Accelerated Reader

Effectiveness

No studies of Accelerated Reader that fall within the scope of the English Language Learners (ELL) review protocol meet What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) evidence standards. The lack of studies meeting WWC evidence standards means that, at this time, the WWC is unable to draw any conclusions based on research about the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of Accelerated Reader on ELL.

Program Description

Accelerated Reader is a computer-based reading management system designed to complement an existing classroom literacy program for grades pre-K–12. It is designed to increase the amount of time students spend reading independently. Students choose reading-level appropriate books or short stories for which Accelerated Reader tests are available and read at their own pace. Once students finish reading a book or short story, they take a multiple choice quiz that measures their reading performance and vocabulary growth. The computer-based management system provides students with immediate feedback on their performance and keeps records to help teachers and parents track students’ attempts to reach their goals.

The WWC identified 13 studies of Accelerated Reader for English Language Learners that were published or released between 1983 and 2008.

Two studies are within the scope of the ELL review protocol but do not meet WWC evidence standards. In both studies, the measures of effectiveness cannot be attributed solely to the intervention because there was only one unit assigned to one or both conditions.

One study is out of the scope of the ELL review protocol because it does not include a sample within the age or grade range specified in the protocol.

Ten studies are out of the scope of the ELL review protocol because they have an ineligible study design; they do not include a comparison group.

1. The studies in this report were reviewed using WWC Evidence Standards, Version 2.0 (see the WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook, Chapter III).
2. The descriptive information for this program was obtained from publicly available sources: the program's website (www.renlearn.com/ar, downloaded August 2009) and the Florida Center for Reading Research website (www.fcrr.org, downloaded August 2009). The WWC requests developers to review the program description sections for accuracy from their perspective. Further verification of the accuracy of the descriptive information for this program is beyond the scope of this review.
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3. In 2000, the name of the university changed to Minnesota State University-Moorhead.
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