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President Barack Obama has set forth an ambitious agenda for U.S. postsecondary education: by 2020, to once again have the highest proportion of college graduates in the world. In April 2010, the American Association of Community Colleges and five other community college organizations responded by reaffirming their commitment to completion while maintaining their commitment to increasing access and quality by issuing a joint statement, *Democracy’s Colleges: Call to Action*. The partnering organizations were the Association of Community College Trustees, the Center for Community College Student Engagement, the League for Innovation in the Community College, the National Organization for Staff and Organizational Development, and Phi Theta Kappa. With this commitment to completion articulated, *Rebalancing the Mission: The Community College Completion Challenge* addresses what it means for community colleges to embrace completion in the same way that they have historically embraced access. Because community colleges are, first and foremost, oriented toward their communities, they may need to modify their traditional ways of fulfilling their individual missions, specifically in three areas: course enrollment, course completion, and certificate and degree completion.

In terms of the enrollment mission, community colleges provide access to, and opportunity for, education through courses that serve as the foundation for a career, a new life, or a new perspective. The belief in democratizing education by maintaining opportunity is paramount to the continuance of an educated citizenry. Support of the open-access philosophy by policymakers has resulted in strong student demand at community colleges, where enrollment has been increasing dramatically. Meeting increased demand for more noncredit and online courses is one challenge facing community colleges.

Another challenge for community colleges is to help some kinds of students to successfully complete courses, especially high school students, swirlers, and retoolers. For high school students, community colleges provide opportunities not only for the academically advanced but also for those who need to further develop their potential. Earning college credit while in high school has been shown to increase the likelihood that a student will enroll and persist in a postsecondary education institution. The demand for community college courses is apparent in the substantial growth of dual-credit programs in high schools. Community colleges also assist students in completing high school or its equivalent, which is essential to increasing earnings and future workplace, postsecondary, and military opportunities.

Swirlers—students who attend 4-year institutions and enroll at a community college for just one course—also reap economic benefits by earning credit that transfers at a much lower cost. The ability to take courses while enrolled concurrently in a 4-year institution can also decrease time-to-degree. Community colleges also represent a means of increasing workplace productivity for retoolers—students who enroll in courses to expand their knowledge or skills. Examples include learning a new welding technique, a new computer program, or the most recent changes in the Internal Revenue Code. Retoolers can also earn continuing education units, which may be essential to maintaining licensure in a profession.

The credentials primarily awarded by community colleges—certificates and associate degrees—play a unique role in advancing college completion rates. Given the current economic climate and high unemployment rates, there exists a clear demand for, and focus on, quickly returning people to a changing work environment through education and training. In community colleges, this demand manifests itself in heightened interest in short-term, work-related certificates in specific programs. Community college leaders are faced with focusing either on (a) increasing completion rates using the traditional measures (i.e., attainment of associate and bachelor’s degrees) used in international comparisons or (b) getting people back to work with certificates and industry credentials that are not counted as a success measure in those comparisons. Focusing solely on the former narrowly defines success while overlooking the needs and achievements of a significant number of people, whereas focusing solely on the latter will not increase the international ranking of the United States. Community colleges are therefore in the difficult position of balancing two completion agendas: the person’s need to return to work and the nation’s desire to be a world leader in terms of a narrowly defined set of outcomes.

Aligning student success with future opportunities for continued career success should be part of any completion agenda. Within the community college, courses generally relate well to each other, but when graduates look to study at other institutions, they often face unanticipated difficulties. Thus, one challenge is to more clearly define and facilitate future education paths for students. Stackable credentials, career pathways, and applied associate and bachelor’s degrees have emerged as ways to provide opportunity for continued academic progression for those who might otherwise have enrolled in terminal training programs.

Community colleges are committed to improving completion rates while maintaining their commitment to access and quality. This brief highlights some of the issues that community college leaders, working with their community partners, will have to navigate as they focus more squarely on improving completion rates, however they are defined. How each college addresses these issues will vary, but a consistent factor is that fiscal conditions will undoubtedly continue to influence policy and administrative decisions. Community colleges will have difficulty embracing the practices and perspectives needed to increase completion rates without additional fiscal resources, especially at a time when they are facing double-digit enrollment growth.
We believe education is essential for realizing the fullest potential of each member of our society and that appropriate higher education should be available to all who can benefit from it.

— From the AACC Constitution

Introduction

On February 24, 2009, President Barack Obama set forth an ambitious agenda for U.S. postsecondary education: by 2020, to once again have the highest proportion of college graduates in the world. Five months later, at Macomb Community College in Michigan, the president placed the spotlight on community colleges to meet this ambitious goal. Of the estimated 8.2 million additional graduates needed for the United States to become the world leader in education, five million additional students would graduate from community colleges. The president’s announcement in July 2009 of the American Graduation Initiative, which was aimed at providing the resources needed to meet the target, positioned community colleges front and center in the plan for the United States to become the world’s most highly educated nation.

Nine months later, however, the proposed program and accompanying $12 billion in funding that energized the largest sector of postsecondary education was not enacted. In the aftermath, community colleges continue to focus on how to move more students toward the achievement of their goals, with a new emphasis on graduation. In April 2010, the American Association of Community Colleges and five other community college organizations responded by reaffirming their commitment to completion while maintaining their commitment to increasing access and quality by issuing a joint statement, Democracy’s Colleges: Call to Action. The partnering organizations were the Association of Community College Trustees, the Center for Community College Student Engagement, the League for Innovation in the Community College, the National Organization for Staff and Organizational Development, and Phi Theta Kappa. With this commitment to completion clearly and broadly articulated, this policy brief addresses what it means for community colleges to embrace completion in the same way that they have historically embraced access.

Rebalancing the Mission

In a remarkable confluence, federal and state governments and foundations are urging a paradigm shift for community colleges and similar institutions, from one emphasizing access to one emphasizing completion. Because of the egalitarian philosophy guiding community colleges, this shift has complex and challenging implications.

A galvanizing principle driving the focus on completion is that education contributes to the development of human capital. Although building human capital unquestionably benefits the broader community, it is most commonly expressed in terms of labor market benefits to the individual person: Decreased unemployment and increased earnings accrue for each successive level of education a person attains. Not surprisingly, research has shown that earnings increase not only by level of education attainment but also by program of study and standard industry wages. For example, 25% of those with a bachelor’s degree earn less than those with an associate’s degree.

Because community colleges are, first and foremost, oriented toward their communities, they may need to modify their traditional ways of fulfilling their individual missions in light of the completion imperative. In this brief, I examine the rebalancing of the community college mission that needs to occur; emphasizing less the curriculum that is offered (academic transfer, workforce development, developmental education, etc.) and more the objectives students seek to complete (course enrollment, course completion, and certificate and degree completion).

Course Enrollment

On one level, the act of enrolling in a course is success for all those who desire education beyond high school, for those new to the country who need a place to develop career-related skills, and for those who simply want to enrich their lives. As democratically oriented, egalitarian institutions, community colleges do not limit availability of courses to, nor design them exclusively for, those populations who need it most. Indeed, community colleges were initially conceptualized to serve as the first two years of a liberal arts higher education over 100 years ago. In the century since, a substantial number of academically strong students have started at community colleges and transferred to continue and complete upper-division course work and degrees. In addition to their role as a starting point for higher education, community colleges serve a large number of students from 4-year institutions who wish to take classes at their local colleges during times when they are home with family.

Support of the open-access philosophy by policymakers is one reason for stronger demand for community colleges, where
fall enrollment increased almost eightfold from 1963 to 2008.\textsuperscript{16} Federal actions to support access include continued funding for the Pell Grant and other Title IV student aid programs,\textsuperscript{17} aid to institutions serving underrepresented populations,\textsuperscript{18} various tax provisions,\textsuperscript{19} and judicial decisions that support diversity on campus.\textsuperscript{20} States have improved access by including in master plans community colleges located within commuting distance of potential students, by granting fiscal support for institutions and students,\textsuperscript{21} and by implementing policies and actions to assist in preparing K–12 students for college and careers. Support for access continues to come from private foundations such as the Atlantic Philanthropies, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Charles Stewart Mott Foundation, the Ford Foundation, the Joyce Foundation, the Walmart Foundation, the W.K. Kellogg Foundation, the Kresge Foundation, Lumina Foundation for Education, and numerous local, regional, and national foundations dedicated to providing opportunity for education. Most importantly, access to courses is the result of institutional admission policies.

The belief in democratizing education by maintaining opportunity is paramount to the continuance of an educated citizenry. The importance of open-access to course content is currently evident in the push for more online courses and those offered for non-credit.\textsuperscript{22} While each institution will determine the degree to which it continues the practice of open access and the way it is provided, time has shown the need for and benefits of open access to postsecondary education.

### Course Completion

While it takes an act of courage for many people to enroll in courses, it takes institutional effort as well to help students successfully complete them. People of all academic abilities enroll in community colleges for a multitude of reasons. Three primary groups are high school students, swirlers, and retoolers.

#### High School Students

Community colleges straddle two historically distinct silos of education: secondary and postsecondary. This creates unique opportunities and responsibilities for the colleges. Earning college credit while in high school has been shown to increase the likelihood that a student will enroll and persist in a postsecondary education institution.\textsuperscript{23} Furthermore, the demand for college courses is apparent in the substantial growth of dual-credit programs in high schools.\textsuperscript{24}

Taking courses while in high school can also help students in obtaining a high school diploma or its equivalent. Attaining a high school diploma is necessary, if by no means sufficient, to attain a middle-class lifestyle, with strong returns to students and their families in terms of further workplace, postsecondary, and military opportunities. Over the last decade, high school completers consistently earned nearly 50% more than those who did not complete high school.\textsuperscript{25} As with all levels of postsecondary attainment, these increased earnings result in greater tax revenues for government and societal benefits.\textsuperscript{26}

To be successful in college-level courses, students must have acquired the knowledge and skills provided by a rigorous K–12 learning experience. Yet many students lack the academic preparation to be successful in college, as is evidenced by the fact that, in 2004, approximately 60% of community college students need academic remediation.\textsuperscript{27} The Developmental Education Initiative, funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and Lumina Foundation, was created in 2009 to address this problem by working with community colleges and state policymakers to increase necessary academic support through course redesign.\textsuperscript{28}

#### Swirlers

Swirlers—students who attend 4-year institutions and enroll at a community college for even just one course—reap economic benefits. Not only do these students receive a quality learning experience that is portable to their native institutions, but students who take the same course at a local community college pay, on average, $447 less in tuition than at their public 4-year institution.\textsuperscript{29} The taxpayer benefits in that total federal, state, and local operating fund revenue provided for community colleges is just 19% that of public 4-year institutions.\textsuperscript{30} Finally, the ability of students to take courses while either enrolled concurrently in a 4-year institution or during the summer also contributes to decreasing time-to-degree by providing the courses students need, where they need them, when they need them.

#### Retoolers

A lesser-known category of community college students consists of working adults who enroll for a course to retool their knowledge or skills.\textsuperscript{31} As a result, workplace productivity increases for those who learn a new welding technique, a new computer program, or the most recent changes in the Internal Revenue Code. A corollary to courses taken by retooling students is continuing education units (CEUs) in the professional community.\textsuperscript{32} In many professions,\textsuperscript{33} maintaining licensure is contingent on expanding one’s knowledge via CEUs earned in courses or at seminars.

Thus, classifying success solely in terms of course completion is incongruent with synoptic views of educational attainment. A way to broaden one’s viewpoint is to examine the counterfactual: What if educational opportunity were not available at the course level? Under such conditions, a computer programmer would have to earn another degree to stay current in the field. Or a sole proprietor would have to earn a business degree to learn the accounting skills to manage business more effectively.

Many other examples could be given, but the point remains the same: There is value to completing a course. As community colleges focus on improving completion rates, they may need to reconsider the impact of packaging learning opportunities one course at a time.

#### Certificate and Degree Completion

Completing course work to obtain a credential, whether it is a certificate, work-related certification, or a degree, signifies an acquisition of knowledge and skills in a given discipline, but the world of credentials is mesmerizing in its scope and complexity. The credentials primarily awarded by community colleges—certifications and associate degrees—play a unique role in advancing college completion rates.
Certificate Programs

Given the current economic climate and high unemployment rates, there exists a clear demand for, and focus on, quickly returning people to a changing work environment through education and training. In community colleges, this demand manifests itself in heightened interest in short-term, work-related education certificates in a specific program. The trend toward short-term training predates current economic conditions, however: From 1997 to 2007 there was a 58.4% increase in short-term certificates awarded at community colleges as compared to an 18.7% increase in associate degrees, a 28.5% increase in moderate-term certificates, and a 9.8% decrease in long-term certificates. It appears that this trend will continue: The Bureau of Labor Statistics projects that eight of the top 10 occupations with the largest employment growth by 2018 will require less than a bachelor’s degree, with six requiring less than an associate degree.

The focus on putting people back to work with short- and moderate-term certificates and associate degrees does pose a problem for the workhorse of higher education: community colleges. Community college leaders are faced with focusing either on (a) increasing completion rates using the traditional measures (i.e., attainment of associate and bachelor’s degrees) established by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development in international comparisons or (b) getting people back to work with certificates and industry credentials that are not counted as a success measure in international comparisons. Focusing solely on the former narrowly defines success while overlooking the needs and achievements of a significant number of people, whereas focusing solely on the latter will not increase the international ranking of the United States. Community colleges are therefore in the difficult position of balancing two completion agendas: the person’s need to return to work and the nation’s desire to be a world leader in terms of a narrowly defined set of outcomes.

Community colleges have been addressing this completion disconnect by, for example, developing stackable credentials, defined as a “series of certificates, licenses, diplomas or other credentials that ‘stack’ on top of one another and designate advancement along career pathways.” For example, the Shifting Gears Initiative, funded by the Joyce Foundation, has begun the difficult work of merging training in workplace skills with the academic foundation needed to progress along a college and career pathway.

Associate Degrees

Aligning student success with future opportunities for continued career success should be part of any completion agenda: It is estimated that a person will hold, on average, 10.8 jobs between the ages of 18 and 42 and will therefore need the requisite skills to be productive during these transitions. Within the community college, courses generally relate well to each other, but when community college graduates look to study at other institutions, they often face unanticipated difficulties.

One challenge is to more clearly define and facilitate future education paths for students. As is evidenced in Florida and most recently applied in Tennessee, common course numbering across all postsecondary sectors, a clear statement of transferability, and a higher education system that guarantees acceptance to public 4-year institutions for associate degree completers are ways to encourage degree completion and better align state systems. Highly structured, accelerated learning experiences, such as short-term certificate and 1-year associate degree programs, have been embraced by some as a way to increase program completion. These accelerated programs can help young and adult learners meet President Obama’s ambitious 2020 goal; however, these learners have to juggle work and family responsibilities that limit their ability to forego earnings as may be required to complete accelerated programs.

A challenge for community colleges in accepting highly structured programs is the contentious issue of diminishing student aspirations—especially of traditionally disadvantaged populations. The process, known as “cooling-out,” occurs when advisors encourage students to matriculate in less rigorous programs that they may believe the students would be more successful at completing. This issue has yet to be resolved conclusively, but recent research has shown that advising has a positive impact on completion, especially for those with academic deficiencies. Furthermore, stackable credentials, career pathways, and applied associate and bachelor’s degrees have emerged as ways to provide opportunity for continued academic progression for those who might otherwise have enrolled in terminal training programs.

Conclusion

Community colleges are committed to improving completion rates while maintaining their commitment to access and quality. This brief highlights some of the issues that community college leaders, working with their community partners, will have to navigate as they focus more squarely on improving completion rates, however difficult that may be to define. How each college addresses these issues will vary, but a consistent factor across all community colleges is that current fiscal conditions will undoubtedly continue to influence policy and administrative decisions.

Any conversation about national education attainment goals is also a conversation about national priorities. As such, it warrants an examination of the types of public investment necessary to produce the desired public good. Community colleges will have difficulty embracing the practices and perspectives needed to increase completion rates without additional fiscal resources, especially at a time when they are facing double-digit enrollment growth. Funding community colleges at one fifth the amount that public 4-year institutions receive and expecting community colleges to provide the services and opportunities needed to reach an ambitious national completion goal is a problematic proposition.
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