

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 470 052

HE 035 443

TITLE Changing Conceptions of Teaching in UK HE: Some Implications for DNER Projects. EDNER (Formative Evaluation of the Distributed National Electronic Resource) Project. Issues Paper.

INSTITUTION Manchester Metropolitan Univ. (England).

REPORT NO EDNER-3

PUB DATE 2002-00-00

NOTE 7p.; EDNER is being undertaken by the Centre for Research in Library and Information Management (CERLIM) at the Manchester Metropolitan University with the Centre for Studies in Advanced Learning Technology (CSALT) at Lancaster University. For other issues papers in this series, see HE 035 441-447.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *College Faculty; *Distance Education; Foreign Countries; *Higher Education; Instructional Materials; *Learning; Multimedia Materials; Research Utilization; *Teaching Methods

IDENTIFIERS United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

This issues paper, the third in a series of eight, is intended to distill formative evaluation questions on topics that are central to the development of the higher and further education information environment in the United Kingdom. The sets of ideas about "good" learning in issues papers 1 and 2 are no longer the private preserve of psychologists and educational theorists, but are beginning to enter into the thinking and conversation of teachers in higher education. The practice and discourse of learning and teaching in higher education is beginning to shift toward a more student-centered model in which the learner's cognitive activity (what the learner does) is acknowledged to be more important than teachers' historic preoccupations about syllabus coverage. The shift from teaching as the transmission of knowledge to teaching as the facilitation of learning has implications for the role of the teacher and for the creator of instructional resources. The focus can no longer be on creating learning resources without regard to their intended contexts. (Contains 11 references.) (SLD)

Changing Conceptions of Teaching in UK HE: Some Implications for DNER Projects

EDNER Project IssuesPaper 3

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Griffiths

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

2

Changing conceptions of teaching in UK HE: some implications for DNER projects

EDNER
(Formative Evaluation of the Distributed National Electronic Resource)
Project
Issues Paper 3

Changing conceptions of teaching

The sets of ideas about 'good learning' sketched in Issues papers 1 and 2 can no longer be regarded as the private preserve of psychologists and educational theorists. They are beginning to figure in the talk, thinking and practice of teachers in higher education. Without straining credulity, it is reasonable to argue that the practice and discourse of learning and teaching in higher education is shifting towards a more student-centered model, in which the learner's cognitive activity (what the learner does) is acknowledged to be much more important than teachers' historic pre-occupations about syllabus coverage (Gibbs, 1996; Hartley, 1998; Biggs, 1999; Prosser & Trigwell, 1999).

Educational technology development projects need to take this into account – especially if they have a three to five year lead-time between conceptualisation and serious roll-out. Designing for the past is not a defensible option.

The shift towards student-centered learning

Empirical research into higher education lecturers' conceptions of, and approaches to, teaching is becoming a very active research area. There is still too little broad-based quantitative data to generalise about the scale and speed of a shift towards more student-centered views and methods (Hativa & Goodyear, 2002). However, some of the smaller-scale qualitative research which has been published allows us to get a sense of some of the key differences which can be found within teachers' shifting conceptions of teaching. Kember & Kwan (2000), for example, identify two main conceptions of teaching, each of which consists of two subsidiary conceptions. These are summarised in table 1 below.

Some of the implications of the analysis summarised in table 1, for some DNER L&T projects, are as follows:

- projects may run the risk of mixing some of the assumptions underpinning the first and fourth conceptions (in the right-hand column of the table). That is, they work to an image of a student seen as both a consumer of information and an autonomous learner. Projects may be silent (agnostic?) about what (cognitively) is to be done with the information and may have a rather weak sense of what is involved in intellectual autonomy (as opposed to just a freedom to browse).
- projects may be silent (agnostic?) about the role of the teacher; teachers may be important influencers, gatekeepers or intermediaries; if so, their conceptions of learning and teaching will be important in affecting how their students make use of DNER. Lack of a clear image of how technology, teacher and learner will fit together may well reduce uptake.

Teaching as transmission of knowledge	<i>Teachers holding this conception tend to see teaching as a teacher-centered activity; the main aim being to transmit knowledge to students, who are considered as passive recipients of information</i>	Teaching as passing information	<i>Teaching is merely passing information to students; emphasis on syllabus coverage or meeting exam requirements, without much concern for students' understanding</i>
		Teaching as making it easier for students to understand	<i>Teaching is still conceived of as the transmission of knowledge but now with a concern for students' understanding; emphasis on structuring knowledge & organising teaching to help students understand, remember and apply</i>
Teaching as the facilitation of learning	<i>Teachers holding this conception tend to see teaching as student-centered; the main aim being to facilitate their learning</i>	Teaching as meeting students' learning needs	<i>The emphasis here shifts to the variety of students and the diversity of their learning needs; teaching is informed by a sense of responsibility about meeting these various needs</i>
		Teaching as helping students become independent learners	<i>The focus here is on the growth of the individual, rather than on specific knowledge and skills. Teaching is seen as a process of helping learners develop intellectually and become autonomous lifelong learners</i>

Table 1: Conceptions of teaching (adapted from Kember & Kwan, 2000)

A broader paradigm shift in teaching and educational design

Table 2 broadens the scope of the change a little further.

From	To
Information transmission	Design of learning tasks and environments
'Teacher' directed	Learner-managed learning
Subject-centered	Learner-centered design & development
Individualistic learning	Learning communities
Inert knowledge	Usable knowledge
Atomistic, technology-focused approaches	Holistic/systemic approaches

Table 2: The broader paradigm shift in higher education

Taking each of the rows in table 2 in turn:

The shift from teaching as the transmission of knowledge to teaching as the facilitation of learning has implications for the role of the teacher. While lecturing may remain important, it loses ground relative to the design of learning tasks and learning environments as a focus of the teacher's concern. Teachers spend proportionately more time designing useful learning tasks and identifying and improving access to good learning resources.

This shift in role parallels a shift in the acknowledged locus of control over student learning. While teachers continue to occupy a powerful position, through making judgements about what counts as worthwhile knowledge and through grading students' work, students are gaining power in a number of ways. As consumers in a buyer's market, they can exert financial pressure on institutions, departments and courses which do not appear to be offering them what they want or need. As emerging 'autonomous learners' they take greater control of the local details of their learning activity.

Partly as a response to relative increases in the power of the learner and partly due to various factors which are weakening the grip of traditional university disciplines, teaching and educational design are shifting away from a content or subject-centered philosophy (however implicit) and towards a more explicit centering upon the needs of learners. This trend is given greater momentum by acknowledgement of the increasing diversity of students in UK HE, including acknowledgement that the pedagogies which may have served in an elite system are no longer satisfactory in a mass system of HE.

UK HE teaching has long reflected notions of the learner as lone scholar and the learner as a novice member of an academic community. Both images hold some truth and both have influenced the practice and discourse of teaching and learning. They are interpreted in different ways, from time to time and place to place, and they do shift in their influence and status. Among recent manifestations of these two images, one can recognise both the idea of the student as unfettered consumer in a global supermarket of educational produce and the idea of learning as essentially social – as collaboration within a wired and/or walled community. The learner as powerful individualistic consumer is the more dominant image in national and EU policy documents. The learner as active member of a vibrant learning community is

the more dominant (if optimistic) image in recent writing by educational technologists.

The shift from inert to usable knowledge is contestable, of course. No-one will own up to teaching inert knowledge, but we all hear echoes of A.N. Whitehead's phrase about this being the greatest problem besetting university education. More to the point, we can see the benefits of better accounts of what makes knowledge useful and usable - we have a better understanding of how isolated fragments of decontextualised academic knowledge can give way to the forms of personal practical knowledge - 'working knowledge' - that allow someone to act and make a difference (Harvey & Knight, 1996; Sgouropoulou et al, 2000; Goodyear, 2000a & b).

Finally, Table 2 shows a shift from educational design practices that limit themselves to piecemeal innovation around specific technologies towards more holistic and systemic approaches. The focus can no longer be upon creating learning resources without regard to their intended contexts of use - the vagaries of implementation, 'roll-out', 'take up', institutionalisation and transfer (etc) are now better understood and seen for what they are - the hard and important parts of the problem of educational change, not some awkward residual details (Alexander & McKenzie, 1998).

References and further reading

- Alexander, S & McKenzie, J (1998) *An evaluation of information technology projects for university learning*. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service.
- Biggs, J. (1999). *Teaching for quality learning at university: what the student does*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Gibbs, G. (Ed.). (1996). *Improving student learning: using research to improve student learning*. Oxford: Oxford Centre for Staff Development.
- Goodyear, P. (2000a). eLearning, Knowledge Work and Working Knowledge. *Proceedings of IST2000 on eLearning Futures*, Nice, France.
- Goodyear, P. (2000b). Environments for lifelong learning: ergonomics, architecture and educational design. In J. M. Spector & T. Anderson (Eds.), *Integrated and Holistic Perspectives on Learning, Instruction & Technology: Understanding Complexity* (pp. 1-18). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers
- Hartley, J. (1998). *Learning and studying: a research perspective*. London: Routledge.
- Harvey, L., & Knight, P. (1996). *Transforming higher education*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Hativa, N., & Goodyear, P. (Eds.). (2002). *Teacher Thinking, Beliefs and Knowledge in Higher Education*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Kember, D., & Kwan, K.-P. (2000). Lecturers' approaches to teaching and their relationship to conceptions of good teaching. *Instructional Science*, 28(5-6), 469-490.
- Prosser, M., & Trigwell, K. (1999). *Understanding learning and teaching: the experience in higher education*. Buckingham: SRHE/Open University Press.
- Sgouropoulou, C., Koutoumanos, T., Goodyear, P., & Skordalakis, E. (2000). Acquiring working knowledge through asynchronous multimedia conferencing. *Educational Technology & Society*, 3(3).

EDNER Key Issues papers are intended to distil formative evaluation questions on topics which are central to the development of the UK's higher and further education Information Environment. They are presented as short check-lists of key questions and are addressed to developers and practitioners. Feedback to the EDNER team is welcomed.

Please address enquiries and comments to the EDNER Project Team at
cerlim@mmu.ac.uk

EDNER is being undertaken by CERLIM at the Manchester Metropolitan University with CSALT at Lancaster University



*U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)*



NOTICE

Reproduction Basis

X

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.

This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").