COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE: EXISTING CONCEPTS AND PROSPECTS FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT

Communicative competence is set out to be of the eight key competences which individuals need for personal fulfilment and development, active citizenship, social inclusion and employment (European Commission 2004, p. 3). The success of the sustainable development of communicative competence requires existing concepts of communicative competence and prospects for further development to be considered. Aim of the following paper is to analyze existing concepts of communicative competence and to elaborate hypothesis for further studies. The findings of the research allow putting forth the following hypothesis on the prospects of the competence concept’s development and of innovation in education (Lifelong Learning for Creativity and Innovation 2008, p. 4): the concept competence extended includes the ability to innovate knowledge – the creation, dissemination and application of knowledge that allows using the term innovation competence while the communicative competence remains the overall concept.
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INTRODUCTION

Communicative competence that involves language (Druviete 2007, p. 12) is set out to be of the eight key competences which individuals need for personal fulfilment and development, active citizenship, social inclusion and employment (European Commission 2004, p. 3). The term communicative competence is comprised of two words, the combination of which means competence to communicate with the central word competence (Bagarić, Djigunović 2007, p. 94). The concepts of communicative competence have been constantly changed and accompanied by a change in the originally used terms, namely, language proficiency, communicative proficiency, communicative language ability, communicative language competence etc. (Bagarić, Djigunović 2007, p. 99) and communication competence (Zaščerinska 2008, p. 1-8) understood as “competence in communication” (McCroskey 1984, p. 259).

Communicative competence is considered by a number of researchers in the Baltic region and other countries:

- communicative competence (Briede 1996; Игнатьева 1999; Kramiņa 2000; Барышева, 2004; Lūka 2006; Stanionis, Kilivuniene 2008; Skrinda 2008) and
- language competence (Фролова 2002; Kramiņš 2004; Laiveniece 2004; Lūka 2008);
- research on communication competence in the United States of America emphasises the psychological approach (Almeida 2002; McDowell 2000; Keyton and Strawn 1999; Hugenberg and Yoder 1994; Schaller and DeWine 1993) and the intercultural approach (Bradford, Allen and Beisser 1998; Savignon 1976);
the research on communication competence in China has tended to focus on Chinese communication behaviours where confucianism is generally identified as the foundation of Chinese culture and tradition (Chon 1993);
- the definitions of the communication in the mother tongue competence and the communication in a foreign language competence (European Commission 2004, p. 7);
- the research on Peculiarities of Novice Educators’ and Students Trainees’ Communication Competence in Lithuania (Stankeviciene, Kraujeliene, 2008).

Aim of the following paper is to analyze existing concepts of communicative competence and to elaborate hypothesis for further studies. The search for the prospects of the further development of communicative competence involves a process of analyzing the meaning of key concepts, namely, competence, communicative competence, communicative language competence, communication competence and innovation competence. The study would show a potential model for development indicating how the steps of the process are related following a logical chain: competence → communicative competence → communicative language competence → communication competence → innovation competence.

The remaining part of this article is organized as follows: The introductory state-of-the-art section demonstrates the author’s position on the topic of the research. The following part of the paper introduces the historical perspective on existing concepts of communicative competence in linguistics. Finally, some concluding remarks are provided.

STATE-OF-THE-ART

The modern issues of global developmental trends emphasize “a prime importance in sustainable development that is to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs” (Zimmermann 2003, p. 9). Thus, sustainable personality is a person who sees relationships and inter-relationships between nature, society and the economy (Rohweder 2007, p. 24). In other words, this is a person who is able to develop the system of external and internal perspectives, and in turn this developing the system of external and internal perspectives becomes a main condition for the sustainable personality to develop. For instance, the concern of the European Union, namely, to become “the most competitive and dynamic knowledge-based economy in the world capable of sustainable economic growth with more and better jobs and greater social cohesion” (European Commission, 2004, p. 2) and Latvia, namely, to increase its welfare whereas the most valuable resources of a government are its population’s dreams and expectations (Volkova 2006, p. 1) for the creative economics, demonstrates the significance of developing the system of external and internal perspectives for the development of humans, institutions and society because the more diverse humans are, the wiser is mankind (Maslo 2006a, p. 16) where everyone is responsible for the world and history, for his/her own unique fate and for the fate of nature and culture (Панов 2007, p. 140).

Thus, the life necessity to develop the system of two perspectives, namely, external and internal, determines the research methodology of communicative competence as depicted in Figure 1 by Ahrens and Zaščerinska (2010, p. 180):
Figure 1: Developing the system of external and internal perspectives as a life necessity

However, in real life sustainable personality is often perceived from one of the perspectives: from the internal perspective accentuating cognition, from the external perspective accentuating social interaction and finding a balance between the external and internal perspectives (Surikova, 2007, p. 29).

The methodological foundation of the present research on communicative competence within a multicultural environment is formed by the System-Constructivist Theory based on (Maslo 2006b, p. 39; Homiča 2009 p. 46) Parson’s system theory (1976, p. 9-30) where any activity is considered as a system, Luhmann’s theory (1988, p. 1-14) which emphasizes communication as a system, theory of symbolic interactionalism (Mead 1973; Goffman 1977) and theory of subjectivism (Groeben 1986).

Thus, the System-Constructivist Theory and, consequently, the system-constructivist approach to learning introduced by Reich (2005) emphasizes that human being’s point of view depends on the subjective aspect: everyone has his/her own system of external and internal perspectives (See Figure 1) that is a complex open system (Rudzinska 2008, p. 366) and experience plays the central role in a construction process (Maslo 2007, p. 39).

Thus, four approaches to the communicative competence within a multicultural environment are revealed: from the internal perspective accentuating cognition, from the external perspective accentuating social interaction, finding a balance between the external and internal perspectives and developing the system of the external and internal perspectives.

The fourth approach is considered to be applicable to the present research on the communicative competence within a multicultural environment.

Moreover, the author’s position on the topic of the present research, namely, developing the system of the external and internal perspectives, is reflected in the principles of mutual sustainability and mutual complementarity based on the methodology of the present research. The principle of mutual sustainability means to provide a complex of possibilities that allows for everyone (both student and teacher in the context of the present research) to learn (Панов 2007 p. 72). And the reflected principle of complementarity points that opposite things (principles in the context of the present research) supplement each other for finding the truth (Grabovska 2006, p. 21-22).

Thus, the present research is a social product (Ольшанский 2000, p. 7) where the prerequisite is dialogue (Ольшанский 2000, p. 6).

A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE ON EXISTING CONCEPTS OF COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE IN LINGUISTICS

The present part of the research focuses on the historical perspective of the development of the concepts competence on the linguistic discourse.
**Competence** as a scientific category was first mentioned in Chomsky’s linguistic theory (1965) as analytical category to explain the language as phenomenon.

Chomsky considers that “linguistic theory is concerned primarily with the ideal speaker-listener, in a completely homogeneous speech-community, who knows its language perfectly and is unaffected by such grammatically irrelevant conditions as memory limitations, distractions, shifts of attention and interest, and errors (random or characteristic) in applying his knowledge of the language in actual performance” (Chomsky 1965, p. 3-4). Thus, he makes “a fundamental distinction between **competence** (the speaker-hearer’s knowledge of his language) and **performance** (the actual use of language in concrete situations)” (Chomsky 1965, p. 4) addressing to concept of langue not as merely a systematic inventory of items but “to Humboldtian conception of underlying competence as a system of generative processes” (Chomsky 1965, p. 4).

Hence, Chomsky’s linguistic theory refers competence to monolingual native speakers and defines **competence** as the knowledge of the language (Karapetjana 2007, p. 15).

Then, the theory of context of the anthropologist Malinowski is emphasized for the further development of the concept \( **competence** \) (Karapetjana 2007, p. 15): the concepts of the context of situation (the environment of the text) and the context of culture has been created where the context of culture is the environment of the linguistic system and is of importance on the level of language use and interpretation. Malinowski’s concept of the context of situation that includes the participants of the situation, their verbal and non-verbal actions, the effects of these actions, and other relevant features, objects, and events was generalized (Karapetjana 2007, p. 15).

Then, the concept **communicative competence** proposed by Hymes meant the ability to use the language in a social context (Karapetjana 2007, p. 16): Hymes considers competence to be the most general concept for the capabilities of a person that is dependent upon (tacit) knowledge and (ability for) use (Hymes 1971, p. 50). Thus, Hymes refers to an individual’s ability to use speech appropriately in a variety of social contexts (Karapetjana 2007, p. 16): the scholar seems to be concerned with the social and cultural knowledge that speakers need in order to communicate successfully by understanding and using linguistic means (Hymes 1971, p. 282).

Thus, the concept **competence** has been extended to include the ability to use it (Karapetjana 2007, p. 16) as highlighted in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Name of the competence</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Theoretical background</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>competence</td>
<td>the speaker-hearer’s knowledge of his language</td>
<td>Chomsky’s linguistic theory (Chomsky 1965, p. 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>communicative competence</td>
<td>capabilities of a person dependent upon (tacit) knowledge and (ability for) use</td>
<td>The concept of <strong>communicative competence</strong> by Hymes (Hymes 1971, p. 50).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Common European Framework** is consistent with earlier work in communicative competence (Savignon 1983, 2000; Canale and Swain 1980, etc) and seems to have provided the most comprehensive description of communicative language competences (Karapetjana 2007 p. 14-17): the ability to use a language communicatively entails both knowledge in the language and the ability of using it (Council of Europe 2001, p. 9).

Thus, the concept **competence** has been extended to include both the knowledge and the ability to use it (Karapetjana 2007, p. 16) (See Table 2) that can be illustrated by the following example: communicative competence is the ability in real life situations to use a language both receptively and productively (Lūka 2006, p. 221).
### Phase | Name of the competence | Definition | Theoretical background |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>competence</td>
<td>the speaker-hearer’s knowledge of his language</td>
<td>Chomsky’s linguistic theory (Chomsky 1965, p. 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>communicative competence</td>
<td>capabilities of a person dependent upon (tacit) knowledge and (ability for) use</td>
<td>The concept of communicative competence by Hymes (Hymes 1971, p. 50).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>communicative language competence</td>
<td>the ability to use a language communicatively entails both knowledge in the language and the ability of using it</td>
<td>Council of Europe (2001, p. 9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The **communicative competence** concepts as the basis of developing the system of external and internal perspectives involves the components highlighted in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External Perspective</th>
<th>Developing the System, Regulating Dissonance</th>
<th>Internal Perspective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>meaning</td>
<td>schemas</td>
<td>sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>denotation</td>
<td>chunks</td>
<td>personal meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scientific whole</td>
<td>gambits</td>
<td>spontaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>concept system</td>
<td>part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grammar</td>
<td>connotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>new type of function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the concept **competence** has been extended to include the knowledge, the ability to use it and the ability to create knowledge (Zaščerinska 2009, p. 3; Reeves 2009, p. 1) that allows using the term **communication competence** in the frame of the present research while the communicative competence remains the overall concept (See Table 4).

### Phase | Name of the competence | Definition | Theoretical background |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>competence</td>
<td>the speaker-hearer’s knowledge of his language</td>
<td>Chomsky’s linguistic theory (Chomsky 1965, p. 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>communicative competence</td>
<td>capabilities of a person dependent upon (tacit) knowledge and (ability for) use</td>
<td>The concept of communicative competence by Hymes (1971, p. 50).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>communicative language competence</td>
<td>the ability to use a language communicatively entails both knowledge in the language and the ability of using it</td>
<td>Council of Europe (2001, p. 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>communication competence</td>
<td>- the knowledge, the ability to use it and the ability to</td>
<td>The overall concept communicative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

The findings of the research allow putting forth the following hypothesis on the prospects of the competence concept’s development and of innovation in education (Lifelong Learning for Creativity and Innovation 2008, p. 4) (See Table 5): the concept competence extended includes the ability to innovate knowledge – the creation, dissemination and application of knowledge that allows using the term innovation competence in the frame of the present research while the communicative competence remains the overall concept.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Name of the competence</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Theoretical background</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>competence</td>
<td>the speaker-hearer’s knowledge of his language</td>
<td>Chomsky’s linguistic theory (Chomsky 1965, p. 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>communicative competence</td>
<td>capabilities of a person dependent upon (tacit) knowledge and (ability for) use</td>
<td>The concept of communicative competence by Hymes (Hymes 1971, p. 50).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>communicative language competence</td>
<td>the ability to use a language communicatively entails both knowledge in the language and the ability of using it</td>
<td>Council of Europe (2001, p. 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>communication competence</td>
<td>- the knowledge, the ability to use it and the ability to create knowledge; - knowledge usage, exchange and creation</td>
<td>The overall concept communicative competence on the basis of System-Constructivist Theory (Zaščerinska 2009, p. 3); the practice-based master’s programme’s activity which uses a hybrid pedagogy combining both schooling and developmental strategies (Reeves 2009, p. 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>innovation competence</td>
<td>the ability to innovate knowledge – the creation, dissemination and application of knowledge</td>
<td>Lifelong Learning for Creativity and Innovation, 2008, p. 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It might be stressed that the emphasis of the System-Constructivist Theory on the subjective aspect of human being’s point of view and experience that plays the central role in a construction process does not allow analyzing the concept competence objectively: human beings do not always realize their experience and their wants in the use of communicative competence.
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КОММУНИКАТИВНАЯ КОМПЕТЕНЦИЯ: СУЩЕСТВУЮЩИЕ КОНЦЕПЦИИ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ РАЗВИТИЯ

Аннотация

Образование на основе развития компетенций дает новые знания в рамках “трехугольника знаний” образования, научных исследований и инноваций. Коммуникативная компетенция, включающая в себя язык, имеет наибольшее значение из восьми ключевых компетенций необходимых для личностной самореализации и развития, активной гражданской позиции, социальной интеграции и трудоустройства (Европейская рамочная конвенция, 2001, р. 3). Современные учителя должны стать более осведомленными и более чутко реагировать на возникающие потребности на рынке образовательных услуг, чтобы внести решающий вклад в устойчивое развитие коммуникативной компетенции учащихся. Успех устойчивого развития коммуникативной компетенции студентов требует проанализировать существующие концепции коммуникативной компетенции и перспективы их дальнейшего развития. Целью работы является анализ существующих концепций коммуникативной компетенции и гипотеза для дальнейших исследований. Заключение показывает, что концепции коммуникативной компетенции постоянно меняются и сопровождаются изменениями в терминологии.
КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: компетенция, коммуникативная компетенция, коммуникативная языковая компетенция, коммуникационная компетенция, инновационная компетенция