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**Electronic Portfolios

A Web-Based Learning Portfolio (WBLP) was evaluated through practical teaching process to understand if the WBLP system helps students to grasp the learning process and enhances learning outcomes. The evaluation results reveal that this WBLP system has been more useful for students to obtain the feedback from other students than from their teachers. It reflects the fact that the feedback from other students has been helpful for them and has thus become the necessary component to help them in learning. Whether the use of the Web-based portfolio system will definitely enhance students' self-regulated learning, self-directed learning, ability for self-reflection, and learning motivation are issues for further research and verification.
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Abstract: A Web-Based Learning Portfolio (WBLP) was evaluated through practical teaching process to understand if the WBLP system helps students to grasp the learning process and enhances learning outcomes. The evaluation results reveal that this WBLP system has been more useful for them to obtain the feedback from other students than from their teachers. It reflects the fact that the feedback from other students has been helpful for them and has thus become the necessary component to help them in learning. Next step, we will conduct the further empirical study in terms of learning effects for the system.

Research Background

Portfolio has been used to gather the learning activities of students in various aspects as well as the works of students with one purpose in mind. It allows the teachers, students, or their parents to understand and evaluate the learning process, improvement situation, and academic achievement of students. It may also be used as evidence for the pupils to reflect on their learning and the changes in their comprehension during the study process. Portfolio may provide the following benefits (Hewitt, 1995):

1. It may demonstrate students’ growth and improvement situation.
2. It may encourage students to set up learning goals.
3. It may provide the hard evidence concerning students’ efforts.
4. It may show students’ performance or works.
5. It may serve the purpose for job application or school application.
6. It may help the faculty to review students’ learning progress.
7. It may serve to inspire the teacher and understand students’ performance.
9. It encourages students’ learning interest, builds up students’ self-confidence, and assists students to know more about themselves, and develops writing skill as well.
10. It encourages students’ participation in cooperation, and increases their self-esteem (Mullin, 1998).

Portfolio method emphasizes that a learner participates actively and thus establishes the learning results of his/her own concern. Therefore, its development process whether it be instructed by teachers or established by students’ own accord, shall both attain the goal of encouraging the learners to be responsible for his/her own learning and to grasp all the goals of learning activities. Furthermore, portfolio assessment was originally designed as an authentic assessment approach meant for the improvement of the traditional pencil-paper tests. Thus, the assessment is real and active. In contrast with the traditional way of assessment, portfolio assessment pays attention not only to the results but also the processes involved. Since learning, teaching, and evaluation comprise of the integrated activities that shall go hand in hand, hence portfolio approach is actually a better way to grasp the complexity of learning processes. It also allows the learners or teachers' introspection to take place. It may also help to reflect on the overall learning and teaching process, so as to give feedback and offer suggestions, thus to benefit the learning in the genuine way. In general, portfolio possesses the following characteristics or features (Wade & Yarbrough, 1996):

1. Developmental: portfolio represents a certain period of students’ growth and learning. Portfolio is the long-term accumulated learning results, not meant for short-term goals. Hence, it is actually an on-going process in its development.
2. Dual-valued: portfolio offers both the teachers and students the value of two-way interaction. It allows a learner the opportunity to reflect and record his/her own learning process. It also offers teachers a good approach or method to evaluate students’ growth and achievements.
3. Selective: portfolio offers students the opportunity to choose, so that students may self-determine what kind of contents that they want to put in the portfolio and how to organize the whole portfolio. It also allows students to set up the standard or basis for evaluation/assessment.
4. Authentic: portfolio incorporates the genuine works or performances of students. Traditional tests normally cannot reflect a student growth or potential in all aspects, but portfolio may demonstrate solid learning results and thus offers an authentic way of learning.
5. Reflective: portfolio may reveal the evidence of self-reflection. Thus learners may review his/her own work, then to set up further goals through such a reflection. It may also help to review the previous efforts, and compare them with the actions afterward, for better understanding of his/her improvements or growth.
6. Individual: portfolio is a personal learning and growing record based on individual ways of choices and organization. Consequently, it
reflects personal contents and style in students' personal portfolio.

7. Interactive: a learner may share with his/her teachers and peers through personal portfolio, and thus seeking guidance and suggestions. In this way the development and establishment of portfolio may be regarded as the process of cooperative interactions.

Other than that, portfolio also possesses the characteristics of self-regulated learning and self-directed learning (Fischer & King, 1995; Smith & Tillema, 1998); this is due to the fact that a portfolio allows the learner to self-determine his/her learning goals, strategies, and contents and then records them. Thus the role of scholars is merely to assist and provide feedbacks. That is why it would encourage a learner to become an independent, self-directed person through the development of personal portfolio.

The Web-Based Learning Portfolio (WBLP) that has been structured through web interface and also has gone through the different stages of analysis, design, development, and test is now being established. The effects of the WBLP in students' learning are the issue worthy of our analysis and investigation. With the above prerequisite in mind, this research hopes to achieve the following goals:

1. To assess the perceived effects of the WBLP, and analyze its impact on students' learning process.
2. To propose the suggestions for future research direction based on the results of evaluation.

Web-Based Learning Portfolio

This WBLP is mainly used to provide students with a web-based user environment. It would help students to complete their individual portfolios through simple, easy-to-use interface for necessary guidance. It also allows the teachers and students to browse their classmates' portfolios, and to give their feedback or assessment opinions. The contents of this WBLP include the following seven major items: the basic information of students, the learning goals of students, the works of students in a course, the records of students' self-reflection or self-assessment, records of teachers' feedback and assessment, records of peer feedback and assessment, personal web page of students. In addition to the afore-mentioned, in order to support students to create and browse the portfolios, as well as to facilitate and meet the needs for teachers to inspect and evaluate students' portfolios, the functional areas of WBLP system consists of the following eight major items: Portfolio Browse, Portfolio Creation, Portfolio Guide, Portfolio Discussion Board, Portfolio Bulletin Board, Portfolio Suggestion Board, Student Data Maintenance, System Management (Chang, 2000; Chang, 2001). These functional areas mainly provide the following functions to the portfolio (as shown on Figure 1):

1. The function for students to conduct the various productions of portfolio contents.
2. The function for teachers and students to browse the portfolios of other students or peers.
3. The feedback and assessments of teachers as well as the feedback and mutual assessment mechanism among students themselves.
4. The on-line guidance and illustration for students to create portfolio contents.
5. The searching and management function of students' personal information.
6. The asynchronous discussion channel of portfolio creation process.
7. The opinion feedback channel for students in using the system.
8. The updated system announcement or course information concerned.
9. The function for teachers to manage student information and announcement information.

Design of the Research

We use an established Web-Based Learning Portfolio (WBLP) on an undergraduate course in university. Through practical teaching process, we may evaluate if the WBLP helps students to grasp the learning process, if it enhances learning outcomes. The evaluation consists of user evaluation, user in-depth interviews, and expert evaluation. The evaluation also helps to understand the difficulties and problems of this system in its application and its possible impact on students' learning.

The user evaluation method had been conducted one and half month after the WBLP system implementation. It was carried out through the evaluation questionnaire designed by the researcher. The questionnaire was distributed in several copies to a class of 35 students who were taking the course of "Computer and Instruction" in a Pre-service Teacher Education Program. This research has designed its own questionnaire for evaluation (as shown on Table 3). The questionnaire primarily asked the questions of the WBLP impact on the learning process. The contents of this questionnaire are based on relevant literatures and discussions of scholars and experts. They have gone through the process of examination for validity and reliability. The questions have been presented based on Likert's five-point scaling method. That means the choice for answer is: strongly agree, agree, average, disagree, and strongly disagree.

In order to understand the WBLP impacts on students' learning in depth, we supplement the study through the researcher self-designed "Questions Listing of User In-Depth Interviews" after the completion of user evaluation questionnaire analysis. Interviews in-depth would then follow up to get to know the opinions and suggestions of the users concerning the unresolved answers and controversial issues that could never be revealed through the previous questionnaire. Targeting for the unresolved questions and the controversial issues of the previous questionnaire of user evaluation, in-depth interviews were then conducted on 5 students selected randomly after the completion of questionnaire analysis work in order to deeply understand the users' opinions and suggestions.

Three experts were being invited to conduct the expert evaluation (including the course instructor, a portfolio assessment expert, and a web technology expert) to use this WBLP on-line. The evaluation work was then conducted through interview method after one week. In terms of expert evaluation, interviews were conducted based on the researcher's self-designed "Questions Listing of Expert Interviews". In this way that we got to understand the expert opinions in regarding the WBLP impact on learning and teaching.
Validity and Reliability of Questionnaire

Validity refers to whether a tool for measurement/survey that may achieve its intended functions or purpose. The questionnaire of user evaluation in this research has gone through the processes of pre-test and pilot-study to verify the validity of the questionnaire.

For attaining the goal of questionnaire validity, it was necessary to consult two expert/scholars of portfolio assessment and web technology, and one teacher who instructed the course after the completion of the questionnaires for their opinions on revising the framework of said contents, words and expressions relating to the issues, etc. The suggestions for revising the questionnaire include: increasing the contents of the questionnaire, more articulate in the expressions of questions, word polishing, etc. Then could the contents of the questionnaire be able to attain the goal originally intended for the effectiveness in its measurement/survey. Through the implementation of such a method, this research meets the needs of measurement/survey tool efficiency. The above process involved is the so-called expert validity.

We may achieve more complete validity verification through the further process of pilot-study. We picked up 5 students randomly for the purpose of this research at that time to conduct pilot-study based on the rough draft of the questionnaire. We hoped to go through such a pilot-test and render the results to the researcher to get to know if the questionnaire could effectively measure/survey the purpose intended for this research, and to revise any inappropriateness whatever necessary. The opinions or feedback for revision include the clarification of some questions and word polishing, etc., so as to present the questions in the way better understood by students who were answering the questions in the questionnaire.

Reliability refers to being consistent and steady in terms of measurement/survey method. In this way we could understand the extent or degree of reliability for measurement/survey instruments. In order to attain the reliability goal of this questionnaire, we conducted the reliability test of Cronbach's alpha coefficient by using the contents of the above retrieval questionnaires. The extent or degree of consistency within the questionnaires could thus be determined. Then we could determine if the research measurement tool possessed certain degree of reliability. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient of formal questionnaire after revision is equal to 0.8346 (n = 35). This shows that the reliability level of this research has attained a rather reliable level.

Results and Discussions

User Evaluation

User evaluation consists of survey and interviews. Tables 1 list the statistics results of each evaluation item within the questionnaire. Among the 25 evaluation items of Table 1, other than the later debut of the Discussion Board (came out only about one week prior to the evaluation) which has led to the insufficient understanding and use of its function, and thus resulted in the mean of this two items both lower than 4 (3.83 & 3.87 respectively) for Item 16 -- the articles of Portfolio Discussion Board enhance my growth in learning and Item 17 -- the feedback on the Portfolio Suggestion Board have been helpful for me to overcome the difficulty in producing personal portfolio. As for the other 23 items of survey, the degree of agreeability mean is higher than 4, and most users are either agree with the statement or very much agree with it. The means of 6 items (Item, 12, 18, 19, 20, 24, 25) are even higher than 4.5, and 90% of the users regard them very helpful for their learning.

From the survey results, it appears to be that most of the users are rather agreeable that this system has been helpful for their learning process or learning outcomes, and thus have come out with positive appraisal for this system. As for the questions in regarding to whether the browsing of other students' portfolio, learning goals, works, basic information, personal web page, etc. may help them in some way (from Item 6 to Item 14), most students regard that it has been most helpful for them to browse other students' works. The mean for this question is as high as 4.53. As high as 93% of the students regard that the quality of their own work can be improved by such an emulation through the browsing of others' works (Item 12). 94% of the users regard that it helps to understand the merits and shortcomings of other students' learning by browsing other students' portfolio (Item 7). 97% of the users regard that it enhances their own academic growth and improvement by browsing other students' portfolios (Item 8). All these survey results have revealed the fact that the use of portfolio has really helped the users in learning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacts on Learning Process</th>
<th>Percentages of Agreement (%)</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Set up learning goals may help me with the direction in self-learning</td>
<td>27 53 20 0 0</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 The uploading and gathering of course works helps to reveal my genuine learning outcomes</td>
<td>37 60 3 0 0</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 The writing process for self-reflection and assessment records help me to grasp and reflect on genuine learning process</td>
<td>37 53 10 0 0</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Teacher feedback helps me to reflect on my merits and shortcomings in learning</td>
<td>53 40 4 3 0</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 The feedback from peers help me to reflect on my merits and shortcomings in learning</td>
<td>47 43 7 3 0</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Browsing classmates' portfolios helps me to reflect on the merits and shortcomings in my learning</td>
<td>47 43 7 3 0</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2 shows the distribution of means for student agreement percentages in WBLP impacts on learning process. Thus it has created positive impact on learning process and outcome. A small percentage of the users think otherwise. This shows that the implementation of portfolio truly helps most of the students in academic growth and improvement with great efficiency. Furthermore, one very interesting phenomenon is when being presented with the question (Item 19 and Item 20) that whether using this system helps them to obtain the feedback from teachers (mean = 4.53) or from the other students (mean = 4.57), the results show that this system has been more useful for them to obtain the feedback from other students than from their teachers. We deduce that one of the reasons may be that students generally hold higher expectation for feedback from teachers. Another reason may be that the contents of teachers’ feedback are not as much as that of other students’. Since the teachers have to answer and feedback to all students while the students only need to feedback to a few selected peers. The above survey results reflect the fact that the feedback from other students has been helpful for them and has thus become the necessary component to help them in learning.

On the average, as high as 88.84% of the users feel that the system has been helpful in their learning process and outcome. Only 2.76% a small percentage of the users think otherwise. This shows that the implementation of portfolio truly helps most of the students in academic growth and improvement with great efficiency. Thus it has created positive impact on learning process and outcome. Figure 2 shows the distribution of means for student agreement percentages in WBLP impacts on learning process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Browsing classmates’ portfolios helps me to understand the merits and shortcomings of my classmates in learning</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Browsing my classmates’ portfolios helps me to grow and improve in academic achievements</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Browsing my classmates’ portfolios helps me to motivate me for the learning of this course</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Browsing my classmates’ portfolios helps me to improve the communication and exchanges with my classmates</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Browsing classmates’ learning goals helps me to understand classmates’ work effort, and thus enhances that of my own</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Browsing my classmates’ works helps to upgrade the quality of my works</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Browsing my classmates’ basic information helps me to understand my classmates better</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Browsing my classmates’ personal web page helps me to better understand my classmates and thus enhances relationships with them</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>The information in Portfolio Bulletin Board helps me to better understand this course</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>The articles of Portfolio Discussion Board help my academic growth</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>The message feedback in Portfolio Suggestion Board helps me to solve the problems that I have encountered in portfolio creation</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>It helps me to know about the learning outcomes of my classmates by using this system</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>It helps me to know about my teacher feedback and suggestions by using this system</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>It helps me to know about my classmate feedback and suggestions by using this system</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>It enhances my interactions and exchanges with my classmates by using this system</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>It helps me to better understand my growth and improvements in the course by using this system</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>It helps me to better understand the growth and improvements of other classmates in this course by using this system</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>It helps me to learn this course by using this system</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>I hope to use this system in other courses as well</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Means: 4.69, 41.88, 8.4, 2.76, 4.33
To sum up the results of the above user evaluation, the appraisal for impact on learning process is high (mean = 4.33). To learn from the lesson above, teachers should give much more encouragement to their students, and pay more attention to the difficulties that the students may come across in the implementation process of future portfolio assessment.

**User In-Depth Interviews**

We conducted in-depth interviews with 5 users (who were chosen randomly from the students who had been taking the course) after the statistics that have come out based on the suggestion items and questionnaire results to deduce or conclude a few important user opinions or suggestions.

In terms of the impact on learning process, most students regard that the overall design of the WBLP system is good. They are able to get to know other students’ learning processes, learning experiences, and thus enhance their learning of the course through their browsing. This WBLP system provides the gathering and browsing to access to other students’ works, and thus allows one to get to know better about his/her merits and shortcomings. Then one can improve accordingly in learning. Some students regard that to set up learning goals may allow themselves to be ready for individual learning direction. However, there seems to be no direct evidence reflected through our assessment standard to support the assumption that such a learning goal set up may help them in terms of assessing their final learning results. Hence, its real purpose and function seems to be ambiguous. In addition, introspection and self-assessment help to review the process in students’ learning or producing their works. It would allow the students to discover the areas that they may improve. As a result, it enhances learning for maintaining such a reviewing process.

**Expert Evaluation**

Upon the completion of this system establishment, we had invited 3 experts (including a portfolio assessment expert, an Internet technology expert, and the course instructor) to use this system. The researcher was then interviewing them one week later. In this way we have obtained the valuable opinions and suggestions of these experts.

These experts also answer the following questions, such as: “whether this system has been helpful for students’ learning and teachers’ teaching?” “Is it possible to provide an effective and appropriate portfolio production and browsing environment in order to allow both the teachers and the students to better understand the genuine learning processes and results of students?” Some experts regard that this WBLP system provides the opportunity for gathering students’ works for emulation among the peers. Consequently, the practice will surely enhance students’ learning result. The introspection and self-assessment records of students would allow the teacher to better understand students’ prerequisite ability as well as the practical issues or problems that students have encountered, and also students’ achievements in learning. This will help to attain the goal of being more objective in student assessments, and it will also help the teacher to adjust his or her teaching method in the future. Some experts point out that the works that students have presented via this WBLP system are mainly the final completed works of students, but the processes of such productions in terms of gathering and demonstration shall also be incorporated as well. Hence, the production processes or the on-going works shall be demonstrated as well. Finally, the system has to improve its management functions in terms of supporting the teachers to perform on-line assessments for students’ works, and to track the status of students’ using the services of this system through on-line records and statistics.

**Summaries and Conclusions**

The characteristics of the WBLP is meant to allow the teacher and students’ genuine understanding of the individual learner learning process and outcome, and thus to enhance the feedback and interactions among teachers and students. Furthermore, it helps students to get to know the merits and shortcoming in his/her own learning, also the difficulties and problems involved, thus to pro-
mote the integration of teaching, learning, and assessment.

As high as 88.84% of the users regard the electronic portfolio system has benefited their learning. As high as 93% of the students regard that the quality of their own work can be improved by the emulation through the browsing of others' works (Item 12). 94% of the users regard that it helps to understand the merits and shortcomings of other students' learning by browsing other students' portfolio. 97% of the users regard that it enhances their own academic growth and improvement by browsing other students' portfolios. The evaluation results also show that this WBLP system has been more useful for them to obtain the feedback from other students than from their teachers. It reflects the fact that the feedback from other students has been helpful for them and has thus become the necessary component to help them in learning.

Whether the use of web-based portfolio system will definitely enhance students' self-regulated learning, self-directed learning, enhancing the ability for self-reflection, encouraging learning motivation, etc. are all the interesting issues worthy of our further research and verification. Therefore, we will conduct the relevant future experiment study in terms of the practical applications in teaching and learning efficiency for web-based portfolio system.
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