

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 477 075

IR 021 803

AUTHOR Northrup, Pam; Lee, Russell; Burgess, Vance
TITLE Learner Perceptions of Online Interaction.
PUB DATE 2002-06-00
NOTE 7p.; In: ED-MEDIA 2002 World Conference on Educational
Multimedia, Hypermedia & Telecommunications. Proceedings
(14th, Denver, Colorado, June 24-29, 2002); see IR 021 687.
AVAILABLE FROM Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education
(AACE), P.O. Box 3728, Norfolk, VA 23514. Tel: 757-623-7588;
e-mail: info@aace.org; Web site: http://www.aace.org/DL/.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Computer Assisted Instruction; *Computer Mediated
Communication; *Distance Education; Educational Technology;
Graduate Students; Higher Education; *Interaction; Masters
Programs; Nontraditional Education; Online Systems; Student
Attitudes; *Student Reaction; *Student Surveys

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to investigate the types of interactions that students perceived to be important for online learning. The interaction attributes investigated included content interaction, conversation and collaboration, intrapersonal/metacognitive skills, and need for support. Also investigated were reasons why learners were taking online courses. It was presumed that students taking courses for convenience, flexibility, or preference would likely be more pleased with interaction in online course than those required to take an online course because it was not offered on campus. Participants were 52 graduate students in an online masters program in instructional technology; 34 of the students were female and 18 were male. Intact classes of students were selected from two courses at the beginning of their online learning sequence and two courses at the end of their online learning sequence. The instrument used for this study was the Online Learning Interaction Inventory (OLLI), with a reliability coefficient of .95. In this study, online learners echo the importance of interaction by requesting interactive elements in their online experiences. Participants in this study are still most comfortable with the idea of simulating a campus-based class online, as reflected in their statements regarding the desire for instructors to use online audio-narrated lectures, provide notetaking guides, and discuss learned experiences in some type of online conversation. Although their comfort is with the "known" they still favorably rated using more innovative strategies in the online environment including case studies, debates, role-plays, and gaming. The foundation of the online learning environment however, included the notion of solid student support and self-directedness. Participants strongly stated that the need for timely responses from peers and from their instructor was of utmost importance. They also indicated that it was essential for students to self-monitor their progress for survival in the online course. (Contains 11 references.) (AEF)

Learner Perceptions of Online Interaction

Dr. Pam Northrup

Mr. Russell Lee

Mr. Vance Burgess

University of West Florida

Interaction has been defined from many perspectives. Most simply stated *interaction is engagement in learning* (Hillman, Willis & Gunawardena, 1994). It is agreed that interaction must be designed into an instructional program and that it is an important variable for online learning. Berge (1999) suggests that interaction is important to learner satisfaction and that it assists in maintaining student persistence in courses. With retention in online learning programs being as low as 50% in some cases and course completion rates in traditional courses at 10-20 percentage points higher than in online courses (Carr, 2000), learner satisfaction is a key variable. With interaction being a component of overall student satisfaction, interaction should be considered when trying to increase retention in online courses. However, from the online learners point of view, too much interaction may be perceived as busywork and lead to frustration, boredom, and overload (Berge, 1999); while too little interaction may result in student isolation. Both are considered frustrating and a balance has to be found.

Several interaction frameworks and taxonomies are available as guidelines for designing for online interaction. Moore (1989) identifies three types of interactions: (1) interaction with the content, (2) interaction with peers, and (3) interaction with the instructor. Gilbert and Moore (1998) view the process from a different perspective indicating that interactions encompass both instructional and social situations.

Sorting through interaction frameworks to determine the most appropriate interactions for given learning outcomes is difficult at best. Northrup (2001a) provides a set of interaction attributes that can be used to select strategies and tactics to facilitate online interaction. The attributes encompass levels of content interaction, types of dialog through communications and collaboration, levels of student self-directedness, and types of support for the learner anytime, anyplace.

With most research on interaction focused on classifying the types of interactions or building frameworks from which designers would select appropriate interactions for given learning outcomes, it seemed apparent that there should be an upper and lower limit to the types of interactions used for a given set of instruction. Additionally, with *student perception of interaction being complete* as such an important variable for ongoing participation in the course (Zhang & Fulford, 1994), the relationships of student perception to the attributes of interaction should be considered.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to investigate the types of interactions that students perceived to be important for online learning. The interaction attributes investigated included content interaction, conversation and collaboration, intrapersonal/metacognitive skills, and need for support. Also investigated were reasons why learners were taking online courses. It was presumed that students taking courses for convenience, flexibility, or preference would likely be more pleased with interaction in online course than those required to take an online course because it wasn't offered on campus.

ED 477 075

IR021803

Method

Introduction

The purpose of this study was to investigate the types of interactions that students perceived to be important for online learning. Interaction attributes studied in this investigation included content interaction, conversation and collaboration, intrapersonal/metacognitive skills, and need for support. This study was an initial investigation of learner perceptions of online interaction. Data were collected through the administration of the Online Learning Interaction Inventory (OLLI) (Northrup, 2001b).

Participants

This study consisted of 52 graduate students in an online masters program in instructional technology. Thirty-four of the students were female and 18 were male. Students were selected to participate in this study based on where they were in the program of study. Intact classes of students were selected from two courses at the beginning of their online learning sequence and two courses at the end of their online learning sequence.

Instrumentation

The instrument used for this study was the Online Learning Interaction Inventory (OLLI), with a reliability coefficient of .95. The OLLI focused on the four interaction attributes of content interaction, conversation and collaboration, intrapersonal/metacognitive skills, and need for support.

The OLLI was divided into six sections with a total of 50 items. Section 1 dealt with demographic information. Section 2 included five questions on reasons why students selected to take an online course. Section 3-6 addressed each of the interaction attributes and were rated on a five point Likert scale with 1 representing strongly disagree to 5 representing strongly agree. Section 3 dealt with *Content Interaction*. There were 13 items relating to the indicators of content interaction. Section 4 addressed *Conversation and Collaboration* with 14 items relating to the indicators of interaction. Section 5 addressed *Intrapersonal/Metacognitive Skills* with 7 items relating to the indicators of interaction. Section 6 addressed *Support* with 7 items relating to the indicators of interaction.

Procedure

In the current study, students from four online classes were sent a detailed email stating that the purpose of the Online Learning Interaction Inventory (OLLI) was to gather information to continue to make the online courses and the program more appropriately interactive. The email indicated that data would be reported and used as research as well as be used for formative evaluation purposes. Students were provided with the url to take the OLLI online. In two of the four courses, the OLLI was posted as a weekly assignment. In the other two courses taking the OLLI was optional. Students were provided with one week to complete the 50-item instrument.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed by item using frequency, means, and standard deviations to report areas of interaction that are perceived to be valuable or a hindrance to success for online learning. Research questions for the study are as follows:

Question 1: Why do students learn online? Question 2: What interaction attributes do students perceive as important for online learning?

Results and Discussion

Data collected from the OLLI were analyzed by attribute, with frequency, means and standard deviations reported. Reported first will be responses from the first research question related to students learning online. The second research question related to the interaction attributes will be reported by each of the four interaction attributes.

Learning Online

Learning online is related to the first research question, *Why do students learn online?* The majority of students selected to take online courses for convenience ($\underline{M}=4.13$, $\underline{SD}=1.14$) and flexibility ($\underline{M}=4.65$, $\underline{SD}=1.33$). Most of the students reported that they could attend school even if the course was campus-based ($\underline{M}=3.58$, $\underline{SD}=1.58$), indicating that many of the students lived close enough to the campus to take campus-based courses. Only 12 students (23%) reported that it would be impossible to take the course if it were not offered online.

Interaction Attributes

There are four interaction attributes related to the second research question. Attributes included: (1) content interaction, (2) collaboration and conversation, (3) intrapersonal/metacognitive strategies, and (4) support. Responses are included by attribute for the following research question: *What interaction attributes do students perceive as important for online learning?*

Content Interaction. In general, it appears that students agree that interacting with the content is important to their online learning experiences. Overall, they report that they like partially individualized courses with some instructor direction ($\underline{M}=3.77$, $\underline{SD}=.85$). Participants also reported a desire to interact with content delivered via audio-narrated online presentations ($\underline{M}=3.65$, $\underline{SD}=1.22$). Interacting with innovative instructional strategies also was reported to be important to their online experience. Strategies such as case studies ($\underline{M}=2.83$, $\underline{SD}=.92$); structured games ($\underline{M}=3.10$, $\underline{SD}=1.11$); and readings followed by online discussion ($\underline{M}=4.56$, $\underline{SD}=1.09$) were all rated popular with participants. Interestingly, participants expressed strong frustrations about being required to participate in too many interactive assignments in a weekly segment of the course ($\underline{M}=4.08$, $\underline{SD}=1.06$).

Interacting with the content is a major component of an online course and the primary location where new knowledge, skills, and abilities are presented. Typically instruction online is presented as instructor-centered or student-centered. Both are appropriate given the learning outcome and topics of the course content. Students in this study seem to prefer a variety of techniques, yet seem to feel most comfortable with the “feeling” of a traditional class. With the highest reported perceptions of positive interaction in the areas of audio-narrated presentations and readings text followed by discussion. The lecture itself (the audio-narrated presentations) can provide a foundation for other attributes of interaction including conversation, collaboration and informal discussion.

Conversation and Collaboration. Results of the interaction attribute of conversation and collaboration indicated that participants rely on their peers and their instructor in forming and maintaining the online learning community. The majority of participants ($\underline{M}=4.94$, $\underline{SD}=1.06$) reported that it is essential to build a community of learners in the online environment. Participants reported liking to discuss ideas and concepts with peers ($\underline{M}=4.00$, $\underline{SD}=.71$) and also perceive that sharing information with

peers is important ($M=3.83$, $SD=.71$). In relationship to teaming, participants reported that working in teams was difficult for them ($M=3.08$, $SD=1.19$) and that once a team is formed; they prefer to maintain the same team for the entire semester ($M=3.62$, $SD=1.05$). In terms of innovative instructional strategies for interacting online, participants reported liking online debates ($M=3.04$, $SD=1.12$) and posing questions to experts ($M=4.02$, $SD=1.02$). Students weren't as receptive to the idea of posing as the guest presenter in class ($M=2.71$, $SD=1.18$). Finally, in terms of feedback from the instructor, participants reported that it is important to them ($M=4.35$, $SD=.76$) and that the instructor should make every attempt to provide some kind of feedback to them at least two times per week ($M=3.77$, $SD=.85$). Interestingly, participants reported that it was unnecessary for instructors to provide feedback on a daily basis ($M=4.25$, $SD=.84$).

Promoting collaboration and conversation online is an attribute of online learning that participants considered important. Overall, forming the community of learners, collaborating with peers, and getting feedback from the instructor were the most highly rated indicators of this attribute. Given that groups of students do not just become collaborative because they are assigned together (Johnson & Johnson, 1994) means that designers and instructors should provide clear expectations for collaboration online.

Interestingly, note the positive responses on innovative instructional strategies. The variety of strategies presented within the confines of a course appears to yield positive perceptions among students. Providing both synchronous and asynchronous conversation and communication online can extend learning and at the same time motivate the learner (Sherry, 2000).

Intrapersonal/Metacognitive Skills. Analysis of items related to intrapersonal/metacognitive skills suggest that self-directedness and embedded cognitive strategies designed into the online learning environment are perceived to be important to participants. Participants reported that it is important to monitor their own progress each week ($M=4.58$, $SD=.72$). With regard to embedded cognitive strategies, participants reported that it is important to have structured times that assignments are due ($M=4.33$, $SD=.83$), to have an advance organizer to assist them through the assignments each week ($M=4.10$, $SD=1.00$), to provide graphical representations of the steps that should be taken to complete assignments ($M=3.96$, $SD=1.31$), and to have notetaking guides to accompany audio-narrated presentations ($M=4.04$, $SD=1.12$).

Overall, self-regulating one's own learning is an important aspect of online learning. Not only do students need to monitor their progress in an ongoing fashion and adjust their strategies for learning based on their progress, they also need to maintain a time management schedule in order to complete online learning activities in the allotted timeframes. To assist and guide learners through online learning, strategies like advance organizers and graphical representations are used to guide the learner through assignments, while notetaking guides and posted times for assignment due dates are also included.

Support. Results indicate that support is also a key attribute in the success of online learning. Designing online learning with a solid support system in place enables timely responses to questions, mentoring, tutorials, and tips from peers. This support system may very well provide a foundation for successful learning. Participants report that timeliness of response ($M=4.48$, $SD=.64$) is a major indicator of support. Most participants reported also that having a mentor in place to provide assistance is also

important ($M=3.52$, $SD=1.35$). Participants also reported that having tutorials available as needed ($M=3.12$, $SD=1.55$) will assist them in performing tasks such as being in a chat room, posting to a threaded discussion, etc. And no surprise, participants report that when the technology doesn't perform as intended, they are extremely frustrated ($M=4.17$, $SD=1.15$).

Overall Perceptions of Interaction

Overall, participants provided the reasons why they chose to take courses online. They also rated items in each attribute of online interaction as important to their success as online learners. The top reason for taking a course online was the flexibility ($M=4.65$, $SD=.74$) followed closely by convenience ($M=4.13$, $SD=1.14$). With regard to the interaction attributes, Intrapersonal/Metacognitive had the most highly rated indicators with self-monitoring of individual progress ($M=4.58$, $SD=.72$) rated at the highest frequency. The support attribute also rated at the top with timely responses by the instructor ($M=4.48$, $SD=.64$) rated as the number two indicator of an interactive online course. Although indicators exist in each of the interaction areas, the idea of self-regulating learning and having timely feedback from the instructor was reported as most valued by participants.

Conclusion

In conclusion, it is agreed that interaction should be designed into online instruction. It is also agreed that interaction is an important variable for learning, primarily because it is important to learner satisfaction and motivation (Berge, 1999). In this study, online learners echo the importance of interaction by requesting interactive elements in their online experiences. Participants in this study are still most comfortable with the idea of simulating a campus-based class online, as reflected in their statements regarding the desire for instructors to use online audio-narrated lectures, provide notetaking guides, and discuss learned experiences in some type of online conversation. Although their comfort is with the "known" they still favorably rated using more innovative strategies in the online environment including case studies, debates, role-plays, and gaming. The foundation of the online learning environment however, included the notion of solid student support and self-directedness. Participants strongly stated that the need for timely responses from peers and from their instructor was of utmost importance. They also indicated that it was essential for students to self-monitor their progress for survival in the online course.

This study was an initial investigation into the perceptions of online learners' interaction needs. Future studies should consider other variables that may affect the individual learner, the learning environment, and instructional strategies that may be most appropriate for specific learning outcomes.

Reference

Berge, Z. (1999, January/February). Interaction in post-secondary web-based learning. *Educational Technology*, 39(1), 5-11.

Carr, S. (2000, February 11). As distance education comes of age, the challenge is keeping the student. *Chronicle of Higher Education*.

Gilbert, L. & Moore, D. L. (1998, May/June). Building interactivity into web courses: Tools for social and instructional interaction. *Educational Technology*, 38(3), 29-35.

Hillman, D. C., Willis, D. J. & Gunawardena, C. N. (1994). Learner-interface interaction in distance education. An extension of contemporary models and strategies for practitioners. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 8(2), 30-42.

Johnson, D. W. & Johnson, R. T. (1994). *Learning together and alone: Cooperative, competitive and individualistic learning* (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Liaw, S. & Huang, H. (2000, January/February). Enhancing interactivity in web-based instruction: A review of the literature. *Educational Technology*, 39(1), 41-51.

Moore, M. G. (1989). Three types of interaction. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 3(2), 1-6.

Northrup, P. T. (2001a). A framework for designing interactivity into web-based instruction, *Educational Technology*, 41(2), 31-39.

Northrup, P. T. (2001b). The online learning interaction inventory (OLLI). Unpublished document, University of West Florida.

Sherry, L. (2000). The nature and purpose of online discourse: A brief synthesis of current research as related to the WEB project. *International Journal of Educational Telecommunications*, 6(1), 19-51.

Zhang, S., & Fulford, C. P. (1994). Are interaction time and psychological interactivity the same thing in the distance learning classroom? *Educational Technology*, 34(6), 58-64.



*U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)*



NOTICE

Reproduction Basis

X

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.



This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").