

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 482 906

EC 308 928

AUTHOR Tanguma, Jesus; Martin, Sylvia S.; Crawford, Caroline M.
TITLE Higher Education and Technology Integration into the Learning Environment: Results of a Survey of Teacher Preparation Faculty.
PUB DATE 2002-00-00
NOTE 7p.; In: Proceedings of SITE 2002: Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference (13th, Nashville, TN, March 18-23, 2002); see IR 021 349.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS College Faculty; Computer Software; *Disabilities; *Educational Technology; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher Education; Internet; Standards; *Teacher Education; *Teacher Educators; Teacher Surveys; *Technology Education

ABSTRACT

Faculty members (n=26) in an education personnel preparation program at a Southeastern university were surveyed regarding their modeling of technology use in their courses. Results indicated that faculty are: (1) incorporating software packages into the teaching of their subject areas; (2) using assistive technology (e.g., scanner, digital cameras, voice recognition) to develop and deliver instructional units in their teaching areas; (3) using technology (e.g., video conferencing) to teach students; and (4) using the Internet to gather resources (e.g., lesson plans for teaching in their subject areas). However, faculty are not using projection devices with a computer to develop and deliver instructional materials in their teaching areas. Results are discussed in terms of recommendations of the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education and the standards developed by the Council for Exceptional Children. (DB)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

HIGHER EDUCATION AND TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION
INTO THE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT:
RESULTS OF A SURVEY OF TEACHER PREPARATION FACULTY

Jesus Tanguma

Sylvia S. Martin

Caroline M. Crawford

University of Houston-Clear Lake

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

S. Martin

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

Tanguma, J., Martin, S. S. & Crawford, C. M. (2002). Higher education and technology integration into the learning environment: Results of a survey of teacher preparation faculty. Paper published in the proceedings of the 13th International Conference of the Society for Information Technology and Teacher Education (SITE).

Higher Education and Technology Integration into the Learning Environment: Results of a Survey of Teacher Preparation Faculty

Jesus Tanguma
Research, Measurement, and Statistics
University of Houston-Clear Lake
United States of America
tanguma@cl.uh.edu

Sylvia S. Martin
Special Education
University of Houston-Clear Lake
United States of America
martinsy@cl.uh.edu

Caroline M. Crawford
Instructional Technology
University of Houston-Clear Lake
United States of America
crawford@cl.uh.edu

Abstract: Standards delineated by the Council for Exceptional Children (CEC) include competencies in technology that promote teacher development of a supportive technological learning environment for students with disabilities. Personnel preparation programs must demonstrate in courses and field experiences that technology use is incorporated in the learning environment. This study presents preliminary analysis results of a survey to determine faculty technology use in educator preparation courses. The data presented are part of a larger study of technology learning environments in a teacher preparation program. Results indicate that faculty do not model all types of technology use within the learning environment of educator preparation courses.

Introduction

The National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) adopted technology competencies as a required component for training all teachers. Standards delineated by the Council for Exceptional Children (CEC) include competencies in technology that promote teacher development of a supportive technological learning environment for students with disabilities. Personnel preparation programs must demonstrate in courses and field experiences that technology use is incorporated in the learning environment. Gillingham and Topper (1999) discussed four possible delivery approaches to preparing teachers for technology use in classrooms: single course, technology infusion, student performance, and case based. Ludlow (2001), in a review of the literature and discussion of technology and teacher education, notes that research indicates a need for better training. This need is based on research and reports that indicate (a) preservice program faculty do not model the use of technology, (b) faculty do not facilitate its implementation in activities and coursework, (c) future special educators are more likely to use technology competently if it has been embedded throughout their coursework and field experiences, (c) technology competencies are often “add ons” rather than integrated into coursework, (d) few faculty members have the expertise to develop complex technology mediated instruction, (e) faculty lack the skills for troubleshooting technical problems during instructional interactions, (f) research in the area of making informed programming decisions related to technology is lacking, and (g) systematic training procedures to assist faculty and students in using new technologies have yet to be developed.

Edyburn (2001) delineated 197 articles that have contributed to an emerging knowledge base on special education technology research and practice. Content analysis identified several themes but most relevant to this study were the areas of implementation issues, preservice teacher education, and technology integration. In a review and discussion of instructional technology and personnel preparation for early childhood special education, major issues were identified within the context of higher education. Illustrations for faculty to examine their own instructional strategies within technology use were presented. Self-examination areas included online materials, electronic reserve, electronic mail, listservs/reflectors, online forums, electronic presentations and face-to-face instruction (Hains, et al, 2000).

Method

Using a survey of education personnel preparation faculty, the investigators addressed the question: Do faculty model technology use in the courses they teach? Faculty were invited to complete the survey at the end of a program area meeting. A script describing the study was read by one of the investigators requesting that surveys be completed after the meeting and returned anonymously.

Participants

A total of 26 faculty members at an upper division university in the Southeast region of the United States constituted the sample for this survey. Response was 100 % of faculty attending program area meetings. Participants were all full time faculty members in an education personnel preparation program.

Measures

This study used the Technology Integration Survey for Faculty (High Plains Regional Technology in Education Consortium, 2001). For the purposes of this study, only the first 16 items were analyzed. These 16 items are designed to gather information on the degree of technology integration the faculty is incorporating into their teaching and are directly related to the question of whether faculty model the use of technology in their courses. Responses are evaluated on a 4-point Likert-type scale with the following labels: (1) strongly disagree, (2) somewhat disagree, (3) somewhat agree, and (4) strongly agree. The following is taken from the directions on the survey:

Directions: This survey is designed to gather information on the degree of technology integration you are currently incorporating in your teaching. The statements address what you are actually *doing*, rather than what you *can* do.

Use the following scale to gauge the degree to which each statement is true of you:

1 Strongly disagree (You have never tried this, either because you are not comfortable with the technology or because you believe it is not appropriate in your teaching situation or because you don't have access to the necessary technology.)

2 Somewhat disagree (You've tried this once or twice but it has not become a consistent part of your instruction.)

3 Somewhat agree (You do this consistently to some degree but it is not an integral part of your instruction.)

4 Strongly agree (This is a consistent, integral part of your instruction.)
(High Plains Regional Technology in Education Consortium, 2001, paragraphs 1 & 2)

Results

The results of the study are similar to those identified in the literature: faculty (a) are incorporating software packages into the teaching of their subject areas; (b) are using assistive technology (e.g., scanner, digital cameras, video cameras, voice recognition) to develop and deliver instructional units in their

teaching areas; (c) are using technology (e.g., video conferencing) to teach students; (d) are using the internet to gather resources (e.g., lesson plans) for teaching in their subject areas. However, faculty are not using projection devices with a computer to develop and deliver instructional materials in their teaching areas. The following table summarizes the results of the study.

Table 1: Samples of Faculty Responses by Technology Use

Technology Use	Response	Frequency	Percent
Use of spreadsheet applications	Strongly disagree	8	30.8
	Somewhat disagree	6	23.1
	Somewhat agree	5	19.2
	Strongly agree	7	26.9
Use of scanner, video/digital cameras	Strongly disagree	20	76.9
	Somewhat disagree	4	15.4
	Somewhat agree	1	3.8
	Strongly agree	1	3.8
Use of multimedia and/or Internet	Strongly disagree	12	46.2
	Somewhat disagree	9	34.6
	Somewhat agree	0	0
	Strongly agree	5	19.2

When the participants answered whether they use a variety of software packages to teach in their subject area, 38.5% of the participants stated that they do not use software packages. Similarly, 53.8% of the surveyed faculty stated that they do not use spreadsheet application when teaching. When asked about their use of a scanner to develop and deliver instruction, 76% of the participants answered as not doing so. Of those surveyed, 84.6% stated that they do not use digital cameras to enhance their teaching. Similarly, 92.3% of the participants indicated not using video cameras to develop and deliver their teaching. In relation to the use of assistive technology to promote learning for students with special needs, 88.5% of the participants indicated that they do not use such technology. Of those surveyed, 80% indicated that they do not use technology for distance education and 92.3% stated that they do not use video conferencing to teach in their subject areas. When asked about their use of projection devices, word processing, and use of multimedia, 53.8%, 88.5%, and 69.2% of the participants, respectively, agreed to incorporating them into their teaching. Similarly, 88.4% of the participants agreed to using the Internet in an informed manner and 69.3% agreed that they used lesson plans and other resources published on the web in their teaching.

Convenience sample, sample size, and the use of self-report data limit the findings of this study. However, results are consistent with research findings that preservice program faculty do not model the use of technology and that faculty therefore do not facilitate its implementation in activities and coursework. This lack of technology use is contrary to recommendations that future special educators are more likely to use technology competently if it has been embedded throughout their coursework and field experiences.

Discussion

Technology integration to provide a supportive classroom learning environment has been discussed as an evaluation tool of an educator's ability to address the individualized needs of students (Crawford & Martin, 2001) as well as a critical component in the success of learners with disabilities (Seevers, Crawford, & Martin, 2001). University faculty teaching personnel preparation courses should be modeling the integration of technology for their university students. Research has indicated that effective technology integration may be critical to producing educators who use technology competently to meet the needs of their students through a supportive classroom environment. Additionally, implications related NCATE recommendations and CEC standards for providing a supportive learning environment for students remain an area of concern.

This study used a survey questionnaire to determine whether faculty model the use of technology in educator preparation courses. It measured faculty use of technology not faculty knowledge of technology. It is possible that there is a discrepancy between knowledge and use of technology. Faculty may know more technology than they incorporate into their education personnel preparation courses. Results of this study indicate that some faculty (a) are not incorporating software packages into the teaching of their subject areas; (b) are not using assistive technology (e.g., scanner, digital cameras, video cameras, voice recognition) to develop and deliver their instructional units in their teaching areas; (c) are not using technology (e.g., video conferencing) to teach to their students; (d) are not using the internet to gather resources (e.g., lesson plans) for teaching in their subject areas; and that some faculty (e) are not using projection devices with a computer to develop and deliver instructional materials in their teaching areas. Additionally, the use of the survey questionnaire may have resulted in faculty self-assessing their use of technology in educator preparation course.

Conclusion

Due to faculty responses to the survey, further consideration pertaining to faculty professional development opportunities and curricular integration is imperative. After all, "Computerized electronic technology makes possible not only the wide and rapid distribution of information, but its manipulation, analysis, synthesis, and recombination as well. Through these operations, new knowledge is created that helps us understand ourselves and our world in new ways" (Gibbon, 1987, p. 2). Technology provides the opportunity to expand the realm of the curriculum, but only with faculty time and effort, as well as support of the institution, will appropriate and successful integration occur.

Perhaps consideration allocated toward the philosophical framework through which the faculty view their own pedagogical behaviors. A traditional mode of interaction within a classroom environment is one that is supportive. However, a supportive environment that celebrates the successes of the faculty may be described as one in which innovative approaches to teaching are perceived as inventive and appropriately rewarded. "A combination of essential conditions is required for teachers to create learning environments conducive to powerful uses of technology. The most effective learning environments meld traditional approaches and new approaches to facilitate learning of relevant content while addressing individual needs: (International Society for Technology in Education, 2001, paragraph 1).

As university faculty shift toward an integration of technology within their university courses, the modeling of technology use for university students will contribute to the production of education personnel who are competent technology users. This shift may provide the supportive learning environment necessary for education personnel to understand the importance of not only having pedagogical expertise but also of modeling its use through technology (Crawford & Martin, 2001).

References

Crawford, C. M., & Martin, S. S. (August 2001). Integrating technology into the special education methods coursework: A case study. Paper presented at the *Summer 2001 Conference of the Association of Teacher Educators (ATE)*. Portland, Oregon.

Crawford, C. M. & Martin, S. S. (March 2001). The integration of assistive and adaptive technologies into the preservice and advanced-level courses of instructional technology and special education. *Proceedings of the 12 International Conference of the Society for Information Technology and Teacher Education (SITE)*. Orlando, Florida.

Edyburn, D. L. (2001). 2000 in review: A synthesis of the special education technology literature. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 16(2), 5-17.

Gibbon, S. (1987). Learning and instruction in the information age. In White, M.A. (Ed.), *What curriculum in the information age*. New Jersey: Lawrence Elbaum Associates.

Gillingham, M. G. & Topper, A. (1999). Technology in teacher preparation: Preparing teachers for the future. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 7(4)303-321.

Hains, A. H., Belland, J., Conceicao-Runlee, S., Santos, R. M., & Rothenberg (2000). Instructional technology and teacher preparation. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education* 20(3), 132-144.

High Plains Regional Technology in Education Consortium (2001). *Technology integration survey for faculty*. Retrieved from the World Wide Web on August 3, 2001:
http://profiler.hprrtec.org/smf/survey.jsp?survey_source=pt3&survey_id=41

International Society for Technology in Education (2001). ISTE NETS essential conditions for teacher preparation. Retrieved from the World Wide Web on December 18, 2001:
<http://cnets.iste.org/essential.html>

Ludlow, B. L. (2001). Technology and teacher education in special education: Disaster or deliverance? *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 24(2), 143-163.

Seevers, R. L., Crawford, C. M., & Martin, S. S. (March 2001). Assistive technology within a special needs environment. *Proceedings of the 12 International Conference of the Society for Information Technology and Teacher Education (SITE)*. Orlando, Florida.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
(OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center
(ERIC)



Reproduction Release
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Higher Education and Technology Integration into the Learning Environment; Results of a Survey of Teacher Preparation Faculty</i>	
Author(s): <i>J. Taniguma, Sylvia Martin, Caroline Crawford</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Level 2A <input type="checkbox"/>	Level 2B <input type="checkbox"/>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
 If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Sylvia Martin</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Sylvia Martin Assist Prof</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>University of Houston-Clearlake 2700 Bay Area Blvd. Houston, TX 77058-1098</i>	Telephone: <i>281- 283-3561</i>	Fax: <i>281- 283326-1250</i>
	E-mail Address: <i>Martinsy @CL.UH.EDU</i>	Date: <i>2/5/02</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name: <i>ACE</i>	Contact: <i>Joseph McDonald</i>
Address: <i>PO Box 3728 Norfolk, VA 23514</i>	phone <i>757-623-7588</i>

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

