The New York City public school system has built partnerships with other early childhood programs in the community to make universal prekindergarten (UPK) available in settings appropriate for young children and affording full-day options to working families. In the first city-wide effort to obtain parent feedback on the program's success, over 7,500 parents of the 34,000 families with children enrolled in the program in 2000-2001 shared their first-hand experiences of the program in response to a questionnaire distributed to schools and community-based organizations offering UPK services. Findings revealed that 99 percent of respondents felt the program would prepare their children for kindergarten; 96 percent described the enrollment process as "easy"; 99 percent said they felt welcomed by program staff; and 99 percent said they would recommend the program to other parents. Eighty-five percent of parents enrolled their children in the UPK program because they wanted to expose them to the educational enrichment offered by the program. Local school referrals, parent referrals, and word of mouth all played a key role in parents' discovery of the program. Most parents had access to only half-day care, with 94 percent of children in extended day programs served by community-based organizations. Suggestions for program improvement included offering more extended-day options, extending the program to more children, placing more focus on academics, and expanding bilingual services. (The parent questionnaire is appended.) (KB)
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Executive Summary

New York State’s Universal Prekindergarten (UPK) legislation, passed in 1997, launched a vital and dynamic new education initiative calling for early education for every four-year-old, to be offered for 2 1/2 hours per day for the duration of the school year. Although funding has not kept pace with the promise of full implementation within five years, the program offers early education opportunities to more than 55,000 children statewide. This makes New York a national leader in providing early education to its youngest children. The New York City public schools embraced this program and made it a reality for 39,000 city children this past year. The program has built new and important partnerships between the public schools and other early childhood programs in the community, making Universal Prekindergarten available in settings appropriate for young children as well as affording full-day options to working families.

What We Did

This Parent Report Card represents the first citywide effort to hear directly from families how well they think the UPK program is succeeding. Over 7,500 parents shared their firsthand experiences of the program in response to a questionnaire distributed by Community School Districts to schools and Community Based Organizations offering UPK services. The parents were asked for their assessments of the enrollment process and their opportunities for direct involvement in the learning process, as well as their opinions of how well the program helped prepare their children for school. The questionnaire was designed with input from the staff and UPK Advisory Board of Community School District 11, The Office of Early Childhood Education, the Early Childhood Strategic Group (ECSG), and the Center for Early Care and Education. The ECSG provided overall coordination of the survey effort as part of its ongoing work to expand early learning. Over one in five of the 34,000 families with children enrolled in the program in school year 2000-2001 responded, representing programs in 24 of the 32 Community School Districts across all five boroughs.
What We Learned

This Report Card reveals that from the parents’ perspective, the UPK program is an overwhelming success, effectively preparing children for the school years ahead, as well as involving parents in their children’s early learning experiences. Eighty-five percent enrolled their children in Universal Prekindergarten because they wanted to expose them to the educational enrichment offered by the program. In addition:

- 99% of respondents felt the program would prepare their children for kindergarten;
- 96% of respondents described the enrollment process as “easy”;
- 99% of respondents said they felt welcomed by their child’s program;
- 99% of responding parents said they would recommend the program to other parents.

What Parents Suggest

While most parents expressed satisfaction with program hours, many also called for more extended-day options. Many parents also indicated interest in extending the program to more children. As one parent concluded,

"My child has grown up so much. She is very advanced for her age. I feel this is an excellent opportunity for kids to excel."
Universal Prekindergarten in New York City

New York State's Universal Prekindergarten (UPK) legislation, passed in 1997, launched a vital and dynamic new education initiative calling for early education for every four-year-old, to be offered for 2 1/2 hours per day for the duration of the school year. Although funding has not kept pace with the promise, the program offered early education opportunities to more than 55,000 children statewide in school year 2001-2002, making the state a national leader in providing early education to its youngest children. The New York City public schools embraced this program and made it a reality for 39,000 city children this past year. In school year 2002-2003, 43,000 city children will be enrolled in the program.

The UPK legislation calls for a developmentally appropriate program that meets the social, cognitive, linguistic, emotional, cultural, and physical needs of children. UPK programs must also meet the needs of parents. Special attention is paid to parental involvement and the program's relevance to children's home experiences, as well as to social and educational continuity with the early elementary grades. Enrollment in UPK is optional for parents, with alternatives including half-day, full-day, and extended-day services in a variety of different program settings with a mix of funding sources. The 32 Community School Districts across the city administer the program, and each has substantial decision-making power regarding its operation.

The UPK legislation was designed to foster community-level partnerships between the public schools and existing early care and education programs. The legislation mandates that a minimum of 10% of services be offered outside public school settings. New York City has far exceeded this mandate, with over 550 Community Based Organizations (CBOs) offering UPK in school year 2001-2002 and an additional 100 agencies expected to begin programs in 2002-2003. Collaboration between the public schools and community-based child care, Head Start, and preschool special education programs has allowed the city to serve more children in appropriate settings; to improve the quality and extend the service hours of existing programs; and to offer more full-day options to working families. Through these partnerships, New York City has taken an important step toward a unified, high-quality early childhood education system. The UPK experience has been similar across the state.
Listening to Parents

This Parents’ Report Card represents the first citywide effort to hear directly from families how well the program is meeting its goals of preparing children to enter school and meeting the needs of working parents.

The UPK program in New York City has engaged parents at every stage of its implementation. In 1998, when the program was first planned, Community School Districts (CSDs) convened UPK Advisory Boards representing all constituencies, including parents. Many districts conducted parent surveys before implementing UPK to determine parent needs and their preferences regarding hours, locations, and curricula. At a 1999 citywide UPK forum sponsored by the Early Childhood Strategic Group (ECSG), UPK Advisory Board members recommended that programs work to:

- Accommodate parents’ schedules;
- Begin a dialogue about early childhood education; and
- Provide parents with clear, written information about the early childhood curriculum.

Across the state, researchers have noted that the school districts’ on-going Advisory Board process has more systematically and fully included parents in the planning and implementation of the classroom program.¹

The findings presented here were compiled and analyzed by the ECSG from English and Spanish-language questionnaires distributed by the public schools, through its Community School Districts, to families of the 34,000 children enrolled in Universal Prekindergarten in school-year 2000-2001. The questionnaire was designed with input from the staff and UPK Advisory Board of Community School District 11, The Office of Early Childhood Education, the Early Childhood Strategic Group (ECSG), and the Center for Early Care and Education. The ECSG provided overall coordination of the survey effort as part of its ongoing work to expand early learning. The questionnaire provided a vehicle for parents to share their first-

hand experiences of the program, including their assessments of the enrollment process, their opportunities for direct involvement in the learning process, and their opinions of how well the program prepared their children for school.

Over one-fifth of the families with children in the New York City UPK program responded, or some 7,691 parents. These families represented 24 of the 32 Community School Districts\(^2\) and all five boroughs. A little over half—or 57%—of responding families’ children were served in Community-Based Organizations (CBOs), with the remaining 43% served in local public schools (see Figure 1, below). Given the limitation of resources, the questionnaire could not fully capture the voices of parents whose children speak many languages other than English and Spanish. Inclusion of these voices should be a focus of future efforts. Total response rate varied by question, and is reflected below in the “n” number cited above each figure.

![Type of program attended by children of respondents](n = 7691) ![Type of program attended by all Universal Prekindergarten children](n = 34,000)

43% Community Based Organizations 70%

57%

30% Public Schools

---

\(^2\) Districts 7 (in the Bronx); 14, 16, 18, 19 (in Brooklyn); and 25, 29, and 30 (in Queens) did not respond.
Key Findings

The questionnaire revealed that from the parents’ perspective, the Universal Prekindergarten program is an overwhelming success in both aspects of its mission, effectively preparing children for the school years ahead as well as involving parents in their children's early learning experiences. Eighty-five percent of respondents enrolled their children in Universal Prekindergarten because they wanted to expose them to the educational enrichment offered by the program. In addition:

- 99% of respondents felt the program would prepare their children for kindergarten;
- 96% of respondents described the enrollment process as “easy”;
- 99% of respondents said they felt welcomed by their children’s programs;
- 99% of responding parents said they would recommend the program to other parents.

While most parents expressed satisfaction with program hours, many also called for more extended-day options. Many parents also indicated interest in extending the program to more children. These results demonstrate UPK families' positive perceptions of the program's tremendous accomplishments across the city. As one District 4 parent wrote, “[UPK] helps [children] develop emotionally, mentally [and] most importantly socially. You do your child an injustice when you hold them back from PreK.” Another parent from District 28 wrote,

“The teachers are fantastic, they are caring, loving and attentive to the children. My son learns a lot and loves the crafts they do and the songs they sing. I can go in. It’s a wonderful program.”
Parent Report Card

Enrollment

Families of UPK children were asked how they heard about the program, their reasons for enrolling their children in the UPK program, and whether their experiences of the enrollment process were positive or negative. Three main sources alerted parents to the UPK program (Figure 2): local school referrals (36% of respondents), parent referrals (32%) and word of mouth (28%) all played a key role in parents’ discovery of the program. Newspapers and community agencies, on the other hand, consistently played a smaller role (at 5% each).

![Bar chart: How did you find out about this program?](chart)

Parents also were asked to indicate why they enrolled their children in a UPK program. Many parents selected more than one reason for enrollment (Figure 3). Most parents enrolled their children for educational enrichment (85%) and socialization (60%). Only 23% of respondents reported choosing UPK for purposes of child care. This may reflect the availability of mostly part-day UPK settings, and also demonstrates parents’ positive assessment of the enrichment offered by UPK.
What are your reasons for enrolling your child?
(n = 7691)*

*Note: Some parents chose more than one response. Categories total over 100%

figure 3

Finally, parents were asked to characterize the enrollment process as "easy" or "hard." Planners had sought to make the program as user-friendly as possible, and children in UPK are enrolled as public school students regardless of whether their program is located in public school or in an early childhood program in the community. The vast majority—96%—of parents described the enrollment process as "easy" (Figure 4).

Was the enrollment process easy or hard?
(n = 7523)

figure 4
Parent Satisfaction with Program Effectiveness

Families were asked to report whether they thought their children had the opportunity to engage in a variety of activities at UPK, and were asked if they felt that the program would prepare their children for the transition to kindergarten. Again, responses were overwhelmingly positive. Ninety-four percent of respondents reported that their children had the opportunity to engage in a variety of activities in the UPK program (Figure 5). Some of the many activities parents reported included: field trips to cultural attractions; science activities; English-as-a-Second-Language instruction; physical education and motor skills; cooking; crafts; computer skills; reading, writing, and math skills; and visual and performing arts.

Does your child have the opportunity to engage in a variety of activities?

(n = 6719)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

figure 5

When asked if the UPK Program would prepare their children for the transition to kindergarten, ninety-nine percent of respondents reported that it would (Figure 6). According to one District 19 parent, “My child has grown up so much. She is very advanced for her age. I feel this is an excellent opportunity for kids to excel.”

Will this program prepare your child for transition to kindergarten?

(n = 7217)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

figure 6
Hours of Care

Parents were asked to report the type of program in which they enrolled their children—half-day (fewer than four hours), full-day (four to six hours), or extended-day (more than 6 hours)—as well as whether those hours met their needs. As is reflected in Figure 7, below, most parents had access only to half-day care.

As a result of blended funding strategies (described more fully in other ECSG reports), some parents, particularly those served by CBOs, did report having access to full-day and extended-day care. In fact, the proportion of children served by CBOs increases significantly as the hours of care extend past the half-day funded by state legislation (Figure 8). Ninety-four percent of the respondents’ children who were served in extended-day programs attended UPK in a CBO setting.
Most parents (87%) reported that the hours of care received by their children did meet their needs, as is reflected in Figure 9, below. As one District 19 parent noted, "This program has accommodated my needs as a working mother." This positive report must be tempered, however, by the knowledge that parents needing full-day care for their children would not have chosen to enroll them in a half-day program. When asked to suggest improvements to the program, many parents called for expanded hours (see Figure 18).

**Do the hours meet your needs?**

\[(n = 7447)\]

- Yes: 13%
- No: 87%

![Figure 9](image)

**Parent Participation**

When asked about their involvement in their children's UPK programs, parents reported feeling welcomed, included and well informed. Ninety-six percent of respondents reported that the program providers worked with them to maintain regular, ongoing, two-way communication (Figure 10).

**Does the program establish and maintain good communication with you?**

\[(n = 7177)\]

- Yes: 96%
- No: 4%

![Figure 10](image)
The most commonly cited vehicles for parent-teacher communication were parent-teacher conferences (reported by 93% of respondents). Also common were parent orientation meetings (85%) and newsletters (71%; Figure 11).

![Graph showing communication methods](image)

**How does the program communicate with you?**

(n = 7177)

Ninety-six percent of parents also reported that there were opportunities for them to participate directly in UPK activities and to visit the classroom (Figure 12).

![Graph showing participation in UPK activities](image)

**Can you participate in your child’s UPK activities?**

(n = 7022)

4% Yes

96% No
Parents reported a number of different opportunities to participate in their children's learning experiences (Figure 13). These included field trips, parties, meetings, meals and snacks, and volunteer opportunities. The most common means of participation were parties in the classroom (79% of respondents). Other common opportunities included field trips (75%) and meetings (72%).

![Bar chart showing participation rates for various activities.](image)

Regardless of the setting, parents felt welcomed into the program. Ninety-nine percent of parents reported feeling welcome at their children's Universal Prekindergarten programs (Figure 14).

![Pie chart showing parent welcome.](image)
Child Comfort

Parents' positive assessments of the UPK program included their children's adjustment to and comfort in the classroom. Ninety-six percent of parents reported that the program had a plan in place for helping new children feel comfortable (Figure 15).

**Does the program have a plan for helping your child feel comfortable?**

(n = 6784)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 15](image)

When asked to identify the ways in which children were welcomed into the classroom, parents' responses indicated that programs use multiple strategies (Figure 16). Ninety-eight percent of parents named orientation meetings as a way the program had transitioned their children into UPK. Ninety percent reported that the program offered an opportunity for parent and child to visit the program site before enrollment. Eighty-eight percent reported that the program activities included a gradual transition for new children to become accustomed to the school day.

**How does the program welcome your child?**

(n = 6784)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Reported</th>
<th>Percentage of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gradual Transition</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Visit Before Enrollment</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Orientation Meeting</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 16](image)
Parent Recommendations

The questionnaire demonstrated that the vast majority of responding parents shared positive views of the UPK program. One District 10 parent reported, "The reason I will tell others [of the program] is my child is well taken care of and she learns a lot from school." Ninety-nine percent of respondents agreed (Figure 17), reporting that they would recommend the program to other parents.

Would you recommend this program to other families?
(n = 7495)

- Yes 99%
- No 1%

figure 17

Moving Forward

The responses to the UPK questionnaire by more than 7,500 city parents document how strongly parents feel about the importance of the UPK program for their children. It also provides evidence that programs are implementing successful strategies to engage parents and involve them as partners in the education of their children. These findings indicate that the program has a strong foundation on which to build, but also suggest that it can continue to improve by both expanding and deepening its offerings.

Parents' feedback illuminates their own priorities for improvement. While the 32 Community School Districts have significant flexibility in administering the UPK program, and differ administratively in many ways, parents' suggestions for improvement showed surprising similarity across the 24 Districts reporting (Figure 18). Parents in 18 Districts called for more extended hours, the most common suggestion across the city. Parents in 15 Districts asked that programs put more emphasis on simple homework, like math and writing. Finally, parents in eight Districts recommended that the UPK program expand bilingual services.
In addition to parent feedback, there is much to learn about improving the city's UPK program from planning and implementation of the program statewide. In 1999 The Cornell University Early Childhood Program reviewed UPK plans across the state to identify promising practices, including approaches to parent involvement. One strategy receiving special note is a plan to offer parents home learning kits and activities throughout the year. Another is to make resource rooms and libraries easily accessible to parents. A third utilizes mentoring strategies and parent support groups as a resource to parents in setting their own goals. While it is clear that the city's UPK program is both satisfying parents and engaging them, the above strategies offer new opportunities for growth.

Finally, it is essential that parents' voices continue to be heard and integrated in the ongoing expansion of the UPK program. Those working to implement UPK should feel encouraged by families' overwhelmingly positive assessments of the program. As this report confirms, families have a strong interest in even greater development of the UPK program, as well as important ideas about how the city can continue to build upon its impressive successes to date.

---

APPENDIX: Questionnaire

Universal Pre-k Parent Questionnaire

Community School District: ____________________________________________________________

School or Program Name: ___________________________________________________________________ 

1. Is your child enrolled in a:  ☐ Half day program  ☐ Full day program  ☐ Extended day program 
2. Do the hours meet your needs?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 
3. Do you feel welcome as a parent?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 
   Explain: ____________________________________________________________________________

4. Would you recommend this program to other families?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 
5. Was the enrollment process:  ☐ Easy  ☐ Difficult 
   If difficult, why? _____________________________________________________________________

6. Does the UPK program have a Parent Handbook?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 
7. Does the program have a plan for helping new children feel comfortable?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 
   Does the program include:
   a. Site visit before enrollment?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 
   b. A Parent orientation meeting?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 
   c. Gradual transition for new children into school day?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 

8. Does the program work with parents to establish and maintain regular, 
ongoing, two-way communication?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 
   Does the program include any of the following? (Please check):
   ☐ Parent Orientation Meeting  ☐ Newsletters 
   ☐ Parent/Teacher Conferences  ☐ Curriculum Outline 
   ☐ PTA or Parent Advisory Board  ☐ Other ________________

9. Are there opportunities for you to participate in activities and visit the classroom?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No 
   Do these activities include:  ☐ Field Trips  ☐ Parties  ☐ Meals/Snacks  ☐ Volunteer/Opportunities 
   ☐ Other ________________________________
10. Does the program provide the following services:
   a. Bilingual Resources  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
   b. Special Education Resources  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
   c. Social Worker  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
   d. Nurse/Health Clinic  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
   e. Parenting Workshops  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
   f. Information on Kindergarten transition  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
   g. Enrichment programs (i.e. music specialist, dance specialist, science, etc.)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No

11. Does your child have the opportunity to engage in a variety of activities?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
    Explain: ____________________________________________________________

12. Do you feel that the program will prepare your child to transition into kindergarten?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No

13. How did you find out about this program?  (Please check):
    ☐ Parent referral    ☐ Word of Mouth    ☐ Newspaper
    ☐ Local School    ☐ Community Agency    ☐ Other ____________________________

14. What are your reasons for enrolling your child in a UPK program?  (Please check):
    ☐ Educational enrichment    ☐ Child Care
    ☐ Socialization    ☐ Other ____________________________

15. Do you have any suggestions to improve the program?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
    Please share your ideas: ________________________________________________

16. How often do you read to your child?  (Please check):
    ☐ Five times a week?  ☐ More than five times a week?  ☐ Less than five times a week?

17. Are you involved in any other learning activities with your child outside of the UPK program?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
    Please describe these learning activities: __________________________________

Thank you for sharing your thoughts and ideas about UPK.
The Early Childhood Strategic Group

Advocates for Children
Agenda for Children Tomorrow
Bank Street College of Education
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Brooklyn and Queens
Child Care Action Campaign
Child Care Council at CUNY
Child Care, Inc.
Children's Aid Society
Children's Defense Fund – New York
Citizens' Committee for Children of New York
Collaborative Ventures, Inc.
Day Care Council of New York, Inc.
Early Childhood Policy Research
Educational Frameworks Inc.
Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies
Graham Windham Services for Children & Families
Herbert G. Birch Services
National Black Child Development Institute
New Visions for Public Schools
Susan Wagner Day Schools
UJA Federation – New York
United Neighborhood Houses

The Center for Early Care and Education

For additional information contact:
Amy Cooper/Chuck Paprocki
Early Childhood Strategic Group
c/o Child Care, Inc.
275 Seventh Ave., 15th Floor
New York, NY 10001
Tel: (212) 929-7604 ext. 3004
Fax: (212) 929-5785
Email: ecsg@childcareinc.org
Web: www.childcareinc.org

Kay Victorson
Center for Early Care and Education
c/o SCAA—Schuyler Center for Analysis and Advocacy
150 State Street, 4th Floor
Albany, NY 12207
Tel: 518-463-1896
Fax: 518-463-3364
Email: info@ceceny.org
Web: www.ceceny.org
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title:</th>
<th>Child Care in a New York City Head Start Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author(s):</td>
<td>Amy Cooper and Kara Lefors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Source:</td>
<td>Parent Report Card: Universal Pre-kindergarten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication Date:</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

- **Level 1**
  - PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
  - Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

- **Level 2A**
  - PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
  - Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only.

- **Level 2B**
  - PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
  - Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: Amy Cooper
Printed Name/Position/Title: Amy Cooper, Policy Analyst
Organization/Address: 275 Seventh Avenue, 15th Floor, New York, NY 10001
Telephone: 212-722-0604 FAX: 212-722-5785 E-Mail Address: acooper@eric.ed.gov Date: June 10, 2003
### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publisher/Distributor:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:</th>
<th>Karen E. Smith, Assistant Director</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERIC/EECE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s Research Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Illinois</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Gerty Dr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champaign, IL 61820-7469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**

4483-A Forbes Boulevard

Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-552-4700

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: http://ericfacility.org