

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 479 799

SP 041 761

AUTHOR Keese, Nancy; Brown, Tammie  
TITLE Student Teacher Input and Teacher Work Sample as Part of a Teacher Education Unit Accountability System.  
PUB DATE 2003-08-00  
NOTE 18p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association of Teacher Educators (Santa Fe, NM, August 9-13, 2003).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160)  
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Accountability; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher Education; Planning; Preservice Teacher Education; \*Student Evaluation; Student Teachers; \*Work Sample Tests  
IDENTIFIERS Middle Tennessee State University

## ABSTRACT

This paper presents findings of surveys completed by student teachers on their ability to prepare a teacher work sample, discussing potential program improvements arising from survey responses related to planning, assessment, and student learning, which are components of a teacher work sample, also sharing student teachers' perceptions of their preparation in using assessment to document impact on student learning. Student teachers designed units of instruction (teacher work samples), then identified and sequenced learning goals for a classroom of students which reflected state standards for learning; aligned instruction and assessment with learning goals to be accomplished; monitored the progress of each student toward those goals; adapted instruction to accommodate each student's needs; and meaningfully summarized and reported each child's progress. These work samples provided an organizing framework for evaluation, assessment, and reflection of a unit of instruction. Student teachers were specifically asked about decisions regarding teaching content and pedagogy, improvement of teaching methods, and uses of assessment data. The pre- and post-surveys and survey data are attached. (Contains 16 references.) (SM)

ED 479 799

Dr. Nancy Keese  
Middle Tennessee State University

**Title** Student Teacher Input and Teacher Work Sample as Part of a Teacher Education Unit Accountability System

**Strand and Area**

Research: What do we need to know?

**Summary**

Findings of surveys completed by student teachers on their ability to prepare a teacher work sample will be presented. Potential program improvements arising from survey responses related to planning, assessment, and student learning will be discussed.

**Session Organizer**

Dr. Nancy Keese  
Middle Tennessee State University  
1301 E. Main St.  
P.O. Box 356  
Murfreesboro, TN 37132  
615 898 2331  
Fax 615 898 2859  
[nckeese@mtsu.edu](mailto:nckeese@mtsu.edu)

**Additional Presenters:**

Dr. Tammie Brown  
Middle Tennessee State University  
1301 E. Main St.  
P.O. Box 91  
Murfreesboro, TN 37132  
615 898 2325  
Fax 615 898 2859  
[tsbrown@mtsu.edu](mailto:tsbrown@mtsu.edu)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Tammie Brown

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

2

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

**Title** Student Teacher Input and Teacher Work Sample as Part of a Teacher Education Unit Accountability System

**Objectives**

- To present the results of student teacher pre- and post-responses to questions relating to planning, instruction, and assessment, which are components of a teacher work sample.
- To share student teachers' perceptions of their preparation in the use of assessment to document impact on student learning.
- To present potential uses of the data analysis for improving the teacher education program and communication with practitioners.
- To show the components of the research instruments and how they relate to the teacher work sample and to curriculum development.

**Relationship to Theme and Strand**

Using data to support the continued development of curriculum in a teacher education program is a sound and supported practice. In addition to the traditional data such as scores and grades, the consideration of input from student teachers as valued information may give a different perspective of the teacher education program. Reflection on this input for course improvement may indicate ways to help the program meet the needs of the teacher candidates.

This presentation will share the findings and conclusions resulting from responses of student teachers that were involved in student teaching at the time. A case will be made as to why this information is needed and how it may be used to revise courses, practicum, and field experiences to better prepare the student teachers in the use of assessment for planning and evaluation.

**Summary of Presentation**

Student teachers have a keen awareness of and acute need for assessment. During their careers as students, assessment has been a part of their lives as learners. Reversing their role from assessee to the assessor is a valued transition, but one that should emphasize the importance of the data they gather from assessments for evaluation of student learning and instruction. Using data to improve teaching practices and to measure impact on student learning is critical if all children are to learn and be successful, and thus no child will be left behind.

The use of examples and simulations during a university class, gives the student teacher some ability to understand, reflect on, and talk about assessment. However, the tasks of clarifying goals for assessment, designing assessment aligned with the goals, and using the results of the assessment for improvement in the classroom may require more support and experience than student teachers are presently receiving.

Pre- and post-survey instruments used in the present research were completed by approximately 50 student teachers over 2 semesters. The areas of Elementary Education,

Dr. Nancy Keese  
Middle Tennessee State University

Special Education, Early Childhood Education, and Secondary Education were represented. Questions relating to planning, assessment, student learning, mentoring and support were asked. Student teachers were specifically asked about decisions regarding teaching content and pedagogy, improvement of teaching methods, and uses of assessment data. Responses of student teachers to the surveys will be presented including data that will illustrate their perceptions of the teacher work sample as a method to document student learning. Student teachers evaluation of their preparation to design and use assessment during student teaching will be discussed. Pre- and post- responses will be analyzed.

### **Plans for Participant Involvement**

The presenters will share the survey instruments and the knowledge gathered from the data. Examples of the surveys will be available. The elements of the teacher work sample methodology will be discussed and distributed to the audience. Audience questions, answers, and contributions will be encouraged throughout the presentation. An interactive discussion of the use of the findings as they relate to teacher education programs and to the teacher work sample will follow the presentation.

## **Student Teacher Input and Teacher Work Sample as Part of a Teacher Education Unit Accountability System**

In his introduction to the national initiative, *No Child Left Behind* (2001), President George W. Bush states, “The federal role in education is not to serve the system. It is to serve the children.” This national initiative calls for increased accountability for student performance, closing the achievement gap, and improving teacher quality. Although teacher training is an ongoing process, the focus on teacher performance and how it affects student learning is at the forefront of accountability. As practicing educators engage in professional development activities, they must be provided with the opportunities to actively involve their own students in order to effect change. The process of practitioner action research is one means of enabling educators to utilize their professional development activities as a relevant and meaningful process to ensure student success.

According to Calhoun (2002), action research can change the social system in schools and other educational organizations. The process provides the continual formal learning that should be expected and supported. By using a structured model of action research, teachers can actually see a connection between professional development and student performance. In addition, by involving public school students as well as pre-service teachers, the continuous process of learning and achievement is developed.

In this process, work sampling resembles what teacher educators typically acquire with the assumption of full responsibility for classroom instruction. A teacher plans for instruction which includes a description of the learning outcomes students are expected to accomplish, the classroom organization and learning activities that are to lead to these outcomes, and the means by which student learning will be assessed to determine whether the outcomes intended have in

fact been accomplished. The principal usually makes the evaluation of the plan. Feedback to the teacher is provided on the basis of observations and evaluations discussed. Following the implementation of the teacher work sample, teachers are asked to reflect upon their teaching from their own perspective of perceived strengths and weaknesses of their teaching and delivery.

Because of the natural flow of the work sample into a normal school day, many teacher preparation programs include work sampling as part of the graduation requirements for the pre-service teachers. These pre-service teachers design units of instruction—"teacher work samples,"—and then identify and sequence learning goals for a classroom of students which reflect state standards for learning; align instruction and assessment with learning goals to be accomplished; monitor the progress each student is making toward these goals; adapt instruction to accommodate where each student stands in his or her journey toward their accomplishment; and meaningfully summarize and report the progress made by each child. As such, teacher work samples provide an organizing framework for evaluation, assessment, and reflection of a unit of instruction.

To be effective as facilitators of learning, teachers must vary their instructional plans and procedures to accommodate differences in students, subject matter, learning goals, available resources for instruction, and time available for teaching. The teacher that is always searching for the appropriate approach to accommodate the various aspects of instruction, content area knowledge, and pedagogical methods must use a decision-making process that provides for continuous change and updates (Doyle, 1986; Corno & Snow, 1986).

Effective teaching is more than implementing a few basic skills. It also requires the ability to implement a large number of diagnostic, instructional, managerial, and therapeutic skills, and tailoring behavior in specific contexts and situations to the specific needs of the

moment. Effective teachers must multifunction and select the correct function for the appropriate moment and perform that function correctly and effectively (Brophy and Evertson, 1976). This is a conception of teaching that represents the reality of the decisions that confront a teacher who assumes responsibility for student learning (Schalock, 2000). A misrepresentation of the reality of teaching occurs when what is to be learned as well as the context in which learning will transpire is not considered.

Student learning is the yardstick for both teacher and teacher educators. Proceeding to best practices will occur when teachers are able to nurture the kind of student learning that is deemed essential by parents, teachers, schools, states, and the nation. When effective teaching is combined with student progress as the focus of staff development or school improvement efforts, assessment reveals an increase in learning gains occur (Darling-Hammond, 1996; Elmore, 1996; Marshall, 1996). The following table illustrates the components involved in Middle Tennessee's Work Sample design for pre-service teachers. These components are continuous and recursive in order to encourage pre-service teachers to address student needs, learning preferences, curriculum requirements, and the implications for instruction.

Table 1 Middle Tennessee's Work Sample Components

**Middle Tennessee's Work Sample**

1. **Contextual Factors**-The teacher uses information about the learning-teaching context and student individual differences to set learning goals and plan instruction and assessment.
2. **Learning Goals**-The teacher sets significant, challenging, varied and appropriate learning goals.
3. **Assessment Plan**-The teacher uses multiple assessment modes and approaches aligned with learning goals to assess student learning before, during and after instruction.
4. **Design for Instruction**-The teacher designs instruction for specific learning goals, student characteristics and needs, and learning contexts.
5. **Instructional Decision-Making**-The teacher uses ongoing analysis of student learning to make instructional decisions.
6. **Analysis of Student Learning**-The teacher uses assessment data to profile student learning and communicate information about student progress and achievement.
7. **Reflection and Self-Evaluation**-The teacher reflects on his or her instruction and student learning in order to improve teaching practice.

The Middle Tennessee's Work Sample Components were developed in conjunction with eleven other colleges of education involved in a partnership project (*Renaissance Group*). This Renaissance Partnership is engaged in ongoing research to promote and develop teacher quality and student learning. The Renaissance Partnership is finding evidence to support teacher work sampling as a method to document the effects of teacher performance on student learning outcomes. The work sample uses whatever form of assessment—authentic or standardized—the teacher develops to document increase or decrease in student learning.

Teacher performance is evident in student learning. Improving Teacher Quality provides the framework for best practices in teacher education to help train teachers to evaluate content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and assessment-techniques—all of which are linked to student learning. Continued preparation of teachers will be should be integrated throughout the improving of teacher quality which needs to include in-depth content matter preparation and development both general and content-specific teaching methodology. This teacher quality



- a. high-quality training for teachers which is rich in content knowledge and
- b. appropriate models for implementation of classroom technology, which will enhance student learning.

Improving teacher quality should be concerned with providing participating LEAs (Local Educational Agencies) with assistance in showing that teacher performance impacts student learning. Improving teacher quality plans need to offer a method for equating teacher performance to student learning.

One method for equating teacher performance to student learning is to incorporate current research in work sampling methodology. The work sample helps focus on specific content areas as outlined by the *Tennessee State Curriculum Standards* (2002). This will help teachers evaluate skills for improvement and increase student learning in the classroom. Through assessment schools are held accountable for student learning and teachers are held accountable for student performance in the classroom. According to the Council of Chief State School Officers, forty-eight states have adopted statewide testing programs and thirty-six states issued a school performance “report card” to parents and the public. The work sampling is interested in the ability of a teacher to impact and show documentation of this impact on student learning because the business community, citizen groups and policy makers are requesting such evidence. Work sampling also realizes that accreditation standards, licensure requirements, and National Broad Certification demand such evidence. Most importantly, effective teachers want to have credible evidence that they advance learning in their classrooms and have a creditable source for reflective practices.

School improvement plans have shifted in focus from development of highly effective teachers to an evolving balance between effective teaching and increases in student learning.

According to Bar and Tagg (1995), the fundamental problem with the focus on instruction rather

Student Teacher Input  
Tammie Brown and Nancy Keese  
MTSU

than on learning is that it “mistakes a means for an end.” It is like saying the primary function of a baker is to knead the dough rather than produce quality bread. To focus on learning accepts accountability for the learning of all students, which is usually shown by assessment. With a focus on instruction (teaching), increasing outcomes requires an increase of resources and reconfiguring resources to make learning more efficient. A focus on both effective teaching and increases in student learning encourages both teacher and learner to experiment with and adjust the learning process to achieve best results.

There are key differences in the shift from highly effective teaching to a balance approach of effective teaching and student learning (Schalock and Myton, 2000). The fundamental differences between a focus on instruction and a focus on learning relate to seven attributes as shown in Table 3.

**Table 3 Seven Attributes**

<b>Defining Attribute</b>	<b>Focus on Effective Teaching</b>	<b>Focus on Student Learning</b>
• Mission or purpose	Offer teaching	Produce learning
• Expectations	Different for different students	High standards for
• Progress in the system	Grade-to grade completion of courses	Performance on defined standards
• The learning environment	The class	Varies on the learner, learning target and learning context
• Nature of instruction	Same for all	Varies on the learner, learning target and learning context
• Time for teaching and learning	Same for all	Flexible
• Nature of assessment	Local, single form, teacher's objectives	Public, multiple forms, state or national standards
• Program evaluation	End-of-course evaluation	Comprehensive assessment of all components of the system

The outcomes of the work sampling should and do support the following:

- ◆ The teacher will develop the skills and competencies needed to become more accountable for the impact of their teaching on student learning in schools.
- ◆ By engaging in the work sample process, schools and teachers will become more focused on teacher performance linked to student learning, enriched teaching methods, and content area standards.
- ◆ Teachers will develop enhanced content area knowledge and will be provided with an opportunity for professional development in the areas of content and methods.
- ◆ The data and knowledge base related to teacher performance equating student learning will increase.

Work sampling can show a teacher's commitment to the alignment of state standards to local school curriculum and supporting student learning through the teaching of these standards. Also the work sample seeks to strengthen specific content areas with enhanced academic content and pedagogical skills. The teacher is provided with a model to use for continuous performance evaluation and improvement through the year for the development of effective teaching which impacts student learning.

### References

- Barr, R.B., & Tagg, J. (1995, November/December). From teaching to learning-A new Paradigm for undergraduate education. *Change*, 13-25
- Brophy, J.E., and Evertson, D.M. (1976). *Learning from teaching: A developmental Perspective*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Bush, G.W. (2001). *No child left behind*. Jessup MD: Ed Pubs – Education Publications Center, U.S. Department of Education
- Calhoun E.F. (2002). Action research for school improvement. *Educational Leadership*, 59, 18-24.
- Corno, L., and Snow, R.E. (1986). Adapting teaching to individual differences among Learners. In M.C. Wittrock (Ed.), *Third handbook of research on teaching*. NY: Macmillan, 605-629.
- Darling-Hammond, L., (1996). What matters most: A competent teacher for every child. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 78(3), 193-201.
- Doyle, W. (1986). Classroom organization and management. In M.C. Wittrock (Ed.), *Third handbook of research on teaching*. NY: Macmillan, 392-431.
- Elmore, R.F. (1995). Structural reform in educational practice. *Education Researcher*, 24 (9), 23-36.
- Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (2000). *INTASC 2000 Principals*.
- Schalock, D., & Myton, D. (2000) Connecting Teaching and Learning: An Introduction to Teacher Work Sampling. In, A handbook for the preparation and licensing of teachers. G. Girod (ed.) Washington, DC: American Association for Colleges for Teacher Education.
- Marshall, K. (1996). No one ever said it would be easy. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 78 (4), 307-308.
- National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education and Certification (NASDTEC). (1993). *Outcome-based teacher education standards for the elementary, middle and high school levels*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt.
- National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (2002). *About the National Board*. Available online: <http://voled.doded.mil/dantes/ttt/profile>.
- National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (2000). *NCATE 2000 Standards*. Washington, D.C.: Author.
- Renaissance Partnership Teacher Work Sample (2002). The Renaissance Partnership For Improving Teacher Quality Grant. <http://fp.uni.edu/itq>
- Southern Regional Education Board (2001). *Improving teacher education: An agenda For higher education and the schools*. Atlanta, GA

**Middle Tennessee State University  
Results of Student Teacher  
Assessment**

---

**Concerning Renaissance  
Teacher Work Sample  
Concepts and Decision  
Making**

<http://fp.uni.edu/ita>

**Renaissance Work Sample  
Components**

- 7 Teaching Processes**
- Contextual Factors
  - Learning Goals
  - Assessment Plan
  - Design for Instruction
  - Instructional Decision Making
  - Analysis of Student Learning
  - Reflection and Self-Evaluation
- 

- The 5 Areas of Assessment on The Student  
Teacher Instrument**
- (Handout of assessments in packet)*
- 1. Decisions on major concepts and skills for the unit
  - 2. Characteristics of school, class, individual students considered for planning unit
  - 3. Decisions about what and how to teach specific learning activities
  - 4 Adaptations to instruction
  - 5. Determining learning gains

**Fall 2001**

1. Decisions on major concepts and skills for the unit

RenST(Renaissance Student Teachers)  
Pre-assessment

Standards	35.2
Pre-assessment	16.7
Student needs	16.7
My beliefs	7.4

**BEST COPY AVAILABLE**

Fall 2001			
4. Adaptations to instruction			
RenST		NRenST	
Post-assessment		Post-assessment	
Reteach/review	29.0	<i>Reteach/review</i>	27.9
Adapt teaching	9.7	<i>Practice activities</i>	11.6
Alt. Activities for ability		<i>Individual help</i>	11.6
	9.7	<i>Peer assistance</i>	11.6

Fall 2001	
5. Determining learning gains	
RenST	
Pre-assessment	
Pre-assessment	43.6
Pre vs. post	12.8
Post-assessment	7.7

Fall 2001			
5. Determining learning gains			
RenST		NRenST	
Post-assessment		Post-assessment	
Pre vs. post	32.1	<i>Variety of evaluation</i>	18.9
Post-assessment	17.9	<i>Assess/eval.</i>	16.2
Graph of scores	10.7	<i>Quizzes</i>	10.8
		<i>Q &amp; A</i>	10.8
		<i>Unit test</i>	10.8

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

**Results of Student Teacher Assessment on RTWS Concepts/Decision Making  
Middle Tennessee State University Fall 2001**

**Renaissance Student Teachers (RenST) n=25  
NonRenaissance Student Teachers (NRenST) n=17  
Top responses for each question by percent of total responses**

1. Decisions on major concepts and skills for the unit

RenST		NRenST	
<b>Pre-assessment</b>		<b>Post-assessment</b>	<b>Post-assessment</b>
Standards	35.2	Standards	34.1
Pre-assessment	16.7	Student needs	14.2
Student needs	16.7	Coop teachers	11.4
My beliefs	7.4	Pre-test/text	6.8

2. Characteristics of school, class, individual students considered for planning unit

RenST		NRenST	
<b>Pre-assessment</b>		<b>Post-assessment</b>	<b>Post-assessment</b>
Demographics	28.7	Student needs	52.0
Student needs	40.0	Demographics	30.0
Physical conds.	18.3	Physical conds.	10.0
Ext. influences	12.6	Ext. influences	8.0

3. Decisions about what and how to teach specific learning activities

RenST		NRenST	
<b>Pre-assessment</b>		<b>Post-assessment</b>	<b>Post-assessment</b>
Student needs	65.8	Student needs	56.1
Physical conds.	12.2	Ext. influences	39.0
Ext. influences	9.8	Demographics	2.4
Demographics	4.9	Physical conds.	2.4

4. Adaptations to instruction

RenST		NRenST	
<b>Pre-assessment</b>		<b>Post-assessment</b>	<b>Post-assessment</b>
Reteach/review	20.8	Reteach/review	27.9
Group activities	16.7	Adapt teaching	11.6
Peer tutoring	14.6	Alt. activities for	11.6
Challenge them	10.4	ability	11.6

5. Determining learning gains

RenST		NRenST	
<b>Pre-assessment</b>		<b>Post-assessment</b>	<b>Post-assessment</b>
Pre vs. post	43.6	Pre vs post	18.9
Formative eval.	12.8	Post-assessment	16.2
<i>Post-ass. 9.7</i>		Graph of scores	10.8
		Quizzes	10.8
		Q & A	10.8
		Unit test	10.8

**Results of Student Teacher Assessment on RTWS Concepts  
Middle Tennessee State University      Spring 2003  
Renaissance Student Teachers      n=40  
Top responses for each question by percent of total responses**

<b>Pre-assessment</b>		<b>Post-assessment</b>	
<b>1. Decision on major concepts and skills for the unit</b>			
Standards	35.0	Standards	52.0
Cooperating teachers	24.0	Cooperating teachers	35.0
Student needs	14.4	Pre-assessment	4.0
Pre-assessment	8.4	Textbook	2.0
<b>2. Characteristics of school, class, individual students considered for planning the unit</b>			
Student concerns	38.0	Student concerns	43.9
Demographics	32.5	Demographics	23.2
Physical conds.	18.7	External influences	18.3
External influences	10.8	Physical conds.	14.6
<b>3. a. Decision about what to teach for specific learning activity</b>			
Student concerns	44.4	External influences	69.1
External influences	51.4	Student concerns	30.9
Physical conds.	2.8	Physical conds.	0
Demographics	1.4	Demographics	0
<b>3. b. Decision about how to teach specific learning activity</b>			
Student concerns	54.1	Student concerns	70.4
Specific activities	25.5	External influences	16.7
External influences	14.3	Physical conds.	13.0
Demographics	4.1	Demographics	0
<b>4. a. How to determine progress toward goals and objectives</b>			
Assessment	19.0	Formative assessment	26.2
Formative/Informal	19.0	Assessments	13.1
Question/answer	8.9	Discussion/Q&A	9.8
Portfolio/ws/journal	8.9	Observation	8.2
<b>4. b. Adaptations to instruction</b>			
Modify lesson	17.3	Reteach	30.8
Individual help	11.1	Review	18.5
Groups	11.1	Individual help	18.5
Reteach differently	9.9	Peer tutoring	6.2
<b>5. Determine learning gains</b>			
Post-assessment	37.0	Post-assessment	27.4
Pre vs post	23.9	Pre vs post	25.5
Post test analysis	13.0	Assessments	17.6
Assessment	8.7	Graphs/charts	7.8





## Student Teacher Post-Assessment On Decision Making

Now that you have completed student teaching, we would like you to answer some questions relating to topics addressed in the portfolio. Consider how you planned and implemented an entire instructional unit for your students. Briefly answer each of the following questions related to a unit. If your planning and implementing during student teaching were different from what you expect to do when you have your own class of students, include that in your answer also. Your responses will not affect your student teaching grade or evaluation in any way. Use the back of the page if needed.

1. How did you decide upon the major concepts and skills your students should learn during your instructional unit?
2. What characteristics of your school, class, and individual students did you consider as you planned the instructional unit?
3. How did you decide what and how to teach your students during each specific learning activity?
4. During most learning activities, some of your students grasped *most* of the concepts and skills, others grasped *some* of the concepts and skills, and still others grasped *few* of the concepts and skills. What did you do when this happened?
5. After your instructional unit was over, how did you determine the learning gains made toward the objectives or goals of the unit?



**U.S. Department of Education**  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



**REPRODUCTION RELEASE**  
(Specific Document)

**I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:**

Title: Student Teacher Input and Teacher Work Sample as Part of a Teacher Education Unit Accountability System	
Author(s): Dr. Nancy Keese and Dr. Tammie Brown	
Corporate Source: Middle Tennessee State University (Murfreesboro, TN) Presented at ATE's 2003 Summer Conference (Santa Fe, NM)	Publication Date: 8/12/03

**II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:**

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center">1</p> <p align="center">Level 1</p> <p align="center"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.</p>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center">2A</p> <p align="center">Level 2A</p> <p align="center"><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only</p>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center">2B</p> <p align="center">Level 2B</p> <p align="center"><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only</p>
--	--	---

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.  
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

*I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.*

**Sign here, → please**

Signature: <i>T. Brown</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: Dr. Tammie Brown Asst. Professor, Ed. Leadership		
Organization/Address: Middle Tennessee State Univer. 1301 E. Main, Box 91 Murfreesboro, TN 37132	Telephone: 6158982128	FAX: 615 8985188	
	E-Mail Address: <i>tsbrown@mtsu.edu</i>	Date: 9/16/03	

CLEARINGHOUSE ON TEACHING  
AND TEACHER EDUCATION



August 4, 2003

Dear Presenter:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Teaching and Teacher Education invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a copy of your paper presented at ATE's Summer 2003 Conference (Santa Fe, Mexico, August 9-13). Abstracts of documents that are accepted by ERIC are available through computers in both on-line and CD-ROM versions. The ERIC database is accessed worldwide and is used by teachers, administrators, researchers, students, policymakers, and others with an interest in education.

Inclusion of your work provides you with a permanent archive and contributes to the overall development of materials in ERIC. The full text of your contribution will be accessible that are housed at libraries throughout the country and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service. Documents are reviewed and accepted based on their contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality.

To disseminate your work through ERIC, you need to fill out and sign the **Reproduction Release Form** located on the back of this letter and include it with a letter-quality copy of your paper. You can mail the materials to: **The ERIC Clearinghouse on Teaching and Teacher Education, 1307 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 300, Washington, D.C. 20005**. Please feel free to photocopy the release form for future or additional submissions.

Should you have further questions, please contact me at 1-800-822-9229; or E-mail: [lkelly@acte.org](mailto:lkelly@acte.org).

Sincerely,

Linda M. Kelly  
Acquisitions and Outreach Coordinator

AACTE  
AMERICAN  
ASSOCIATION  
OF COLLEGES  
FOR TEACHER  
EDUCATION

---

1307  
NEW YORK AVE. NW  
SUITE 300  
WASHINGTON, DC  
20005-4701  
202/293-2450  
FAX: 202/457-8095

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
4483-A Forbes Boulevard  
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200  
Toll Free: 800-799-3742  
FAX: 301-552-4700  
e-mail: [info@ericfac.piccard.csc.com](mailto:info@ericfac.piccard.csc.com)  
WWW: <http://ericfacility.org>