This study addresses the factors of Graduate Record Examination scores (GRE), race, gender, and undergraduate grade point average (GPA) as predictors of principal certification examination success at a large urban university. The university has three programs that lead to a masters degree and principal certification. The regular program consists of students not in a cohort who complete programs on individual time tables. Another program, Scholars of Practice, consists of a cohort of students who retain their positions as teachers but are assisted by their school districts in containing supported internship experience over 18 months. The third program, Educational Leadership, consists of students selected by their districts to serve as paid administrative interns for a year. Whether there were significant differences in state principal examination scores for these groups and for the study variables was investigated for approximately 335 students in all 3 programs. Results show no significant relationships among the variables of GRE, GPA, sex, race, and ethnicity in the Scholars of Practice cohort. GRE is the only significant predictor in the regular program. However, in the field-based Educational Leadership program, all factors except undergraduate GPA were predictors of certification examination results. In addition, sex was more significant in this program than in the other two. Findings have implications for the development of principal certification preparation programs. (Contains 19 references.) (SLD)
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There is an increasing shortage of certified school administrators across the nation (Potter, 2001; Fenwick & Pierce, 2001; Erlandson, 2000; Steinberg, 2000; Richardson, 1999; Million, 1998; “Study Warns,” 1998). Concurrently, many states are implementing difficult certification/licensure examinations. (Texas Administrative Code; Accountability System for Educator Preparation 19§241.01a, 1999). This is particularly true in Texas where the Examination for the Certification of Educators in Texas (ExCET) is required for anyone seeking initial or additional certifications in any field (TEC, sub.D: chap. 21.048a, TAC, subchap. M., ASEP 19§230.413, 1999). It is paradoxical that while there is an increasing need for more certified administrators, the tests are scaled to become increasingly difficult. Universities are under pressure to produce graduates that pass in totality as well as by race and gender subgroups (TAC, ASEP 19§229.3 a,1,A, 1999; TAC, ASEP 19§229.3 e,2,B, 1999).

This study addresses the factors of GRE, race, gender, and undergraduate GPA as predictors of certification examination success at a large urban university. The university has three programs that lead to a masters degree and principal certification. The Regular program consists of students who are not in a cohort. They complete targeted degree plans on individual timelines. The other two programs consist of cohorts of students who progress through concurrent coursework and internships. Students retain their positions as teachers, but are assisted by their district in obtaining over 1000 hours of supported internship experience within an 18-month period. The third program, Educational Leadership, has been in existence for five years. These students are selected by their respective public, private, or charter districts to serve as paid administrative interns for one year.
Objectives or Purposes of the Study

1. Are there significant differences between state principal certification examination scores among students in the Regular, Scholars of Practice, or Educational Leadership programs?

2. Are the variables of race, gender, GRE, or undergraduate GPA predictors of state principal certification examination scores?

Limitations of the study include:

- The lengths of the internships and degree of district support are not factored.
- Whereas scores are studied over a five-year period for the Regular and Educational Leadership programs, the Scholars of Practice cohort has data for only one year. Further, there was only 1 minority student and 2 males in the first cohort.

Perspective(s) or Theoretical Framework

Although there is considerable longitudinal discussion about the validity of the GRE as a predictor of educational administration graduate school success (Lindle & Rinehart, 1998; Wendel, 1991; Nagi, 1975) there have been no known studies of its' use on state licensure/certification examination passing rates for school administrators. The issues of gender, race, and undergraduate GPA further complicate the issue. House's (1998, 1997) studies of GRE and gender found that while the GRE generally was predictive of graduate performance, in a number of cases it under predicted the achievement of female students and over predicted the achievement of males. The work of Lindle & Rinehart (1998) found GRE analytic scores should be given more weight in educational administration admissions decisions. Nearly 30 years ago Nagi (1975) began looking at the validity of the GRE and the Miller Analogies Test (MAT) as predictors of completion of the doctoral program in educational administration at the State University of New York at Albany. Comparisons between the MAT and GRE with educational administration graduate school performance have continued as Wendel (1991) correlated these
with measurements obtained through authentic assessment by the Assessment Center Project of the National Association of Secondary School Principals. This study is necessary to provide equitable admissions, instructional, and support services and to determine if a statistically significant correlation exists between any of these factors.

**Methods, Techniques, or Modes of Inquiry**

From 1996-2001 over 337 students completed administrative certification requirements at this large urban university.

- An ANOVA with Scheffe's multiple comparisons test was performed to compare the mean examination scores of students per program.
- A computer generated multiple regression analysis using SAS® software was utilized to determine if there was a significant relationship between the GRE, race, gender, and undergraduate GPA in predicting certification examination scores of students per program.
- Ethnic subgroups (Black, Hispanic, Asian, Indian) were combined to make them statistically more robust. The subsequent minority N was 60.

**Data Sources or Evidence**

Students were disaggregated per program for race, gender, GRE scores, and undergraduate GPA. Demographic data, GRE scores, and undergraduate GPA were obtained from Graduate College records. Examination results were supplied by the State Board for Educator Certification. Scores were disaggregated based on all factors.

**Objective 1 Methodology and Results**

The mean for each group was computed and compared.
Analysis of Variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>981.23552</td>
<td>490.61776</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>20606.71686</td>
<td>61.88203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>21587.95238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-Square: 0.045453
Coeff Var: 9.730336
Root MSE: 7.866513
ExCET Mean: 80.84524

H₀ = No differences among the population means.
H₁ = at least two means differ.

**Conclusion:** Reject H₀. The p-value is 0.0004 which indicates there is evidence to infer that at least two means differ.

To determine which of the population means differ, the Scheffe’s multiple comparisons test was utilized with the following results.

**Comparison of Mean ExCET Scores Between Pairs of Groups.**

Scheffe’s Test for ExCET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alpha</th>
<th>0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Error Degrees of Freedom</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Mean Square</td>
<td>61.88203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Value of F</td>
<td>3.02284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Objective 1 Conclusions

As indicated by the ***, there are differences in the ExCET mean scores between:

- The Scholars of Practice and Educational Leadership cohorts
- The Scholars of Practice and Regular program
- There are no differences in the ExCET mean scores between the Educational Leadership cohort and the Regular program.

### Objective 2 Methodology and Results

**Regular Group/Program**

#### Analysis of Variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1818.33565</td>
<td>454.58391</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>&lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>4851.25490</td>
<td>39.76438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>6669.59055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A regression model was fit with ExCET scores as the dependent variable. The independent variables were sex, race, GPA, and GRE scores. Indicator variables are:

**Sex**  
Female = 1, Male = 0

**Ethnicity**  
White = 1, Non-white = 0

Therefore, the regression equation to predict future ExCET examination scores is:

\[
\text{ExCET} = 50.33 + 0.42 \times \text{SEX} + 1.43 \times \text{ETHNIC} + 1.34 \times \text{GPA} + 0.03 \times \text{GRE}
\]

**Results indicate the following conclusions for the Regular group/program**

- The F-test in the ANOVA table (p-value) shows at least some of the parameters are non-zero. Therefore, there is a linear relationship between the independent variables on the right side and the dependent variable on the left side of the model.

- Only the GRE variable is significant at the 0.05 level in the prediction equation. Subsequently, when everything else is held constant ExCET scores will increase by 0.03 units for each additional GRE point.

**Scholars of Practice Cohort**

**Analysis of Variance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35.71148</td>
<td>8.92787</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.6638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>190.78852</td>
<td>14.67604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>226.50000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{Root MSE} = 3.83093  
\text{R-Square} = 0.1577
\]

\[
\text{Dep Mean} = 87.16667  
\text{Adj R-Sq} = -0.1015
\]
Results indicate the following conclusions for the Scholars of Practice cohort:

- Because the p-value is > .05, there is no linear relationship between ExCET scores and sex, ethnicity, GPA and GRE.
- None of the variables are significant at the 0.05 level according to the regression analysis, therefore a prediction equation will not be useful to predict future ExCET examination scores.
- None of the variables are predictors of the ExCET examination scores.

Educational Leadership UTA Cohort

Analysis of Variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3231.56504</td>
<td>807.89126</td>
<td>20.22</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3635.17454</td>
<td>39.94697</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>6866.73958</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Root MSE 6.32036  R-Square 0.4706
Dep Mean 81.38542  Adj R-Sq 0.4473
Coeff Var 7.76596

A regression model was fit with ExCET scores as the dependent variable. The independent variables were sex, ethnic, GPA and GRE scores. Indicator variables are:

Sex  Female = 1, Male = 0
Ethnicity  White = 1, Non-white = 0

The subsequent regression equation to predict future ExCET examination scores is:

ExCET = 47.81 + 5.06SEX + 3.55ETHNIC + 2.63GPA + 0.02GRE
Results indicate the following conclusions for Educational Leadership students:

- There is a linear relationship between ExCET scores with sex, ethnicity, GPA and GRE.
- If everything else is held constant:
  - A female candidate will score 5.1 units higher on the ExCET than a male candidate
  - A male candidate will score 5.1 units lower on the ExCET than a female candidate
  - A student who is not white will score 3.6 units lower on the ExCET compared to a white student
  - The ExCET score will increase by 0.02 units for each additional GRE point.
- Although GPA is not significant in the model, it is close.
- The adjusted coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 45% shows that 45% of the variations in ExCET scores are explained by the model.
- All variables in the prediction equation were significant at the 0.05 level except GPA.

Conclusions for Objective 2

- GRE is a predictor of ExCET scores in the Regular program.
- There are no variables that are significant predictors of ExCET scores in the Scholars of Practice program.
- All factors except GPA are predictors of ExCET scores in the Educational Leadership program yet GPA is close.

Conclusions of the Study

- There are significant differences between the Scholars of Practice and Educational Leadership cohorts in mean examination scores. The Scholars of Practice cohort scored higher than the Educational Leadership cohort.
There are significant differences between the Scholars of Practice and Regular program examination mean scores. The Scholars of Practice students scored higher than the Regular students.

There were no statistical differences between the Educational Leadership and Regular students in their examination mean scores.

GRE is a predictor of ExCET scores in the Regular program.

There are no variables that are significant predictors of ExCET scores in the Scholars of Practice program.

All factors except GPA are predictors of ExCET scores in the Educational Leadership program yet GPA is close.

**Educational or Scientific Importance of the Study**

This research is important because it studies students within the same university who participate in three different preparation programs, but who are measured by the same certification examination. Results of this study indicate there were no significant relationships between the variables of GRE, GPA, sex, and ethnicity in the Scholars of Practice cohort and that GRE is the only significant predictor in the Regular program. However, in the year-long field based Educational Leadership program, all factors except undergraduate GPA were predictors of certification examination results. Further, sex was more significant in this program than the other two. Further research is indicated to determine causes of the discrepancy of predictors between programs as well as to study the effects of the lengths of time spent and amount of district support provided in the internships if other variables are held constant. A limitation of the study was listed as the small number of minority and male students within the Scholars of Practice cohort that could result in skewed results for that program.

This research is particularly significant as:
• The Scholars of Practice program grows and develops,

• Further in-depth analysis towards specific program effectiveness is investigated,

• Strategies to continue to enhance student performance are developed, implemented, and assessed, and

• Universities and alternative preparation programs strive to develop well-prepared and diversified school administrators for the increasing national shortage and the multi-faceted needs of a changing society.
References


Steinberg, J. (2000, September 3). Shortage of principals growing in U. S. Schools. Fort Worth Star-Telegram, p. 11A.


Texas Administrative Code. Accountability System for Educator Preparation 19§229.3 a,1,A (Vernon 1999).


Texas Administrative Code. Accountability System for Educator Preparation 19§229.3 e,3 (Vernon 1999).


I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: A Subgroup Analysis of Predictors to Certification Examination Success in Differing Principal Preparation Programs

Author(s): Wilmore, Elaine L.

Corporate Source: University of Texas at Arlington

Publication Date: AERA 2002

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

- Level 1: PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- Level 2A: PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROTHEREFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- Level 2B: PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: Elaine L. Wilmore

Organization/Address: The University of Texas at Arlington

P.O. Box 19211

Phone: 817-252-2530

Fax: 817-252-2530

E-Mail Address: wilmorea@uta.edu

Date: 3-25-02

Printed Name/Position/Title: Elaine L. Wilmore, PhD

Assistant Professor

(over)
III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:

Address:

Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:

Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
1129 SHRIVER LAB
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-5701
ATTN: ACQUISITIONS

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

EFF-088 (Rev. 2/2000)