STEM Educator Pipeline: Doing the Math on Recruiting Math and Science Teachers

Science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) occupations are expected to grow at a much higher rate than non-STEM occupations (17.0 percent from 2008 to 2018 compared to 9.8 percent1), and employers claim that there are shortages of qualified workers. Now, according to the National Science Board, “all students [must] develop their capabilities in STEM to levels much beyond what was considered acceptable in the past,” even for previously low-skilled jobs. The STEM pipeline needs to be expanded, and most efforts are focused on increasing the number of STEM graduates. Yet an essential component of this pipeline is the need for more high-quality science and math teachers.

Since the mid-2000s, there have been proposals to increase the total number and quality of K–12 STEM educators. During the 2006 State of the Union Address, President Bush proposed as part of the American Competitiveness Initiative a plan to produce 100,000 high-quality math and science teachers by 2015. The plan was included in the America COMPETES Act as introduced to Congress in May 2007, but ultimately it was not a part of the enacted legislation. Four years later, the President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) revived the initiative, recommending that “the Federal Government . . . set a goal of ensuring the recruitment, preparation, and induction support of at least 100,000 new STEM teachers over the next decade.”

The persistence of the 100,000-STEM-teachers-in-a-decade goal illustrates that there is a need for these high-quality teachers but that it is a challenging undertaking. This paper uses data from the ACT® college readiness assessment to examine the feasibility of reaching the 100,000-quality-STEM-teachers-in-a-decade goal. So, how difficult will it be to achieve this goal?
Breaking Down the Annual Target

Assessing the goal’s feasibility requires a couple of assumptions. The first relates to the annual target. Although the federal goal is 100,000 high-quality STEM teachers in a decade, not all 10,000 per year will be comprised solely of recent college graduates. Not all science and math teachers are certified to teach through the traditional pathways. Alternative pathways exist for recent college graduates who did not major in education and for midcareer STEM professionals interested in a career change. Recruitment from both groups is a component to the federal plan. In 2007, approximately 20% of math and science teachers entered teaching through alternative certification programs. Assuming this rate continues, the annual target drops to 8,000 new STEM teachers per year to come from traditional certification routes (i.e., college teacher education majors).

On the college entrant side, each year approximately 3 million students take a college entrance exam. About half of the annual test-takers choose the ACT test, while the other half take the SAT. Assuming that ACT and SAT test-takers have similar career interests, the target number of ACT-tested aspiring math and science teachers is now just 4,000 per year (i.e., half of the 8,000 per year).

Table 1. Summary of Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEM-Interested Students</th>
<th>Students interested in majoring in math or science education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STEM-Qualified Education Majors</td>
<td>Students interested in majoring in education generally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEM-Capable Students</td>
<td>Students who met the Math or Science College Readiness Benchmarks but have not expressed interest in majoring in education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Defining STEM Interest and Teaching Capability

To gauge the pliability of the STEM teacher pipeline, several definitions are needed (Table 1). First is interest in math and science teaching as indicated by the college major students provide when registering for the ACT. For this report, STEM-Interested Students are those who indicated math education or science education as their desired major in college. The analysis also considers students who expressed interest in education but without the math or science specialty. These students are considered STEM-Qualified Education Majors.

Second, there are important qualities and characteristics that are necessary for effective teaching, such as behavior management, engaging students in learning, and effective communication with students. For math and science teaching, subject-matter expertise is essential to high-quality math and science teaching. Teachers should have a thorough understanding of the content to teach it adeptly.

STEM capability is measured by whether the students meet the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks in math and science. The College Readiness Benchmarks are subject-specific scores representing the level of achievement required for students to have a 50% chance of obtaining a B or higher in first-year, credit-bearing college courses. The College Readiness Benchmarks provide a useful tool in determining whether the student will be successful in the college-level math and science courses that would serve as the foundation for teaching at the K–12 level.

For this report, STEM-Capable Students are those who met the Math or Science College Readiness Benchmarks but have not expressed interest in majoring in education.

The next section explores the feasibility of reaching the 4,000-per-year target using the above definitions.

STEM-Interested Students

Of the 1.3 million ACT-tested graduates who tested in 2012 during either their junior or senior year and were either “fairly sure” or “very sure” of their potential career occupation, 6% (n=76,375) reported that they wanted to be education majors (Table 2). Only 0.25% (n=3,146) identified that they wanted to be math teachers and 0.06% (n=731) wanted to be science teachers.

Although these percentages seem negligible, when totaled they culminate in 3,877 interested math or science teachers, which is very near the 4,000 target. The numbers have risen sharply over the last five years but demonstrate an annual average shortage of approximately 700 teachers from the 4,000-per-year goal over that period (Table 2).

While encouraging, the next question that must be asked is: How many of these STEM-interested students are ready for the college-level math and science coursework?
necessary to teach K–12 math and science? The College Readiness Benchmark performance of interested math and science teachers surpasses the national average. Seventy percent of students interested in being a math teacher met or exceeded ACT’s Math College Readiness Benchmark and 42% of potential science teachers met the Science College Readiness Benchmark (Figure 1). After removing the students unable to meet the College Readiness Benchmarks, 2,192 STEM-capable math teachers and 310 STEM-capable science teachers are left,20 which means a shortfall of nearly 1,500 teachers from the annual target.21

To close this gap, there must be recruitment from other groups. One potential group of science and math teachers is those students interested in education generally. A second group is students who are capable of STEM majors but who have not expressed an interest in becoming a math or science teacher.

**STEM-Qualified Education Majors**

In 2012, 76,375 students reported that they were “fairly sure” or “very sure” that they wanted to pursue an education major in a field other than math or science. Although the STEM-qualified education majors perform worse than the national average on College Readiness Benchmark performance, there are a sufficient number to meet the annual target (Figure 2). In 2012, there were 28,372 education majors who met the Math College Readiness Benchmark, 16,608 who met the Science College Readiness Benchmark, and 14,377 who met both. Of the 30,843 who met either the Math or Science College Readiness Benchmark, only 5% would need to be recruited in combination with those who expressed an interest in math and science teaching to meet the 4,000 goal.

### Table 2. ACT Junior and Senior Students “Fairly Sure” or “Very Sure” of their College Major

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2,006</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>2,646</td>
<td>77,173</td>
<td>1,116,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2,323</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>3,054</td>
<td>82,576</td>
<td>1,116,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2,617</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>3,403</td>
<td>86,163</td>
<td>1,177,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2,878</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>3,684</td>
<td>83,781</td>
<td>1,227,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3,146</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>3,877</td>
<td>76,375</td>
<td>1,280,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg.</td>
<td>2,954</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>3,333</td>
<td>1,183,565</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: National is limited to students “fairly sure” or “very sure” of their college major and includes the aspiring math and science teachers.

### Figure 1. Percent of STEM-Interested Students Meeting ACT Math and Science College Readiness Benchmarks

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Note: Data are limited to students who are “fairly sure” or “very sure” of their college major. National data include data on math and science education majors.

### Figure 2. Percent of STEM-Qualified Education Majors Meeting ACT Math and Science College Readiness Benchmarks
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Note: National percentages are limited to students who are “fairly sure” or “very sure” of their college major. National data include data on math and science education majors.
STEM-Capable Students

The other natural group for recruitment is students who are capable of STEM work. For this group of students, instead of looking at high school junior and senior students who were "fairly sure" or "very sure" of their potential major, we look at high school junior and senior students who met either the Math or Science College Readiness Benchmark and who did not express an interest in majoring in education.

The college major choices of those STEM-capable students indicate that there may be an opportunity to recruit students into the teaching profession, as the second most popular major for students meeting either the math or science College Readiness Benchmark was "undecided" (Figure 3). If approximately 1% of those undecided students were recruited into education, there would be a sufficient number of math and science education majors in addition to those who already expressed an interest in math and science teaching.

Conclusion

The future labor force is one that will rely heavily on technology. Education must respond to both the need for STEM graduates and the teachers who will guide, grow, and inspire the next generation of STEM leaders. As President Obama stated in his second inaugural address, "no single person can train all the math and science teachers we'll need to equip our children for the future."22

The federal goal of 100,000 new, high-quality math and science teachers over the upcoming decade will require recruiting students who are not currently considering becoming math or science teachers as well as improving the math and science performance of many who desire to become teachers but may not now be capable of doing so. The 2012 ACT data illustrate that many entering college students are currently equipped (e.g., they have met the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks for math or science) to teach science and math courses at the K–12 level, and some are interested in teaching. Unfortunately, too few are both interested and capable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interested</th>
<th>Capable*</th>
<th>Percent to Target for Recruitment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STEM-Interested Students</td>
<td>3,877</td>
<td>2,502</td>
<td>100% (2,502)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(students interested in majoring in math or science education)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEM-Qualified Education Majors</td>
<td>76,375</td>
<td>30,843</td>
<td>5% (1,542)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(students interested in majoring in education generally)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEM-Capable Students</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>133,231</td>
<td>1.2% (1,599)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(students who met the Math or Science College Readiness Benchmarks but have not expressed interest in majoring in education)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals: STEM-Interested + STEM-Qualified Education</td>
<td>4,044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEM-Interested + STEM-Capable</td>
<td>4,101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Groups</td>
<td>166,576</td>
<td>5,643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Capable is defined as meeting the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks in Math or Science.
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would not only benefit the workforce in general but would also provide a larger pool from which to recruit high-quality math and science teachers.

High-quality teaching can have lasting effects on students. If state and federal programs are able to attract and retain these talented math and science teachers, there is potential to greatly increase the quality of STEM education and students’ preparedness for careers. As more and more jobs require technical skills, it is crucial that students receive the necessary instruction to prepare them for and interest them in these careers. Existing federal and state programs to recruit more math and science teachers are the first step in helping prepare students for these careers, but as the above analysis shows, their reach should include those currently outside the STEM education field.

In addition to recruitment of students once they have entered college and beyond, there should also be efforts to expand the pipeline down to high school. For instance, high schools can offer career academies, which are small learning communities where the curricular emphasis is tied to a career theme. Career academies with a focus on STEM or education could foster an interest in STEM as well as provide the necessary content knowledge. Alternatively, bridge programs between high school and college can serve to provide remediation, build confidence, and increase interest in STEM fields. Increasing STEM interest and ability at an earlier age would not only benefit the workforce in general but would also provide a larger pool from which to recruit high-quality math and science teachers.

The second group, STEM-capable students, will be more difficult to recruit. The challenge is that STEM occupations outside of education pay substantially more than a math or science teacher earns. For example, a Payscale salary report finds the median starting salary for chemical engineering majors is $67,500, whereas the median starting salary for education majors is $37,200. Some states have undertaken efforts to incent math and science teachers via temporary stipends. For instance, in Iowa, the proposed Teach Iowa Initiative would increase beginner teacher pay and provide tuition reimbursements of $4,000 a year for five years with a priority placed on math and science teachers. In New Jersey, the Woodrow Wilson Teaching Fellowship Foundation will provide a $30,000 stipend to fill vacancies in hard-to-staff schools for three years for 240 math and science teachers. To recruit from the STEM fields, teacher salaries need to be more competitive. Although the state programs are laudable, the resulting salary is still substantially less than what students could earn in nonteaching STEM occupations, and both initiatives are time restricted. In other words, today’s teacher recruitment problem is tomorrow’s retention challenge.

The second group, STEM-capable students, will be more difficult to recruit. The challenge is that STEM occupations outside of education pay substantially more than a math or science teacher earns. For example, a Payscale salary report finds the median starting salary for chemical engineering majors is $67,500, whereas the median starting salary for education majors is $37,200. Some states have undertaken efforts to incent math and science teachers via temporary stipends. For instance, in Iowa, the proposed Teach Iowa Initiative would increase beginner teacher pay and provide tuition reimbursements of $4,000 a year for five years with a priority placed on math and science teachers. In New Jersey, the Woodrow Wilson Teaching Fellowship Foundation will provide a $30,000 stipend to fill vacancies in hard-to-staff schools for three years for 240 math and science teachers. To recruit from the STEM fields, teacher salaries need to be more competitive. Although the state programs are laudable, the resulting salary is still substantially less than what students could earn in nonteaching STEM occupations, and both initiatives are time restricted. In other words, today’s teacher recruitment problem is tomorrow’s retention challenge.

In addition to recruitment of students once they have entered college and beyond, there should also be efforts to expand the pipeline down to high school. For instance, high schools can offer career academies, which are small learning communities where the curricular emphasis is tied to a career theme. Career academies with a focus on STEM or education could foster an interest in STEM as well as provide the necessary content knowledge. Alternatively, bridge programs between high school and college can serve to provide remediation, build confidence, and increase interest in STEM fields. Increasing STEM interest and ability at an earlier age would not only benefit the workforce in general but would also provide a larger pool from which to recruit high-quality math and science teachers.

High-quality teaching can have lasting effects on students. If state and federal programs are able to attract and retain these talented math and science teachers, there is potential to greatly increase the quality of STEM education and students’ preparedness for careers. As more and more jobs require technical skills, it is crucial that students receive the necessary instruction to prepare them for and interest them in these careers. Existing federal and state programs to recruit more math and science teachers are the first step in helping prepare students for these careers, but as the above analysis shows, their reach should include those currently outside the STEM education field. Meeting the goal of 100,000 qualified math and science teachers within the decade is possible but will require innovative strategies for recruiting from nontraditional sources. ■
Notes


9. Ibid., 61.


13. An additional assumption is that all of these qualified STEM-interested students will attend college. Using data from the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) for students who graduated in 2006 through 2010, approximately 84% enrolled in a postsecondary institution the fall after their senior year and 80% persist to the second year at a postsecondary institution. As not all institutions participate in NSC, and as tracking students who do not provide a social security number can be difficult, the enrollment and persistence rates are likely higher.


19. However, like all benchmarks there is some classification error. There are some students just below the Benchmark who may perform well in entry-level college classes, and there are some students just above the Benchmark who may not perform well.

20. The difference in the total number of qualified students is due to rounding.

21. As stated in note 13, this analysis assumes that all of the interested and capable students attend and graduate college.


