



# Influence of Phonological Awareness, Morphological Awareness and Non-verbal ability on Reading Comprehension in Malayalam Abdul Gafoor, K\* & Remia, K. R\*\*

\*Associate Professor, Department of Education, University of Calicut, Kerala. \*\*Research Scholar, Department of Education, University of Calicut, Kerala.

Received: Revised: Accepted:	<ol> <li>July 2013</li> <li>July 2013</li> <li>July 2013</li> <li>July 2013</li> </ol>	Abstract
		In the context of observations that students lack mastery of elementary reading comprehension in Malayalam even by the end of 5-7 years of formal schooling, this study applies multiple
	_	regression analysis for reading comprehension. Longitudinal survey data from a representative

#### **Keywords:**

Reading, Malayalam, Phonological awareness, Morphological awareness, Non-verbal ability In the context of observations that students lack mastery of elementary reading comprehension in Malayalam even by the end of 5-7 years of formal schooling, this study applies multiple regression analysis for reading comprehension. Longitudinal survey data from a representative sample of 159 lower primary students from grade 2 to 4 revealed Reading Comprehension as significantly and positively related to Morphological Awareness, Phonological awareness and Ravens non-verbal ability. The three predictors account for near 1/3 of the variation in reading comprehension in Malayalam of elementary school learners. Findings suggest enhancing phonological and morphological awareness in order to develop reading comprehension.

© 2013 Guru Journal of Behavioral and Social Sciences

Understanding meaning from the written text is crucial to effective reading. Meaningful reading is inevitable in education, and is indispensable for student and adult lives. Reading comprehension, a core component of language skills, is however an advanced and complicated skill. Comprehension allows the reader to interact with the text in a meaningful way. It is the bridge from passive reading to active reading i.e., from letters and words to characters and contexts. For younger children, reading comprehension has its constructive effects on other aspects of language learning too. Enhanced vocabulary from meaningful reading makes children confident in speaking and writing. It helps learners in being proficient in making predictions, sequencing stories and in clarifying complex texts. Meaningful reading help learners to connect text with life experiences and prior knowledge, making them even better learners. Difficulties in reading with comprehension do significantly affect spoken language skills, causing difficulties in literacy, with associated spoken language deficits (Mayers & Bottin, 2008).

Reading comprehension, like any other complex skill, is developed gradually in phases. These phases are associated with the elements of language. The building blocks - phonemes, morphemes, syntax and semantics- together form specific characteristics of a language. Development of reading skill is from poorly interconnected to highly interconnected lexical components (Ehri, 1980; Perfetti, 1992).

During learning to read, children first acquire elementary decoding skills, and then gradually apply these skills with greater accuracy and speed, leading to an increasingly automated process that recognizes multi-letter units (consonant clusters, syllables, and morphemes) and whole words (Ehri, 2005). Pre-lexical role of phonology (Frost, 1998; Van Orden, Pennington, & Stone, 1990) and the automatic activation of phonological representation at the moment of lexical access (Perfetti, Zhang, & Berent, 1992) are established in the cognitive science of reading. There is now considerable evidence that phonological as well as graphemic units are activated in the reading of alphabetic systems (Ferrand & Grainger, 1992; Grainger & Ferrand, 1994). Morphological decomposition in reading complex words is a sign of learned sensitivity to the systematic relationships among the surface forms of words and their

meanings. Thus, phonological awareness and morphological awareness are likely to join in influencing the level of language abilities including complex ones like reading comprehension. **Need of the study** 

A sizeable proportion of students have a low level reading comprehension. Based on the observation that nearly half the pupils in upper primary school have difficulty in interpolating or extrapolating a simple passage, a study from Kerala (Gafoor & Kaleeludeen, 2008) has concluded that students at this stage of schooling lack mastery of elementary reading comprehension in Malayalam. A more detailed analysis (Gafoor, 2011) revealed that the elementary reading comprehension is not up to the level expected of Grade 3 students among around half the students in Grade 5, though it slightly improves in the next two grades. Comparing this observation with previous ones, the study revealed that comprehension and deriving conclusions, which are especially being focused in the present primary school curriculum with its leanings towards constructivist, critical pedagogy and issue-based approaches, have not enhanced after the educational reforms in Kerala during the first decade of 21<sup>st</sup> century. Hence, further progress in elementary education, among other things, calls for greater reliance on scientific evidence to guide educational policies for assessment and instruction on factors that contribute to early reading development.

Four focal variables involved in reading development are phonological awareness, naming speed, orthographic knowledge, and morphological awareness (Roman, Kirby, Parrila, Wade-Woolley, & Deacon, 2009). Morphological awareness between grade 3 and 5 affected reading comprehension (Kirby, Geier, & Deacon, 2009) and hence is associated with reading abilities in later elementary school. A quick review of literature demonstrated that studies on morphological and phonological awareness and their impact on language outcomes in preprimary and elementary grades are numerous in languages like English (Griva & Anastasiou, 2009), Chinese (Perfetti, et al. 1992), Arabic (Abu-Rabia & Abu-Rahmoun,2012), and the same is not rare in French (Deacon & Wade-Wolley, 2005), Spanish (Dickinson, McCabe, Clark-Chiarelli, & Wolf, 2004), Korean, Iranian (Ghaemi, 2009), Finnish (Puolakanaho, 2007) and Japanese. However, studies on these variables in Indian languages, including Malayalam was hard to find.

### Relevance of phonological and morphological awareness and non-verbal ability for reading

Phoneme is the smallest distinctive unit of sound system of a language. Phonology, the study of sound system of languages, is concerned with how sounds function in relation to each other in a language. Each language has its own phonological system. For instance, English Received Pronunciation has 44 distinctive sounds while standard Malayalam has a system of 52 (Syamala, 1996). Phonological awareness refers to the awareness of phonological structure or sound structure of spoken words in a given language.

In order to read the words in print, children must understand that speech is comprised of sound segments at the level of phonemes (Blachman, 1994; Liberman, Shankweiler, & Liberman, 1989; Yopp & Yopp, 2000). Studies demonstrate strong and specific relationship between phonological awareness and early acquisition of reading (Adams, 1990; Bradley & Bryant, 1983; Bryant, MacLean & Bradley, 1990; Goswami & Bryant, 1990; Stanovich, 1992; Wagner & Torgesen, 1987). Phonological awareness promotes children's understanding of the relationship between speech and alphabetic orthography.

Children who have better abilities in analyzing and manipulating rhymes, syllables, and phonemes are better at learning to read than children who have difficulties in acquiring these skills. The relationship between phonological awareness and early reading acquisition is present even after such factors as intelligence, vocabulary skills, and listening comprehension are partialled out (Bryant, MacLean, Bradley & Crossland, 1990; Stanovich, 1992; Wagner & Torgesen, 1987). Phonological awareness has a unique relation with word reading (Lonigan, Anthony, Philips, Purpura, Wilson, & McQueen, 2009). Further, phonological awareness enables children to produce possible words in context from the partially sounded out words by



elaborating similar phonemes in words. Indeed, children who are quick to develop the ability to analyze and to construct a connection between sound segments and letters almost invariably become better readers than children who have difficulties in developing these early skills (Share & Stanovich, 1995). A substantial positive correlation was found between the early phonological awareness and reading acquisition in kindergarten children in Hebrew. Phonological awareness had significant association with hearing children (Kyle & Harris 2006). Recent researches evidence not only that reading skills and phonological awareness are associated (Gray & McCutchen, 2006) but also that difficulties in phonological processing directly disturb the semantic process involved in comprehension. For instance, children with literacy disabilities presented deficits in phonological processing and language (Barbosa, Miranda, Santos, & Bueno, 2009).

Morphemes are the minimum meaningful units of a language. Study of structure of words, of how morphemes are put together or organized to form words, is called morphology or morphemics. Identification, analysis and description of the structure of morphemes are the tasks of morphology. Morphological awareness, a higher order cognitive ability, involves being conscious of and the ability to manipulate the morphological units. It involves the ability to identify root words and their inflected or derived forms. It is the ability to segment words into meaningful units and to manipulate morphemes to create new meanings, that is, to understand the structure of a word, its meaning, and the different combinations of its morphemes. Thus, morphological awareness refers to a conscious awareness of word structure and semantic-functional meanings while taking into consideration the root, structure, base form, and suffixes representing inflectional and derivational processes (Kieffer & Lesaux, 2008).

Stothard, Snowling, Bishop, Chipchase, and Kaplan (1998) provided further evidence linking spoken language issues with reading outcome. In a follow-up study of adolescents who were identified with specific language impairment (SLI) in preschool, Stothard and colleagues discovered that, when tested at age 15, those participants who had early diagnosis of SLI presented with later difficulties in word reading accuracy, reading comprehension and spelling. Moreover, intervention studies revealed that morphological awareness training has a positive effect on language processing in speech and reading (Elbro & Arnbak, 1996), including nonspecific beneficial effects on word reading (Ghaemi, 2009).

It is clear that morphology plays a role in reading acquisition. Morphological awareness is in fact related to children's reading comprehension (Carlisle, 1995; 2000; Singson, Mahony & Mann, 2000; Kuo & Anderson, 2006; Nagy et al., 2006), especially in elementary grades (Carlisle & Fleming, 2003). Young readers are sensitive to the morphemic structure of written words (Casalis, Dusautoir, & Cole, 2009). And, normal readers' morphological awareness is crucial in predicting reading their comprehension (Siegel, 2008); and morphological awareness actually contributes to reading comprehension (Casalis & Louis-Alexandre, 2000; Kuo & Anderson, 2006; Nagy et al., 2006). This contribution increases with age as children are exposed to increasingly higher-level texts comprising unfamiliar everyday words (Kuo & Anderson, 2006). The importance of morphological knowledge for reading increases over the school years, side by side with children's growth in literate lexicon (Carlisle, 2000; Shankweiler et al., 1995; Singson et al., 2000). Indeed, morphological awareness in kindergarten was found to predict reading comprehension in the first three years of elementary school (Carlisle, 1995; Casalis & Louis-Alexandre, 2000). Morphological awareness contributed significantly to the explained variance in reading comprehension in both English and Chinese for second, fourth, and sixth graders (Kuo & Anderson, 2006). The contribution of morphological awareness to reading comprehension was higher for fifth graders than for third graders (Signson, Mahony, & Mann, 2000). Reading comprehension may be a matter of general language understanding and not a unique feature of reading (Rayner, Foorman, Perfetti, Pesetsky, & Seidenberg, 2001). However, this applies more for adult and more fluent readers, than for those who learn to read.

While there can be relation between phonological and morphological awareness among learners, studies demonstrated that morphological awareness contributes to reading comprehension independently of phonological abilities (Deacon & Kirby, 2004; Nagy et al., 2006; Singson et al., 2000) and even beyond the contribution of phonological awareness (Casalis & Louis-Alexandre, 2000; Deacon & Kirby, 2004; Singson et al., 2000). Morphological awareness in normally reading fourth through ninth graders contributed a unique explanation of the variance in reading comprehension, beyond phonological abilities (Nagy et al., 2006).

Morphological awareness develops throughout children's time in school (Nagy, Diakidoy, & Anderson, 1993). Children at the age of three could create compound words to indicate meaning (Clark, 1995). Preschool children were able to begin to identify morphemes, including both inflections and derivations (Carlisle, 2003). In addition, third graders' knowledge of derived words increases sharply (Anglin, Miller, & Wakefield, 1993). Nagy et al. (1993) also suggested that as the morphological complexity of text continues to increase, different aspects of morphological awareness would grow through high school. As a result, it might be expected that the predictive role of morphological awareness in reading comprehension would also increase with age (Nagy et al., 2006). Morphological awareness affected reading comprehension directly. It is explained that morphological or syntactic awareness leads to increased breadth and depth of word knowledge, which in turn affects reading comprehension (Guo, Ying, Roehrig, Alysia, & Williams, 2011).

Intelligence is the best-documented predictor of achievement of literacy and other academic outcomes (Gottfredson, 1997; Hartigan & Wigdor, 1989). At the age of 10, intelligence explained an additional proportion of the variance in literacy skills (Alloway & Alloway, 2010). Though IQ plays important role in predicting reading comprehension (Tiu, Thompson, & Lewis, 2003), there are few studies on the relation of reading comprehension and intelligence. While verbal IQ predicted reading comprehension (Berninger, Abbott, Vermeuleu, & Fulton, 2006), nonverbal IQ is related to reading outcomes in children with language impairment (Catts, Fey, Tomblin & Zhang, 2002); in fact, nonverbal intelligence at kindergarten was the third strongest predictor of reading comprehension at second grade and the second strongest predictor of reading comprehension at fourth grade. While few studies connect reading comprehension and intelligence, at least one study, explored the influence of morphological skills on reading comprehension by controlling Ravens progressive intelligence (Mahfoudhi, Elbeheri, Al-Rashidi, & Everatt, 2010).

## Method

This study investigates reading comprehension using phonological awareness, morphological awareness and non-verbal ability as the predictor variables. Longitudinal survey design is used in data collection (group and individual tests), as measure of reading comprehension was delayed for two years after the measures of predictor variables in grade 2.

## **Participants**

Sample used for this study constitute lower primary students in Grade 2 to 4 from 11 Lower Primary Schools of Thrissur district in Kerala (N=159). The sample was representative of the population on sex ratio and type of school management.

## Instruments

1. Test of Phonological Awareness: This individually administered test designed for Lower Primary students has three subtests, viz., picture-sound recognition, rhyme recognition and rhyme production. Picture-sound recognition test constitutes 45 colour pictures of objects familiar to children whose names correspond to 47 phonemes identified from syllabus for grade 1. Oral instruction was given to the students during administration. When the test administrator presents four pictures at a time and pronounces a sound/phoneme, the child has to find the picture corresponding to the pronounced sound.

Rhyme recognition test has prompt pictures rhyming with one of the target pictures. Choosing the rhyming target picture is the task. The test consists of two practice items and five test items. Rhyme production test has prompt words for which respondent has to produce rhyming words or pseudo words. The whole test takes 20 minutes to administer per child. Test validity is indicated in loading of all the subtests on a single factor with loading ranging from .78 to .74.

- 2. Test of Morphological Awareness: This individual test meant for 2<sup>nd</sup> graders has eight categories of morphemes viz., gender, number, case, compound word, derived word, derived adjective, tense and *kevalaprayojaka*. Each has two practice items and following number of test items. For each subtest, oral instruction is given by the investigator as to what is expected from the student, a pause of 10-15 seconds were given for the student to respond with the answer for each item. The whole test takes 20 minutes to administer per child.
- 3. Test of Reading Comprehension: This is a subtest of the reading test (Gafoor & Khaleeludheen, 2008) for 4<sup>th</sup> grade students consisting of five passages with selection type multiple-choice items requiring factual understanding, interpolation and extrapolation of the content of the passages.

In addition to the above, score on Raven's Progressive Matrices (coloured) was used as measure of non-verbal ability.

#### Results

The values of Mean, Standard Deviation, Skewness and Kurtosis obtained for the variables under study are given in Table 1.

Table 1

Variables	Mean	SD	Skewness	kurtosis
Reading Comprehension	4.34	2.45	05	-1.03
Morphological Awareness	3.05	1.80	0.36	-0.73
Phonological Awareness	0.84	0.88	0.79	-0.23
Non-verbal ability (RPM)	15.03	4.38	0.63	2.06

Statistical Constants of Dependent and Independent Variables (N=159)

The indices of skewness (-.05) and kurtosis (-1.03) of the distribution of reading comprehension scores show that the distribution is symmetric and platykurtic. The interrelationship between morphological awareness, phonological awareness and RPM, and their relationship with Reading Comprehension is given in table 2.

## Table 2

*Relationship among Morphological Awareness, Phonological Awareness and RPM (predictors) and Reading Comprehension (criterion)* 

	Morphological Awareness	Phonological Awareness	Non-verbal ability (RPM)	Reading Comprehension
Morphological Awareness	-	.43**	.29**	.49**
Phonological Awareness		-	0.15	.46**
Non-verbal ability (RPM)			-	.31**
** ~ < 01				

\*\*p<.01

Reading Comprehension is significantly and positively related to Morphological Awareness (r=.49, P<.01), Phonological awareness (r=.46, P<.01) and Ravens non-verbal ability (r=.31, P<.01). Table 2 further shows that the collinearity among the predictor variables is not considerable as the r's obtained between the predictor variables is not as strong as the r's between the same predictor variable and the criterion variable. Hence, in order to obtain the power of the predictors on the Reading Comprehension, multiple regression analysis was performed (Table 3).

## Table 3

Multiple Regression Analysis for Reading Comprehension

Predictors	R*	В	SEB	β	t	$\beta \ge r \ge 100$
Morphological Awareness		409	.107	301	-3.822	14.66
Phonological Awareness	0. 577	828	.216	292	-3.843	13.32
Non-verbal ability (RPM)		.099	.040	.176	2.495	5.39
					Total	33.36

## \*F=24.274 (p<.01), df (3,146)

The coefficient of multiple correlation in table 3 ((R = 0.57, *F* (3,146) = 24.274, *p*<.01) reveals that there is 0.57 relationship between the actual reading comprehension score and the predicted score of reading comprehension from the three predictor variables. Coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup> or  $\Sigma\beta$  x r x 100) indicated in table 3 demonstrates that the three predictors together account for near 1/3 (33.36%) of the variation in reading comprehension in Malayalam of elementary school learners. The strength of the relationship of each predictor variable to the criterion variable is indicated by  $\beta$ ; accordingly for a change in one standard deviation (SD) unit in morphological awareness, there will be a corresponding .30 SD unit change in reading comprehension is also to the tune of 0.29 SD units for the latter per one SD unit of the former. In the same vein, the influence of non-verbal ability on reading comprehension is significant (t=2.50, p<.05), but the increase is only 0.17 SD units per one SD increase of non-verbal ability. The most powerful of cognitive variables in effecting reading comprehension is Morphological Awareness (14.66%), followed by Phonological Awareness, Phono

Awareness and Non-verbal ability together have 33.36 percent (more than 1/3) influence on student's reading comprehension.

### **Conclusion and Suggestions**

This study confirm the influence of morphological awareness on the development of reading comprehension in Malayalam language, and it echoes the observations from related studies (Siegel, 2008; Casalis & Louis-Alexandre, 2000; Kuo & Anderson, 2006; Nagy et al., 2006;) based on other world languages. This study further confirms the hypothesized relationship between phonological awareness and reading comprehension, especially the significance of the former for the development of the latter, independent of factors like non-verbal ability and morphological awareness. Previous studies like Bryant, MacLean, Bradley and Crossland, 1990; Stanovich, 1992; and Wagner and Torgesen, 1987, suggested analogous relation between these variables. In theory, the observed importance of non-verbal ability for development of a principally verbal ability like reading comprehension requires further attention by future researches.

The findings of this study indicate that children who have better abilities in analyzing and manipulating rhymes, syllables, and phonemes, and having ability to identify root words and their inflected or derived forms are better at learning to read than children who have difficulties in acquiring these skills. Thus, importance should be given for the development of basic language skills and its sub skills during lower primary level when pupils begin to learn the language. The skills like morphological and phonological awareness will perform as the robust foundation for all other functional proficiency in using language. Quality of language instruction and learning is entailed in allowing the pupil learn the pure language forms better before penetrating into the issues of society. "A child knows not only how to understand and speak correctly but also appropriately in her language(s). She can modulate her behaviour in terms of person, place and topic. She obviously has the cognitive abilities to abstract extremely complex systems of language-from the flux of sounds. Honing these skills by progressively fostering advanced-level communicative and cognitive abilities in the classroom is the goal of first-language(s) education" (National Curriculum Framework., NCERT, 2005). For achieving these goals, a proper action programme be implemented and then, practiced. Kerala Curriculum Framework (SCERT, 2007) does not permit a linguistic component based language teaching approach , which findings of this study suggests is essential, for developing comprehension skills. Psychological and structure based approach can be adopted than a peripheral approach in language education.

Results of this study evidence that it is logical to improve phonological awareness and morphological awareness in order to develop reading comprehension. Morphological knowledge should be part of the literacy curriculum because of its strong predictive role, just as Carlisle (2003) suggested that including morphology in reading and spelling instruction early on could help children gradually master the many complex relations of morphology, phonology, and orthography. This can have a significant impact on reading skill (Berninger et al., 2003). Introduction of different dictation activities will help pupils to develop phonological awareness, and writing activities should include phonics dictation, picture dictation, keywords dictation, music dictation and running dictation. Exposing students to a variety of text types such as poems, diaries, school rules/game rules, posters, cartoons, news reports will acquaint them with different structures of the language. For developing phonological awareness and morphological awareness, methods like practicing phoneme blending through games, rhyming practice by small rhyming poems, conduct of competition in making rhyming words, playing 'guess the word', constructing word webs around morphemes or topic words, and categorizing words based on number of syllables, initial letter, nouns can be considered.

In addition, maintaining an optimum level of teacher-student relationship is helpful in developing reading comprehension (Remia & Gafoor, 2012). Effective and supportive communication can develop better comprehension characteristics in the student. By this, student will naturally be successful in reading comprehension. Certainly, effective interaction and communication can enhance language skills (Remia & Gafoor, 2012).

Professional development programmes for teachers can be used to strengthen teachers' skills and strategies in giving quality feedback - positive, encouraging and diagnostic. This may equip teachers in helping the learners in developing an awareness of the letter-sound relationships through explicit teaching, building up students' strategies for listening, discriminating sounds, speaking and decoding (in reading) and, in gaining confidence and competence in reading aloud and, in developing interest in reading books. Nonetheless, implementing and orchestrating all these may require whole-school language policy.

### References

- Abu-Rabia, S., & Abu-Rahmoun, N. (2012). The Role of Phonology and Morphology in the Development of Basic Reading Skills of Dyslexic and Normal Native Arabic Readers. *Creative Education*, 3(7), 1259-1268.
- Adams, M. J. (1990). *Beginning to read: Thinking and learning about print*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Alloway, T. P., & Alloway, R. G. (2010). Investigating the predictive roles of working memory and IQ in academic attainment. *Journal of experimental child psychology*, 106(1), 20-29.
- Anglin, J. M., Miller, G. A., & Wakefield, P. C. (1993). Vocabulary development: A morphological analysis. *Monographs of the society for research in child development*, i-186.
- Barbosa, T., Miranda, M. C., Santos, R. F., & Bueno, O. F. A. (2009). Phonological working memory, phonological awareness and language in literacy difficulties in Brazilian children. *Reading and Writing*, 22(2), 201-218.
- Berninger, V. W., Abbott, R. D., Vermeulen, K., & Fulton, C. M. (2006). Paths to reading comprehension in at-risk second-grade readers. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 39(4), 334-351.
- Berninger, V. W., Nagy, W. E., Carlisle, J., Thomson, J., Hoffer, D., Abbott, S., ... & Aylward, E. (2003). Effective treatment for children with dyslexia in grades 4–6: Behavioral and brain evidence. *Preventing and remediating reading difficulties: Bringing science to scale*, 381-417.
- Blachman, B. A. (1994). Early literacy acquisition: The role of phonological awareness. *Language learning disabilities in school-age children and adolescents: Some underlying principles and applications*, 253-274.
- Bradley, L. L., & Bryant, P. E. (1983). Categorizing sounds and learning to read: A causal connection. *Nature*, 301, 419-421.
- Bryant, P. E., MacLean, M., Bradley, L. L., & Crossland, J. (1990). Rhyme and alliteration, phoneme detection, and learning to read. *Developmental psychology*, 26(3), 429-438.
- Bryant, P., MacLean, M., & Bradley, L. (1990). Rhyme, language, and children's reading. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 11(3), 237-252.
- Carlisle, J. F. (1995). Morphological awareness and early reading achievement. In L. B. Feldman (Ed.), *Morphological aspects of language processing*, (pp. 189–209). Hillsdale: Erlbaum.
- Carlisle, J. F. (2000). Awareness of the structure and meaning of morphologically complex words: Impact on reading. *Reading and Writing*, 12(3), 169-190.
- Carlisle, J. F. (2003). Morphology matters in learning to read: A commentary.*Reading Psychology*, 24(3-4), 291-322.
- Carlisle, J. F., & Fleming, J. (2003). Lexical processing of morphologically complex words in the elementary years. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 7(3), 239-253.
- Casalis, S., & Louis-Alexandre, M. F. (2000). Morphological analysis, phonological analysis and learning to read French: A longitudinal study. *Reading and Writing*, 12(3), 303-335.

- Casalis, S., Dusautoir, M., Colé, P., & Ducrot, S. (2009). Morphological effects in children word reading: A priming study in fourth graders. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 27(3), 761-766.
- Catts, H. W., Fey, M. E., Tomblin, J. B., & Zhang, X. (2002). A longitudinal investigation of reading outcomes in children with language impairments. *Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research*, 45(6), 1142–1157.
- Clark, E. V. (1995). The lexicon and syntax. In J. L. Miller & P. D. Eismas (Eds.), Speech, language, and communication (pp. 303–337). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Deacon, H. S. & Wade-Wolley, L. (2005). How the relationship between morphological awareness and reading changes as language skills develop. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for the Scientific Study of Reading, Toronto, Canada.
- Deacon, S. H., & Kirby, J. R. (2004). Morphological awareness: Just "more phonological"? The roles of morphological and phonological awareness in reading development. *Applied psycholinguistics*, 25(2), 223-238.
- Dickinson, D. K., McCabe, A., Clark-Chiarelli, N., & Wolf, A. (2004). Cross-language transfer of phonological awareness in low-income Spanish and English bilingual preschool children. *Applied Psycholinguistics*,25(3), 323-347.
- Ehri, L. C. (2005). Learning to read words: Theory, findings, and issues. *Scientific Studies of reading*, 9(2), 167-188.
- Ehri, L. C. (1980). The development in orthographic images. In U. Frith (Ed.). *Cognitive processes in spelling* (pp. 311-388). London: Academic Press.
- Elbro, C., & Arnbak, E. (1996). The role of morpheme recognition and morphological awareness in dyslexia. *Annals of dyslexia*, 46(1), 209-240.
- Ferrand, L., & Grainger, J. (1992). Phonology and orthography in visual word recognition: Evidence from masked non-word priming. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology:* Section A, 45(3), 353-372.
- Frost, R. (1998). Toward a strong phonological theory of visual word recognition: true issues and false trails. *Psychological bulletin*, 123(1), 71-99.
- Gafoor, A. K., & Kaleeludeen, C. P. (2008). Reading difficulties among upper primary school pupils in Kerala. *Journal of Studies in Teacher Education*, 2(1), 22-34.
- Gafoor, A. K. (2011). Elementary competencies in 3R's among upper primary pupils of Kerala: A secondary analysis. *Innovations and Researches in Education 1(1),* 51-68.
- Ghaemi, H. (2009). The Effect of Morphological Training on Word Reading and Spelling of Iranian Dyslexic Children. *World Applied Sciences J*, 7(1), 57-66.
- Goswami, U., & Bryant, P. (1990). *Phonological skills and learning to read*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Gottfredson, L. S. (1997). Why 'g' matters: The complexity of everyday life.*Intelligence*, 24(1), 79-132.
- Grainger, J., & Ferrand, L. (1994). Phonology and orthography in visual word recognition: Effects of masked homophone primes. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33(2), 218-233.
- Gray, A., & McCutchen, D. (2006). Young Readers' Use of Phonological Information Phonological Awareness, Memory, and Comprehension. *Journal of learning disabilities*, 39(4), 325-333.
- Griva, E., & Anastasiou, D. (2009). Morphological strategies training: The effectiveness and feasibility of morphological strategies training for students of English as a foreign language with and without spelling difficulties. *Journal of Writing Research*, 1(3), 199-223.
- Guo, Y., Roehrig, A. D., & Williams, R. S. (2011). The Relation of Morphological Awareness and Syntactic Awareness to Adults' Reading Comprehension Is Vocabulary Knowledge a Mediating Variable?. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 43(2), 159-183.
- Hartigan, J. A., & Wigdor, A. K. (1989). Fairness in employment testing: Validity generalization, minority issues, and the General Aptitude Test Battery. National Academies Press.

- Kieffer, M. J., & Lesaux, N. K. (2008). The role of derivational morphology in the reading comprehension of Spanish-speaking English language learners.*Reading and Writing*, 21(8), 783-804.
- Kirby, J. R., Geier, B. K., & Deacon, S. H. (2009). Predictors of reading: Phonological awareness, naming speed, orthographic processing, and morphological awareness. Presentation given at the annual conference of the Society of the Scientific Study of Reading (SSSR) Boston, MA.
- Kuo, L. J., & Anderson, R. C. (2006). Morphological awareness and learning to read: A crosslanguage perspective. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(3), 161-180.
- Kyle, F. E., & Harris, M. (2006). Concurrent correlates and predictors of reading and spelling achievement in deaf and hearing school children. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 11(3), 273-288.
- Liberman, I. Y., Shankweiler, D. P., & Liberman, A. M. (1989). The alphabetic principle and learning to read. In D. Shankweiler & I. Y. Liberman (Eds.), *Phonology and reading disability: Solving the reading puzzle* (pp. 1-33). Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Liberman, I. Y., Shankweiler, D., & Liberman, A. M. (1989). The alphabetic principle and learning to read. In *Meeting of the International Academy for Research on Learning Disabilities, Oct, 1986, Northwestern U, Evanston, IL, US.* The University of Michigan Press.
- Lonigan, C. J., Anthony, J. L., Phillips, B. M., Purpura, D. J., Wilson, S. B., & McQueen, J. D. (2009). The nature of preschool phonological processing abilities and their relations to vocabulary, general cognitive abilities, and print knowledge. *Journal of educational* psychology, 101(2), 345.
- Mahfoudhi, A., Elbeheri, G., Al-Rashidi, M., & Everatt, J. (2010). The role of morphological awareness in reading comprehension among typical and learning disabled native Arabic speakers. *Journal of learning disabilities*, 43(6), 500-514.
- Mayers, L., & Bottin, N. (2008). Literary in the mainstream inter-city school: Its relationship to spoken language. *Child language teaching and therapy*, 24(1), 95-114.
- McBride-Chang, C., Cho, J. R., Liu, H., Wagner, R. K., Shu, H., Zhou, A., ... & Muse, A. (2005). Changing models across cultures: Associations of phonological awareness and morphological structure awareness with vocabulary and word recognition in second graders from Beijing, Hong Kong, Korea, and the United States. *Journal of experimental child psychology*, 92(2), 140-160.
- Nagy, W., Berninger, V. W., & Abbott, R. D. (2006). Contributions of morphology beyond phonology to literacy outcomes of upper elementary and middle-school students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98(1), 134.
- Nagy, W., Diakidoy, I., & Anderson, R. C. (1993). The acquisition of morphology: Learning the contribution of suffixes to the meanings of derivatives. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 25(2), 155-170.
- NCERT. (2005). National curriculum framework. New Delhi: NCERT
- Perfetti, C. A. (1992). The representation problem in reading acquisition. In P.B. Gough, L.C. Ehri, & R. Treiman (Eds.), *Reading acquisition* (pp. 145-174). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Perfetti, C. A., Zhang, S., & Berent, I. (1992). Reading in English and Chinese: evidence for a "universal" phonological principle. In R. Frost, & L. Katz (Eds.), Orthography, phonology, morphology, and meaning (pp. 227-248). Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Puolakanaho, A. (2007). Early prediction of reading: Phonological Awareness and related language and cognitive skills in children with a familial risk for dyslexia. *Jyvaskyla studies in Education, Psychology and social Research,* ISSN 0075-4625; 317 University of Jyväskylä.

- Rayner, K., Foorman, B. R., Perfetti, C. A., Pesetsky, D., & Seidenberg, M. S. (2001). How psychological science informs the teaching of reading.*Psychological science in the public interest*, 2(2), 31-74.
- Remia, K.R., & Gafoor, A. K. (2012). Does the level of teacher pupil interaction influence level of Reading comprehension? Proceedings of UGC sponsored National seminar on Educational Renaissance for a new generation at St. Thomas College of Teacher Education, Pala Kottayam, 0n 28<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup> November 2012. 116-119
- Remia, K. R., & Gafoor, A. K. (2011). *Literacy- The first step to Academic Inclusion*, UGC sponsored National Seminar on Educating Teacher Educators for Diversity on 15-16 September 2011 at GCTE, Kozhikode.
- Roman, A. A., Kirby, J. R., Parrila, R. K., Wade-Woolley, L., & Deacon, S. H. (2009). Toward a comprehensive view of the skills involved in word reading in Grades 4, 6, and 8. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 102(1), 96-113.
- SCERT. (2007). *Kerala Curriculum Framework* 2007. State Council of Educational Research and Training (SCERT) Kerala: Thiruvananthapuram
- Shankweiler, D., Crain, S., Katz, L., Fowler, A. E., Liberman, A. M., Brady, S. A., ... & Shaywitz, B. A. (1995). Cognitive profiles of reading-disabled children: Comparison of language skills in phonology, morphology, and syntax.*Psychological Science*, 149-156.
- Share, D. L., & Stanovich, K. E. (1995). Cognitive processes in early reading development: Accommodating individual differences into a model of acquisition. *Issues in education: Contributions from educational psychology*, 1(1), 1-58.
- Siegel, L. S. (2008). Morphological awareness skills of English language learners and children with dyslexia. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 28(1), 15-27.
- Singson, M., Mahony, D., & Mann, V. (2000). The relation between reading ability and morphological skills: Evidence from derivational suffixes. *Reading and Writing*, 12(3), 219-252.
- Stanovich, K. E. (1992). Speculations on the cause and consequences of individual differences in early reading acquisition. In P. B. Gough, L. C. Ehri, & R. Treiman (Eds.), *Reading* acquisition (pp. 307-342). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Stothard, S. E., Snowling, M. J., Bishop, D. V. M., Chipchase, B. B., & Kaplan, C. A. (1998). Language-impaired preschoolers: A follow-up into adolescence. *Journal of Speech*, *Language and Hearing Research*, 41(2), 407.
- Syamala, V. (1996). A text book of English phonetics and structure. (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed) Sarath Ganga publications Trivandrum.
- Tiu, R. D., Thompson, L. A., & Lewis, B. A. (2003). The role of IQ in a component model of reading. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 36(5), 424-436.
- Van Orden, G. C., Pennington, B. F., & Stone, G. O. (1990). Word identification in reading and the promise of subsymbolic psycholinguistics. *Psychological Review*, 97(4), 488.
- Wagner, R. K., & Torgesen, J. K. (1987). The nature of phonological processing and its causal role in the acquisition of reading skills. *Psychological Bulletin*, 101(2), 192.
- Yopp, H. K., & Yopp, R. H. (2000). Supporting phonemic awareness development in the classroom. *The Reading Teacher*, 54(2), 130-143.