

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 474 263

EA 032 404

AUTHOR Karr-Kidwell, PJ; Bēauchamp, Deanna  
TITLE Data-Driven Research and Site-Based Management.  
PUB DATE 2003-02-25  
NOTE 25p.  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160)  
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Attendance Patterns; Attendance Records; \*Data Analysis;  
Data Collection; Educational Environment; Educational  
Improvement; Faculty Development; Field Studies;  
Instructional Leadership; Junior High Schools; Middle  
Schools; Staff Development; Teacher Effectiveness; \*Teacher  
Influence; Teacher Student Relationship  
IDENTIFIERS Management by Information; \*Texas

## ABSTRACT

This paper reports on a field research project designed to provide staff members of one particular Texas middle school the knowledge and ability to affect student attendance. The purpose of the field research was to identify effective strategies, led by site-based management teams, to enhance student attendance. Data were collected from a review of the literature, observations of the leadership practices of the school's principal, a survey of students who had six or more absences, and a survey of teachers. (The observation instrument, called a "shadow chart," and the two survey instruments, along with the results of the surveys, are appended to the report.) The results from the student survey indicate that students missed school for many different reasons, all of which suggest the need for various strategies and approaches to correct the problem of absenteeism. The results from the teacher survey show that teachers have a high interest and enthusiasm in improving the attendance of their students, that school climate plays a vital role in improving student attendance, and that teachers were not aware of the most effective strategies for reducing student absences. (Contains 34 references.) (WFA)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made  
from the original document.

# DATA-DRIVEN RESEARCH AND SITE-BASED MANAGEMENT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

---

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS  
BEEN GRANTED BY

P. KARR-  
KIDWELL

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

EA 032 404

Deanna Beauchamp

Denton I.S.D.

Seventh Grade Teacher

Masters, Texas Woman's University

PJ Karr-Kidwell, Ph.D.

Professor, Educational Administration

College of Professional Education

Texas Woman's University

## Introduction

There are several contributors to the flaws in our current educational system largely dealing with a lack of systems thinking (Sparks & Hirsch, 1997) along with the school's organizational structure (Rodman, 2000; Sparks & Hirsch, 1997; Sparks, 2002). Organizational constraints do not allow teachers to implement change consistently, so newly found ideas diminish (Geringer, 2003; Sparks & Hirsch, 1997). Equally important, teachers' and administrators' behaviors towards staff development are negative (Sparks & Hirsch, 1997).

## Review of Related Literature

Staff development has not been proven to enhance teaching and is rarely tied to curricular goals, leaving school initiatives untouched (Checkley, 2003; Rodman, 2000). As a result, getting teachers and administrators to accept new concepts in professional development has been a difficult task.

The root of the problem consists with structure. Bureaucratic structures were not designed to support communities of learners and take power away from the practicing professionals (Larson, 2000; Strozniak, 2000). Understanding and implementing dramatic change is a long process, especially changing a school's deeply rooted belief system (Checkley, 2003; Sparks, 2002). This task is so overwhelming that today's staff development primarily consists of irrelevant activities (Neese, 2001), leaving teachers unproductive and administrators untouched by contemporary practices (Hilliard, 1997; Sparks, 2002).

This failing trend in staff development is linked to poor communication among teachers, school personnel, and central office. Superintendents demand change, yet they are not making a commitment to change the school's structure (Raack, 2000; Sparks, 2002). The systems theory belief that change cannot happen in one area without affecting all areas of the organization is not respected (Sparks, 2002). This gap in communication is the leading cause of poorly trained teachers and high attrition rates (Morazzano, 2001).

In the 1940s, there was developing concern to connect both systematic knowledge and school practices to enhance the management of schools (Larson, 2000). As generations have passed, staff development continued to be remotely connected to learning (Hilliard, 1997), involved "sit and get" sessions which were unrelated to teachers instructional needs (Sparks, 2002), and continued to be time-wasting workshops which did not meet the needs of the diverse population of students (Haycock & Robinson, 2001).

To ensure proper training for teachers, professionals in the field of staff development reiterated that an organization's infrastructure should closely align with district and school goals (Sousa, 1992; Sparks, 1997; Sparks & Hirsh, 1997), and the staff developer could work to formulate plans to meet the professional development goals (Sousa, 1992). While these ideas have been discussed for years, today, the unchanging organizational structure found in most schools continues to breed failure in advancing professional development practices (Hilliard, 1997; Raack, 2000; Sparks, 1997; Sparks, 2002). It has been found, however, that when a school district spends more than 1% of its total budget and employs at least a part-time staff development coordinator, school

employees' perceptions of staff development improve, leaving teachers and administrators more accepting of new ideas (McInturf, 1999).

The fact is that teachers truly do not know how to teach effectively to meet standards (Murnane, 1994; Sparks, 2002). Through transformational leadership, collegial team-based environments are supported (Sousa, 1992; Sparks, 1997; Strozniak, 2000) and enriched with collaboration, reflection, and sharing of ideas (Crookall, 1989; Kinder, 2000; Sparks, 2002; Strozniak, 2000), which deepen knowledge (Sparks, 2002) and enhance professionalism (Kinder, 2000). Personnel in districts should enhance the acquisition of new knowledge (Sparks, 1997) by providing a structure deeply rooted in the school's culture (Hilliard, 1997; Sousa, 1992). To bring students to higher levels of achievement, teachers should have a "deep understanding of the content they teach" (United States Department of Education, 2000, p. 1).

Teacher expertise can affect student outcomes (Sparks, 2002). Changes in the school's culture and structure (Sparks, 2002), which lead to a change in the approaches to training, are crucial (Strozniak, 2000). These changes should be made with the systems theory in mind and the belief that change in any one part of the organization will effect all parts (Sparks, 2002). The pyramid authority is no longer effective (Sparks & Hirsch, 1997; Strozniak, 2000) and not only is the organization's development important, but also the individual's development (Sparks & Hirsch, 1997). Teacher performance will make the ultimate difference.

Collaboration is key when enhancing teacher performance (Strozniak, 2000). Creative cooperation promotes an effective school and true leaders will break the normal paradigm to find more effective routes (Covey, 1989). Effective organizational leaders

are teachers, leaders, and learners from which one builds trusting communities that foster transformational learning (Covey, 1989; Knutson, 1999).

Skilled leaders empower their teachers (Bernauer, 2002; Schwahn & Spady, 2001; Southwest Educational Development Laboratory, 2000) to make change (Schwahn & Spady, 2001; Southwest Educational Development Laboratory, 2000) and provide structural support where it is needed (Schwahn & Spady, 2001). Instructional leaders are firm in their vision (Raack, 2000; Schwahn & Spady, 2001) and can get others to accept that vision (Martin, 2001). They see that change creates healthy organizations (Schwahn & Spady, 2001) and can lead others to that same reality and, at the same time, warn that it takes time (Pennington & Bockman, 1992).

When dealing with change in professional development practices (Strozniak, 2000), leaders should empower teachers by turning them from disseminators of knowledge to facilitators (Wills, 1998). Principals play an important role in this transformation (Geraghty, 1997; Sparks, 2002) leaving teachers with a feeling of professionalism (Wills, 1998) and a desire (Geraghty, 1997) to seek further professional development training (Wills, 1998). Bernauer (2002) states that most educational reforms fail when teachers are not empowered to make the changes that directly affect their teaching practices. Once this is allowed, teachers' acceptance will no longer be an issue (Kinder, 2000).

Effective staff development is results-driven and job-embedded (Sparks & Hirsh, 1997). Data should be the driving force of change and is essential before change can be planned or initiated (Sparks, 2002; Tobin, Sugai, & Colvin, 2000). Data collection creates a culture of accountability (Conzemius, 2000), as well as determiners such as

testing data, reading scores (Fisher, 2002), and surveys as a means of assessment, leading teachers and school personnel to areas of need (Pfluger & Hendricks, 1998).

Effective staff development cannot take place without creating a new structure (Darling-Hammond & McLaughlin, 1995; Hawley & Vallie, 2000; Sparks, 2002) that also includes changes in curriculum and pedagogy (Darling-Hammond & McLaughlin, 1995). However, sometimes the organizational structure must be accommodated (Hilliard, 1997; Sousa, 1992). In doing this, respect is given to the existing culture (Sousa, 1992), allowing the focus to be on successful strategy implementation including follow-up, site-based management (Sousa, 1992), collaboration and communication, focused feedback, and reflection (Hilliard, 1997). Successful staff development programs are planned around these strategies.

In planning staff development, school leaders and staff developers must first focus on design principles that are curriculum-centered (Haycock & Robinson, 2001) and standards-based (Hawley & Valli, 2000; United States Department of Education, 2000). Next, a focus on making staff development job-embedded and site-based is important (Hawley & Valli, 2000; Sparks & Hirsh, 1997). A constructivist approach to staff development that is focused on the learning of teachers (Sparks & Hirsh, 1997) offers many opportunities for teachers to engage in leadership roles (United States Department of Education, 2000), letting the veterans renew themselves as mentors (Darling-Hammond & McLaughlin, 1995). Collaboration in problem solving, data-driven decisions (Hawley & Valli, 2000), and active learning opportunities (United States Department of Education, 2000) are “in vogue” with today’s professional development (Sparks & Hirsh, 1997).

Site-based development teams are communities (Geraghty, 1997; Hawley & Valli, 2000; Larson, 2000) whose purpose, according to legislative mandates, is to maintain an ongoing evaluation of success, remain data-driven and study successful school reform objectives (Rodman, 2000). Site-based management teams should constantly evaluate the organization and its needs at all levels (Hawley & Valli, 2000). The regular collaboration of these teams is needed and can easily be linked to curriculum and assessment (Conzemius, 2000). School personnel should be allowed to determine their own staff development needs (Sousa, 1992); this gives way to ownership and the creation of a more positive learning culture (Geraghty, 1997).

Sparks (1997) refers to this approach to staff development as a continuous culture of learning and improvement. This culture must exist to see improvements in both teacher performance and student achievement (Kinder, 2000). If you build the capacity of the teachers (Sparks & Hirsh, 1997) by allowing a structure that empowers them, gives them ownership, and holds them accountable, then necessary change can take place (Wills, 1998). Planning, discussing, and implementing change towards the goal of student achievement (Kinder, 2000) should take place through quality management groups able to solve problems and devise solutions (Murnane, 1994). These teams are needed to build a positive atmosphere and a culture of longevity in learning and improvement (Strozniak, 2000). Sparks (2002) advises to start with few goals and then focus first and foremost on collective study and collaboration, making strong considerations regarding the methods currently used by teachers and the learning styles of the students. With these necessary changes in the approaches towards staff development,



school administrators and personnel will begin to see the successes that teacher development and empowerment bring to the benefit of all stakeholders.

### Statement of the Problem

The long existing, bureaucratic structure found in schools remains to be the focus of needed changes or trends in today's staff development practices. Change in the school's structure and the approaches made towards staff development are crucial in creating a data-driven school (Strozniak, 2000). The once known hierarchal leader has become the instructional leader who empowers and motivates his or her teachers to follow a vision held for the school's future. In addition, the instructional leader is the facilitator who asks site-based teams to determine the professional development needs and strategies to be addressed in enhancing student attendance. This dynamic approach to school improvement equips teachers with the knowledge and skills necessary to successfully implement needed changes in their schools. The leading expert in staff development practices, Dennis Sparks (2002), strongly believes that teacher expertise can affect student outcomes. It is merely a shift in mindset that administrators and teachers must overcome.

### Statement of Purpose

The purpose of this paper was to create a field research project from which emerging data-driven research strategies and effective processes of staff development will provide Strickland Middle School staff members with the knowledge and ability to effect student attendance. To meet the school's achievement goals, site-based

management teams will use data collection to determine the necessary strategies and approaches needed. The campus improvement plan will reflect needed changes in the implementation of future staff development promoting student success.

### Procedures

Historically, staff development has been plagued with sessions to the urgent needs of the school and its problems unrelated (Sparks, 2002). The school principal was the hierarchal leader making all decisions driving student outcomes.

Today, staff development has shifted, turning principals into instructional leaders who empower their teachers with the strategies and knowledge to implement change effectively (Bernauer, 2002; Schwahn & Spady, 2001; Southwest Educational Development Laboratory, 2000). This transformation of leadership has equipped schools with powerful teams of teachers who are now on the cutting edge of change.

Strickland Middle School's campus leadership team designated student attendance as a problem that needed improvement. The purpose of this field research was to identify effective strategies, led by site-based management teams, to enhance student attendance. For the collection of data, a chart for surveying effective instructional leadership practices observed through shadowing was designed (See Appendix A). The shadowing chart reflected the key instructional leadership moments and the decisions made by this leader to drive the change process. The student survey was administered to 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade students who had 6 or more excused and unexcused absences (See Appendix B). The principal of our school assisted the author in the identification of the

target group of students to survey. The student surveys included statements related to the reasons related to their attendance problems.

In addition, a teacher survey including statements related to the teacher's role in improving student attendance was administered at the monthly, mandatory staff meeting (See Appendix D). This forum of teachers resulted in a higher return of surveys.

All findings will determine future data collection from other stakeholders and act as a starting point for implementation. Once strategies are identified, the campus improvement plan will hopefully reflect all the phases of implementation for future staff development promoting success in student attendance.

## Results

There were two visits made to Campus Leadership Team meetings in which the principal was shadowed to identify effective instructional leadership strategies. There were 37 student surveys collected from a target group of students having 6 or more excused or unexcused absences in any one class (See Appendix C). This group represents 3.88% of the entire student body at Strickland Middle School in the second six-week grading period of the year. There were 40 teacher surveys collected from a mandatory staff meeting to identify the teacher's role in improving student attendance.

The shadowing chart was broken down into two meetings (See Appendix A). The first meeting was a 1½ hour meeting involving 11 teachers representing all core and elective departments of the building. The principal exhibited visionary leadership 1 time during that meeting. Examining Instructional leadership approaches, the principal

revealed this quality 2 times. Keeping the forum focused on the objectives at hand, the principal redirected the teachers 2 times. Using collected data as a means of creating a comprehensive plan for overall student success was not exhibited. Open to change was practiced 5 times by the principal. Having an authentic leadership style deeply rooted in the school's organizational beliefs was shown 1 time. Using cultural leadership styles was practiced 1 time. Quality leadership style building continuous improvement was shown 1 time. Creating and using feedback to improve the outcome of the objectives was revealed 6 times.

The second of the two Campus Leadership Team meetings was 50 minutes with 11 teachers in attendance representing all core and elective departments in the building including the principal. As a visionary leader, the principal scored 1 time. Instructional leadership styles were exhibited 0 times. Keeping the forum focused on the objective was shown 3 times. Using collected data as a means of creating a comprehensive plan for overall student success was exhibited 1 time. Open to change was revealed 2 times. Working as an authentic leader, the principal acted in this manner 1 time. The principal showed 0 times as a cultural leader. A quality leader building continuous improvement showed 0 times. Creating and using feedback to improve outcomes, the principal showed 1 time.

Reviewing the student interview responses 29.73% strongly agreed that they were very knowledgeable about Strickland's attendance policy, while 56.73% agreed, 5.41% had no opinion, 2.70% disagreed, and 5.41% strongly disagreed. When asked if they were sick no more than 1 time per six-week period, 13.51% strongly agreed, 13.51% agreed, 24.32% had no opinion, 40.54% disagreed, and 8.10% strongly disagreed. The

numbers of students that missed school due to illness showed 24.32% strongly agreed, 29.73% agreed, 18.91% had no opinion, 21.62% disagreed, and 5.41% strongly disagreed. Students missing school due to doctor's appointments revealed that 16.22% strongly agreed while 29.73% agreed, 5.41% had no opinion, 37.84% disagreed, and 10.81% strongly disagreed. Few students showed to have a serious medical condition that required them to miss school in that 13.51% strongly agreed, 10.81% agreed, 18.92% had no opinion, 18.92% disagreed, and 37.84% strongly disagreed. When asked if the school could help improve student attendance, the distribution showed 10.81% strongly agreed, 21.62% agreed, 32.43% had no opinion, 5.41% disagreed, and 29.73% strongly disagreed. In missing a lot of school, 13.51% strongly agreed, 21.62% agreed, 32.43% had no opinion, 18.92% disagreed, and 13.51% strongly disagreed. The distribution showed that 13.51% strongly agreed, 27.03% agreed, 32.43% had no opinion, 21.62% disagreed, and 5.41% strongly disagreed that they could do better in improving their attendance. Staying home is a last resort; school is priority yielded 37.84% strongly agreed, 29.73% agreed, 10.81% had no opinion, 16.22% disagreed, and 5.41% strongly disagreed. Transportation sometimes being an issue in getting to school showed that 8.10% strongly agreed, 10.81% agreed, 10.81% had no opinion, 32.43% disagreed, and 37.84% strongly disagreed. Having control and improvement over their own attendance problem yielded 13.51% strongly agreed, 27.03% agreed, 35.14% had no opinion, 10.81% disagreed, and 13.51% strongly disagreed. Students making up for lost instruction with tutoring showed that 5.41% strongly agreed, 18.92% agreed, 21.62% had no opinion, 16.22% disagreed, and 37.84% strongly disagreed. Few students choose to miss school with 5.41% agreed, 5.41% had no opinion, 27.03% disagreed, and 62.16%

strongly disagreed. Few students showed to have home life or personal problems keeping them from school in that 8.11% strongly agreed, 8.11% agreed, 27.03% had no opinion, 16.22% disagreed, and 40.54% strongly disagreed.

In reviewing the teacher survey, the majority of the teachers believe that there is an attendance problem and that they do carry an important role in improving student attendance. For teachers who believe they do play a major role in student attendance, the results showed 12.50% strongly agreed, 30.00% agreed, 5.00% had no opinion, 37.50% disagreed, and 15.00% strongly disagreed. Teachers aware of a student attendance problem was revealed when 10.00% agreed, 5.00% had no opinion, 42.50% disagreed, and 42.50% strongly disagreed. If it is the administration's job to take care of student attendance, 5.00% strongly agreed, 27.50% agreed, 15.00% had no opinion, 45.00% disagreed, and 7.50% strongly disagreed. In liking to do more to improve school attendance, 25.00% strongly agreed, 60.00% agreed, and 15.00% had no opinion. Knowing very little about effective ways in improving student attendance, 2.50% strongly agreed, 47.50% agreed, 7.50% had no opinion, 40.00% disagreed, and 2.50% strongly disagreed. Students are absent due to personal problems rather than sickness showed 10.00% strongly agreed, 30.00% agreed, 32.50% had no opinion, and 27.50% disagreed. Teachers interested in working with other teachers/community members/administrators to improve student attendance revealed 12.50% strongly agreed, 50.00% agreed, 20.00% had no opinion, 15.00% disagreed, and 2.50% strongly disagreed. If school climate plays a vital role in improving student attendance, 40.00% of the teachers strongly agreed, 50.00% agreed, 5.00% disagreed, and 5.00% strongly disagreed. For teachers and teams to take the responsibility of charting their students'

attendance problems 2.50% strongly agreed, 40.00% agreed, 22.5% had no opinion, 27.50% disagreed, and 7.50% strongly disagreed. For finding time in the day to work with others to discuss attendance problems, 5.00% strongly agreed, 22.50% agreed, 5.00% had no opinion, 52.50% disagreed, and 15.00% strongly disagreed.

### Conclusions

Upon completion of the final results, the attendance problem at Strickland Middle School was found to be unique in its needs. The results from the student surveys indicated that students missed school for many different reasons, all of which implied the need for various strategies and approaches to correct. To accommodate, the results from the teachers' surveys showed the teachers to have high interest and enthusiasm in improving the attendance of their students (See Appendix E). The principal's transformational leadership approach towards this problem was evident throughout the Campus Leadership Team meetings and will hopefully provide a renewed culture of teacher empowerment throughout his school.

Upon tallying the shadowing chart, it became obvious that the principal was truly a facilitator of action. He exemplified all areas of an effective leader at the appropriate times throughout the course of the meetings. His strongest qualities were keeping the forum of teachers focused on the issues at hand, opening to the ideas of change, and creating and using feedback from the teachers to improve proposed outcomes. His decisions were founded in those of the teachers, a strong leadership quality proving to be successful and in the best interest of the students.

The review of data revealed that students were aware of their excessive absences, but showed a various range of reasons for these absences. Illness, doctor appointments, transportation, and personal problems all contributed to the reasons why these students were missing school. While the data showed illness and doctor's appointments as the most prominent reasons for missing school, the data did not show any one reason greater than another. It was apparent that Strickland Middle School should educate students and their parents on an individual basis. Individualized plans for students addressing their most pressing reason for absence would be the most logical approach to this problem. When asked if the school or themselves could improve their attendance, the majority marked "no opinion". This indicated a lack of knowledge on the students' part as to how the school could help them. Additionally, 32.43% had no opinion when asked if they missed a lot of school. Kids truly did not know the importance of being in school and had not been given strong reasons to believe it was true.

School climate, according to 90.00% of Strickland teachers, played a vital role in improving student attendance. The climate was essential in helping students find meaning in their schoolwork. With overwhelming numbers, Strickland teachers not only found it important to work with others to develop a plan to improve student attendance, but most importantly, saw it as their job--a part of their busy day. The results indicated that teachers were not aware of the most effective strategies in reducing student absences. Nonetheless, 62.00% of teachers want to do something to improve these attendance numbers. Developing a range of action plans designed to accommodate various attendance needs could be a first step in the development of a new attendance plan for the school.



## REFERENCES

- Bernauer, J. (2002). Five keys to unlock continuous school improvement. *Kappa Delta Phi*, 38(2), 89-92.
- Checkley, K. (2003, January). Closing the achievement gap: It's everybody's job. *ASCD Education Update*, 45(1), p.1.
- Conzemius, A. (2000). Framework. *Journal of Staff Development*, 21(1), 38-41.
- Covey, S. (1989). *The seven habits of highly effective people: Powerful lessons in personal change*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Crookall, P.S. (1989). Leadership in prison industry (Doctoral dissertation, University of Western Ontario (Canada), 1997). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 58(11A), 4138.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & McLaughlin, M. (1995). Policies that support professional development in an era of reform. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 76, 597-604.
- Fisher, D. (2002). Before accountability, teach the teachers to teach the kids. *Educational Digest*, 67(7), 46-52.
- Geraghty, E.T. (1997). Site-based decision-making in the realm of middle school reform (Doctoral dissertation, University of Arizona, 1997). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 58(11A), 4138.
- Geringer, J. (2003). Reflections on professional development: Toward high-quality teaching and learning. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 84(5), 373-375, 380.
- Hawley, W.D., & Valli, L. (2000). Learner-centered professional development. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 27, 7-10.
- Haycock, K., & Robinson, S. (2001). Time-wasting workshops? *Journal of Staff Development*, 22(2), 16-18.
- Hilliard, A., III. (1997). The structure of valid staff development. *Journal of Staff Development*, 18(2) 28-34.
- Kinder, A. (2000). *Common goals & promising practices. Professional Development & Student Achievement* (Report No. EA-030-809). Oakbrook, IL: North Central Regional Educational Lab. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED448535)

- Knutson, K.A. (1999). An investigation of relationships between school culture and leadership social interest (transformational learning) (Doctoral dissertation, Florida Atlantic University, 1999). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 60(06A), 1850.
- Larson, C.L. (2000). Creating scholarly practice through communities of inquiry. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 3(3), 307-314.
- Martin, J.S. (2001). Job satisfaction, customer focus, and work-related stress of teachers in seventh-day adventist schools (Doctoral dissertation, Wayne State University, 2001). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 62(12A), 4014.
- McInturf, R.A. (1999). Staff development programs in public elementary schools in northeast Tennessee (Doctoral dissertation, East Tennessee State University, 1999). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 60(11A), 3860.
- Morazzano, L.L. (2001). The effects of mentor programs on novice teacher attrition rates in Texas public schools (Doctoral dissertation, University of Texas at Arlington, 2001). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 62(02A), 980.
- Murnane, R.J. (1994). Will school finance reform improve education for disadvantaged children? Research issues. *Educational Policy*, 8(4), 535.
- Neese, P. (2001). Elementary teacher induction programs: A policy study of Texas schools (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A & M University, 2001). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 62(02A), 466.
- Pennington, R., & Bockman, M. (1992). *On my honor I will: How one simple oath can lead you to success in business*. New York: Warner Books.
- Pflugger, L., & Hendricks, J. (1998). *Including rural districts in inclusive staff development* (Report No. RC-021-461). (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED417907)
- Raack, L. (2000). *Perspectives on managing staff development. Professional development & student achievement* (Report No. EA-030-809). Oakbrook, IL: North Central Regional Educational Lab. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED448535)
- Rodman, G.J. (2000). The impact of site-based decision-making on staff development as perceived by district improvement committee members in Texas school districts within selected education service centers (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A & M University, 2000). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 61(04A), 1246.

Schwahn, C.J., & Spady, W.G. (2001). *Total leaders: Applying the best future-focused change strategies to education*. Lanham, MD: The Scarecrow Press.

Sousa, D.A. (1992). Ten questions for rating your staff development program. *Journal of Staff Development*, 13(2), 34-36.

Southwest Educational Development Laboratory. (2000). Using reflection to promote instructional coherence. Retrieved September 5, 2002, from <http://www.sedl.org/pubs/catalog/items/1001.html>

Sparks, D. (2002). *Designing powerful professional development for teachers and principals*. Oxford, OH: National Staff Development Council.

Sparks, D., & Hirsh, S. (1997). *A new vision for staff development*. Oxford, OH: National Staff Development Council.

Sparks, D. (1997). Indicators of effective staff development. Paper based on the National Staff Development Council standards for effective staff development (1995) presented at the NSSE Design Team Conference, Orlando, FL.

Strozniak, P. (2000). Teams at work. *Industry Week*, 249(15), 47-50.

Tobin, T., Sugai, G., & Colvin, G. (2000). Using discipline referrals to make decisions. *NASSP Bulletin*, 84(616), 106-117.

United States Department of Education. (2000). *Does professional development change teaching practice? Results from a three-year study*. Washington, DC: Education Publications Center.

Wills, E.W. (1998). A study of teacher change and its meaning (staff development, learning communities) (Doctoral dissertation, Florida Atlantic University, 1998). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 59(09A), 3316.

**APPENDICES**

## (Appendix A)

## Effective Educational Leadership Practices

**Visionary Leader...maintains a culture of innovation, cooperation, success, and sustained organizational health.**

\_\_\_\_ Meeting One                      Number of times \_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_ Meeting Two                      Number of times \_\_\_\_

**Instructional leader...empowers those around him making all responsible and accountable for change.**

\_\_\_\_ Meeting One                      Number of times \_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_ Meeting Two                      Number of times \_\_\_\_

**Keeps the forum focused on the objective at hand.**

\_\_\_\_ Meeting One                      Number of times \_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_ Meeting Two                      Number of times \_\_\_\_

**Uses collected data as a means of creating a comprehensive plan for overall student success.**

\_\_\_\_ Meeting One                      Number of times \_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_ Meeting Two                      Number of times \_\_\_\_

**Open to change**

\_\_\_\_ Meeting One                      Number of times \_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_ Meeting Two                      Number of times \_\_\_\_

**Authentic leader...deep reflection rooted in school's organizational beliefs. Purpose-driven improvement focused on the deeply rooted belief system of the school's culture.**

\_\_\_\_ Meeting One                      Number of times \_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_ Meeting Two                      Number of times \_\_\_\_

**Cultural leader...reach out and engage all employees and constituents that highlight the organization's purpose and vision.**

\_\_\_\_ Meeting One                      Number of times \_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_ Meeting Two                      Number of times \_\_\_\_

**Quality leader...Builds continuous improvement. Empowers everyone, improves standards and results.**

\_\_\_\_ Meeting One                      Number of times \_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_ Meeting Two                      Number of times \_\_\_\_

**Creates and uses feedback to improve outcome of objectives.**

\_\_\_\_ Meeting One                      Number of times \_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_ Meeting Two                      Number of times \_\_\_\_

## (Appendix B)

## STUDENT SURVEY

The following survey is for a field research project that I am doing at TWU. The data from these surveys will be used by the Campus Leadership Team in designing a strategy to help improve Strickland Middle School's student attendance. Thank you for your feedback.

**Please check the box that BEST corresponds with your opinion or attitude regarding the statement.**

**Strongly Agree = SA**

**Agree = A**

**No Opinion = NO**

**Disagree = D**

**Strongly Disagree = SD**

**SA A NO D SD**

- | SA                       | A                        | NO                       | D                        | SD                       |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. I am very knowledgeable about Strickland's attendance policy.                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 2. I am sick no more than 1 time per/six-week period. (6X/year)                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. I only miss school due to illness.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. I only miss school because of doctor's appointments.                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 5. I have a serious medical condition that requires me to miss school frequently.      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 6. There are things the school can do to better my attendance.                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 7. I do not miss a lot of school.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 8. There are things I can do to better my attendance in school.                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 9. Staying home is the last resort, always. School is priority.                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 10. Transportation is sometimes an issue in getting me to school.                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 11. I have control over my attendance and could improve it.                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 12. I always attend tutoring before/after school to make-up for lost instruction.      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 13. I choose to miss school at every available opportunity.                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 14. My home life or personal problems prevent me from meeting attendance requirements. |

## (Appendix C)

## STUDENT SURVEY

The following survey is for a field research project that I am doing at TWU. The data from these surveys will be used by the Campus Leadership Team in designing a strategy to help improve Strickland Middle School's student attendance. Thank you for your feedback.

Please check the box that BEST corresponds with your opinion or attitude regarding the statement.

Strongly Agree = SA

Agree = A

No Opinion = NO

Disagree = D

Strongly Disagree = SD

1. I am very knowledgeable about Strickland's attendance policy.	29.73%	56.76%	5.41%	2.70%	5.41%
2. I am sick no more than 1 time per/six-week period. (6X/year).	13.51%	13.51%	24.32%	40.54%	8.10%
3. I only miss school due to illness.	24.32%	29.73%	18.91%	21.62%	5.41%
4. I only miss school because of doctor's appointments.	16.22%	29.73%	5.41%	37.84%	10.81%
5. I have a serious medical condition that requires me to miss school frequently.	13.51%	10.81%	18.92%	18.92%	37.84%
6. There are things the school can do to better my attendance.	10.81%	21.62%	32.43%	5.41%	29.73%
7. I do not miss a lot of school.	13.51%	21.62%	32.43%	18.92%	13.51%
8. There are things I can do to better my attendance in school.	13.51%	27.03%	32.43%	21.62%	5.41%
9. Staying home is the last resort, always. School is priority.	37.84%	29.73%	10.81%	16.22%	5.41%
10. Transportation is sometimes an issue in getting me to school.	8.10%	10.81%	10.81%	32.43%	37.84%
11. I have control over my attendance and could improve it.	13.51%	27.03%	35.14%	10.81%	13.51%
12. I always attend tutoring before/after school to make-up for lost instruction.	5.41%	18.92%	21.62%	16.22%	37.84%
13. I choose to miss school at every available opportunity.	0.00%	5.41%	5.41%	27.03%	62.16%
14. My home life or personal problems prevent me from meeting attendance requirements.	8.11%	8.11%	27.03%	16.22%	40.54%

**(Appendix D)****TEACHER SURVEY: STUDENT ABSENTEEISM**

The following survey is for a field research project that I am doing at TWU. The data from these surveys will be used by the Campus Leadership Team in designing a strategy to help improve Strickland Middle School's student attendance. Thank you for your feedback.

**Please answer the following questions.**

1. Do you teach a core subject or an elective? What do you teach?  
\_\_\_\_\_
2. How many years have you been a teacher?  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Put an X in the square that BEST supports your answer.**

**Strongly Agree = SA**

**Agree = A**

**No Opinion = NO**

**Disagree = D**

**Strongly Disagree = SD**

**SA A NO D SD**

- |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. I do not play a major role in student attendance problems.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 2. I am not aware of a student attendance problem.   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. It is the administration's job to take care of attendance problems.   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. I would like to do more to improve our school's attendance problems.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 5. I know very little about effective ways in improving student attendance.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 6. Many of my students are absent more due to personal problems rather than sickness.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 7. I would be interested in working with other teachers/ community members/administration to improve student attendance.                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 8. School climate plays a vital role in improving student attendance.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 9. It is the teacher's/team's responsibility in charting their students' attendance patterns and formulating a plan to improve student attendance. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 10. There is no time in my day to work with others to discuss attendance problems.   |



## (Appendix E)

**TEACHER SURVEY: STUDENT ABSENTEEISM**

The following survey is for a field research project that I am doing at TWU. The data from these surveys will be used by the Campus Leadership Team in designing a strategy to help improve Strickland Middle School's student attendance. Thank you for your feedback.

Please answer the following questions.

1. Do you teach a core subject or an elective? What do you teach?  
\_\_\_\_\_
2. How many years have you been a teacher?  
\_\_\_\_\_

Please check the box that **BEST** corresponds with your opinion or attitude regarding the statement.

**Strongly Agree = SA**

**Agree = A**

**No Opinion = NO**

**Disagree = D**

**Strongly Disagree = SD**

- |  |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <b>1. I do not play a major role in student attendance problems.</b>   | 12.50% | 30.00% | 5.00%  | 37.50% | 15.00% |
| <b>2. I am not aware of a student attendance problem.</b>  | 0.00%  | 10.00% | 5.00%  | 42.50% | 42.50% |
| <b>3. It is the administration's job to take care of attendance problems.</b>  | 5.00%  | 27.50% | 15.00% | 45.00% | 7.50%  |
| <b>4. I would like to do more to improve our school's attendance problems.</b>   | 25.00% | 60.00% | 15.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%  |
| <b>5. I know very little about effective ways in improving student attendance.</b>   | 2.50%  | 47.50% | 7.50%  | 40.00% | 2.50%  |
| <b>6. Many of my students are absent more due to personal problems rather than sickness.</b>                                   | 10.00% | 30.00% | 32.50% | 27.50% | 0.00%  |
| <b>7. I would be interested in working with other teachers/community members/administrators to improve student attendance.</b> | 12.50% | 50.00% | 20.00% | 15.00% | 2.50%  |
| <b>8. School climate plays a vital role in improving student attendance.</b>   | 40.00% | 50.00% | 0.00%  | 5.00%  | 5.00%  |
| <b>9. It is the teacher's/team's responsibility in charting their students' attendance problems.</b>                           | 2.50%  | 40.00% | 22.50% | 27.50% | 7.50%  |
| <b>10. There is no time in my day to work with other s to discuss attendance problems.</b>                                     | 5.00%  | 22.50% | 5.00%  | 52.50% | 15.00% |



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>DATA-DRIVEN RESEARCH AND SITE-BASED MANAGEMENT</i>	
Author(s): <i>Deanna Beauchamp and PJ Karr-Kidwell (please use both authors—thanks!)</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>Texas Woman's University</i>	Publication Date: <i>2/25/03 +</i>

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Note: Please forward any notifications to my attention/address since our students have already graduated and often pursued other jobs. I'll contact the first author with updates from the Clearinghouse and ERIC. Thank you!

Sincerely,  
*PJ Karr-Kidwell*  
PJ Karr-Kidwell, Ph.D.  
Professor, College of Professional Education  
Educational Administration, Box 425769  
Texas Woman's University  
Denton, TX 76204-5769 (940) 898-2246

5

OF THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1  
1

Level 2B  
1

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, → please

Signature: <i>Deanna Beauchamp</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>PJ KARR-KIDWELL, Professor</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Texas Woman's Univ., College of Professional Edu., Ed-Admin, Box 425769, Denton, TX 76204</i>	Telephone: <i>(940) 898-2246</i>	FAX: <i>(940) 898-2209</i>
	E-Mail Address:	Date: <i>2/25/03</i>

5764

(over)



### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
1100 West Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080  
Toll Free: 800-799-3742  
FAX: 301-953-0263  
e-mail: [ericfac@inet.ed.gov](mailto:ericfac@inet.ed.gov)  
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>

EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97)