 349
Schools participating: 91
Scholarship organizations: 8
Average scholarship value: $4,648

Percent of Arizona K–12 students eligible for Lexie’s Law for Disabled and Displaced Students Tax Credit Scholarship Program

12%
STO REQUIREMENTS

- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Make scholarships available for more than one school
- Allow the state to verify that scholarships are awarded to students attending a qualified school
- Annually report to the state:
  ✓ Data on accepted contributions, grants awarded, amount of money being held for identified student scholarships in future years, list of participating schools with the number and dollar amount of scholarship awards received, salary of the STO’s top three officials for the fiscal year, and proof of independent review of financial statements by a certified public accountant

Enrollment in Arizona’s Lexie’s Law for Disabled and Displaced Students Tax Credit Scholarship Program increased by 60 percent from 2012–13 to 2013–14.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/AZ_Lexie.
Arizona’s Empowerment Scholarship Accounts (ESA) program allows parents to withdraw their children from public, district, or charter schools and receive a portion of their public funding deposited into an account with defined, but multiple, uses, including private school tuition, online education, private tutoring, or future educational expenses.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
ESAs are funded at 90 percent of the charter school per-student base funding. For the 2015–16 school year, that amounts to $4,645 (K–8) or $4,904 (9–12) for students who do not have special needs.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must have previously attended public school for at least 100 days of the prior fiscal year and met one of the following characteristics: (1) received a scholarship from an STO under Lexie’s Law, (2) attended a “D” or “F” letter grade school or school district, (3) been adopted from the state’s foster care system, (4) is already an ESA recipient, or (5) the child lives on a Native American reservation. Students eligible to attend kindergarten are also eligible provided they meet one of the above criteria. Additionally, children of active-duty military members stationed in Arizona, children whose parents were killed in the line of duty, and siblings of current or previous ESA recipients are also eligible. Children of active-duty members of the military or whose parents were killed in the line of duty are not required to attend a public school prior to applying for an ESA. Finally, preschool children with special needs are also eligible and are not required to have attended a public preschool program prior to applying. New accounts are capped at 0.5 percent of the previous year’s total number of public and charter school students; that cap will be removed in 2019.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Arizona’s ESA program is relatively strong on its funding power, as 90 percent of the charter school per-student base funding amount is deposited in each participant’s ESA. Arizona’s ESA program also excels in that it is not over-regulated; ESA-using parents must sign an agreement to provide an education including reading and grammar, math, social studies, and science, and participating private schools or service providers must not discriminate. The program could improve by expanding eligibility. The 2014–15 eligibility expansion no doubt gets closer to providing eligibility to all Arizona families, but despite that expansion, more than 75 percent of children in Arizona still are ineligible for ESAs. Additionally, the arbitrary cap of 0.5 percent of traditional public and charter school enrollment restricts even the current 22 percent eligible from enrolling.

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
- Students participating: 2,501
- Schools participating: 134 (2014–15)
- Average annual award value: $12,400 (projected)

Percent of Arizona K–12 students eligible for Empowerment Scholarship Accounts: 22%
GOVERNING STATUTES

PARENT REQUIREMENTS

- Must sign an agreement to:
  - ✔ Provide an education in the subjects of reading, grammar, mathematics, social studies, and science
  - ✔ Not enroll their student in a school district or charter school full time, though parents can use an ESA to buy services from a public school including classes and extracurricular activities
  - ✔ Release the school district from all obligations to educate the student
  - ✔ Not accept a scholarship under any of Arizona’s tax-credit scholarship programs
  - ✔ Use the money deposited in the ESA for purposes specified in the law and spend accumulated ESA dollars on basic education subjects

Enrollment in Arizona’s education savings accounts program nearly doubled since 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/AZ_ESA.
Arizona’s “switcher” tax-credit scholarship program supplements its original individual tax-credit scholarship program. The switcher program provides a credit on individual income taxes for donations to School Tuition Organizations (STOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. In tax year 2016, individual taxpayers contributing to STOs under this switcher may claim a dollar-for-dollar credit of up to $542, and married couples filing jointly may claim up to $1,083. However, taxpayers must first donate the maximum credit amount for the Original Individual Income Tax Credit ($545 individual, $1,090 joint) before the switcher credit can be claimed.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Scholarship amounts are determined by STOs.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must be in grades K–12 and have previously attended a public school for at least a full semester or 90 days or be a preschool enrollee identified by the school district as having a disability under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act or Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Additionally, students who are in kindergarten, children of active military members stationed in Arizona, and previous recipients of a Low-Income Corporate Income Tax Credit Scholarship or “Switcher” Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarship who have remained in private school are eligible. Although there is no means-testing for eligibility, STOs must consider financial need when awarding scholarships and cannot make decisions based solely on donor recommendations. Individual taxpayers may not make STO contributions earmarked for their own dependents, nor may donors make agreements among one another to “trade” donations for their respective dependents.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Arizona’s switcher tax-credit scholarship program, in conjunction with its original individual tax-credit scholarship program, is one of the most universal programs in the nation. It does not restrict eligibility based on arbitrary family income levels, prior public school enrollment status, or any other factor. The program also excels by not restricting the amount a scholarship can be worth. Another plus of Arizona’s switcher program is the lack of red tape placed on participating schools, which must comply with the state’s private school regulations, including health, safety, and nondiscrimination requirements. Where the program could improve is increasing the amount that individual and joint taxpayers can claim as a credit. This improvement would allow for more donations to STOs, which would in turn allow those STOs to increase the number of scholarships and amount of each scholarship awarded.

**LATEST STATS (2013–14)**
- Scholarships awarded: 13,548
- Schools participating: 309
- Scholarship organizations: 46
- Average scholarship value: $1,339

Nearly all Arizona K–12 students are eligible for the “Switcher” Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program

>99%
GOVERNING STATUTES
Ariz. Rev. Stat. §43-1089.03

STO REQUIREMENTS

- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Make scholarships available for more than one school
- May allow donors to recommend student beneficiaries but shall not award, designate, or reserve scholarships solely on the basis of donor recommendations
- Cannot exchange recommendations of student beneficiaries with other donors
- Report annually to the state:
  ✓ Data on accepted contributions, grants awarded, dollar amount of scholarships granted to students who qualify for the free or reduced-price lunch program, dollar amount of scholarships granted to those students whose household income falls between 185 percent and 342 percent of the federal poverty level ($44,863 and $82,935, respectively, for a family of four in 2015–16), amount of money being held for identified student scholarships in future years, list of participating schools with the number and dollar amount of scholarship awards received, the salary of the STO’s top three officials for the fiscal year, and proof of independent review of financial statements by a certified public accountant

The number of scholarships awarded through Arizona’s "Switcher" Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program nearly tripled in the program’s second year.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/AZ_Switcher.
ARKANSAS

Succeed Scholarship Program for Students with Disabilities

Voucher • Enacted 2015 • Launching 2016

Arkansas will provide vouchers to students with disabilities and dependents of active-duty members of the military who have an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) to attend private school beginning in the 2016–17 school year.

STUDENT FUNDING
Each student’s voucher will be funded at the public school foundation funding amount for the current school year ($6,584 in 2015–16), up to but not exceeding the amount of tuition and fees at the private school.

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY
Students must be accepted for admission to a private school and have an IEP and be either (A) enrolled in an Arkansas public school for at least one year or (B) dependents of active-duty members of the military.

FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK
In the state where black students were first able to receive quality education through integration of public schools, the passage of Arkansas’ Succeed Scholarship Program for Students with Disabilities has become another historical milestone for educational opportunity. However, it is just one step. Eligibility for this program is too restrictive for Arkansas students. It should reflect the message of Little Rock: all students should be eligible for a scholarship to attend any school of choice. Further, the funding of the program is averaged at 70 percent of public school per-student spending. This cap should be raised to allow students greater purchasing power and access to more schooling options.

GOVERNING STATUTES
Ark. Code Ann. §§ 6-18-1901 through 1908

SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS
• Be accredited by the Arkansas State Board of Education, the Arkansas Nonpublic School Accrediting Association, or another accrediting association recognized by the state board of education as providing services to individuals with severe disabilities
• Be in business for at least one year, provide a CPA validated statement of fiscal solvency and insurance coverage, or provide a surety bond or letter of credit for the amount of scholarship funds for any quarter

LATEST STATS (2016–17)

Students participating: N.A.
Schools participating: N.A.
Average voucher value: N.A.

Percent of Arkansas K–12 students eligible for the Succeed Scholarship Program for Students with Disabilities

13%
• Comply with health and safety laws that apply to private schools
• Complete the application from the Arkansas Department of Education and be approved as eligible
• Be accountable to parents for meeting the educational needs of the student
• Semi-annually affirm under oath that the student has been enrolled in, and is attending and participating in, the school
• Employ teachers with no less than a bachelor’s degree
• Comply with federal antidiscrimination provisions
• Comply with existing laws governing private schools
• Adhere to the tenets of the private school’s published disciplinary rules before expulsion of any student receiving a voucher

Because this program does not launch until the 2016–17 school year, there are no participation data.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/AR_voucher.
The Douglas County Colorado Choice Scholarship Program provides 500 tuition vouchers to any public school students who have spent at least one year enrolled in the Douglas County School District. The program is subject to annual renewal or cancellation by the school board. If more than 500 students apply for vouchers, a lottery is held. Participating private schools can be located outside Douglas County, but students may not use the vouchers for online schools or homeschooling. Parents and community members, appointed by the school board, provide oversight. Currently, the program is inoperable pending review by the Supreme Court of the United States.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Eligible students can receive a voucher worth the lesser of the private school tuition or 75 percent of the per-pupil public revenue ($5,066 in 2014–15). A family is allowed to supplement the voucher with additional funds.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must be residents of the Douglas County School District (DCSD) for at least one year, and must currently attend a DCSD public school. Non-residents who have chosen to enroll in DCSD public schools are not eligible.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
The Choice Scholarship Program, the nation’s only voucher program authorized by a public school district, allows any child attending a Douglas County public school to be eligible for a voucher. Still, the innovative program could improve in several areas. First, the program is too limited by the 500-student cap. Second, Douglas County’s vouchers treat private school choice students unfairly by funding them significantly below what they would get in their local public schools. Finally, numerous regulations have been imposed on private schools that accept voucher students. Participating private schools must (1) show that students are achieving and growing academically to remain eligible, (2) provide three years of financial history, (3) release students for state or district assessments, and (4) allow voucher students to opt-out of any religious activities. For the program to grow, district leaders should consider removing the enrollment cap, increasing the per-voucher funding amount, and giving private schools more autonomy.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Douglas County School Board Policy
SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

• Be accredited by a recognized state or national accrediting organization
• Demonstrate student achievement and growth results for participating students at least as strong as what district neighborhood and charter schools produce
• Disclose financial history, including the past three years of audited financial statements and documentation showing adequate insurance policies
• Private schools that have been in operation for fewer than three years must demonstrate the ability to indemnify the district for any loss if the school closes
• Comply with building codes and have a safe school plan
• Conduct criminal background checks on school employees
• May not discriminate in employment or enrollment decisions
• Must release participating students so the district can administer statewide or district assessments
• Provide information on employment and enrollment policies, a description of student performance assessments, student conduct and discipline policies, and a description of governance and operations

Although a few hundred students were accepted into the Douglas County voucher program in 2011, the district court’s permanent injunction against the program on August 12, 2011, effectively paused the program notwithstanding a ruling by the Colorado Court of Appeals on February 28, 2013, overturning the District Court ruling. Douglas County decided to keep the program on hold pending final resolution of legal challenges. These challenges continue. After the Colorado Supreme Court’s 3-1-3 split decision against the program on June 29, 2015, this voucher remains inoperable pending review by the Supreme Court of the United States, which has been requested. Notably, a case out of Missouri being considered by the Supreme Court this year raises a Blaine amendment challenge similar to the one raised in Douglas County’s voucher litigation. Trinity Lutheran Church of Columbia, MO v. Pauley challenges application of the Missouri constitution’s Blaine amendment to a religiously neutral program.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/CO_DougCo.
The District of Columbia’s Opportunity Scholarship Program provides vouchers to low-income students. Overseen by the U.S. Department of Education, the program is funded separately from D.C. public and charter schools. It is authorized through 2016, with $20 million in total available funding.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Vouchers are worth up to $8,381 for K–8 students and $12,572 for students in grades 9–12. Those amounts rise with the Consumer Price Index. Vouchers first pay for tuition, with any leftover amount available for certain qualified fees that schools may require.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Families must be current D.C. residents. Additionally, families must either receive benefits under the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) or earn no more than 185 percent of the federal poverty level when they enter the program ($44,863 for a family of four in 2015–16). Students may continue to receive vouchers in later years if their household income does not rise above 300 percent of the poverty level. Students are given priority if they come from public schools in need of improvement, or if they or their siblings already are participating in the program. They may use vouchers only to attend private schools located in D.C.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
The District of Columbia’s Opportunity Scholarship Program, the first and only such program authorized by Congress, can award vouchers to only 2,000 students per year because of a relatively small annual budget. Many families who otherwise qualify for the program are unnecessarily denied the ability to choose schools for their children, as evidenced by the annual lottery and resulting waitlist for the vouchers. On funding, the program’s maximum vouchers are worth about 43 percent of the average $29,427 revenue available to each D.C. public school student; all D.C. students should receive equal funds regardless of the educational option—public, charter, or private—their parents choose. The Opportunity Scholarship Program also places a number of regulatory burdens on schools, including removal of private school autonomy over admissions. Although the program does require participating students to take a nationally norm-referenced test, private schools are not required to administer the tests. If the school doesn’t administer the test, the Secretary of Education (through the Institute of Education Studies) shall administer a nationally norm-referenced test at least one time during the school year for each scholarship student. For the program to improve, funding should be expanded beyond the current $20 million to allow more families to participate. The program could also be converted into a universal education savings account program to ensure that all D.C. students have access to the right education for them, whether private school or a customized curricula. Congress is currently considering a proposal to do that.

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
Students participating: 1,259
Schools participating: 41
Average voucher value: $8,712 (2012–13)
GOVERNING STATUTES

SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS
• Must not discriminate
• Comply with district health and safety codes
• Maintain a valid certificate of occupancy
• Teachers in core subjects must have a bachelor’s degree
• Must be accredited and comply with other standards prescribed under the District of Columbia compulsory school attendance laws
• Must allow site visits by the administering program entity
• Administer a nationally norm-referenced standardized test; a comparative evaluation will be conducted utilizing D.C. Public Schools, charter schools, and OSP school testing data
• Submit proof of financial sustainability for schools in operation for five years or fewer
• Have financial systems in place to ensure funds are used appropriately

The District of Columbia’s voucher program has demonstrated volatility over time; participation decreased by 17 percent in the past year.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/DC_voucher.
Florida’s John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program allows public school students with disabilities or 504 plans to receive vouchers to attend private schools or other public schools.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

Vouchers are worth the same amount public schools would have spent on a participating child, though funding may not exceed the private school’s tuition and fees. Parents may supplement vouchers with their own money.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Students with disabilities—who have Individualized Education Plans or a 504 plan—enrolled in public school for at least one year are eligible. Section 504 protects qualified individuals with disabilities, defined as persons with a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

Florida’s John M. McKay Scholarships program was the first of its kind in the country and remains the model for similar, newly created programs in other states. The program excels on funding power and school requirements. Vouchers are worth up to the child’s full funding in his or her previous public schools. As for school requirements, participating schools must be approved by the state and report to parents annually on voucher students’ progress. Private schools are not required to accept all students—an important feature, as not all private schools are equipped to educate students with special needs. The program’s only shortcoming is that it is limited, in this case to students with special needs. Obviously those children deserve access to a great education, but other Florida students similarly could benefit from McKay’s generous vouchers. Should the program expand eligibility, it would only improve upon its already great achievements.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**

Fla. Stat. §§1002.39; 1002.421

---

**LATEST STATS (FALL 2015)**

- Students participating: **30,104**
- Schools participating: **1,344**
- Average voucher value: **$7,200** (2015–16 projected)

Percent of Florida K–12 students eligible for the John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program: **13%**
SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- Be approved by the state
- Submit to the state annual sworn compliance reports regarding all local and state health and safety codes
- Comply with federal nondiscrimination requirements of 42 U.S.C. s 2000d
- Teachers and other school personnel working with scholarship recipients must undergo federal background checks
- Teachers must have a bachelor’s degree, three years of teaching experience, or special expertise
- Schools in operation less than three years must obtain a surety bond or letter of credit to cover the value of the scholarship payments for one quarter
- Report student’s progress to parents annually

Florida’s John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program is now providing more than 30,000 vouchers each year.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/FL_McKay.
Florida provides a tax credit on corporate income taxes and insurance premium taxes for donations to Scholarship Funding Organizations (SFOs), nonprofits that provide scholarships for low-income students and children in foster care and offer funds for transportation to public schools outside a child’s district. Businesses get a dollar-for-dollar tax credit for SFO contributions, with total credits capped at $447.3 million. Unused credits can be carried forward to the next fiscal year.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

Scholarships can be worth up to $5,677, though they may not exceed private school tuition and fees. Transportation grants for public schools are worth up to $500. Beginning in the 2016–17 school year, the maximum scholarship amount is projected to be worth about $6,000.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Students who qualify for free and reduced-price lunch ($44,863 for a family of four in 2015–16) and who either are enrolled in public school or about to enter kindergarten through fifth grade are eligible. Students in foster care also qualify. If students’ household incomes rise, they can stay in the program under full scholarship as long as their family earns no more than 230 percent of the poverty level. Beginning in the 2016–17 school year, students from households with income levels up to 260 percent of the federal poverty level will be eligible. The annual limit for the amount of funds awarded will be reduced by 12 percent to 50 percent if the student comes from a household with an income between 200 percent and 260 percent of the federal poverty level, and the maximum amount is projected to be worth about $6,000. Eligibility will also be opened to siblings of current scholarship recipients, as long as they live in the same household, and the income limit for previous scholarship recipients will be removed. Additionally, students placed in foster care or out-of-home care will be able to apply for a scholarship at any time.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

Last year, the Florida legislature expanded student eligibility for its tax-credit scholarship program, the country’s largest private school choice program in terms of enrollees. Notable eligibility changes include a marginal raise in the household income requirements and an elimination of the requirement for students to spend his or her prior year in public school before participating in the program. The program’s available funding is capped at $447.3 million; fortunately, that cap is allowed to increase by 25 percent if 90 percent of the cap is reached. Similarly, with funding power, the per-student funding cap on scholarships is allowed to grow, another plus. On school requirements, the program requires schools to have state approval and administer a nationally norm-referenced test to scholarship students.

**LATEST STATS (FALL 2015)**

- Students participating: 78,142
- Schools participating: 1,582
- Scholarship organizations: 2
- Average scholarship value: $5,400 (2015–16 projected)

---

Percent of Florida families* with children meeting the Florida Tax Credit Scholarship Program’s income requirement

35%

*Each foster care student counted as a separate family
GOVERNING STATUTES
Fla. Stat. §§ 1002.395 and 1002.421

SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- Be approved by the state
- Submit to the state annual sworn compliance reports regarding all local and state health and safety codes
- Comply with federal nondiscrimination requirements of 42 U.S.C. s 2000d
- Teachers and other school personnel working with scholarship recipients must undergo federal background checks
- Teachers must have a bachelor’s degree, three years of teaching experience, or special expertise
- Schools in operation less than three years must obtain a surety bond or letter of credit to cover the value of the scholarship payments for one quarter
- Any school receiving more than $250,000 in scholarship money must provide financial reporting to the state
- Scholarship students must take a nationally recognized norm-referenced test or the state public school assessment. All schools with at least 30 students in grades 3–10 must post standardized test score gains

Since 2013–14, there has been a participation increase of more than 30 percent in the Florida Tax Credit Scholarship Program.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/FL_McKay.
Florida’s Gardiner Scholarship Program allows students with special needs an opportunity to receive an education savings account (ESA) funded by the state and administered by an approved Scholarship Funding Organization. Parents can use the funds to pay for a variety of educational services, including private school tuition, tutoring, online education, home education, curriculum, therapy, postsecondary educational institutions in Florida, and other defined educational services.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

Funding for Florida’s Gardiner Scholarship Program is provided in the General Appropriations Act, which specifies the annual amount. The amount varies according to grade, county of residence, and public school spending for students with disabilities. The Florida legislature appropriated $53.4 million to the ESA program for 2015–16 and $71.2 million for 2016–17.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Students qualify if they reside in Florida and are eligible to enroll in kindergarten through 12th grade. They must have an Individualized Education Plan or have been diagnosed with one of the following: autism, Down syndrome, an intellectual disability, Prader-Willi syndrome, spina bifida, or Williams syndrome or be kindergartners who are considered “high-risk.” In 2015–16, eligibility expanded to include 3- and 4-year-olds, students who have muscular dystrophy, and students who are anywhere on the autism spectrum.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

Although Florida’s McKay voucher is the nation’s largest such program for students with special needs, the state still took an important step to pass ESAs designed to give parents access to educational therapy, tutoring, and online learning programs, which are inaccessible through a traditional voucher program. The ESA program is projected to serve more than 5,000 students in the 2015–16 school year based on an increase from a $18.4 million to a $53.4 million appropriation from the Florida legislature. Notably, Florida’s ESA program is administered by approved nonprofit organizations that reimburse parents for approved expenses. One nonprofit has developed a payment process for parents who cannot make purchases out of pocket. It is encouraging to see Florida take an innovative approach to delivering services and educational choice programs to more families. Florida’s nonprofit approach to ESA administration provides a good policy example to states considering ESA programs because such organizations have specific interest in the program’s success.

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

- Students participating: 4,080
- Schools participating: 174 (2014–15)
- Average account value: $10,700 (projected)

Percent of Florida K–12 students eligible for the Gardiner Scholarship Program: 12%
GOVERNING STATUTES
Fla. Stat. §§ 393.063 & 1002.385

PARENT REQUIREMENTS
• Must sign an agreement with the SFO annually to:
  ✓ Affirm that the student is enrolled in a program that meets regular school attendance requirements
  ✓ Affirm that program funds are used only for authorized purposes serving the student’s educational needs
  ✓ Ensure student takes all appropriate standardized assessments, either the state assessment test or a nationally recognized norm-referenced test
  ✓ Affirm that the parent will not transfer any college savings funds to another beneficiary
  ✓ Affirm that the parent will not take possession of any funding provided by the state

![Enrollment in Florida’s Gardiner Scholarship Program more than doubled in its second year.](chart)

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/FL_ESA](http://www.edchoice.org/FL_ESA).
The Georgia Special Needs Scholarship Program allows any student with a disability whose parents are unhappy with their assigned public school to receive a voucher to attend private school.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Vouchers are worth up to the cost of the educational program a student would have received in public school, as calculated by existing state funding formulas. It excludes only such things as capital costs and federal subsidy programs. The voucher may not exceed the private school’s tuition and fees.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
To qualify, a student must have been enrolled in a Georgia public school for the entire prior school year. Preschool programs do not count toward that factor. The student must also have received special education services under an Individualized Education Plan at any point in that year. The student’s parent or guardian must live in the state currently and have been a resident for at least one year.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Georgia’s only voucher program receives strong marks for its funding levels and school requirements. Funding levels are up to the child’s funding in his or her public school. The only school regulations are that participating private schools have a physical location in Georgia, demonstrate fiscal soundness, and follow nondiscrimination, health, and safety laws along with Georgia’s other regulations applying to private schools. Schools also must report to parents on students’ academic progress. Where the program could grow is on student eligibility, which is limited to students with special needs who attended public school the previous year. A child with special needs should be eligible for a scholarship in kindergarten or the first year of formal education. Requiring a child to attend their resident public schools when the child’s special needs might be best satisfied in a different school is a disservice to the child. Also, other underserved Georgia students, no doubt, could benefit from a broader program.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
O.C.G.A. §§ 20-2-2110 through 20-2-2118

**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**
- Notify state regarding intention to participate
- Demonstrate financial viability

**LATEST STATS (2014–15)**
Students participating: 3,811
Schools participating: 220
Average voucher value: $5,396

Percent of Georgia K–12 students eligible for the Georgia Special Needs Scholarship Program: 11%
- Comply with federal nondiscrimination requirements of 42 U.S.C. s 2000d
- Comply with state health and safety requirements
- Be accredited or in the process of becoming accredited
- Teachers must have a bachelor’s degree or three years’ experience in education or health
- Provide parents with teachers’ credentials
- Report to parents and state regarding students’ academic progress

Participation in Georgia’s voucher program for students with special needs has grown each year since its inception.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/GA_voucher](http://www.edchoice.org/GA_voucher).
Georgia provides dollar-for-dollar tax credits for donations to Student Scholarship Organizations (SSOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. Individuals may claim up to $1,000, and married couples filing jointly may claim up to $2,500. An individual who is a member of an LLC, a shareholder of an S-Corporation, or a partner in a partnership may claim up to $10,000 of their tax actually paid as a member, shareholder, or partner. Corporate taxpayers may claim up to 75 percent of their total tax liability. The program is capped at $58 million in tax credits per year.

STUDENT FUNDING
Scholarship amounts are determined by SSOs, capped only by the average state and local expenditures per child for public elementary and secondary education in the entire state. For 2016, scholarships are capped at $9,081.

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY
All public school students are eligible if they attended a public school for at least six weeks immediately prior to receiving a scholarship, as are students enrolling in prekindergarten, kindergarten, or first grade. Eligibility continues until a student graduates, reaches age 20, or returns to public school. SSOs may set their own eligibility guidelines.

FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK
Georgia’s tax-credit scholarship program has the opportunity to be one of the most expansive, successful programs in the country, as there are no arbitrary eligibility requirements. Unfortunately, its $58 million cap on available tax credits limits the number of scholarships to less than 1 percent of students statewide. Raising the cap substantially and adding a strong automatic escalator of the cap as contributions rise to meet demand would be an appropriate improvement, given the strong demand for scholarships and the impressive generosity of individual donors in Georgia. The program does benefit from a generous allowance on the size of individual scholarships, which can equal the average funding amount per student in Georgia public schools. Moreover, there are very few regulations placed on participating schools other than the minimal requirements that schools be physically located in the state, obey nondiscrimination laws, and satisfy the normal requirements to be a licensed private school in Georgia.

GOVERNING STATUTES
O.C.G.A. §§ 20-2A-1 through 7 and 48-7-29.16
**S50 REQUIREMENTS**

- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Make scholarships available for more than one school
- Have an independent board of directors
- Ensure donors cannot designate their donation to any particular individual student
- Submit annually to the state:
  - Data on accepted contributions and tax credits approved
  - Independent review of financial statements by a certified public accountant
  - Total number of students and total dollar value of scholarships awarded each year
- Publicly disclose annually:
  - Total number of scholarships approved
  - Total number and amount of donations received
  - Average household income of scholarship recipients

Participation in Georgia’s tax-credit scholarship program is holding steady at about 13,000 students.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/GA_scholarship](http://www.edchoice.org/GA_scholarship).
Illinois allows individuals to claim a credit for educational expenses for dependent students attending a private or public school or being homeschooled. Qualified expenses include tuition, books, and lab or activity fees. The credit is worth a maximum of $500.

**TAX CREDIT VALUE**
Parents receive a tax credit worth 25 percent of their expenditures after the first $250, up to a maximum credit of $500 per family. To get the maximum $500 credit, parents must spend $2,250 on educational expenses; they also must have a state tax liability of at least $500 because the credit is nonrefundable and thus cannot reduce an individual’s tax burden to less than zero.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Educational expenses must be for students who are residents of Illinois, who are younger than 21, and have attended kindergarten through 12th grade in a public or private school in Illinois or were homeschooled.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Illinois’ individual tax credit could be one of the country’s most effective school choice programs if it made some updates. On student eligibility, the program is accessible to all students statewide. Moreover, there are no unreasonable regulations placed on private schools. Those strong features are hurt, however, by the fact participants have very little funding power with a maximum available credit of just $500. Illinois could improve this program dramatically by raising the tax credit to at least the state’s average per-pupil expenditures in public schools and providing a refundable credit (similar to Alabama’s) so that lower-income families can participate. Resulting savings would improve Illinois’ budget position while offering secure, excellent educational options for children in that state.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
35 ILCS 5/ .201(m)

**PARENT REQUIREMENTS**
- Must ensure students are residents of Illinois, under the age of 21 at the close of the school year, and were full-time pupils in grades K-12 in any qualifying public or nonpublic elementary or secondary school

**LATEST STATS (2013)**
Taxpayers participating: 302,855
Average tax credit value: $271

All Illinois taxpayers with children enrolled full-time in grades K–12 are eligible for Tax Credits for Educational Expenses

100%
Usage of Illinois’ individual Tax Credits for Education Expenses increased by more than 82 percent from 2000 to 2013, the last year of publicly available data.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/IL_credit.
Indiana’s School Scholarship Tax Credit program allows individuals and corporations to claim a 50 percent tax credit for contributions to approved Scholarship Granting Organizations (SGOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. There is no limit on the dollar amount of the tax credit that can be claimed, although the total amount of tax credits awarded statewide is limited to $8.5 million. This limit increases to $9.5 million in 2016–17.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Scholarship amounts are determined by SGOs.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Children are eligible to receive scholarships if their family income does not exceed 200 percent of the guidelines needed to qualify for the free and reduced-price lunch program ($89,726 for a family of four in 2015–16). Children must be between ages five and 22 to participate. Current private school students can qualify. Also, qualifying students must have been (1) enrolled in kindergarten, (2) a scholarship recipient in the previous school year from a nonprofit organization that qualifies for certification as an SGO, or (3) a scholarship recipient in the previous school year under this program.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
The eligibility restrictions on Indiana’s tax-credit scholarship program adversely affect the ability of middle- and upper-income families to use choice in education. That income limit should be increased. Additionally, the total cap on credits is just $8.5 million, which restricts the overall amount of money following students. A valuable update makes current private school parents eligible for the scholarship regardless of whether they previously attended a public school. That helps families who have sacrificed to give their child a better education but were not previously enrolled in the public schools. With that increase, however, Indiana will need to raise the cap on available tax credits to compensate for the additional demand.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Ind. Code 6-3.1-30.5 and 20-51-1 through 3

**SGO REQUIREMENTS**
- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships

**LATEST STATS (2014–15)**
- Scholarships awarded: 9,127
- Schools participating: 318
- Scholarship organizations: 5
- Average scholarship value: $1,361

Percent of Indiana families with children meeting the School Scholarship Tax Credit’s income requirement: 52%
Enrollment in Indiana’s tax-credit scholarship program decreased by nearly 18 percent from 2013–14 to 2014–15, potentially due to scholarship students switching into the voucher program.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/IN_scholarship.
Indiana’s Choice Scholarship Program allows students in low- and middle-income families to receive vouchers to attend private school.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Students from families that qualified for the federal free and reduced-price lunch (FRL) program can receive a voucher worth up to 90 percent of the state per-student spending amount for the sending school district. Students from families earning 150 percent of that same threshold can receive a voucher worth up to 50 percent of the state funding allocation for the sending district. Families can supplement vouchers with additional funds. Students eligible to receive special education funds are now eligible to use those funds for special education services at a voucher-accepting school.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Children must be between ages five and 22 to participate. Eligible students include: (1) students who attended a public school (including a charter school) for the preceding two semesters and who are from families earning up to (but not exceeding) 150 percent of FRL ($67,295 for a family of four in 2015–16), (2) students with disabilities that have an Individualized Education Plan and who are from families earning up to (but not exceeding) 200 percent of FRL ($89,726 for a family of four in 2015–16), (3) students who attended or would attend a public school designated “F” and who are from families earning up to (but not exceeding) 150 percent of FRL, (4) students or siblings of students who received a minimum of a $500 tax-credit scholarship in the previous school year from a Scholarship Granting Organization, or (5) students who received a voucher in the previous school year under this program and are from families earning up to (but not exceeding) 200 percent of FRL.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Indiana’s Choice Scholarship Program is the fastest growing statewide voucher program in the country. Still, the program is lacking in some areas. The average voucher amount is below half of what public school students receive. Also, the income eligibility level is too low for higher-income families to participate. Lastly, participating private schools are required to allow the state to review classroom instruction, instructional materials, and curriculum, and administer the state test. The program has ample room to grow by providing the parents with increased funding and eligibility and lowering regulations on private schools.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Ind. Code §§ 20-51-1 through 4

---

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
- Students participating: 32,686
- Schools participating: 316
- Average scholarship value: $3,977 (2014–15)

Percent of K–12 students eligible for Indiana’s Choice Scholarship Program: 59%
SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- Be accredited by either the state or a national or regional accreditation agency that is recognized by the state board

- Comply with health and safety codes

- Must not discriminate on basis of race, color, or national origin

- Conduct criminal background checks on employees

- Administer the Indiana Statewide Testing for Educational Progress (ISTEP) program and report to the state data for A–F ratings including ISTEP scores, graduation rates, and college and career readiness factors

✔ To remain eligible to accept new scholarship students, a school must not be rated as “D” or “F” for two or more consecutive years

- Must grant the state full access to its premises for observing classroom instruction and reviewing any instructional materials and curriculum

- Provide civic and character education and display related historical documents

Participation in Indiana’s voucher program has grown each year since its inception.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/IN_voucher.
Indiana provides a tax deduction for individuals who make educational expenditures on behalf of their dependent children. Any taxpayer who has a child already enrolled in private school or who is homeschooled is eligible to claim up to a $1,000 tax deduction per child for approved educational expenses including private school tuition, textbooks, fees, software, tutoring, and supplies.

**TAX DEDUCTION VALUE**
The tax deduction is worth up to $1,000 per child.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Deductions are available for parents’ expenditures on either private schools or homeschooling for their children.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Indiana’s Private School/Homeschool Deduction program is a good example of providing increased opportunity to parents without unduly burdening participating providers. The legislation permits all families going to a private school or being homeschooled to receive a $1,000 state income tax deduction so long as they are not eligible for the voucher or tax-credit scholarship programs. However, the tax deduction does not, in a practical sense, provide for increased choice or opportunity. It is a very small amount when compared with the costs of private school tuition or time and curriculum required in the homeschool environment. The program does not place additional requirements on the private or homeschool groups, however. For this program to have a truly meaningful effect on families, the cap on the deduction would need to be increased dramatically. Moving closer to Wisconsin’s deduction funding cap would be a positive step in that direction.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Ind. Code § 6-3-2-22

**PARENT REQUIREMENTS**
- Must claim the deduction on their annual state tax return or returns in a manner prescribed by the department of revenue

**LATEST STATS (2014)**
- Taxpayers participating: 52,149
- Average tax deduction: $1,776

Percent of Indiana K–12 students whose parents/guardians are eligible for the Private School/Homeschool Deduction: 10%
• Must claim only unreimbursed education expenditures made in connection with enrollment, attendance, or participation of dependent child in a private elementary or high school education program, including:
  ✓ Tuition
  ✓ Fees
  ✓ Computer software
  ✓ Textbooks
  ✓ Workbooks
  ✓ Curricula
  ✓ School supplies (other than personal computers)
  ✓ Other written materials used primarily for academic instruction and/or academic tutoring

Indiana’s deduction program for schooling expenses saw nearly 2,900 fewer tax return claims from 2013 to 2014.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/IN_deduction.
Iowa provides parents of students in any private or public school a tax credit covering educational expenses, including tuition, books, and lab or activity fees. The credit is worth a maximum of $250.

**TAX CREDIT VALUE**
Parents receive a tax credit worth 25 percent of their expenditures up to a maximum credit of $250 per dependent in school. To get the maximum $250 per-dependent credit, parents must spend $1,000 in educational expenses; they also must have a state tax liability of at least $250 because the credit is nonrefundable and thus cannot reduce an individual’s tax burden to less than zero.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
All students enrolled in accredited nonprofit, public, or private elementary or secondary schools in Iowa are eligible.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Iowa’s individual tax credit provides universal eligibility, a positive attribute in any school choice program. However, the $250 credit is very small compared with the costs of private school tuition. To increase the opportunities for choice, the Iowa program could mirror the Alabama tax-credit program by increasing the size of the credit and making the credit refundable for low-income families. That would exponentially increase the funding power of the program and more closely align student funding with the per-pupil spending in Iowa’s public schools.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Iowa Code § 422.12

**PARENT REQUIREMENTS**
- Must spend at least $1,000 in educational expenses, including tuition and textbooks, for each dependent attending an accredited or approved nonprofit elementary or secondary school
- Must have a state tax liability of at least $250

---

**LATEST STATS (2013)**
Taxpayers participating: 136,937
Average tax credit value: $111

All Iowa taxpayers with children enrolled in K-12 schools are eligible for the Tuition and Textbook Tax Credit
Usage of Iowa’s individual tax credits for education expenses decreased by 29 percent from 2010 to 2013, the last year of publicly available data.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/IA_credit.
Iowa provides a credit on individual income taxes for donations to School Tuition Organizations (STOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. The credit is worth 65 percent of the donation’s value, which also is limited by a statewide cap. A maximum of $12 million in tax credits is available. Each STO is able to grant tax credits to its donors up to its share of the statewide limit, with each STO’s share determined by the enrollment at the schools it serves. Corporate donations can constitute up to 25 percent of the $12 million cap.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Scholarship amounts are determined by STOs.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Children are eligible to receive scholarships if their family income does not exceed 300 percent of federal poverty guidelines ($72,750 for a family of four in 2015–16).

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
The $12 million cap on funding for scholarships is too low to provide the necessary funding for all eligible families. The program, however, does have reasonable regulations on private schools that participate. A school must be accredited, but schools are able to choose independent accrediting agencies outside of the state department of education. Additionally, each STO is able to independently set its own scholarship granting criteria, which allows it to set its own private mission and seek donors who align with that mission. Because the funding cap is too low, an automatic escalator for the tax-credit cap, similar to Florida’s, would allow the program to grow with the demand for scholarships. This will allow true educational freedom for all families who seek a different choice, not create an arbitrary cap that stops those who would wish to attend a different school.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Iowa Stat. § 422.11S

**STO REQUIREMENTS**
• Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships
• Make scholarships available for more than one school

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

- Students participating: **10,848**
- Schools participating: **139 (2014–15)**
- Scholarship organizations: **12**
- Average scholarship value: **$1,624**

Percent of Iowa families with children meeting the School Tuition Organization Tax Credit’s income requirement

36%
Participation in Iowa's tax-credit scholarship program has held steady at around 10,500 students.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/IA_scholarship.
Kansas’ Tax Credit for Low Income Students Scholarship Program allows corporations to claim a 70 percent tax credit for contributions to approved Scholarship Granting Organizations (SGOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. There is no limit on the dollar amount of the tax credit that can be claimed, although the total amount of tax credits awarded statewide is limited to $10 million.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Each SGO determines the amount of the scholarships it distributes, but no scholarship amount can exceed $8,000 to cover tuition, fees, expenses, and the costs of transportation by a qualified school.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Children are eligible to receive scholarships if their family income does not exceed 100 percent of the guidelines needed to qualify for the free lunch program ($31,525 for a family of four in 2015–16); they also must be assigned to a Title 1 Focus School or a Title 1 Priority School (“Failing Schools”). Children must be between ages five and 21 to participate. In addition, children must have been enrolled in any public school the previous school year, unless the child is less than six years of age.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Kansas’ tax-credit scholarship program is a good starting point for the state’s pursuit of private school choice, but it has a long way to go to provide families with increased choices. The $8,000 maximum scholarship amount is high enough to provide even the poorest families with access to almost all private schools in Kansas, yet it provides enough flexibility for each SGO to determine the needs of their individual students. However, student eligibility needs to be improved. By removing the “failing schools” requirement, this program would be simpler for parents to ascertain eligibility and for private schools to effectively reach students. Second, any increase in the income requirement would exponentially expand the program to even more families. A simple extension of families up to 185 percent of the federal poverty limit or even higher would make scholarships available to an even greater number of low-income Kansans. The goal is to provide opportunities to every child in the state, not pick and choose individuals.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
K.S.A. §§72-99a01(Supp) through 72-99a07 (Supp); 79-32,138 (Supp)

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
- Students participating: 73
- Schools participating: 51
- Scholarship organizations: 5
- Average scholarship value: $1,485

Percent of Kansas K–12 students eligible for the Tax Credit for Low Income Students Scholarship Program: 22%
SGO REQUIREMENTS

- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships within 36 months of receiving the contributions
- Must issue a receipt prescribed by the Secretary of Revenue to any contributing taxpayer
- Must receive written verification from the State Board of Education that a student is eligible prior to awarding an educational scholarship for students previously enrolled in a public school
- Report to the State Board of Education all students receiving a scholarship
- Provide the State Board of Education a surety bond or financial information demonstrating the SGO’s ability to pay the amount expected to be received during the school year, if the SGO exceeds $50,000 in donations
- Ensure that qualified schools receiving scholarships are in compliance with the requirements of the program
- At the end of the calendar year, the SGO shall have its accounts examined and audited by a certified public accountant for verification that the education scholarships that were awarded were distributed to eligible students and file a copy of the audit with the State Board of Education
- Submit annually to the state (via a report approved by a certified public accountant):
  ✓ Names and addresses of eligible students receiving an educational scholarship by the SGO
  ✓ Total number and dollar amount of contributions received during the preceding 12-month period
  ✓ Total number and dollar amount of educational scholarships awarded the preceding 12-month period to eligible students

Only one year of participation data is available thus far. We anticipate second year data to be available in January 2017.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/KS_scholarship.
Louisiana’s statewide voucher program is available to low-income students in low-performing public schools.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
The voucher is equal to the lesser of 90 percent of the total state and local funding per student in the student’s home school district or the tuition charged by the private school. Schools accepting students using the voucher may not charge those students more than non-voucher students. Students requiring special educational services are eligible for additional voucher funds equal to the federal special education funding in their home districts.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students are eligible if their family income is no more than 250 percent of the federal poverty line ($60,625 for a family of four in 2015–16) and they either (1) attended a public school designated as C, D, F, or T in the previous year, (2) are entering kindergarten, or (3) were enrolled at a public school in the Recovery School District. If more students apply than the program will allow, participation is determined by random lottery. If a particular private school is oversubscribed under the program, the state Department of Education must conduct a randomized lottery to award seats in that school. In that lottery, students from public schools rated D or F receive priority over students from public schools rated C.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Louisiana’s expanded voucher program greatly improved student eligibility, making it available to more than one-third of students statewide. The program is also strong on funding, as voucher amounts potentially can equal what students in public schools receive. Unfortunately, the program falls short by imposing strict regulations on participating schools. Schools must use an “open admissions process,” ceding control of their admissions processes to the state. Additionally, private schools must administer the state’s standardized test, and the Louisiana Department of Education is required to create an accountability system for participating private schools. The program could improve by not linking eligibility to public schools’ performance. Also, parents, not the state, should determine what tests their children take in private school.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
La. Rev. Stat. §§ 17:4011 through 4025
SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- Private schools must be approved by the state to participate
- Comply with health and safety codes
- Not discriminate on basis of race, color, or national origin
- Use an open admissions process in enrolling scholarship recipients
- Administer all Louisiana state examinations required under the school and district accountability system
- Receive a Scholarship Cohort Index of at least 50 to remain eligible to accept new students
- No more than 20 percent of students receiving scholarships for private schools in operation fewer than two years
- Submit to the state an annual independent financial audit conducted by a certified public accountant
- Conduct criminal background checks on all school employees
- Maintain a curriculum of quality at least equal to that prescribed for public schools

More than 7,100 students are enrolled in Louisiana’s statewide voucher program.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/LA_voucher.
LOUISIANA

Elementary and Secondary School Tuition Deduction

Individual Tax Deduction • Enacted 2008 • Launched 2008

Louisiana allows parents to claim tax deductions for educational expenses, including private school tuition and fees, uniforms, textbooks, curricular materials, lab schools, and any supplies required by the school.

TAX DEDUCTION VALUE
The deductions are worth 100 percent of the total amount spent on tuition, fees, and other eligible expenses at private schools. Individuals may deduct up to $5,000 per child.

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY
All K–12 Louisiana private school students are eligible. Any taxpayer that has private school educational expenses may claim the deduction.

FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK
Louisiana’s individual tax deduction is promising in that any K–12 child enrolled in private school in the state qualifies. Similarly, the deduction does not place any mandates on private schools or service providers. However, in practical use, the $5,000 cap is relatively small for a family’s education expenses and only benefits those households that have state tax liability. For the program to serve more families successfully, Louisiana would have to increase the deduction size.

GOVERNING STATUTES
La. Rev. Stat. §§ 47:293(9)(a)(xiv) and 297.10

PARENT REQUIREMENTS
• Must enroll student in a compliant private elementary or secondary school or any public elementary or secondary laboratory school operated by a public college or university

• Must claim only elementary and secondary school tuition, including:
  ✓ School uniforms required by schools for general day-to-day use
  ✓ Textbooks, curricula, or other instructional materials required by schools
  ✓ School supplies required by schools

LATEST STATS (2012)
Taxpayers participating: 106,549
Average tax deduction: $4,060

Percent of Louisiana K–12 students whose parents/guardians are eligible for Elementary and Secondary School Tuition Deductions

14%
The number of Louisiana tax returns claiming the Elementary and Secondary School Tuition Deduction has grown nearly 15 percent since its enactment.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/LA_deduction.
Louisiana allows students with certain exceptionalities who live in eligible parishes to attend schools of their parents’ choosing that provide educational services specifically addressing their needs. Eligible students are defined generally as those with special needs who have Individualized Education Plans but who are not in accelerated or gifted and talented programs.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
The educational certificate (voucher) is worth 50 percent of the state dollars that would have been spent on the child in his or her public school or the cost of private school tuition, whichever is less. Families are responsible for any additional tuition charged by the school.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students qualify if they have one of seven categories of learning exceptionalities, have an Individualized Education Plan or services plans in accordance with Title 34 of the code of federal regulations, reside in a parish with more than 190,000 residents, are eligible to attend a public school, and are not deemed gifted or talented.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Louisiana’s voucher program for students with special needs is one of the more restrictive voucher programs nationwide, limiting eligibility on both type of learning exceptionality and parish residence. The program is restricted further by the fact vouchers are capped at 50 percent of the funds that otherwise would have gone to the child’s public school. Private schools must be approved by the state and have a program serving the special needs of participants established for two years prior to accepting voucher payments. The program could improve by mirroring Florida’s, Georgia’s, or Oklahoma’s statewide voucher programs for students with special needs.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
La. Rev. Stat. § 17:4031

**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**
- Be approved by the state to participate
- Comply with state nondiscrimination and health and safety requirements

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
Students participating: 342
Schools participating: 22
Average voucher value: $2,264

Percent of Louisiana K–12 students in the state’s most populated parishes* eligible for the School Choice Program for Certain Students with Exceptionalities

7%

*Includes only Caddo, Calcasieu, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, Lafayette, Orleans, and St. Tammany parishes
• Have existed and provided educational services to students with special needs for two years prior to participating in the program
• Teachers must be certified to teach special education

Enrollment in Louisiana’s voucher program for students with special needs grew by 10 percent since 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/LA_voucher2.
Louisiana taxpayers can receive tax rebates for donations they make to School Tuition Organizations (STOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. There is no cap on available rebates.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
For students in grades K–8, scholarships can be worth up to 80 percent of the state average Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) funding per pupil for the previous year. For grades 9–12, scholarships can be worth up to 90 percent of that same figure.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must come from families whose household income is less than 250 percent of the federal poverty line ($60,625 for a family of four in 2015–16). Additionally, they either must be entering kindergarten, have attended a public school during the previous school year, or have previously received a scholarship. Students who received a voucher under the Louisiana Scholarship Program in the previous school year are also eligible.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Louisiana’s tax-credit scholarship program is very strong on funding, as there is no cap on the amount of rebates donors can claim; moreover, individual scholarships can be worth up to 80 percent of the average state funding per pupil in grades K–8 and 90 percent in grades 9–12. However, the program unnecessarily limits eligibility based on income and enrollment status. As a result, only about 44 percent of students statewide qualify to receive scholarships. The program also places a number of regulations on participating schools: Schools must use lotteries to admit students and administer the state math and language arts tests to scholarship students, both of which could dissuade private schools from participating. For this promising program to grow successfully, less pressure should be placed on participating private schools and eligibility should be expanded to include all students, particularly because Louisiana already has a means-tested voucher program.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**

---

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
Students participating: 781
Schools participating: 105
Scholarship organizations: 3
Average scholarship value: $4,214

Percent of Louisiana families with children meeting the Tuition Donation Rebate Program’s income requirement

44%
STO REQUIREMENTS

- Use at least 95 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Conduct background checks on its employees and board members
- Report annually to the state:
  ✓ Total number and dollar amount of contributions received
  ✓ Total number and dollar amount of scholarships awarded
  ✓ Total amount expended on administrative costs
  ✓ Tuition and fee amounts published by participating schools
  ✓ Information on contributions made by each contributor
  ✓ Financial information report by a certified public accountant

Enrollment in Louisiana’s tuition rebate program grew exponentially since 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/LA_scholarship.
Many small towns in Maine do not operate high schools, and some do not have elementary schools. Students in those towns are eligible for vouchers to attend public schools in other towns or non-religious private schools, even outside the state. The “sending” towns pay tuition directly to the “receiving” schools. Although most towns allow parents to choose which schools will receive their students, some towns send all their students to one school.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Public schools in Maine have a tuition rate that sending towns must pay when their students are tuitioned at public schools. For private schools, the tuition rate for elementary students may not exceed the average per-pupil cost on a statewide basis. For secondary pupils, the tuition rate is Maine’s average per-pupil cost for secondary education in the previous year, plus an additional payment intended to cover depreciation of private schools’ buildings. Parents may supplement that voucher with their own money. Voucher values vary from county to county based on current per-student funding levels. Sending towns have the option of increasing the voucher to as high as 115 percent of the per-student funding, but may not reduce the voucher below that rate.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must live in Maine and reside in an identified sending town that does not have a public school at their grade level.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Maine’s town tuitioning is very restrictive on eligibility; students qualify only if their home districts do not have public schools. On funding power, Maine’s program does well, as per-pupil funding can equal the average cost statewide and even can go as high as 115 percent of the child’s current funding. Although the program does not place overly burdensome regulations on private schools, it does restrict religious schools from participating. Maine’s town tuitioning could increase its effectiveness by removing that restriction and not limiting student eligibility to their home districts’ schooling arrangements.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**

---

**LATEST STATS (2014–15)**

Students participating: 5,559  
Schools participating: 60  
Maximum voucher value: $7,933 (K–8), $10,339 (9–12), $9,754 (out of state)  

Percent of Maine students able to participate in the state’s Town Tuitioning Program  

3%
SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- Must meet the requirements for basic school approval
- Must be nonsectarian
- Comply with reporting and auditing requirements
- If enrolling 60 percent or more students under the Town Tuitioning Program, must participate in the statewide assessment program
- Upon the request of a school unit, release copies of all student records for students transferring from the private school to the school unit
- Annually report to the commissioner any information they may require

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/ME_voucher.
Minnesota provides a tax deduction covering educational expenses for students in any private or public school, including homeschooling or schools in North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, or Wisconsin. The tax deduction lowers a family’s taxable income and covers books, tutors, academic after-school programs, and other educational expenses, including tuition payments at private schools.

**TAX DEDUCTION VALUE**

The tax deduction is worth 100 percent of the amount spent on education (including private school tuition), up to $1,625 per child in grades K–6 and $2,500 per child in grades 7–12.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Any parent or guardian who spends money on approved education expenses for a child, including tuition, is eligible to receive the deduction. Also, parents must be tax filers and have proof of eligible expenses.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

Minnesota has one of the oldest school choice programs in the country, but unfortunately that age is showing. The deduction amount is far below what would offer truly meaningful choice for parents, especially parents on the lower side of the income scale. Increasing the deduction size would offer a boost to those parents utilizing school choice. A better option would be to allow tuition to also be covered by Minnesota’s tax credit for educational expenses (page 57). Mirroring the funding size of Wisconsin’s new tuition tax deduction would move this program in the right direction.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**


**PARENT REQUIREMENTS**

- Must ensure students attend a school located in Minnesota, Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota, or Wisconsin
- May not include self-transportation of students as an expense, but may include monies paid to others for transporting students to school

**LATEST STATS (2013)**

Taxpayers participating: 219,914
Average tax deduction: $1,168
Minnesota’s tax deduction program has averaged more than 223,000 tax returns claiming the deduction over the last five years of publicly available data.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/MN_deduction.
Minnesota provides a tax credit covering educational expenses for students in any private or public school, including homeschooling or schools in North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, or Wisconsin. The tax credit reduces the family’s total tax liability and covers books, tutors, academic after-school programs, and other non-tuition educational expenses.

**TAX CREDIT VALUE**
The tax credit is worth 75 percent of the amount spent on educational expenses other than tuition. The total credit amount that a family may claim is equal to $1,000 per child in the family.

The refundable tax credit is phased out for taxpayers earning more than $33,500. For families with one child, the maximum allowable credit is reduced by one dollar for every four dollars of income above $33,500, and the family may not claim the credit at all if its income is above $37,500. For families with two children, the maximum allowable credit is reduced by two dollars for every four dollars of income above $33,500, and, again, the family may not claim the credit if its income is above $37,500. For families with more than two children, the phase-out is still two dollars for every four dollars of income above $33,500, but the $37,500 income ceiling is raised by $2,000 for each child after the first two. For example, a family with four children may not claim the credit if its income is more than $41,500.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Parents must meet the abovementioned income restriction to claim the credit. Also, parents must be tax filers and have proof of eligible expenses.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Minnesota’s Education Credit is plagued by complexities that make it difficult for the average taxpayer to utilize. A more streamlined program with universal eligibility would greatly enhance this program. Although this credit covers education expenses outside tuition, for both public and private schools, those expenses are usually a fraction of what tuition costs. This program could use a serious boost of funding by allowing private school tuition to be counted toward the credit. Moving toward a model more similar to Alabama’s tax credit for educational expenses would update this potentially promising program.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Minn. Stat. § 290.0674

**LATEST STATS (2013)**
- Taxpayers participating: 53,712
- Average tax credit value: $272

Percent of Minnesota families with children meeting the K–12 Education Credit’s income requirement

21%
PARENT REQUIREMENTS

- Must ensure filing status is not married filing separate
- May not include private school tuition as an expense

Since peak usage in 2004, Minnesota’s K–12 Education Credit program has averaged more than 55,000 tax returns claiming the credit over the last five years of publicly available data.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/MN_credit.
Mississippi allows children with dyslexia to receive vouchers to attend accredited private schools that provide dyslexia therapy.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
The maximum voucher amount is equal to the Mississippi Adequate Education Program base student cost, i.e., the funding amount provided by the state to public schools.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must be in first through sixth grade and have been screened properly and diagnosed with dyslexia. Students are eligible if they attended a public school before or a private school that “emphasizes instruction in dyslexia intervention.” The voucher is not available to fund homeschooling, virtual schools, or students in juvenile detention schools.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Mississippi’s Dyslexia Therapy Scholarship program has room to grow in a few areas. On funding, the voucher amount is equivalent to the average amount of state spending per student, not the average amount of state spending per student with special needs. For this reason, the Mississippi Dyslexia Therapy Scholarship program has one of the lowest funding amounts when compared with other voucher programs for students with special needs. The program’s regulations also could become burdensome for private schools; for example, the state board of education is given authority to extend the length of the school day or year for private schools and even develop curriculum and determine textbooks. One way this program could expand to great success would be to mirror Florida’s, Georgia’s, and Oklahoma’s voucher programs for students with special needs. Because the program is set to expire July 1, 2016, the state should allow qualifying students to be automatically eligible for the Equal Opportunity for Students with Special Needs Program.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Miss. Code Ann. §§ 37-173-1 through 31

**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**
- Be a state-accredited special-purpose school
- Provide comprehensive dyslexia therapy instruction delivered by state

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
Students participating: 148
Schools participating: 3
Average voucher value: $4,918

Percent of Mississippi K–12 students eligible for the Mississippi Dyslexia Therapy Scholarship for Students with Dyslexia Program

2%
• Have department of education-licensed dyslexia therapists to children diagnosed with dyslexia as a primary learning disability
• Provide the state all documentation required for a student’s participation
• Provide parents with a written explanation of the student’s progress
• Conduct background checks on teachers and other school personnel
• Submit to annual audits of financial records by the state auditor

Enrollment in Mississippi’s voucher program for students with dyslexia increased by 28 percent from 2014–15 to 2015–16.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/MS_dyslexia.
Mississippi allows children with speech-language impairments to receive vouchers to attend private schools. Participating accredited private schools must be able to provide speech-language therapy.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

The maximum voucher amount is equal to the Mississippi Adequate Education Program base student cost, i.e., the funding amount provided by the state to public schools.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Students must be in grades K–6 and have been screened properly and diagnosed with speech-language impairment. Students are eligible if, during the previous school year, they attended a public or state-accredited special-purpose school that “emphasizes instruction in speech-language therapy and intervention.” The voucher is not available to fund homeschooling, virtual schools, or students in juvenile detention centers.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

Mississippi’s Nate Rogers Scholarship for Students with Disabilities Program, like its predecessor, is very restrictive. On eligibility, according to the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association, nearly 9 percent of young children could be diagnosed with speech-language impairment. That eligibility pool is restricted further in Mississippi in that the voucher is available only to children in grades K–6. Moreover, the Mississippi Department of Education has ruled that if a student with special needs has speech impairment, but speech impairment is not his or her primary disability, that student is ineligible to receive a Nate Rogers voucher. As for funding, the maximum voucher is equivalent to the average amount of state spending per student, not the average amount of state spending per student with special needs. Finally, the program’s regulations could become burdensome for private schools; for example, the state board of education is given authority to extend the length of the school day or year for private schools and even develop curriculum and determine textbooks. In addition, participating schools must be a state-accredited nonpublic special-purpose school that has as its primary purpose the providing of comprehensive speech-language therapy instruction delivered by speech-language pathologists. Accordingly, very few schools can participate in this program. One way this program could expand to great success would be to mirror Florida’s, Georgia’s, and Oklahoma’s voucher programs for students with special needs.

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

- Students participating: 1
- Schools participating: 1
- Average voucher value: $3,556

Percent of Mississippi K–12 students eligible for the Nate Rogers Scholarship for Students with Disabilities Program: 3%
GOVERNING STATUTES
Miss. Code Ann. §§ 37-175-1 through 29

SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- Be approved as a state-accredited nonpublic special-purpose school that is organized to provide, and emphasizes instruction in, speech-language therapy and intervention as the primary purpose of the school
- Provide comprehensive speech-language therapy instruction delivered by speech-language pathologists licensed by the state department of education and the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association
- Annually provide the parents of voucher students a written explanation of the student’s progress
- Conduct criminal background checks on employees
- Allow for an annual audit of its financial records by the state auditor and file a copy of the audit report and accompanying management letter with the state board of education

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/MS_NateRogers.
Mississippi allows students with special needs to receive a portion of their public funding in a government-authorized savings account with multiple uses. Mississippi started accepting applications for this education savings account (ESA) program on July 1, 2015.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
The annual award amount is $6,500, subject to increase or decrease by the same proportion as the Mississippi Adequate Education Program base student cost. (i.e., the funding amount provided by the state to public schools)

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must have had an Individualized Education Plan within the past 18 months. While participating in this program, students are not eligible for either a Dyslexia Therapy Scholarship or a Nate Rogers Scholarship. Participating students are automatically approved for participation for the following year.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Mississippi’s Equal Opportunity for Students with Special Needs Program was enacted as the third education savings account program in the country, paving the way forward for school choice for all. Many states considering ESAs take an “incremental choice” route to reflect Arizona’s pioneering program. In this case, Mississippi also began the program with limited eligibility for children with specified disabilities. While this is a good step for Mississippi students, it is not the end goal for parental choice in education. Mississippi should continue to expand eligibility in the program to allow all Mississippi students to have their education tailored to their individual needs. The program administration is currently under the Department of Education. The administration of the program should be moved to a different government department, such as the Department of Revenue, or to a nonprofit designated to administer the funds. The enrollment cap also severely limits an already limited program. The cap should be lifted entirely to allow all parents of eligible students access to an ESA. Mississippi also does not allow roll-over funds to be saved into a college savings account if they remain unused. This could cause unintended waste. In that case, the roll-over component of this program should allow the use of college savings accounts, which is more consistent with “lifelong learning.”

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

Students participating: **286**  
Schools participating: **10**  
Annual award value: **$6,500**

Percent of Mississippi K–12 students eligible for the Equal Opportunity for Students with Special Needs Program: **13%**
GOVERNING STATUTES
Miss. Code Ann. §§ 37-181-1 through 21

SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- Be accredited by, or hold a provisional letter of accreditation from, a state or regional accrediting agency (does not include a home instruction program),
- Comply with health and safety laws that apply to nonpublic schools
- Hold a valid occupancy permit if required by municipality
- Offer students the option of taking a nationally standardized norm-referenced achievement test
- Comply with nondiscrimination policies in 42 USC § 1981
- Provide parents of prospective students details of the school’s programs, qualifications, experience, and capacity to serve students with disabilities
- Have no record of fraud or abuse
- Exclude from employment anyone not permitted by state law to work in a nonpublic school or who might pose a threat to the safety of students

Because this program is still in its first school year of operation, only one year of participation data are available thus far. We anticipate second year data to be available after the start of the 2016–17 school year.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/MS_ESA.
Montana allows individuals and corporations to claim a 100 percent tax credit for contributions to approved Student Scholarship Organizations (SSOs), nonprofits that provide scholarships for private school and tutoring. The total amount of tax credits awarded statewide is limited to $3 million in 2015–16, a limit that increases 10 percent each year if the cap is reached. No taxpayer may receive a credit larger than $150.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

Scholarship amounts are determined by SSOs. The maximum scholarship is 50 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure for the second most recently completed fiscal year ($5,209 in 2015–16). Each SSO’s average scholarship may not exceed 30 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure for the second most recently completed fiscal year ($3,125 in 2015–16).

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

All students between the ages of five and 19 years old in Montana are eligible.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

Montana’s tax-credit scholarship program is a start in a state with no charter school or private school choice, but it is not a very robust one. The fact Montana disallows SSOs from setting their own rules and regulations by requiring them to work with every private school undermines donor intent and the unique missions of the SSOs, as well as any geographic differences between the state. Additionally, the cap of $150 per donor is so small as to be almost insignificant. It will require dozens of donors just to fund a single scholarship. The rules regarding the amount of funding per scholarship averages are overly complex as well. State government should allow SSOs to set whatever funding criteria they determine prudent and decide how to best manage their own funds. There are some positive notes, however. The program is universal for all children, which is the hallmark of any good educational choice program. Lastly, the escalator clause allows for the program to grow with the donations received, a feature that is absent from some of the other better known programs. However, Montana has a long way to go in order to make this a program that truly offers robust educational opportunities for its children.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**

Mont. Code Ann. §§ 15-30-3101 through 3114
SSO REQUIREMENTS

- Must be a certified 501(c)3
- May not spend more than 10 percent of its annual revenue for scholarships on the administration of the fund
- Must keep separate accounts for scholarship and administrative money
- Must report donations and expenditures to the department of revenue
- Must pay out all donations in three years
- May not limit gifts to a single school or type of school
- Must complete an annual review
- Must not allow any donation to be earmarked for a particular family, child, or school
- May not limit gifts to specific pupils
- Must not provide scholarships exceeding 50 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure for the second most recently completed fiscal year ($5,209 in 2015–16)
- Must ensure that the average scholarship does not exceed 30 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure for the second most recently completed fiscal year ($3,125 in 2015–16)

Although the program has launched, scholarships may not be awarded until January 2016 at the earliest.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/MT_scholarship.
NEVADA

Educational Choice Scholarship Program

Tax-Credit Scholarship • Enacted 2015 • Launched 2015

Nevada allows corporations to claim a 100 percent tax credit for contributions to approved Scholarship Granting Organizations (SGOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships, counted against the Modified Business Tax. Taxpayers may carry forward a tax credit under this program for five years. The total amount of tax credits awarded statewide is limited to $5 million in 2015–16, a limit that increases 10 percent each year.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Scholarship amounts are determined by SGOs. The maximum scholarship is $7,755 in 2015–16, a limit that increases by the CPI increase each year.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
All students receiving scholarships under this program must come from families whose household incomes are at or below 300 percent of the federal poverty line ($72,750 for a family of four in 2015–16).

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
This scholarship program learned from the successes of others before it and have implemented many positive attributes for a school choice program. By increasing the size of the tax credit cap year to year, the program will eventually grow to meet demand, and a tax credit of 100 percent per donor will help raise necessary funds for students. Although this program is still in its first year, it could be broadened to allow for people making up to 300 percent of the level of income needed to qualify for reduced-price lunch. Every family circumstance is different, and we should not arbitrarily cut off families at a predetermined level of income. This is somewhat mitigated by the near-universality of the state’s education savings account program, but nevertheless, these programs should be as broad as possible.

Next, the current level of funding for the tax credit cap is a woeful $5 million, an amount that should be drastically increased in the coming years, over and above the allowable growth. The program does allow for current private school families to access the fund, a very welcomed addition as there are many families who have sacrificed to put their children in private school already. Those families should have the ability to access funding for their children’s education as well.

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

- Scholarships awarded: 541
- Schools participating: 77
- Scholarship organizations: 3
- Average scholarship value: $1,779

Percent of Nevada families with children meeting the Educational Choice Scholarship Program’s income requirement

54%
**SGO REQUIREMENTS**

- Must be a certified 501(c)3
- May not own or operate any private school in the state
- May not spend more than 5 percent of its donations on the administration of the fund
- May not limit gifts to a single school
- May not limit gifts to specific pupils
- Must keep records pursuant to the educational environment of the student

Because this program is in its first year of operation, only one year of participation data is available thus far. We anticipate second year data to be available after the start of the 2016–17 school year.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/NV_scholarship](http://www.edchoice.org/NV_scholarship).
Nevada’s Education Savings Accounts (ESA) program provides parents funds to pay for a variety of educational services for their children, including private school tuition, distance learning programs, tutoring, curriculum, therapy, in-state post-secondary educational institutions, and other defined educational services.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Annual account payments may be worth 100 percent of the statewide average basic support per pupil ($5,710 in 2015–16) for students with special needs or those from households with incomes up to the level of the free and reduced-price lunch program ($44,863 for a family of four in 2015–16). For all other students, annual account payments may be worth 90 percent of the statewide average basic support per pupil ($5,139 in 2015–16).

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students qualify if they attended a Nevada public school for at least 100 days immediately prior to establishing an ESA. Additionally, children of active duty military members and those under 7 years old qualify immediately.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
This program is by far the closest to the type of school choice program Milton Friedman envisioned to date. It also has the highest funded eligibility rate of any program in America to date, 93 percent, and funding amounts for low-income children are set at 100 percent of the average state public school per-pupil funding amount. This program sets the bar that all other school choice programs should try to reach. There is still room for improvement, however. First, additional pathways for eligibility could be added, including a sibling preference, new entrants into the state, and / or low-income students who currently attend private school. Ultimately, every single child in the state should be able to access an ESA. Second, all state expenditures should follow children with special needs, which would provide the most challenged students to access the services they need. Right now, they receive only the base support. Lastly, funding amounts, in general, could be raised to what a public school receives per student. An amount closer to $7,000 per child would help more families access more private schools, especially those who come from low-income households. This is somewhat mitigated by families’ ability to stack the state’s tax-credit scholarship program on top of an ESA, but that program also has funding limitations.
STO REQUIREMENTS

• Must sign an agreement to ensure the student will receive instruction in Nevada from a private school, post-secondary educational institution, a distance learning program, a tutor or tutoring agency, or themselves (although homeschoolers are not eligible for the program)

• Use program funds only for authorized purposes, including:
  ✓ Tuition or fees at a participating school, post-secondary educational institution, or distance learning program
  ✓ Textbooks required by a participating school, post-secondary educational institution, or distance learning program
  ✓ Tutoring services provided by a participating tutor or tutoring facility
  ✓ Payment for purchase of curriculum, including any supplemental materials required by the curriculum
  ✓ Fees for transportation required to travel to and from a participating provider or combination of providers, up to but not exceeding $750 per school year
  ✓ Fees for nationally standardized norm-referenced achievement tests, Advanced Placement examinations or similar exams, or any exams related to college or university admission
  ✓ Fees for any special instruction or special services for students with special needs

• Fees for the management of the ESA by private financial firms

Because this program did not launch until the Spring 2016 semester, participation data will not be available until February 2016 at the earliest.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/NV_ESA.
New Hampshire offers tax credits to businesses for donations to nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. Available tax credits were capped at $3.4 million in the first year and are capped at $5.1 million in the second year. That cap will increase by 25 percent per year thereafter if usage is above 80 percent.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
The average value of all scholarships given by a scholarship organization cannot exceed $2,541, except for students with special needs, whose scholarships cannot be less than $4,447. That amount is adjusted each year to reflect the changes in the Consumer Price Index. For homeschoolers, the scholarship is limited to 25 percent of the program’s average scholarship, or $635.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must be between ages five and 20 and come from households where family income is less than 300 percent of the federal poverty line ($72,750 for a family of four in 2015–16). In the first two years of the program, scholarship organizations are required to award 70 percent of scholarship funds to students who previously attended a public school or who already received a scholarship. Additionally, 40 percent of scholarships awarded must be given to students who qualify for the federal free and reduced-price lunch program.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
New Hampshire’s tax-credit scholarship program is reasonable on school regulations. To participate, private schools only must be approved under state law. On funding and eligibility, however, the program has considerable room to grow. For example, support to homeschoolers is limited to just 25 percent of the maximum average of the private school scholarships. Although the average value of scholarships given to all other students is adjusted at the end of each year to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index, the current overall cap of $2,541 would be more beneficial to families if raised closer to the level of funds otherwise appropriated for a child’s education in a public school. As for eligibility, the program is limited by income and by the statewide cap on tax credits, making scholarships available to less than 1 percent of students statewide. Importantly, that cap is allowed to grow automatically, a key component to ensure students are not shut out from receiving scholarships.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
SCHOLARSHIP ORGANIZATION (SO) REQUIREMENTS

- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Comply with state and federal antidiscrimination and privacy laws
- Be registered with the director of charitable trusts
- Be approved by the state
- In awarding scholarships to students who attended public school or who received a scholarship the previous year, award at least 40 percent of scholarships to students who qualified for free and reduced-price lunch in the final year they were in public school
- Must not restrict scholarships for use at a single school and not reserve scholarships for specific students
- Submit to the state:
  ✓ Total number and dollar amount of scholarships awarded and the percentage of students eligible for free and reduced-price lunch for each of the student eligibility categories
  ✓ Total dollar amount of donations spent on administrative expenses
  ✓ Total carryover dollar amount
  ✓ Total dollar amount of contributions used and not used for scholarships
  ✓ Number of scholarships distributed, per school, and the dollar range of those scholarships
  ✓ Analysis, by ZIP Code, of the place of residence for each student receiving a scholarship
  ✓ Aggregated results of parental satisfaction survey, designed by the state
  ✓ Number of students who graduated and the number who dropped out of school

Enrollment in New Hampshire’s tax-credit scholarship program increased 24 percent since it launched.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/NH_scholarship.
North Carolina allows students with special needs to receive vouchers to attend private schools of their parents’ choosing.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Vouchers are worth up to $4,000 per semester ($8,000 per school year) and may be used for private school tuition and special education services, including services provided to homeschooled students. A total of $4.2 million is available for vouchers for each fiscal year, and any leftover funds will carry over to the next fiscal year.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
To qualify, students must require an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) and receive special education services on a daily basis. Additionally, students must have either been (1) enrolled in a North Carolina public school during the previous semester, (2) received special education services as a preschooler during the previous semester, (3) received a voucher under this program during the previous semester, or (4) be eligible for enrollment in kindergarten or first grade.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Although North Carolina already improved school choice for students with special needs by shifting from a nonrefundable, individual tax credit for educational expenses to this voucher program, the current policy still has room for improvement. The program is strong on eligibility, and is clearly intended to eventually serve all families of children with special needs who think their children would be better served in different schools. Funding per student was increased from $6,000 to $8,000 per student per year, which is laudable and a step in the right direction. The next step should be to take into account additional funds that many students with disabilities need for required specialized services.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
N.C. Rev. Stat. §§ 115C-112.5-9

**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**
- Meet state nondiscrimination policies
- Comply with health and safety requirements

**LATEST STATS (2014–15)**

- Students participating: 611
- Schools participating: 168
- Average voucher value: $5,070

Percent of North Carolina K–12 students eligible for Special Education Scholarship Grants for Children with Disabilities: 12%
Enrollment in North Carolina’s voucher program for students with disabilities more than doubled in its first full school year of operation.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/NC_voucher1](http://www.edchoice.org/NC_voucher1).
North Carolina awards vouchers statewide to students whose families meet certain income requirements.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
The maximum voucher amount allowed is $4,200, not to exceed the private school’s actual tuition and fees. The vouchers may be used for tuition, transportation, equipment, or any other items required by qualifying private schools. Total funding was increased to $17.6 million in 2015–16 and up to $24.8 million in 2016–17, a 129 percent increase over 2014–15 funding levels.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students are eligible to receive vouchers if their family income does not exceed 133 percent of the guidelines needed to qualify for the federal free and reduced-price lunch (FRL) program ($59,668 for a family of four in 2015–16). Students must also have been attending a public school during the previous semester. Kindergartners, first graders, foster children, and children who have been adopted in the past year qualify for vouchers without having to attend a public school.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Like many other voucher programs around the nation, North Carolina’s income-based program could be improved on both per-student funding and eligibility. The scholarship cap of $4,200 per student per year is significant, yet could be improved to more closely align with funding levels the child would have received to attend a public school. Private schools that decide to accept Opportunity Scholarship students do face significant regulations as well, including a requirement to report nationally standardized test performance to the government if they enroll 25 or more scholarship students. It is good that North Carolina allows private schools to choose among nationally norm-referenced tests; however, the state should remove the government reporting requirement and instead require results be reported to parents to maximize administrative flexibility for private schools.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
N.C. Rev. Stat. §§ 115C-562.1 through 562.7

**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**
- Meet state nondiscrimination policies
- Comply with health and safety requirements

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
- Students participating: **2,522**
- Schools participating: **263**
- Average voucher value: **$4,009 (2015–16 projected)**

Percent of North Carolina families with children meeting the income requirement for Opportunity Scholarships: **43%**
• Be accredited by the state board of education, a national or regional accrediting agency, or an active member of the North Carolina Association of Independent Schools, or receive no funding from state government
• Provide the state with documentation for tuition and fees charged
• Conduct criminal background check on staff member with highest decision-making authority
• Provide parents with an annual written explanation of the student’s progress, including scores on standardized achievement tests
• Annually administer a nationally standardized test to voucher students and provide the test results to the state
• Provide graduation rates of voucher students to the state
• Contract with a certified public accountant to perform a financial review for schools accepting students receiving more than $300,000 in voucher grants

Enrollment in North Carolina’s Opportunity Scholarship program more than doubled in its second year.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/NC_voucher2.
Cleveland Scholarship Program

Parents in the Cleveland Metropolitan School District can receive vouchers to send their children to private school or public schools bordering the school district. No more than half of new recipients may be students previously enrolled in private schools.

STUDENT FUNDING
The maximum voucher value is $4,250 for grades K–8 and $5,700 for high school. Families with incomes less than 200 percent of the federal poverty level receive vouchers worth 90 percent of tuition, whereas families above the 200 percent level receive vouchers worth 75 percent of tuition. Parents whose household income is more than the 200 percent threshold agree to pay the remaining tuition. Ohio’s state budget includes $11.9 million in funding for the biennium.

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY
Children in grades K–12 in the Cleveland Metropolitan School District are eligible. Priority is given to families with incomes less than 200 percent of the federal poverty level ($48,500 for a family of four in 2015–16). Children from families with incomes above 200 percent of poverty are eligible to receive vouchers if approved by the Ohio Superintendent of Public Instruction. Participating private schools must accept voucher students on a random basis, giving preference to low-income students if they have more applicants than open seats.

FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK
Cleveland’s voucher program, one of the oldest in the country, could use some refurbishment. Funding for the program is severely restricted, often times below what a private school is able to charge in tuition. Voucher amounts should be closer to what the public school system spends per student ($13,908) and at least should be closer to what the public school district receives in state-only aid ($7,866). Such funding increases would greatly benefit the poorest families and schools who would have struggled to make up the difference in cost. Private school regulations are burdensome, which can lower the number of private schools willing to participate. Mandatory minimum class size requirements, and at the K–3 level, enrollment based on random lottery, income level, and previous enrollment are examples of the heavier-handed regulations with which many private schools take issue. Additionally, the school must administer annual tests each year and report that data to the state department of education. A bright spot for the program is that parents above the income threshold are able to participate albeit at a reduced funding level.

LATEST STATS (2015–16)

Students participating: 8,088
Schools participating: 34 (2014–15)
Average voucher value: $3,101 (2014–15)

All Ohio K–12 students enrolled in the Cleveland Metropolitan School District are eligible for the Cleveland Scholarship Program*

100%

*The Ohio Superintendent must approve families with incomes more than 200 percent of poverty
The ABCs of School Choice

GOVERNING STATUTES
Ohio Rev. Code §§ 3313.974 through 979

SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS
- Be registered to participate and chartered by the state
- Meet state standards for chartered nonpublic schools
- Comply with state laws regarding nondiscrimination and health and safety codes
- Administer the state tests, including the Ohio Graduation Test

The number of Cleveland students using vouchers increased by 20 percent since 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/OH_Cleveland.
Ohio students on the autism spectrum may receive vouchers for education services from a private provider, including tuition at a private school. After participating students receive education services, they apply to the state for reimbursement of expenses.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Education services chosen by parents will be reimbursed by the state for up to $27,000 per year.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must be ages three to 21, diagnosed with an autism spectrum disorder, and registered in a public school special education system. Students may use the voucher whether or not they were enrolled previously in public schools, though students not previously enrolled in public schools must formally transfer into the public system (they do not need to actually leave their private schools).

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Ohio’s Autism Scholarship Program is very similar to Mississippi’s Dyslexia Therapy Scholarship in that it is limited to a small percentage of students with special needs, although it is encouraging that funding per student per year was raised from $20,000 to up to $27,000. The program could improve the regulations it places on participating schools: Schools must have employees with appropriate state credentials, provide quarterly reports to the school district showing academic progress, be in operation for at least one year, and provide academic reports to parents and the child’s resident public school. For those reasons, Ohio’s Autism Scholarship potentially could serve more if it placed fewer regulations on schools and service providers and brought per-pupil funding in line with what students get in their public schools.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Ohio Rev. Code §§ 3310.41 through 43

**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**
- Register with the state
- Comply with state nondiscrimination codes
- Demonstrate fiscal soundness

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students participating</td>
<td>3,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools participating</td>
<td>272 (2014–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average voucher value</td>
<td>$20,279 (2014–15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of Ohio K–12 students eligible for the Autism Scholarship Program: 1%
• Have properly credentialed staff
• Teachers and other staff working with children must undergo background checks
• In operation at least one full year
• Have adequate liability, property, and casualty insurance certified by a certified public accountant
• Provide regular student progress reports to parents and resident public school

Participation in Ohio’s Autism Scholarship Program has increased every year since its creation in 2004.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/OH_Autism.
Ohio students attending chronically “low-performing” public schools are eligible for “EdChoice” vouchers to attend private schools. The cap on available vouchers is 60,000.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

Vouchers are worth up to $4,650 in grades K–8 and $5,900 in grades 9–12, not to exceed the private school’s actual tuition and fees. Participating schools may charge additional tuition for students whose household incomes exceed 200 percent of the federal poverty level, but must accept the voucher as payment in full for students at or below the 200 percent level.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Students are eligible for the program if the student’s resident district is not a school district in which the pilot project scholarship program is operating, and the student satisfies one of the following conditions: the student attends a local public school that has received a grade D or F by the state’s performance index score; the student is assigned to a community school but would otherwise be assigned to a qualifying school; the student attends a local public school that was ranked in the lowest 10 percent of public schools in two of the three most recent rankings and the public school was not declared to be excellent or effective in the most recent rating system; or the student is enrolling in grades K–12 for the first time and would be assigned to a qualifying school, as long as they are at least 5 years old by January 1 of the school year. Starting in the 2016–17 school year, eligibility will extend to public school students in grades K–3 and students entering grades K–12 for the first time in Ohio who attend a school that received a grade of D or F for making progress in improving literacy in grades K–3 and the school did not receive an A grade for making progress in improving literacy in grades K–3 in the most recent published rating prior to the first day of July of the school year for which a scholarship is sought.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

Although a large number of children are eligible under EdChoice, it is extremely difficult to inform parents about their options. The fluid nature of public school rankings makes it hard for parents to be informed of their eligibility year over year. A simpler system, one that is universal or tied to income only, would be simpler to administer for the state. School funding is another sore spot, as private schools must accept the amount as payment in full for the poorest children. This may limit a parent’s choice of schools. Additionally, participating schools must administer the state tests and report those scores back to the state department of education, increasing regulatory and compliance costs for those schools. Ohio would be wise to simplify this voucher program, tie eligibility to something less prone to
fluctuations, allow parents to determine which tests their children take in private schools, and remove the reporting requirements.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Ohio Rev. Code §§ 3310.01 through 17

**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**
- Be chartered by the state
- Meet state standards for chartered nonpublic schools
- Comply with state laws regarding nondiscrimination and health and safety codes
- Teachers and staff working with children must undergo background checks
- Administer state tests; test results are publicized on the Ohio Department of Education website

☑ If a school has over 65 percent of students participating in a voucher program, the school must administer the state test to every child in the school; parents of children who do not receive a voucher may opt out of the state test requirement

Ohio's EdChoice voucher program saw enrollment grow 14 percent since 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/OH_EdChoice](http://www.edchoice.org/OH_EdChoice).
Ohio parents of children with special needs enrolled in public schools are able to receive vouchers to pay for private school tuition and additional services at private therapists and other service providers. Vouchers can be used at public providers (i.e., school districts) if the district chooses to accept voucher students. The number of vouchers available is capped at 5 percent of the students with special needs statewide.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

Vouchers are worth the lesser of fees or tuition charged by the alternative public or private school, the amount of state aid otherwise provided to the public school district, or $27,000. The amount is reduced proportionately if the child is not enrolled in the alternative or private school for the entire school year. The Ohio Department of Education sets maximum funding amounts for different types of disabilities. Parents of students with a category 1 disability (speech and language only) may use the voucher only to pay for services included in their child’s Individual Education Plan (IEP) and cannot use the voucher to pay for tuition at a private school. Vouchers can be used at public providers (i.e., school districts) if the district chooses to accept voucher students.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Children with disabilities must be between ages five and 21, and have at least an initial IEP from the public school district. Vouchers will not be awarded if the IEP is still being developed or is in litigation. Parents must apply for eligibility. Their application must certify that they have received the following information from the alternative or private school: methods of instruction to be used with the child and qualifications of the teachers and instructors who will provide services.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

The Jon Peterson Special Needs Scholarship Program offers generous funding levels to parents, up to $27,000 per child annually. However, the eligibility is capped at only 5 percent of the students statewide, a number that is arbitrarily too low and likely to be met in 2016–17. Likewise, private school regulations are burdensome as participating schools must employ credentialed teachers approved by the state board as well as its entire education program approved by the state department of education. Additionally, the students must take the state test and report them to the Ohio Department of Education, unless that student is excused by federal law or by an IEP. By removing some of the onerous regulations on schools, those institutions would be more willing to help serve more students with special needs.

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

- Students participating: 6,904
- Service providers participating: 263 (2014–15)
- Average voucher value: $9,794 (2014–15)

Percent of Ohio K–12 students eligible for the Jon Peterson Special Needs Scholarship Program: 14%
GOVERNING STATUTES
Ohio Rev. Code §§ 3310.51 through 64

SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS
- Registered by the state
- Comply with state nondiscrimination laws
- Meet health and safety standards
- Submit in writing to the parents of the qualified special education child a profile of the provider’s special education program, including: methods of instruction that will be utilized to provide services to the child and the qualifications of teachers, instructors, and other persons who will provide services to the child
- Administer and report the results of the state’s tests, including the Ohio Graduation Test, unless the student is excused from taking that assessment under federal law or the student’s IEP
- Have properly credentialed staff
- Educational program approved by the state
- Provide record of the implementation of the IEP of each qualified special education student enrolled in the school, including evaluation of the child’s progress to the school district
- Submit to the state information on the type and cost of special education services given to scholarship recipients

Participation in Ohio’s Jon Peterson Special Needs Scholarship Program has more than doubled in its fourth year.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/OH_JonPeterson.
Income-qualified Ohio students in kindergarten, first grade, and second grade are eligible for vouchers to attend private schools, provided they are not otherwise eligible for a voucher in Ohio. Third graders will be eligible starting in the 2016–17 school year.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Vouchers are worth up to $4,650, not to exceed the private school’s actual tuition and fees. Voucher amounts fluctuate based on family income.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
In the third year of the program, students are eligible if they are incoming first-time kindergartners, first-grade, or second-grade students from families with incomes (1) no more than 200 percent of the federal poverty level ($48,500 for a family of four in 2015–16) for a maximum voucher, (2) above 200 percent but no more than 300 percent of the federal poverty level ($72,750 for a family of four in 2015–16) for a voucher worth $3,487.50, or 3) above 300 percent but no more than 400 percent of the federal poverty level ($97,000 for a family of four in 2015–16) for a voucher worth $2,325. If more applications are received than there are vouchers available, priority will be given first to students who received vouchers in the previous year, second to students from families with incomes at or below 100 percent of the federal poverty level ($24,250 for a family of four in 2015–16), and third to students from families with incomes between 100 and 200 percent of the federal poverty level. Once they receive a voucher, a student is eligible in future years unless their family income exceeds 400 percent of the federal poverty level. Only students from low-income families who do not qualify for the EdChoice Scholarship Program are eligible.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Arizona’s individual tax-credit scholarship program is one of the most universal programs in the nation. The enrollment cap in Ohio’s new Income-Based Scholarship Program is one area where this program has seen improvement. It was arbitrarily limited to 2,000 full vouchers to kindergartners for the first year, but now, the number of scholarships will be limited by the total appropriation for the program. At least 5,053 scholarships will be available in 2016 ($23.5 million/$4,650 per scholarship). At least 6,774 scholarships will be available in 2017 ($31.5 million/$4,650 per scholarship). The income thresholds for families in the program allow for job growth and additional income, a model other states could follow. Because Ohio has five school choice programs, it could seek to streamline each of those under the original EdChoice voucher program by raising and restructuring the eligibility caps.

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

- Students participating: **5,718**
- Schools participating: **472** (2014–15)
- Average voucher value: **$3,761** (2014–15)

**Percent of Ohio families with children meeting the Income-Based Scholarship Program’s income requirement**

- **60%**
and sun-setting the other programs. This would lower administrative costs for the state, could expand eligibility, and would help increase parent understanding of educational choice, which would likely boost participation.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Ohio Rev. Code § 3310.032

**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**

- Be chartered by the state
- Meet state standards for chartered nonpublic schools
- Comply with state laws regarding nondiscrimination and health and safety codes
- Teachers and staff with children must undergo background checks
- Administer state tests; test results are published on the Ohio Department of Education website

✓ If a school has more than 65 percent of students participating in a voucher program, the school must administer the state test to every child in the school; parents of children who do not receive a voucher may opt out of the state test requirement

---

**Participation in Ohio’s Income-Based Scholarship Program grew 64 percent in its third year.**

- **2014**: 937 students
- **2015**: 3,481 students
- **2016**: 5,718 students

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/OH_Income](http://www.edchoice.org/OH_Income).
Any Oklahoma student with special needs currently in public school is eligible to receive a voucher to attend private school.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
The voucher is worth the state and local dollars spent on the child in his or her public school or the chosen private school’s tuition and fees, whichever is less. The child’s resident school district can keep up to 5 percent of the funds for administrative purposes.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Any student with an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) in effect and who either spent the prior year attending an Oklahoma public school or is the child of an active-duty member of the armed forces who has been stationed in Oklahoma is eligible. After receiving a voucher, the child will continue to qualify each year through high school graduation or until such time as the child would return to a public school. Students who were previously provided services under an Individual Family Service Plan through the SoonerStart program and during transition were evaluated and determined to be eligible for school district services no longer need to have spent the prior school year in attendance at a public school to be eligible.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Oklahoma’s voucher program for children with special needs earns high marks for funding. The program also has fairly minimal regulations: Private schools must meet the state’s accreditation requirements, demonstrate fiscal soundness, adhere to nondiscrimination laws, follow health and safety codes, be academically accountable to parents, and be in operation for at least one year before accepting students. The only shortcoming of Oklahoma’s voucher program is its obvious limitation in terms of student eligibility. Given its other strengths, Oklahoma should consider expanding the program’s eligibility pool to include all students in need.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- Be accredited by the state board of education or approved accrediting association
- Comply with state nondiscrimination and health and safety requirements
- Must have been in operation for one school year prior to participation in the program
- Provide a statement by a certified public accountant confirming the private school is insured and the owners have sufficient capital or credit to operate or provide record of a surety bond or credit for the amount equal to the scholarship funds for any quarter
- Teachers must have a bachelor’s degree or at least three years of teaching experience in public or private schools, or have special skills, knowledge, or expertise that qualifies them to provide instruction in the subjects taught

Participation in Oklahoma’s voucher program for students with special needs grew 27 percent from 2013–14 to 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/OK_LindseyNicole.
Oklahoma provides tax credits for donations to Scholarship Granting Organizations (SGOs), nonprofits that coordinate private school scholarships. The allowable tax credit is 50 percent of the amount of contributions made during a taxable year, up to $1,000 for single individuals, $2,000 for married couples, and $100,000 for corporations, now including S-Corporations. The program is capped at $5 million, of which $3.5 million is dedicated to private school scholarships with a separate $1.5 million in tax credits available for donations made to organizations that distribute “educational improvement grants” to public schools. If donations exceed the statewide cap in a given year, the Oklahoma Tax Commission will allocate the tax credits to individuals (or corporations) on a pro-rata basis. Any taxpayer who makes a written commitment to contribute the same amount to an SGO for two consecutive years shall have their credit worth 75 percent of their total contribution, as opposed to 50 percent for a single year.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
For students without an Individualized Education Plan (IEP), scholarships may be worth up to $5,000, or 80 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure in the assigned public school district, whichever is greater. For students with special needs who attended a public school with an IEP, the scholarship is worth up to $25,000.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students are eligible if they either live in families with incomes up to 300 percent of the free and reduced-price lunch program ($134,589 for a family of four in 2015–16) or attend or live in the attendance zone of a public school designated as “in need of improvement.” Once a student has received a scholarship, that student and his or her siblings remain eligible until high school graduation or age 21.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Oklahoma’s tax-credit scholarship program could be one of the most generous in the nation because of the high income limit for eligibility; however, the $3.5 million cap on credits severely restricts the number and amount of scholarships that can be awarded. Additionally, the pro-rata distribution of tax credits to donors makes administration difficult for SGOs, as they cannot notify donors of the credit they will receive until the state tax commission receives all credits claimed for the year. Donors are also only allowed a 50 percent tax credit for donations (unless they commit to two years of giving, which raises their credit to 75 percent). The program has a reasonable level of school regulations: Participating schools must provide progress reports to parents, be accredited, follow health and safety codes, and obey nondiscrimination laws. The program has a tremendous opportunity to be one of the nation’s strongest, if the eligibility and overall funding cap are raised or removed entirely and the pro-rata method for distributing tax credits is removed.
GOVERNING STATUTES

SGO REQUIREMENTS

• Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships
• Register as an SGO with the state
• Spend portion of expenditures on scholarships for students who qualify for the free or reduced-price lunch program ($44,123 for family of four in 2014–15) in an amount equal or greater to the percentage of eligible low-income students in the state
• Ensure scholarships are portable during the school year and can be used at any qualified school that accepts the eligible student
• Conduct background checks on employees and board members
• Maintain full and accurate records on contributions and expenditures and other documentation required by the state

Participation in Oklahoma’s tax-credit scholarship program increased 17 percent from 2014–15 to 2015–16.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/OK_scholarship.
Pennsylvania provides tax credits for corporate contributions to the following nonprofit organizations: Scholarship Organizations (SOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships; Educational Improvement Organizations (EIOs), nonprofits that support innovative programs in public schools; or prekindergarten scholarship organizations (PKSOs). Tax credits are worth 75 percent of the contribution; however, a 90 percent credit can be claimed if the corporation commits to two consecutive annual contributions. In either case, the maximum tax credit is $750,000 per company; however, this cap will be lifted from October 1 through November 30 if credits go unclaimed. Credits are awarded to companies on a first-come, first-served basis until the $100 million cap is reached. In 2014, the Pennsylvania legislature passed a bill allowing business firms to apply for an alternative tax credit if the preferred credit is unavailable; i.e., a corporate donor may elect to donate to the Educational Improvement Tax Credit Program (EITC) and simultaneously apply for an alternate tax credit through the Opportunity Scholarship Tax Credit Program (OSTC), a separate tax-credit scholarship program for low-income students in “low-achieving” school zones.

STUDENT FUNDING
Scholarship amounts are determined by each approved organization.

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY
Children are eligible for scholarships if their household incomes are less than $75,000 plus $15,000 for each child in the family. For example, a family with one child must have an income below $90,000, whereas a family with three children must have an income below $120,000. The figures will increase in future years to account for inflation.

FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK
Pennsylvania’s tax-credit scholarship program has considerable room to grow on overall funding, as the cap on available tax credits is limited to $100 million. However, the income requirements in the program are among the most generous of the means-tested school choice programs. As for scholarship funding, the program gives SOs the opportunity to determine the size of scholarships distributed; however, that is somewhat meaningless given the cap on overall funding, which tends to incent SOs to give smaller scholarships. The program fares well on school regulations; there are no testing requirements or admissions requirements, and all private schools can qualify as long as they satisfy the state’s mandatory attendance requirements and obey the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Pennsylvania’s program should increase the overall cap on tax credits, which would allow scholarship sizes and student participation numbers to grow.

LATEST STATS (2014–15)
Scholarships awarded: 34,826
Schools participating: N.A.
Scholarship organizations: 265 (2013–14)
Average scholarship value: $1,587 (2013–14)

Percent of Pennsylvania families with children* meeting the Educational Improvement Tax Credit Program’s income requirement
54%

*Assumes one child per family
GOVERNING STATUTES
72 P.S. §§ 8701-F through 8708-F and 9902E

SO REQUIREMENTS
- Use at least 80 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Make scholarships available for more than one school
- Submit annual report detailing donations received and scholarships awarded and proof of a financial review by a certified public accountant

Although Pennsylvania’s first tax-credit scholarship program has been volatile since 2008–09, participation increased from 2012–13 to 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/PA_scholarship1.
Pennsylvania provides tax credits for corporate contributions to Opportunity Scholarship Organizations (OSOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. A company may claim a tax credit worth 75 percent of its contribution (90 percent if it commits to the same amount for two consecutive years). Tax credits are available on a first-come, first-served basis. In 2014, the Pennsylvania legislature passed a bill allowing business firms to apply for an alternate tax credit if the preferred credit is unavailable. (I.e., a corporate donor may elect to donate to the Opportunity Scholarship Tax Credit Program (OSTC) and simultaneously apply for an alternate tax credit through the Educational Improvement Tax Credit Program (EITC), Pennsylvania’s original tax-credit scholarship program.) The total funding amount of tax credits is capped at $50 million. OSOs also are required to give preference to students who received scholarships the previous year, those eligible for free and reduced-price lunch (FRL), and FRL students in certain school districts (a “first class” school district, a district with more than 7,500 students that receives an advance of its basic education subsidy, or a school district that has both received an advance of its subsidy and is either in financial distress or is involved in a school finance lawsuit against the state).

STUDENT FUNDING
Scholarship amounts are determined by OSOs, which are capped at $8,500 for non-disabled students ($15,000 for students with disabilities), or the amount of tuition and fees, whichever is less. Public school boards also are allowed to set up tuition grant programs that allow students to attend a public or private school. For private schools under such programs, the tuition grant is limited to the state’s per-pupil subsidy amount.

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY
Students must live in a “low-achieving” school zone, with low-achieving defined as the state’s bottom 15 percent of public schools based on standardized test scores. Also, children are eligible only if their household incomes are less than $75,000 plus $15,000 for each child in the family. Income limitations multiply for students with certain disabilities. Those levels are allowed to increase to account for inflation.

FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK
Because of the myriad requirements needed to qualify for a scholarship—coupled with the overall funding cap—the chief weakness of Pennsylvania’s newer tax-credit scholarship program is its student eligibility. On school regulations, there are no testing requirements or admissions restrictions; schools simply must obey nondiscrimination laws. For this program to grow successfully, Pennsylvania should consider cutting some of the complexity and red tape placed on student eligibility.

LATEST STATS (2014–15)
- Scholarships awarded: 14,987
- Schools participating: N.A.
- Scholarship organizations: 173 (2013–14)
- Average scholarship value: $2,312 (2013–14)

Percent of Pennsylvania K–12 students eligible for the Opportunity Scholarship Tax Credit Program
7%
GOVERNING STATUTES
72 P.S. §§ 8701-G.1 through 8712-G.1

OSO REQUIREMENTS

• Use at least 80 percent of contributions for scholarships

• Make scholarships available for more than one school

• Submit annual report detailing donations received and scholarships awarded to the state, including number of scholarships awarded and total and average amounts of scholarships awarded to students from households with a family income that does not exceed 185 percent of the federal poverty guideline ($44,863 for a family of four in 2015–16)

• Submit a copy of a financial audit conducted by a certified accounting firm

The number of scholarships awarded in Pennsylvania’s second tax-credit scholarship program nearly doubled from 2013–14 to 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/PA_scholarship2.
Rhode Island provides a credit on corporate income taxes for donations to scholarship-granting organizations (SGOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. Tax credits are worth 75 percent of the contribution, or 90 percent if donated for two consecutive years and the second year’s donation is worth at least 80 percent of the first year’s donation. The total amount of tax credits is capped at $1.5 million. Each corporate donor can receive only $100,000 in tax credits each year and cannot use surplus donations in one year to generate tax credits in future years.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Scholarship amounts are determined by SGOs.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must have family incomes at or below 250 percent of the poverty level ($60,625 for a family of four in 2015–16).

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Rhode Island’s $1.5 million cap for available funding is extremely low when compared with demand. Only about one-third of potential donors have been able to participate in any given year. SGOs have the ability to determine their own student funding amounts, which is a plus; however, the overall cap on tax credits severely limits the potential and overall scope of those scholarships. The 75 percent deduction if donating for one year or 90 percent if donating for two years offers an attractive opportunity for corporations to continue supporting the program. And private school regulation is kept to a minimum: Schools must comply with health, safety, and nondiscrimination laws, employ teachers with bachelor’s degrees, and conduct teacher background checks. The program could serve more children if the overall cap were increased or an escalator clause, similar to Florida’s, were added to allow the program to grow to match demand.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
R.I.G.L. §§ 44-62-1 through 44-62-7

**SGO REQUIREMENTS**
- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Provide annual report to the state detailing number and value of scholarships awarded, ZIP Codes of recipients, and criteria used to award scholarships

**LATEST STATS (2015)**
- Students participating: 503
- Schools participating: 41
- Scholarship organizations: 5
- Average scholarship value: $3,024
- Percent of Rhode Island families with children meeting the Tax Credits for Contributions to Scholarship Organizations’ income requirement: 38%
Following two years of decline since its high point in 2009, the number of students using Rhode Island’s tax-credit scholarship program has grown more than 50 percent since 2011.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/RI_scholarship.
South Carolina allows individuals, partnerships, corporations, and similar entities to claim a 100 percent tax credit for contributions to approved Scholarship Funding Organizations (SFOs), nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. Individuals, partnerships, corporations, and similar entities can claim tax credits up to 60 percent of their tax liability; however, married couples filing separate returns may claim only half of the tax credit allowed had they filed jointly. The total amount of tax credits awarded statewide is limited to $8 million.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
The maximum scholarship amount an SFO may award is $10,000. Scholarships may be used for tuition, transportation, textbook expenses, or any combination of these at qualifying private schools.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students are eligible to receive scholarships if they have been designated by the South Carolina Department of Education as meeting the federal definition of a “child with a disability” (34 CFR 300.8). Additionally, a student’s parents must believe the assigned public school district does not sufficiently meet the student’s needs. Students who have been diagnosed within the last three years by a licensed speech-language pathologist, psychiatrist, or medical, mental health, psycho-educational, or other comparable licensed healthcare provider as having a neurodevelopmental disorder; a substantial sensory or physical impairment (such as deaf, blind, or orthopedic disability); or some other disability or acute or chronic condition that significantly impedes the student’s ability to learn and succeed in school without specialized instructional and associated supports and services tailored to the child’s unique needs are eligible.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
South Carolina’s first school choice program could be improved upon in a few key areas. For example, the program’s scholarship funding fails to take into account the actual cost of serving a particular student’s exceptional educational needs. Additionally, the $8 million cap on credits will likely limit the number of scholarships SFOs are able to award, although there may be some flexibility based on participation in the new refundable tax credit program. The total cumulative cap for both programs is $12 million. To improve upon this new opportunity, South Carolina needs to increase eligibility beyond students with exceptionalities and raise the limit on tax credits available to donors, similar to what its neighbor to the west, Georgia, has done with its tax-credit scholarship program.

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
- Students participating: 2,100
- Schools participating: 105
- Scholarship organizations: 4
- Average scholarship value: $4,078

Percent of South Carolina K–12 students eligible for the Educational Credit for Exceptional Needs Children: 13%
GOVERNING STATUTES
S.C. State Budget Proviso 1.85

SFO REQUIREMENTS
- Use at least 97 percent of contributions for scholarships
- Allocate all scholarships to exceptional needs students
- Serve more than one school
- Conduct financial audit performed by a certified public accountant

Enrollment in South Carolina’s tax-credit scholarship program increased more than 82 percent from 2014–15 to 2015–16.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/SC_scholarship.
South Carolina provides a refundable tax credit to parents or guardians of students with special needs. If the state taxes owed by the parents are less than the total credit allowed, they may receive a refund equal to the balance of the unused credit.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
Parents or guardians receive a refundable tax credit worth the lesser of (1) $10,000 per student or (2) their children’s actual cost of attending school. However, if the student receives a tax-credit scholarship from an approved Scholarship Funding Organization, then the credit claimed may only equal the difference of $10,000 or the cost of tuition, whichever is lower, and the amount of the tax-credit scholarship. The total cap on the program is $4 million, although there may be some flexibility based on participation in the Educational Credit for Exceptional Needs Children program. Total cumulative cap for both programs is $12 million.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Parents are eligible if their child has been designated by the South Carolina Department of Education as meeting the federal definition of a “child with a disability” (34 CFR 300.8). Additionally, a student’s parents must believe the assigned public school district does not sufficiently meet the student’s needs. Students who have been diagnosed within the last three years by a licensed speech-language pathologist, psychiatrist, or medical, mental health, psycho-educational, or other comparable licensed healthcare provider as having a neurodevelopmental disorder; a substantial sensory or physical impairment (such as deaf, blind, or orthopedic disability); or some other disability or acute or chronic condition that significantly impedes the student’s ability to learn and succeed in school without specialized instructional and associated supports and services tailored to the child’s unique needs are also eligible. Students must attend an eligible independent school.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
This refundable tax credit directly empowers parents to choose an appropriate education for their child, knowing in advance how much money the state will reimburse them for their approved educational expenditures. This type of program is relatively easy for parents to navigate and understand. Two areas of improvement are suggested: 1) to pass enabling language, if necessary, for lending institutions to offer tax refund anticipation loans so that parents who do not have positive cash flow to pay tuition up front may nonetheless access funds to participate in this program and 2) to expand this refundable tax credit so that every child in the state may benefit.
GOVERNING STATUTES
S.C. State Budget Proviso

PARENT REQUIREMENTS

- Must provide certification that the student has exceptional needs, certification that the student was enrolled in an eligible school, and proof of the cost of attendance at the eligible school

Although this program launched in 2015, first year data will most likely not be available from the Department of Revenue until the end of 2016.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/SC_credit.
TENNESSEE

Individualized Education Account Program

Education Savings Account • Enacted 2015 • Launching 2017

Tennessee’s Individualized Education Account (IEA) Program provides parents funds to pay for a variety of educational services for their children, including private school tuition, tutoring, online education, curriculum, therapy, post-secondary educational institutions in Tennessee, and other defined educational services. The program will launch in 2016–17.

STUDENT FUNDING
An IEA is funded at an amount equivalent to 100 percent of the state and local funds reflected in the state funding formula that would have gone to the student had he or she attended their zoned public school plus special education funds to which the student would otherwise be entitled under the student’s Individualized Education Plan (IEP).

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY
Students qualify if they are eligible to enroll in kindergarten through 12th grade. They must have an IEP and have been diagnosed with one of the following: autism, deaf-blindness, a hearing impairment (including deafness), an intellectually disability, an orthopedic impairment, a traumatic brain injury, or a visual impairment (including blindness). Additionally, students must either (1) have been enrolled in a Tennessee public school during the previous two semesters, (2) be attending a Tennessee public school for the first time, or (3) have received an IEA in the previous school year.

FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK
As the fourth education savings account program (ESA) in the country, Tennessee’s Individualized Education Program is another move toward more student-centered choice in education. Many states considering ESAs take an “incremental choice” route to reflect Arizona’s pioneering program. In this case, Tennessee also began the program with limited eligibility for children with specified disabilities. Although this is a good step for Tennessee students, the program has room to improve. Tennessee should continue to expand eligibility in the program to include all Tennessee students. The program administration is currently under the Department of Education, but should be shifted to a different governmental department, such as the Department of Revenue, or to a nonprofit designated to administer the funds.

GOVERNING STATUTES
Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 49-10-1401 through 1406

LATEST STATS (2016–17)

- Students participating: N.A.
- Schools participating: N.A.
- Average annual award value: $6,200 (projected)

Percent of Tennessee K–12 students eligible for Individualized Education Accounts

2%
PARENT REQUIREMENTS

• Must sign an agreement to:
  ✓ Provide the student in at least the subjects of reading, grammar, mathematics, social studies, and science
  ✓ Not enroll the student in a public school
  ✓ Release the LEA in which the student resides and is zoned to attend from all obligations to educate the student

• Use program funds only for authorized purposes, including:
  ✓ Tuition or fees at a participating school
  ✓ Textbooks required by a participating school
  ✓ Tutoring services provided by a tutor accredited by a state, regional, or national accrediting organization
  ✓ Payment for purchase of curriculum, including any supplemental materials required by the curriculum
  ✓ Fees for transportation paid to a fee-for-service transportation provider
  ✓ Tuition or fees for a nonpublic online learning program or course
  ✓ Fees for nationally standardized norm-referenced achievement tests, AP examinations, or any examinations related to college or university admission
  ✓ Contributions to a Coverdell education savings account for the benefit of the participating student
  ✓ Educational therapies or services for participating students from a licensed or accredited practitioner or provider, including licensed or accredited paraprofessionals or educational aides
  ✓ Services provided under a contract with a public school, including individual classes and extracurricular programs
  ✓ Tuition or fees at an eligible postsecondary institution
  ✓ Textbooks required for courses at an eligible postsecondary institution
  ✓ Fees for the management of the IEA by private financial firms

• Ensure that students in grades 3-8 are annually administered either a nationally norm-referenced test identified by the Tennessee department of education or the Tennessee state tests (TCAP) or any future replacements of the TCAP tests

This program will not launch until January 2017, so there will not be any participation data until that time.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/TN_ESA.
Most Utah students who have disabilities are eligible to receive vouchers to attend private school. However, participation is limited by the amount of money appropriated to the nearly $4.4 million program fund.

STUDENT FUNDING
Vouchers are based on the state’s weighted pupil unit, an element of its school financing formula. Students who receive three or more hours of special education services per day get vouchers worth 2.5 times the weighted pupil unit, whereas students receiving fewer than three hours per day get vouchers worth 1.5 times the weighted pupil unit. In 2015–16, those values work out to $7,730 and $4,638, respectively. The voucher may not exceed the private school’s actual tuition and fees.

STUDENT ELIGIBILITY
Public school students between ages three and 21 identified as having disabilities under federal disability rights law are eligible to receive vouchers, as well as students with special needs in private schools that served students with disabilities prior to participating in the program. Participation is limited by the amount of money appropriated each year, with applications subject to random lottery.

FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK
Although overall funding is low for Utah’s voucher program for students with special needs, the cap on appropriations can increase if the number of students in the program equals or exceeds 7 percent of the students with special needs in preschool through high school. Additionally, regulations on private schools are light when compared with other states: Participating schools must provide the results of an annual assessment to parents along with the educational services, and associated costs, being offered a child, be approved by the state, and comply with health, safety, and nondiscrimination laws.

GOVERNING STATUTES
Utah Code §§ 53A-1a-701 through 710

SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS
• Be approved by the state
• Comply with federal nondiscrimination requirements of 42 U.S.C. 2000d

LATEST STATS (2014–15)
Students participating: 700
Schools participating: 43
Average voucher value: $5,342

Percent of Utah K–12 students eligible for the Carson Smith Special Needs Scholarship Program

12%
• Comply with state health and safety codes
• Submit to the state an audit and financial report completed by a certified public accountant
• Possess adequate working capital to maintain operations for the first year
• Disclose to parents the special education services to be provided and the cost of those services
• Administer annual assessment of a student’s academic progress and report results to the student’s parents
• Teachers of recipients must have bachelor’s degrees, three years of teaching experience, or special skills
• Provide parents with their voucher students’ teacher’s credentials

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/UT_CarsonSmith.
Many towns in Vermont, particularly in rural areas, do not operate public high schools and/or elementary schools. Students in those towns may use public dollars to attend any public or approved independent (private), non-religious school in or outside of Vermont. The “tuitioning” towns pay tuition directly to the “receiving” schools. For 2015–16, tuition amounts equal $12,294 for grades K–6 and $14,297 for grades 7–12. Although most tuitioning towns allow parents to choose which schools will receive their students, some towns send all their students to one school.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
When students are tuitioned at public schools, the sending town pays the receiving school district an amount equal to the receiving district’s average per-pupil costs, as calculated by the Vermont Agency of Education. When students are tuitioned at private schools, the voucher is worth up to the average announced tuition for Vermont public schools, calculated each year by the state, or the private school’s tuition (whichever is less). That figure is calculated separately for grades K–6, 7–8, and 9–12.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Students must live in Vermont and reside in an identified tuition town.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Vermont’s town tuitioning is very restrictive on eligibility, based on the fact students qualify only if their home district does not have a public school; only about 3 percent of the state’s student population lives in such towns. The program does far better on funding, as each student can receive more than 75 percent of the average state and local revenue per pupil. Also, the program does not place overly burdensome regulations on participating schools. Vermont’s unique school choice program should increase student eligibility beyond ZIP Code assignment.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
16 V.S.A. §§ 821-36

**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**
- Must be non-sectarian

**LATEST STATS (2013–14)**

- Students participating: 3,585
- Schools participating: 151
- Average voucher value: $14,681

Percent of Vermont K–12 students able to participate in the state’s Town Tuitioning Program: 4%
Participation increased nearly 40 percent in Vermont’s Town Tuitioning Program from 2012–13 to 2013–14.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/VT_voucher.
Individual and business taxpayers in Virginia can receive tax credits worth 65 percent of their donations to Scholarship Foundations, nonprofits that provide private school scholarships. An individual must donate at least $500 and may not donate more than $125,000 per year. There is no limit on the size of business donations. The number of available tax credits is capped at $25 million a year. Donors can take the credit against the same year’s tax obligation.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

The total scholarship for any student cannot exceed the actual education expenses of the student or 100 percent of the per-pupil amount distributed to the local public school by the state, whichever is less.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Students must come from households where family income is less than 300 percent of the federal poverty line ($72,750 for a family of four in 2015–16); students with special needs also are eligible and have a higher income limitation (400 percent of the federal poverty line or $97,000 for a family of four in 2015–16). Students must either be enrollees in kindergarten or first grade, a public school student the previous school year, a previous scholarship recipient, or a new resident of Virginia.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

The overall funding cap of $25 million limits Virginia’s scholarships to the already limited pool of qualifying students, and credits received are capped at 65 percent of the contribution amount. That program design does not incentivize substantial donations. The program is limited further by its per-pupil funding, which is only 42 percent of the funding available from state and local sources to public school students. On a positive note, the program does require participating students to take a nationally norm-referenced test, rather than just a state standardized test. Virginia should consider increasing the funding and credit caps for this program, expand student eligibility, and increase the scholarship size.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**


**SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION (SF) REQUIREMENTS**

- Be approved by the state
- Use at least 90 percent of contributions for scholarships

**LATEST STATS (2014–15)**

- Scholarships awarded: 1,368
- Schools participating: 107
- Scholarship organizations: 21
- Average scholarship value: $2,535

Percent of Virginia families with children meeting the Education Improvement Scholarship Tax Credits Program’s income requirement: 33%
• Make scholarships available for more than one school
• Comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
• Conduct an annual audit, review, or compilation on tax-credit-derived funds
• Submit to the state:
  ✓ Total number and dollar amount of contributions received
  ✓ Dates when such contributions were received
  ✓ Total number and dollar amount of scholarships awarded

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/VA_scholarship.
Milwaukee families earning up to 300 percent of the federal poverty guidelines qualify to receive vouchers. Once a student receives a voucher, that student is able to keep it, regardless of his or her family’s future income. Voucher students are allowed to attend any in-state private school participating in this program.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

In 2015–16, the maximum voucher amount is $7,214 for grades K–8 and $7,860 for grades 9–12. Each school year, maximum voucher payments increase by a dollar amount equal to the dollar amount increase in general school aid to Wisconsin public schools. Parents of students in grades 9–12 that have an income greater than 220 percent of the federal poverty level ($53,350 for a family of four in 2015–16) may be charged additional tuition above the voucher amount.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Students who live in Milwaukee and whose family income does not exceed 300 percent of the federal poverty level are eligible ($72,750 for a family of four in 2015–16); moreover, a family’s income limit increases by $7,000 if the student’s parents/legal guardians are married. Students who are continuing the program from previous years and those who were on a school’s waiting list in the prior year because the school did not have space available do not need to demonstrate income eligibility. Once a student receives a voucher, that student is able to keep it, regardless of his or her family’s future income.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

The Milwaukee Parental Choice Program’s income limits cover more than 58 percent of all Milwaukee families, earning strong marks on eligibility. However, the maximum voucher of $7,214 (K–8) and $7,860 (9–12) per pupil is only slightly more than half of what Milwaukee public school pupils get. Additionally, the program’s regulations are somewhat extensive. Voucher students must be allowed to opt out of religious programs. Also, participating private schools must submit to mandatory academic standards, specific hours of yearly instruction, and must admit students on a random basis and allow all applicants to enroll if they have capacity. Accountability provisions also require that state tests be used. The Milwaukee Parental Choice Program could improve in each area: Increase eligibility, raise voucher amounts closer to public school students’ levels, and lower regulations on participating schools. That could include allowing parents—not the state—to determine which tests their children take in private schools and removing the reporting requirements.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**

Wis. Stat. § 119.23 and 235

---

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

- Aid membership (FTE): 26,766.9
- Schools participating: 117
- Average voucher value: $7,366 (2014–15)

Percent of Milwaukee families with children meeting the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program’s income requirement*

68%

*Assumes parents/legal guardians are not married
SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

• Meet state nondiscrimination policies
• Meet state health and safety codes
• Allow students to opt out of religious programs
• Administer state testing to voucher recipients in fourth, eighth, and 10th grade
• Receive accreditation within three years of participating in the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program (current participating schools adding grades or creating a new school are exempt)
• Submit an annual financial audit conducted by a certified public accountant to the state
• Admit eligible students on a random basis
• Provide evidence of sound fiscal practices and financial viability to the state
• School administrators must undergo financial training and have at least a bachelor’s degree from an accredited institution of higher education
• Teachers must have a bachelor’s degree from an accredited institution of higher education and teacher aides must have received a high school diploma or been granted a GED or HSED
• Must provide 1,050 hours of direct pupil instruction in grades 1–6 and 1,137 hours of direct pupil instruction in grades 7–12
• Must provide the state with information about the academic program at the participating school and student test score data

Enrollment in Wisconsin’s Milwaukee Parental Choice Program is up nearly 3 percent since 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/WI_Milwaukee.
Wisconsin’s Parental Private School Choice Program (Racine) is open to any income-qualified child who attended Racine Unified Public Schools in the prior year or any current or entering private school student in kindergarten (including 4- and 5-year-old students) or first grade. Ninth-graders entering private school also are eligible.

**STUDENT FUNDING**

In 2015–16, the maximum voucher amount is $7,214 for grades K–8 and $7,860 for grades 9–12. Each school year, maximum voucher payments increase by a dollar amount equal to the dollar amount increase in general school aid to Wisconsin public schools. Parents of students in grades 9–12 that have an income greater than 220 percent of the federal poverty level ($53,350 for a family of four in 2015–16) may be charged additional tuition above the voucher amount.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**

Students from families with household incomes up to 300 percent of the federal poverty level ($72,750 for a family of four in 2015–16) are eligible for vouchers; moreover, a family’s income limit eligibility increases by $7,000 if the student’s parents or legal guardians are married. Students who are continuing the program from previous years and those who were on a school’s waiting list in the prior year because the school did not have space available do not need to demonstrate income eligibility. Students must have been either (1) enrolled in a public school in the previous year, (2) not enrolled in school in the previous year, (3) enrolled in a private school under the voucher program in the previous year, or (4) be entering kindergarten, first grade, or ninth grade. If an applying student has a sibling already in the private school the applicant wishes to attend, he or she will receive preference in the voucher lottery.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**

Eligibility in Racine’s school voucher program is relatively restrictive. On regulations, the program also has room for growth: Schools must adopt academic standards (which cannot include mandatory religion classes), provide specific yearly hours of instruction, hire teachers with college degrees, admit voucher students randomly, and meet accountability requirements. With the program’s enrollment cap now removed, Wisconsin also should consider removing the arbitrary income limits placed on families and the regulations imposed on schools.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**

Wis. Stat. § 118.60

---

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**

- Aid membership (FTE): 2,055.6
- Schools participating: 19

Percent of Racine families with children meeting the income requirement* under the Parental Private School Choice Program (Racine)

45%

*Assumes parents/legal guardians are not married
SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- Meet state nondiscrimination policies
- Meet health and safety codes
- Allow students to opt out of religious programs
- Administer state testing to scholarship recipients in fourth, eighth, and 10th grade
- Receive accreditation within three years of participating in the Parental Private School Choice Program (Racine)
- Submit an annual financial audit conducted by a certified public accountant to the state
- Provide evidence of sound fiscal practices and financial viability to the state
- School administrators must undergo financial training and have at least a bachelor’s degree from an accredited institution of higher education
- Teachers must have a bachelor’s degree from an accredited institution of higher education, and teacher aides must have received a high school diploma or been granted a GED or HSED
- Provide 1,050 hours of direct pupil instruction in grades 1–6 and 1,137 hours of direct pupil instruction in grades 7–12
- Must provide the state with information about the academic program at the participating schools and student test score data

 Enrollment in Racine’s Parental Private School Choice Program increased by 25 percent since 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/WI_Racine.
Parental Choice Program (Statewide)

Wisconsin’s statewide Parental Choice Program is open to any income-qualified child who resides outside of the attendance zones for Milwaukee Public Schools and the Racine Unified School District.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
In 2015–16, the maximum voucher amount is $7,214 for grades K–8 and $7,860 for grades 9–12. Each school year, maximum voucher payments increase by a dollar amount equal to the dollar amount increase in general school aid to Wisconsin public schools. The voucher may not exceed the private school’s per-student costs, including operating expenses and debt service.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Wisconsin families who qualify for free and reduced-price lunch and reside outside of either the Milwaukee Public Schools or the Racine Unified School District are eligible. Students must also come from families with incomes up to 185 percent of the federal poverty level ($44,863 for a family of four in 2015–16); moreover, a family’s income limit increases by $7,000 if the student’s parents or legal guardians are married. Each district will have an enrollment cap of 1 percent of its public school district enrollment, although this cap will increase by one percentage point each year beginning in 2017–18 until the enrollment limit reaches 10 percent. After that point, there will be no cap. Private schools must have been in operation on May 1, 2013 to participate, although this provision is only in effect until the 2017–18 school year.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Wisconsin took an encouraging step toward universal school choice by allowing all low-income Wisconsin students to be eligible to receive vouchers, excluding those in two school districts that each have their own school choice programs. In the second year of the program (2014–15), enrollment was limited to 1,000 of the state’s more than 870,000 students. However, the enrollment cap was removed in the 2016 state budget, which is a positive step for Wisconsin students. Although there is no student enrollment cap, this program does contain a district percentage enrollment cap and new grade-level entry point restrictions. The grade-level entry points were waived for the 2015–16 school year, but will commence in the 2016–17 school year. The requirement that private schools must be in operation by a specific date also limits families’ supply of educational options from which to choose. Like the Milwaukee and Racine programs, this program could be improved by increasing voucher amounts, removing income tests for eligibility, and removing any grade-level entry point restrictions.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Wis. Stat. § 118.60

**LATEST STATS (2015–16)**
- Aid membership (FTE): 2,472.5
- Schools participating: 82
- Average voucher value: $7,388 (2014–15)

Percent of Wisconsin families with children* meeting the income requirement† under the Parental Choice Program (Statewide)

16%

*Does not include families living in Milwaukee or Racine
†Assumes parents/legal guardians are not married
**SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS**

- Meet state nondiscrimination policies
- Allow students to opt out of religious programs
- Administer state testing to scholarship recipients in fourth, eighth, and 10th grade
- Receive accreditation within three years of participating in the Parental Choice Program (Statewide)
- Annually submit to the state a financial audit conducted by a certified public accountant
- Provide the state evidence of sound fiscal practices and financial viability
- School administrators must undergo financial training and have a least a bachelor’s degree from an accredited institution of higher education
- Teachers must have a bachelor’s degree from an accredited institution of higher education, and teacher aides must have received a high school diploma or been granted a GED or HSED
- Provide 1,050 hours of direct pupil instruction in grades 1–6 and 1,137 hours of direct pupil instruction in grades 7–12
- Provide the department of public instruction with information about the academic program at the participating school and student test score data
- Meet all health and safety codes

---

Enrollment in Wisconsin’s statewide voucher program more than doubled since 2014–15.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit [www.edchoice.org/WI_Racine](http://www.edchoice.org/WI_Racine).
Wisconsin provides an income tax deduction for individuals who pay private school tuition for their dependents.

**TAX DEDUCTION VALUE**
The tax deduction is worth up to $4,000 per child in grades K–8 and up to $10,000 per child in grades 9–12.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Any Wisconsin taxpayer is eligible.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Wisconsin’s tax deduction for private school tuition receives high marks for eligibility, as any taxpayer in the state may claim the deduction. Additionally, the amounts that may be deducted are set at levels higher than other states’ deduction programs. However, income tax deductions effectively cover only a small portion of the actual cost of educating a student and help only those families that are already paying private school tuition and have state income tax liability. Making this tax deduction a refundable tax credit would be a great improvement.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Wis. Stat. § 71.05(6)(b)49

**PARENT REQUIREMENTS**
- If a student is enrolled in grades eight and nine in the same taxable year, the deduction may be claimed for only one grade for that student

---

**LATEST STATS (2014)**
Taxpayers participating: **39,500**
Average tax deduction value: **$309**

Percent of Wisconsin K–12 students whose parents/guardians are eligible for the K–12 Private School Tuition Deduction: **12%**
Tax Year 2014 data became available in December 2015, so we will not have at least two years of data until December 2016.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/WI_deduction.
Beginning in the 2016–17 school year, Wisconsin will provide vouchers designated specifically for students with disabilities to attend private school.

**STUDENT FUNDING**
For 2016–17, the voucher amount is $12,000. Beginning in 2017–18, voucher payments increase by a dollar amount equal to the dollar amount increase in general school aid to Wisconsin public schools.

**STUDENT ELIGIBILITY**
Participating students must have an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) or services plan and must have been enrolled in a Wisconsin public school for at least the entire prior school year. In the same school year, eligible students must also have applied to attend a public school in one or more nonresident school districts under Wisconsin’s open enrollment program and been rejected by the respective school boards or have otherwise been prohibited from attending those district schools. Students may continue participating in the program as long as they have an IEP or services plan and attend an eligible school until they turn 21, or graduate high school, whichever comes first.

**FRIEDMAN FEEDBACK**
Vouchers designed specifically for children with special needs have proven to be very beneficial for the children they serve. Wisconsin’s new program has a generous funding level, although consideration should be given to increasing funding for those whose needs demand greater expenditures. However, the first step to ensure the success of this voucher is to remove the requirement that a child must first be rejected by one or more public schools before being allowed to access a voucher to attend the school of the parent and child’s choice. Children with special needs face enough challenges in their lives. This program is designed to give new freedom to parents and children to choose whatever educational opportunity will best serve their needs, and so, it should remove, not create, obstacles that stand between those families and their freedom of choice.

**GOVERNING STATUTES**
Wis. Stat. §115.7915

**LATEST STATS (2016–17)**
- Students participating: N.A.
- Schools participating: N.A.
- Average voucher amount: $12,000

Percent of Wisconsin K–12 students eligible for the Special Needs Scholarship Program: 13%
SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

• Meet state and federal nondiscrimination policies

• Provide each applicant under the program with a profile of the school’s special education program. That must include the methods of instruction the school will use to provide special education and related services to the student and the qualifications of the teachers and other persons who will be providing special education and related services to the student.

• Implement the student’s most recent IEP or services plan, as modified by agreement between the school and the student’s parent, and related services agreed to by the school and the student’s parent that are not included in the IEP or services plan.

• Provide a record of the implementation of the student’s IEP or services plan, including an evaluation of the student’s progress, to the school board of the school district in which the student resides.

• Regularly report to the student’s parent on the student’s progress.

• Annually submit to the state a financial audit conducted by a certified public accountant.

• Provide the state evidence of sound fiscal practices and financial viability.

• School administrators must undergo financial training and have at least a teaching license or a bachelor’s degree from a nationally or regionally accredited institution of higher education.

• Teachers must have a teaching license or a bachelor’s degree from a nationally or regionally accredited institution of higher education, and teacher aides must have received a high school diploma or been granted a GED or HSED.

• Provide 1,050 hours of direct pupil instruction in grades 1–6 and 1,137 hours of direct pupil instruction in grades 7–12.

• Provide the department of public instruction with information about the academic program at the participating school and student test score data.

• Meet all health and safety codes.

Because this program does not launch until the 2016–17 school year, there are no participation data.

For the latest program information and data updated in real time, visit www.edchoice.org/WI_voucher.
### RULES & REGULATIONS

#### VOUCHERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>VOUCHERS</th>
<th>INCOME LIMIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Succeed Scholarship Program for Students with Disabilities*§</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Choice Scholarship Program</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Opportunity Scholarship Program</td>
<td>100% x FRL (300% to remain eligible year-to-year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Georgia Special Needs Scholarship Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Choice Scholarship Program</td>
<td>150% x FRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Louisiana Scholarship Program†</td>
<td>250% x Poverty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>School Choice Pilot Program for Certain Students with Exceptionalities*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Town Tuitioning Program</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mississippi Dyslexia Therapy Scholarship for Students with Dyslexia Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Nate Rogers Scholarship for Students with Disabilities Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Special Education Scholarship Grants for Children with Disabilities*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Opportunity Scholarships</td>
<td>133% x FRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Cleveland Scholarship Program</td>
<td>None‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Autism Scholarship Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Educational Choice Scholarship Program†</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Jon Peterson Special Needs Scholarship Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Income-Based Scholarship Program</td>
<td>400% x Poverty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>Lindsey Nicole Henry Scholarships for Students with Disabilities*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>Carson Smith Special Needs Scholarship Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>Town Tuitioning Program</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Milwaukee Parental Choice Program</td>
<td>300% x Poverty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Parental Private School Choice Program (Racine)</td>
<td>300% x Poverty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Parental Choice Program (Statewide)</td>
<td>100% x FRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Special Needs Scholarship Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### EDUCATION SAVINGS ACCOUNTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>EDUCATION SAVINGS ACCOUNTS</th>
<th>INCOME LIMIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Empowerment Scholarship Accounts*†§#**</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Gardiner Scholarship Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Equal Opportunity for Students with Special Needs Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>Education Savings Accounts</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Individualized Education Account Program*</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Limited to students with special needs  
† Limited to students in low-performing schools  
‡ Priority given to families up to 200% x Poverty  
§ Limited to children of active military members stationed in the state  
FRL = Federal free and reduced-price lunch program  
# Limited to students previously in foster care  
** Or limited to children of military members killed in the line of duty, siblings of current or previous ESA recipients, students eligible to enroll in a program for preschool children with disabilities, and students living on Native American reservations
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIOR YEAR PUBLIC SCHOOL REQUIREMENT</th>
<th>ENROLLMENT CAP</th>
<th>VOUCHER CAP</th>
<th>TESTING MANDATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>$5,066</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$8,381 (K–8) / $12,572 (9–12)</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>90% of State Expenditure</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$7,933 (K–8) / $10,339 (9–12)</td>
<td>Conditional-State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$5,355</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$5,355</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$4,000 per semester</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$4,200</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$4,250 (K–8) / $5,700 (9–12)</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>$4,650</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>$4,650</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$7,214 (K–8) / $7,860 (9–12)</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$7,214 (K–8) / $7,860 (9–12)</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$7,214 (K–8) / $7,860 (9–12)</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIOR YEAR PUBLIC SCHOOL REQUIREMENT</th>
<th>ENROLLMENT CAP</th>
<th>ACCOUNT CAP</th>
<th>TESTING MANDATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>90% Charter Funding</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>90% State and Local Funding</td>
<td>State or National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>90% or 100% State Funding</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100% State and Local Funding</td>
<td>State or National</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RULES & REGULATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>TAX-CREDIT SCHOLARSHIPS</th>
<th>INCOME LIMIT</th>
<th>PRIOR YEAR PUBLIC SCHOOL REQUIREMENT</th>
<th>ENROLLMENT CAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Education Scholarship Program</td>
<td>100% x FRL</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Original Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarships</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Low-Income Corporate Income Tax Credit Scholarships</td>
<td>185% x FRL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Lexie’s Law*§</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>“Switcher” Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarships</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Florida Tax Credit Scholarship Program</td>
<td>100% x FRL</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Qualified Education Expense Tax Credit</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>School Scholarship Tax Credit</td>
<td>200% x FRL</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>School Tuition Organization Tax Credit</td>
<td>300% x Poverty</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Tax Credit for Low Income Students Scholarship Program†</td>
<td>130% x Poverty</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Tuition Donation Rebate Program</td>
<td>250% x Poverty</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Tax Credits for Contributions to Student Scholarship Organizations</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>Education Tax Credit Program</td>
<td>300% x Poverty</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>Educational Choice Scholarship Program</td>
<td>300% x Poverty</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>Oklahoma Equal Opportunity Education Scholarships</td>
<td>300% x FRL</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Educational Improvement Tax Credit Program</td>
<td>$75,000 + $15,000/child</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Opportunity Scholarship Tax Credit Program†</td>
<td>$75,000 + $15,000/child</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>Tax Credits for Contributions to Scholarship Organizations</td>
<td>250% x Poverty</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Educational Credit for Exceptional Needs Children*</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Educational Opportunity Scholarships Tax Credit Program</td>
<td>300% x Poverty (400% for students w/ special needs)</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>INDIVIDUAL TAX CREDITS / DEDUCTIONS</th>
<th>REFUNDABLE</th>
<th>INCOME LIMIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Alabama Accountability Act of 2013 Parent-Taxpayer Refundable Tax Credits†</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Tax Credits for Educational Expenses</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Private School/Homeschool Deduction</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Tuition and Textbook Tax Credit</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Elementary and Secondary School Tuition Deduction</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Education Deduction</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>K–12 Education Credit</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Refundable Educational Credit for Exception Needs Children*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>K–12 Private School Tuition Deduction</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Limited to students with special needs
† Limited to students in low-performing schools
§ Limited to children of active military members stationed in the state
# Limited to students previously in foster care
FRL = Federal free and reduced-price lunch program
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHOLARSHIP CAP</th>
<th>TESTING MANDATES</th>
<th>CREDIT VALUE</th>
<th>TOTAL CREDIT CAP</th>
<th>BUDGET CAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$6,000 (K–5) / $8,000 (6–8) / $10,000 (9–12)</td>
<td>State or National</td>
<td>$50,000 (individual) / 100% (business)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$30 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,100 (K–8) / $6,400 (9–12)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$51.6 million (escalator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% State Funding</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,677</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$447.3 million (escalator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$9,081 (2016)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$58 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Tuition</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$8.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Tuition</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$12 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$10 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$3 million (escalator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% of State Expenditure</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$5.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7,755</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$5 million (escalator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$3.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Tuition</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>75% / 90%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$100 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8,500 / $15,000 (special needs)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>75% / 90%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$50 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>75% / 90%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$1.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>State or National</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>Yes (individual) / None (business)</td>
<td>$25 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIOR YEAR PUBLIC SCHOOL REQUIREMENT</th>
<th>ENROLLMENT CAP</th>
<th>INDIVIDUAL CREDIT / DEDUCTION CAP</th>
<th>TESTING MANDATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$3,563</td>
<td>State or National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$1,625 (K–6) / $2,500 (7–12)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>State or National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$4,000 (K–6) / $10,000 (9–12)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LEGAL HISTORY

The U.S. Supreme Court has declared vouchers, tax-credit scholarships, and individual tax deductions for educational expenses constitutional (Zelman 2002, Winn 2011, Mueller 1983). Those rulings, however, have not deterred legal challenges to school choice in state courts.

**Alabama | Alabama Accountability Act of 2013 Parent-Taxpayer Refundable Tax Credits and Education Scholarship Program**

On March 2, 2015, the Alabama Supreme Court ruled, in an 8-1 decision, that the Alabama Accountability Act enacted in 2013, which includes Alabama’s refundable tax credit and tax-credit scholarship program, is constitutional. The high court overturned a May 2014 lower court ruling by the Montgomery County Circuit court which initially struck down the Alabama Accountability Act. *Boyd v. Magee*. Also, in April 2014, a U.S. District Judge dismissed a separate lawsuit brought by the Southern Poverty Law Center challenging the Alabama Accountability Act on grounds the school choice program violated equal protection. *C.M., et al., v. Robert J. Bentley, M.D., et al.*

**Arizona | Original Individual Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program**

On April 4, 2011, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld Arizona’s personal tax-credit scholarships, ruling that taxpayers do not have standing under the Establishment Clause to challenge a tax-credit scholarship program. The court rejected opponents’ position that personal income is government property, stating, “Respondents’ contrary position assumes that income should be treated as if it were government property even if it has not come into the tax collector’s hands. That premise finds no basis in standing jurisprudence. Private bank accounts cannot be equated with the Arizona State Treasury.” *Arizona Christian Sch. Tuition Org. v. Winn*, 131 S. Ct. 1436, 179 L. Ed. 2d 523 (2011).

**Arizona | Low-Income Corporate Income Tax Credit Scholarship Program**


**Arizona | Empowerment Scholarship Accounts**

On March 21, 2014, the Arizona Supreme Court declined to review a Court of Appeals’ ruling upholding the state’s education savings accounts (ESA) program. The high court’s decision essentially deemed the ESAs constitutional. *Niehaus v. Huppenthal*, No. 1 CA-CV 12-0242.

**Colorado | Douglas County Choice Scholarship Program**

In a 3-1-3 decision, the Colorado Supreme Court ruled the Douglas County Choice Scholarship Program unconstitutional on June 29, 2015. Although the state’s Supreme Court opinion limits educational options for Douglas County students, it might open a door for thousands across the country to access quality education. In October 2015, the state submitted a Petition for Writ of Certiorari, submitting the case for review by the Supreme Court of the United States on the Blaine amendment issue. In a related development, on January 15, 2016, the Court granted certiorari in the *Trinity Lutheran Church of Columbia, Mo. v. Pauley* case, which is another case challenging the constitutionality of state Blaine amendments.

**Florida | John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program and Florida Tax Credit Scholarship Program**

In July 2014, Citizens for Strong Schools, Inc. and Fund Education Now amended a five-year-old lawsuit alleging the state has failed to adequately fund public education to include new claims concerning the Florida Tax Credit Scholarship Program and the McKay voucher program for students with special needs. The plaintiffs’ amended complaint contends the school choice programs, among

**Florida | Florida Tax Credit Scholarship Program**

In August 2014, a lawsuit was filed by the Florida Education Association (FEA) and several other plaintiffs to challenge the Florida Tax Credit Scholarship Program as vouchers, which had previously been ruled unconstitutional under the Florida state constitution (*Bush v. Holmes, 2006*). In May 2015, the Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit in Leon County, Florida, dismissed the original FEA lawsuit after finding that plaintiffs did not have legal standing to sue. Plaintiffs appealed this ruling. Prior to the appeal, the Florida Association of School Administrators and the Florida School Boards Association withdrew from the case. Requests for oral argument are pending. The focus of the appeal is to determine whether Plaintiffs have standing to bring legal action. *Joanne McCall, et al., v. Rick Scott, as Governor and Head of the Department of Revenue, et al.*

**Florida | Gardiner Scholarship Program**

In July 2014, Tom Faase, a high school social studies teacher in the Lee County Public School System, filed a lawsuit challenging Florida’s new Gardiner Scholarship Program. The plaintiff claimed the program was enacted in violation of the state constitution’s “single subject matter” rule. On December 30, 2014, the Circuit Court, Second Judicial Circuit, Leon County, Florida, dismissed the case, with prejudice. There was no appeal. The court closed the file on this case in February 2015. *Tom Faasse, et al. v. Rick Scott, as Governor and Head of the Department of Revenue, et al.*

**Georgia | Qualified Education Expense Tax Credit**

On May 8, 2014, the Institute for Justice, a public interest law firm, filed a motion to intervene in a lawsuit challenging the constitutionality of Georgia’s tax-credit scholarship program. The initial lawsuit, which was filed in April by four Georgia residents backed by the Southern Education Foundation, argues that the scholarship program violates the state constitution’s ban on providing public support to religious institutions. The next hearing date has yet to be determined. *Gaddy v. Department of Revenue.*

**Illinois | Tax Credits for Educational Expenses**


**Indiana | Choice Scholarship Program**

On July 1, 2011, teachers’ union officials and others challenged Indiana’s voucher program in state court, alleging the Indiana Constitution prohibits funding of religious schools. A Marion County Superior Court judge denied a motion for preliminary injunction. The trial court issued a summary judgment January 13, 2012, in favor of the program. After an appeal was issued, the Indiana Supreme Court heard oral arguments on the program on November 21, 2012. On March 26, 2013, the Indiana Supreme Court ruled unanimously that the Choice Scholarship Program does not violate any provision of the state constitution. *Meredith v. Pence*, Indiana Supreme Court, No. 49S00-1203-PL-172.

**Iowa | Tuition and Textbook Tax Credit**

On March 17, 1992, the U.S. District court for the Southern District of Iowa held that Iowa’s newly enacted Tuition and Textbook Tax Credit program was constitutional. Citing a previous ruling, *Mueller v. Allen*, upholding Minnesota’s Education Tax Deduction, the court ruled that Iowa’s tax credit for private school educational expenses does not violate the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution. *Luthens v Bair*, 788 F. Supp. 1032 (S.D. Iowa 1992).
LEGAL HISTORY (continued)

Louisiana | Louisiana Scholarship Program
In a 2-1 decision on November 11, 2015 the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals overturned a district court ruling that granted the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) pre-clearance review of the Louisiana Scholarship Program (LSP), arguing that the lower court exceeded its scope of authority. The DOJ filed a suit against the LSP in September 2014, using a federal desegregation order, *Brumfield v. Dodd*, 405 F. Supp. 338 (E.D. La. 1975), to prohibit children in affected schools from participating in the voucher program on the purported grounds that they left the schools less integrated. The department was unable to produce documents to support their claim. The November 2015 ruling by the Fifth Circuit reverses this infringement on the LSP’s freedom.

In another legal challenge, teachers’ unions and others filed suit to stop Louisiana’s school voucher program from expanding statewide. In November 2012, Judge Timothy Kelley of the 19th Judicial Circuit, ruled the program’s funding method through the constitutionally created Minimum Foundation Program, was unconstitutional. Voucher supporters appealed the ruling to the state’s Supreme Court, during which students remained in the program. On May 7, 2013, the Louisiana Supreme Court ruled that the state constitution’s Minimum Foundation Program cannot be used to pay tuition costs at nonpublic schools. The court declined to rule whether a voucher program funded through other means would be constitutional. In June 2013, Gov. Bobby Jindal and the state legislature passed a budget that would fund, through a different funding mechanism, the nearly 8,000 students approved for vouchers in the 2013–14 school year, and the voucher program continues to be funded. *Louisiana Federation of Teachers, et al. v. State of Louisiana, et al.*, Case No. 2013-CA-0120.

Maine | Town Tuitioning Program
In 1981, the Maine legislature banned religious schools from participating in the Town Tuitioning Program that was first established in 1873. In 1999, the Maine Supreme Judicial Court upheld the exclusion of religious schools. The U.S. Supreme Court declined to review. After the 2002 U.S. Supreme Court decision upholding the constitutionality of vouchers in Cleveland, the Institute for Justice, a public interest law firm, and Maine families again asked a Maine court to overturn the 1981 law, but the exclusion of religious options was upheld. *Anderson v. Town of Durham*, 895 A.2d 944 (Me. 2006).

Minnesota | Education Deduction

Montana | Tax Credits for Contributions to Student Scholarship Organizations
On December 16, 2015, as a consequence of rules promulgated by the Montana Department of Revenue prohibiting scholarship granting organizations from granting scholarships to children to attend religious schools, the Institute for Justice (IJ) filed a lawsuit in state court advocating on behalf of parents who seek to use these scholarships to send their children to religiously affiliated schools as the new state statute allows. IJ contends that the rules are contrary to the statute and that by excluding these religious schools, the department is violating the religious liberty and equal protection rights of Montanans. *Espinoza v. Department of Revenue*, Montana Eleventh Judicial District Court, Case No. DV 15-1152A.

On December 28, 2015, also as a consequence of rules promulgated by the Montana Department of Revenue prohibiting scholarship granting organizations from granting scholarships to children to attend religious schools, the Pacific Legal Foundation (PLF) filed a lawsuit in federal court advocating on behalf of parents who seek to use these scholarships to send their children to religiously affiliated schools and on behalf of the Association of Christian Schools International (ACSI), which has 10 member schools that are religiously affiliated in Montana. PLF contends that enforcement of the administrative rule violates the U.S. Constitution’s establishment, free exercise, and equal protection clauses, as well...
as Montana law. Armstrong v. Kadas, United States District Court for the District of Montana, Case No. 6:15-cv-00114-SHE.

Nevada | Education Savings Accounts
On August 27, 2015, the American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada filed a lawsuit on behalf of five citizen plaintiffs alleging the ESA program violates Nevada’s constitution by a) allowing funding to potentially be used for a “sectarian purpose,” b) creating a “competing system of private schools whose curricular, instruction, and educational standards diverge dramatically from those of public schools,” and c) allowing participating private schools to potentially discriminate based on “religion, sexual orientation, and gender identity.” Trial on the merits is expected in 2016. Duncan v. State of Nevada, Clark County Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. A-15-723703-C.

Additionally, on September 9, 2015, Educate Nevada Now, along with the Education Law Center of New Jersey, filed a lawsuit on behalf of public school children and parents with overlapping but different allegations than the Duncan case. The plaintiffs believe that the program a) will divert funds set aside for public schools to private, often religious, schools b) will reduce the funds deemed sufficient to operate Nevada public schools, and c) will create a system of schools that is not legislatively established and that are not free and open to all students. Lopez v. Schwartz, Carson City First Judicial District Court, Case No. 150C002071B.

A third case has been filed in Nevada, this time in support of the program. On December 22, 2015, parents seeking to participate in the ESA program filed a request for declaratory relief with the District Court in Clark County, Nevada. Plaintiffs allege that with so much uncertainty surrounding implementation of the program, in light of the two lawsuits pending in Duncan and Lopez, they are entitled to relief by having this court affirmatively rule that Nevada’s ESAs are constitutional and that there is no legal reason preventing the State Treasurer from implementing the program and funding ESAs. On January 7, 2016, Nevada Attorney General Laxalt filed a Motion to Dismiss the case for lack of standing, and a Motion to Disqualify due to the fact that counsel representing Plaintiffs is the law firm of Nevada’s Lieutenant Governor, who, the Attorney General argues, has many conflicts in this case. Attorney General Laxalt stated his belief that the ESAs are constitutional, but that this case will unduly hamper efforts to prove that point in the two other cases. Pending. Norman v. State, District Court, Clark County, Case No. A-15-729344-C.

New Hampshire | Education Tax Credit Program
The New Hampshire Supreme Court issued a decision upholding the state’s tax-credit scholarship program on August 28, 2014. This case positioned individuals represented by the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) and Americans United for Separation of Church and State (AU) against the state’s new tax-credit scholarship program. In the decision, the court dismissed the lawsuit due to lack of standing by the defendants; the court reasoned they were unable to show harm caused by the program. The justices overturned a previous lower court ruling, which disallowed scholarships to schools that were religiously affiliated. The program will be allowed to continue unabated. Duncan v. State of New Hampshire.

Also in New Hampshire, the Town of Croydon’s School Board has been sued by the state department of education. The Croydon school board has been offering town tuitioning to students who reside in its town. Like many towns in New Hampshire, Croydon does not have a middle school or high school, and its elementary grades are limited. Under New Hampshire law, public schools may send children to a neighboring town’s school, or a school that meets the unique needs of its students. Most of Croydon’s students—at this time, 37 children in grades 5 through 12—attend public school at the neighboring town of Newport. However, five children requested to attend a nearby Montessori school, and the Croydon school board determined that this would, indeed, meet the needs of these children. The state
department of education alleges that Croydon is barred from sending children to any private school (although admits that children with special needs may be sent to a private school under state law). On December 14, 2015, the Court denied the state’s request for preliminary injunction against Croydon. A hearing on the merits of this case is expected in the spring of 2016. Department of Education v. Croydon School Board, et.al., Sullivan Superior Court, Case No. 220-2015-cv-146.

North Carolina | Opportunity Scholarships and Special Education Scholarship Grants for Children with Disabilities
On July 23, 2015, the North Carolina Supreme Court upheld all aspects of that state’s two vouchers, the Opportunity Scholarship Program and the Special Education Scholarship Grants for Children with Disabilities, as constitutional. Hart v. North Carolina; Richardson v. North Carolina.

Ohio | Cleveland Scholarship Program
On June 27, 2002, the Supreme Court of the United States ruled that the Cleveland school voucher program does not violate the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution—that vouchers are constitutional when parents have independent, private choice of schools without favoring or disfavoring religion. By design, the voucher program is “school neutral.” Zelman v. Simmons-Harris, 536 U.S. 639 (2002).

Oklahoma | Lindsey Nicole Henry Scholarships for Students with Disabilities
On November 20, 2012, the Supreme Court of Oklahoma dismissed the Jenks Public Schools system’s lawsuit against parents using Lindsey Nicole Henry Scholarships on procedural grounds, stating the school districts do not have standing as Oklahoma taxpayers to sue under the state’s constitution and that parents were the wrong parties to sue. Ind. Sch. Dist. No. 5 of Tulsa Co. v. Spry, 2012 OK 98, 292 P.3d 19 (2012).

In October 2013, 12 plaintiffs renewed the legal challenge by suing the state as individual taxpayers. In a written opinion released September 10, 2014, the Oklahoma County District Court ruled the Lindsey Nicole Henry Scholarship for Students with Disabilities program violates Article 2, Section 5—the Oklahoma Constitution’s Blaine amendment—only insofar as the program allows public funds to be used to pay tuition at private, sectarian, religious schools; paying tuition at private, non-sectarian religious schools is permissible in this narrow ruling. On February 16, 2016, the Oklahoma Supreme Court ruled that the state’s voucher program is constitutional in a unanimous decision with one concurring opinion. Factors key to the court’s decision include, 1) participation in the voucher program is voluntary; 2) a parent’s choice of school is strictly independent; 3) education funding flows from the state to the parent; 4) the program itself is neutral regarding religion; 5) any benefit to a private school is derived from the parent’s choice, not the state; 6) there is no adverse impact on the ability of religious schools to act independently of state control; 7) there is a substantial benefit to the state when a child uses a voucher; it is not a gift. Citing the landmark Zelman v. Simmons-Harris case (see Ohio | Cleveland Scholarship Program), the court said, “When the parents and not the government are the ones determining which private school offers the best learning environment for their child, the circuit between government and religion is broken.”

Vermont | Town Tuitioning Program
In 1961, Vermont’s Supreme Court ruled that including religious schools in the Town Tuitioning Program first established in 1869 violated the First Amendment. In 1994, the Vermont Supreme Court overturned this decision, but the Vermont Department of Education refused to allow parents to choose religious schools. In 1999, the Vermont Supreme Court again barred religious schools from participating, this time under the state constitution. Chittenden Town School Dist. v. Dept. of Education, (97-275); 169 Vt. 310; 738 A.2d 539.
**Wisconsin | Milwaukee Parental Choice Program**

In June 2011, the ACLU filed a complaint with the U.S. Department of Justice’s Civil Rights Division, alleging that the Milwaukee voucher program violates federal laws prohibiting discrimination against students with disabilities. On December 23, 2015, the U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division of the Educational Opportunities Section, issued a letter to Wisconsin’s state superintendent of public instruction, informing the superintendent that after a rigorous evaluation, the Department of Justice has determined that no further action is warranted and that their investigation is now closed. There were no findings of wrongdoing.

In 1998, the Wisconsin Supreme Court held that the Milwaukee voucher program does not violate either the state’s Compelled Support Clause or Blaine Amendment. The court also affirmed the conclusions of Davis (1992), an earlier uniformity challenge to the school choice program. *Jackson v. Benson*, 218 Wis. 2d 835, 578 N.W.2d 602 (1998), cert. denied, 525 U.S. 967 (1998).

Additional information on school choice legal developments is available at the Institute for Justice, ij.org/SchoolChoice.

**DATA SOURCES FOR PROGRAM PROFILES**

**AL:** Alabama Department of Revenue; **AZ:** Arizona Department of Revenue; Office of the Arizona State Treasurer; **D.C.:** Serving Our Children; **FL:** Florida Department of Education; Patrick Gibbons, Step Up For Students; **GA:** Georgia Department of Education; Georgia Department of Revenue; **IL:** FOIA response from Illinois Department of Revenue; **IN:** Public Records Request response from Office of Legal Affairs, Indiana Department of Education; Caitlin Bell, Institute for Quality Education; Indiana Department of Revenue; **IA:** Iowa Department of Revenue; Trish Wilger, Iowa Alliance for Choice in Education; **KS:** Kansas Department of Education; **LA:** Louisiana Department of Education; **ME:** Maine Department of Education; **MN:** Minnesota Department of Revenue; **MS:** Public Records Request response from Office of Reporting, Mississippi Department of Education; **NV:** Nevada Department of Education; **NH:** New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration; **NC:** North Carolina State Education Assistance Authority; **OH:** Rabbi Yitz Frank, Agudath Israel of America; **OK:** Barney Semtner, Catholic Schools Opportunity Scholarship Fund; Mark Ross, GO for Catholic Schools; Michael Lapolla, Opportunity Scholarship Fund; Open Records Act Request response from Oklahoma State Department of Education; **PA:** James Paul, Commonwealth Foundation; Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development; **RI:** Rhode Island Department of Revenue; **UT:** Utah State Office of Education; **VA:** Christian Braunlich, Thomas Jefferson Institute for Public Policy; **VT:** FOIA response from Vermont Agency of Education; **WI:** Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction

METHODOLOGY & DATA SOURCES
FOR ELIGIBILITY

Because many programs have income limits and it is extremely difficult, if not impossible in most states/districts, to find data on student populations broken down by family income bracket—we decided it best to measure eligibility for the applicable programs using families instead of students. For the various populations of total students, homeschool students were not included, as this information is typically unavailable. Eligibility percentages are based on the eligible population(s) in a program’s stated jurisdiction.

Eligibility based on family income was generally calculated as follows: Determined average family size for the pertinent state/district using 2014 American Community Survey (ACS), table DP02 via American FactFinder. Determined income limit based on average family size and program income limits using the USDA’s income eligibility guidelines for poverty and the free and reduced-price lunch program posted online via Federal Register 80, no. 61 (Spring 2015), p. 17027. Determined total families with children eligible by summing the number of families with children in the income brackets below the income bracket with the income limit using 2014 ACS, table B19131 via American FactFinder. Divided total families with children eligible by total families with children, also found on 2014 ACS, table B19131, to find a rough estimate.

Eligibility based on students was generally calculated as follows: Found the number of applicable students in public schools in a state/district using Common Core of Data (CCD), “Public Elementary/Secondary School Universe Survey,” 2013–14 v.1a and “State Nonfiscal Public Elementary/Secondary Education Survey,” 2013–14 v.1a via ELSi tableGenerator. Found the number of applicable students in private schools in a state/district using either Stephen P. Broughman and Nancy L. Swaim, Characteristics of Private Schools in the United States: Results From the 2011-12 Private School Universe Survey, NCES 2013-316 (Washington, DC: US Dept. of Education, National Center for Education Statistics), table 15, p. 20 (for state) or PSS Private School Universe Survey data for the 2013–14 school year (for district) and added this to number of public school students to get total students. Added number of public school students to number of students participating in the program to get total students eligible. (Note: This step differed the most among programs, depending on whether or not the program was limited to students with special needs, low-performing schools, in foster care, and/or children of active-duty military members.) Divided total students eligible by total students to find a rough estimate.

Whenever possible, the abovementioned sources were used. Other sources include:

Commitment to Methods & Transparency

The Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice is committed to research that adheres to high scientific standards, and matters of methodology and transparency are taken seriously at all levels of our organization. We are dedicated to providing high-quality information in a transparent and efficient manner.

All individuals have opinions, and many organizations (like our own) have specific missions or philosophical orientations. Scientific methods, if used correctly and followed closely in well-designed studies, should neutralize those opinions and orientations. Research rules and methods minimize bias. We believe rigorous procedural rules of science prevent a researcher’s motives, and an organization’s particular orientation, from pre-determining results.

If research adheres to proper scientific and methodological standards, its findings can be relied upon no matter who has conducted it. If rules and methods are neither specified nor followed, then the biases of the researcher or an organization may become relevant, because a lack of rigor opens the door for those biases to affect the results.

The Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice welcomes any and all questions related to methods and findings.
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