This paper examines how collaborative on-line databases can be used to meet the need for individualized instruction for academic vocabulary learners intending to do university work in English. It is argued that effective teaching and course design for preparing non-native English speaking students for study at English language universities must be highly specialized if it is to be effective. For example, the Chinese speaking student preparing for a computer science graduate program in Canada needs to know a very different sort of specialized vocabulary than the French student preparing for a program in theater arts in Canada. The challenge for the course designer is to build a course that both of these students (and many others) can profit from. The solution proposed is to put technology tools in the hands of the learners so that they can construct the courses they need for themselves. This paper describes in detail how the authors tested an experimental English-as-a-Second-Language (ESL) vocabulary course for academic learners at Concordia University in Montreal, Quebec. The course design questions the authors set out to answer are the following: How can we ensure that a vocabulary course offers academic learners of varying first language backgrounds, second language proficiency levels, and academic objectives a significant opportunity to focus on the words they need to know? Can one course do all this? It is concluded that a collaborative database is a valuable tool for such learners. The technology is readily available and the learners have shown that they are willing and able to use the computerized, online tools developed for them for this purpose. (Contains 27 references.) (KFT)
Introduction

Most educators would agree that one of the most exciting aspects of using computerized resources for second language acquisition is the potential of these resources for meeting the very diverse needs of individual learners efficiently and effectively. We would argue that nowhere is the need for individualized instruction greater than in the area of academic vocabulary for learners intending to do university work in English. The basis for this claim is the fact that many university-bound ESL learners already know the core vocabulary of English; that is, they have progressed beyond the point where an all-purpose course in the most basic thousand words would be equally beneficial for all. As proficiency and vocabulary size increase, learners vary hugely in what they know and what they need to learn (Nation, 1990). Thus, the Chinese-speaking learner arriving in Canada to study computer science may already know - as a result of study in his home country - many words that will be useful for doing academic work in English. However, he is likely to know a very different set of items from the student seated next to him in an ESL class, for example a Francophone learner from Quebec intending to study stage design. It is also clear that the words these two students will want to study to prepare for courses in their majors will be quite different. The challenge for the course designer is
to build a course that both of these students (and many others) can profit from. Our proposed answer to the challenge is to put technology tools in the hands of learners so that they can construct the courses they need for themselves. In this paper we describe how we tested this idea in an experimental ESL vocabulary course for academic learners at Concordia University in Montreal.

The course-design questions the authors set out to answer can be stated as follows. How can we ensure that a vocabulary course offers academic learners of varying L1 backgrounds, L2 proficiency levels, and academic objectives a significant opportunity to focus on the words they need to know? Can one course address the needs of students preparing for study in content domains as varied as computer science and stage design?

In addition to tailoring a course to meet students' vocabulary needs as effectively as possible, we were interested in investigating the role of technology in providing individualized instruction, and we wished to test a number of research claims about instructed vocabulary learning as well. The following section details the principles that guided the design of the course and outlines our research agenda.

**Designing the course**

The guidelines for the design of the course were as follows. Start with *reading* as a source of new vocabulary.

- Provide *technology* tools for students to create the course they need.
- Recognize that studying *domain-specific* vocabulary is important.
- Recognize that knowing *sub-technical* academic vocabulary is crucial.
- Raise awareness of proven word learning strategies.
• Challenge learners to study hundreds of new words.

**Start with reading**

There are at least four good reasons for focusing an academic vocabulary course on reading. First, although university-bound students need vocabulary knowledge to be able to speak and write in content courses, it is clear that the ability to read and understand course content as presented in textbooks is central. People who know more words understand more of what they read than people who know fewer. Indeed, reading comprehension is so closely associated with vocabulary knowledge that test designers have had difficulty in distinguishing between the two (Read, 1997). Secondly, receptive knowledge represents the starting point of the word learning process. Generally, L1 and L2 learners alike begin to feel they know a new word when they can recognize its meaning when they read (or hear) it, while more active knowledge such as the ability to produce a fully correct definition of the word or to use it accurately in an original sentence tends to come later (Wesche & Paribakht, 1996).

Thirdly, analyses of large corpora of written and spoken language indicate that written texts are much more likely to contain words that would be unfamiliar to intermediate ESL learners than spoken texts. Spoken discourse makes heavy use of common words - words that most intermediate ESL learners would probably already know - and rarely presents items outside a list of the 2000 most frequent words of English (West & Stanovich, 1991). It is clear that requiring students to read widely is a good way of ensuring they have many opportunities to meet new words beyond this most basic level. Finally, reading passages are natural ready-made learning materials for vocabulary acquisition because they present unfamiliar words in authentic sentence and discourse contexts. These contexts can provide the learner with valuable grammar information, rich associative links to other words, and, importantly, useful clues to meaning.
In implementing an individualized course with reading at its centre, the course designers felt it was important to give the students a role in selecting the texts that would serve as the basis for their vocabulary learning. Thus, instead of prescribing a core text, we required students to buy (or read on-line) a quality newspaper and read any two articles of their choice each week. The newspaper that we chose for this purpose was the Focus section of the weekend issue of the Toronto Globe and Mail. This weekly supplement presents well-written essays on a variety of topics written in a style that can be termed academic. Each week students were required to prepare brief oral or written summaries of the two articles they had chosen. They were also expected to look up the meanings of new words they encountered in dictionaries.

**Provide technology tools for students to create the course they need**

The next question that the course designers faced was how we might use the valuable information gleaned in individual word quests to build a student-generated vocabulary course that all could access easily. While we recognized that not every student would be interested in each of every other student's dictionary findings, we reasoned that each student would belong to a number of constituencies within the class that had common vocabulary needs. That is, a new word encountered by one Francophone learner in a newspaper text might well be unfamiliar to other Francophone learners in the group. Similarly, if a student interested in biology read a piece about Nova Scotia fisheries and was curious about the meaning of crustacean, then other science majors who opted to read the same article might well be wondering about it too. If the pool of word findings was large, the chances that each student would find a useful body of new material to study would be increased. Thus, the technology design challenge was to offer students a simple format for creating their own course
by building up a large collection of vocabulary findings and to provide them with an easy way to share the collection with each other. Clearly, computer technology in the form of a collaborative on-line database offered a solution.

The collaborative project we opted for was an on-line Word Bank. Figure 1 shows the homepage which the second author designed for the course. The button for word bank entry appears at the top of the middle column under Focus Activities. Clicking on this button brings up a data entry template which presents the student with spaces for entering a word, followed by an example of the word used in context, word class information, a dictionary definition, and the contributor's name. Each week the group of 33 students were required to enter five new words they had encountered in their newspaper reading in the Focus Word Bank. A sample of the 165 entries made in Weeks 1 and 2 of the course is shown in Figure 2.
### ESL 298
Academic Vocabulary Development  
Instructor: Marilise Horst

#### Course FAQ's

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UWL Activities</th>
<th>Focus Activities</th>
<th>Specialist Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study UWL words at Lexical Tutor</td>
<td>Enter Focus words into Focus Word Bank</td>
<td>Enter specialist words into Specialist Word Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Focus Wk 1, Wk 2, Wk 3, Wk 5, Wk 8, Wk 9, Wk 10</td>
<td>Previous Special Wk 4, Wk 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See last week's Quiz</td>
<td>Read the Focus section of the Globe and Mail</td>
<td>Get a feedback form</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Homepage for Academic Vocabulary Development, an experimental ESL course
So far, our rationale for a collaborative on-line databank has emphasized advantages such as the efficient dissemination of a large body of material and the potential for individualizing instruction. Another important benefit, however, is the word learning that is likely to happen when a student creates a Word Bank entry. Hulstijn, Hollander and Greidanus (1996) have shown that the act of looking up a word in a dictionary increases the chances that the learner will remember it; it seems likely that the act of
typing out a definition and example sentence also
contributes to making the new word memorable.

In addition to the on-line Word Bank, an on-line dictionary
and concordancer were made available to support learners'
vocabulary learning. These computer tools will be described
in a later section.

**Recognize that studying domain-specific vocabulary is
important**

In the interest of living up to its name (Academic
Vocabulary Development), we felt the course should offer
participants the opportunity to study words specific to their
chosen fields of study. We assumed that the idea of learning
new science, economics, or art history vocabulary would
appeal to students and it was clear that on-line technology
could help address a range of individual interests. That is,
the tools used to build a class word bank for general
newspaper reading could also be used to build mini word
banks in specialist domains.

To implement this idea, we divided the students into special
interest groups according to the academic field they
intended to study. Five groups were formed around the
following domains: arts, business, computers, science, and
education. Each student was asked to locate a short reading
on a topic in their field to share with other group members.
Three times during the 13-week course (that is, once a
month) students read two of these readings, summarized
them, and entered five new words into the Specialist Word
Bank, just as they did on a weekly basis with their
newspaper reading. The entry form for this task can be
accessed from the course home page by clicking on the
button at the top of the third column entitled "Specialist
Activities" (see Figure 1). The specialist data base allowed
users to group word entries in alphabetical order, by
student contributor, or by specific domain. Figure 3 shows
a sample of Specialist Word Bank entries grouped by
domain: here we see items contributed by members of the Business Group.

| breadwinner | Mum's the bread-winner in our family. | Noun | person whose earnings support his or her family | Business | Amy Lin |
| peculiar | My keys have disappeared—it's most peculiar! | Adj | odd, strange, eccentric, unwell | Business | Amy Lin |
| outnumber | The demonstrators were outnumbered by the police. | Verb | be more in number than (sb) | Business | Amy Lin |
| crook | That used-car salesman is a real crook. | Noun | person who is habitually dishonest | Business | Amy Lin |
| mope | Stop moping! | Verb | feel very unhappy and pity oneself | Business | Amy Lin |
| tune | We bought to the tune of $15.1 billion in 1985. | Noun | amount | Business | Annie Derome |
| rebate | I have a 20% rebate at Pharmaprix because I work there. | Noun | discount, deduction | Business | Annie Derome |
| seal | They affixed an official seal and the governor's signature. | Noun | The impression made by stamping with such a device. Official mark | Business | Annie Derome |
| outlay | Transportation is the next largest outlay... | Noun | expenditure, cost | Business | Annie Derome |
| fundraising | "We were fortunate with Alexander Cossio because he had done fundraising before". | Noun | An event held to generate such financial support. | Business | Annie Derome |
| redeemable | The bonds pay 6% interest and are redeemable in three years. | Verb | 1. compensate for the fault, 2. buy back, exchange goods. | Business | Catalina Duque |

Figure 3: Sample entries to the collaborative on-line database, the Specialist Word Bank

**Recognize that knowing sub-technical academic vocabulary is crucial**

Perhaps one of the most useful research findings to come out of corpus analyses of English texts is the identification of a core set of about 850 word families that occur frequently and consistently in academic texts - across disciplines. (A word family is defined as a root word, e.g. *produce*, and its derived forms, e.g. *product, production,*
unproductive, etc. The importance of being able to recognize the meanings of word families on what is known as the University Word List (Xue & Nation, 1984) is made dramatically clear in two versions of an authentic textbook passage about increasing forest productivity shown below (from Nation, 1990). In the first version, all items that are not among the 2000 most common word families of English appear as blanks. Thus, reading this passage simulates the experience of ESL learners with no more than a basic knowledge of English when they are confronted with university texts. It is possible to get some sense of subject and gist in this version but much of the informational content is simply unavailable.

Version 1

....the increasing wood supplies will _____ a larger _____ force, an improved roading network, and _____ _____ and _____ _____ . If the trees are to be _____ , then certain _____ must be made. They will include _____ in: logging machinery and _____ ; logging trucks, and other _____ _____ for the _____ of _____ products; ....

Version 2

....the increasing wood supplies will require a larger labour force, an improved roading network, and expanded transport and processing facilities. If the trees are to be exported, then certain investments must be made. They will include investments in: logging machinery and equipment; logging trucks, and other _____ required for the transport of processed products; ....

In the second version, the words that are shown are both high frequency words from the 2,000 list and University Word List (UWL) words. Reading this version simulates the experience of an ESL learner who comes to the task of academic reading armed with knowledge of all items on
both lists. Now only one blank remains: the text suddenly becomes comprehensible and the missing item (vehicle) can be readily guessed from context. Interestingly, the UWL items that make the difference are not technical words specific to the domain of forestry but rather all-purpose or subtechnical words like require, labour, process and equipment. It is clear that university-bound learners stand to profit a great deal from studying this key set of items.

The covering power of the UWL is further detailed in Table 1, which is based on analyses by Nation and Waring (1997) and Sutarsyah, Nation and Kennedy (1994). The fourth line suggests that an ESL student who knows both the 2,000 most frequent words of English and the items on the UWL will understand 90% of the running words in a typical academic text, regardless of its subject matter. Since a receptive vocabulary size of about 3,000 words (2,000 + UWL = 2,800) has also been identified as the watershed between comprehension and non-comprehension in studies of academic reading by Laufer (1989, 1992), we felt there were compelling reasons to prioritize the study of the UWL in our experimental course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of word families</th>
<th>Percent coverage</th>
<th>Ratio unknown: known</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 + UWL</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 + UWL + Specialist</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128,000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Frequent English words and coverage of academic texts

The fifth line of Table 1 reflects findings by Sutarsyah, Nation and Kennedy (1994). Their work indicates that knowledge of several hundred words that recur frequently in the texts of a particular subject domain can offer additional coverage such that a reader who knew these would know as many as 95% of the running words. This suggested that the plan to devote some attention to domain specific words was
justified. Unfortunately, however, lists of high frequency core vocabulary for specific domains have not (yet) been compiled and so it was not possible to specify exactly which business, arts or science words were important for our learners to study. Nonetheless, we assumed the scheme outlined above for reading in subject domains and contributing new vocabulary to the Specialist Word Bank would represent a useful step in preparing the learners for study in their chosen fields.

To understand where the 35 students registered for the experimental course stood in relation to the word frequency zones identified as important for academic reading success, we administered an updated version of the Vocabulary Levels Test (Nation, 1990; Schmitt, 2000). This instrument uses a multiple-choice cluster format to test receptive knowledge of items sampled from each of five zones: the 2000 most frequent words; words on the 3000, 5000 and 10,000 most frequent lists; and the Academic Word List (a recent update of the UWL; Coxhead, 2000). The test requires testees to match items to simply worded definitions. An example of a question cluster from the section that tests the Academic Word List is shown in Table 2.

| 1. benefit   | ___ work    |
| 2. labour    | ___ part of 100 |
| 3. percent   | ___ generated idea used to guide |
| 4. principle | ___ one's actions |
| 5. source    |             |
| 6. survey    |             |

Table 2: Sample question from the AWL section of the Vocabulary Levels Test: Version 1 (Schmitt, 2000)

Test results confirmed the expectation that in terms of vocabulary knowledge, the learners were indeed a very
diverse group. Although the group means in Table 3 suggest that students could recognize the meanings of 80% of the tested words at the 2,000, 3,000 and Academic levels, the standard deviations reveal that individuals varied considerably. Some of the French speaking students scored high on the Academic list (which contains many words of Latin origin) but low on the 2,000 list (which contains many words of Germanic origin). Many of the Asian students (mostly Chinese speakers) scored high on the test of the 2,000 list but fared less well on other lists.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pretest/30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>25.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>24.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>20.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>9.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>24.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Pre-test means on the Vocabulary Levels Test by section; maximum score = 30

These outcomes confirmed our intuitions about the need for an individualized course designed to meet highly diverse needs. Furthermore, it was clear that the students had plenty of work to do in the Academic/University Word List zone. Few students had full mastery of words at this level, and given its importance for academic reading success, it seemed likely that all would benefit from intensive study of these words. To implement this goal, we divided the 800
words of the UWL into twelve 65-word lists for week-by-week studying and testing. Thus, in addition to reading and summarizing two readings each week and submitting Word Bank entries, students prepared for a UWL quiz. About 30 minutes of each of the twice-weekly 90-minute class periods were spent either on UWL learning activities or testing. An example of a weekly quiz appears in Appendix 1, and the self-access version used by students following the written test appears in Appendix 2.

**Raise awareness of proven word learning strategies**

So far we have discussed the vocabulary learning materials on offer (the Word Bank entries and the UWL), ways of delivering it (class and website activities) and an evaluation component (the UWL quizzes). However, as O'Dell (1997) and others point out, training students how they can learn most effectively is a key aspect of any language course. Our examination of the vocabulary learning research identified five main strategies for successful retention of form-meaning associations. These are: keyword mnemonics (Brown & Perry, 1991) word-part analysis (Sokmen, 1997), elaborative sentences (Brown & Perry, 1991; Ellis 1997), dictionary use (Hulstijn, Hollander & Greidanus, 1996) and concordancing (Cobb, 1997). We set the goal of familiarizing students with each of these in class activities and, where possible, on the website.

In practice, it turned out that some of the strategies were limited in their applicability. For instance, the much acclaimed keyword imaging technique can only be applied if an English word to be learned sounds like an L1 word and represents a concept that can be pictured. Thus, one student was able to draw a picture of a disgusted teacher throwing failed papers into the air to remember the English word *flunk*. Since *flunk* sounds like the French word *flanquer* (throw, fling) the vivid papers-in-the-air image creates a strong link to the new word and its meaning.
However, it is clear that many words, especially abstract ones, do not lend themselves to this treatment.

Two strategies that could be applied to any new word were consistently supported in class activities throughout the course. These were dictionary use and concordancing. Support activities for dictionary use included exercises in identifying correct definitions of words that have different senses in different contexts, and comparing dictionaries designed for native speakers to those designed for learners. Students were also shown how to access an on-line dictionary via the Lexical Tutor button in the first column of the home page (see Figure 1).

The Lexical Tutor button also offered learners an easy-to-use on-line concordancing tool (developed by Chris Greaves of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University). A concordancer searches a large body of text to find every occurrence of a particular word or phrase and displays these in a format that allows the user to see the many different instances of the word in use. A concordance for the word abandon consisting of 13 instances of the word in use is shown in Figure 4 (on following page). In principle, a concordance should be a powerful resource for learning. Because the learner can examine a number of sentences containing the new word, chances are that he or she will meet at least one that is easy to understand. If the learner engages in solving the puzzle (i.e. guessing the word's meaning), the concordance offers the opportunity to test a solution in other sentences. Research by Cobb (1997; 1999) has confirmed the usefulness of learning by concordancing. He found that learners who examined concordances were more able to transfer new word knowledge to novel contexts than learners who studied definitions. In the experimental course described in this paper, students were shown on the first day how to use the concordancing tool and then again at several times later. In addition, classroom concordancing
activities on paper were designed to raise student awareness of this strategy.

**Challenge learners to study hundreds of new words**

Researchers differ on the number of words language learners need to know to readily comprehend textbooks used in university content courses. Research by Laufer (1989, 1992) points to a minimum receptive vocabulary size of 3000 high-frequency word families. Work by Hazenburg and Hulstijn (1996) suggests that the figure may in fact be higher than this but the main message of these studies is clear: the university-bound learner needs to know thousands of words. For many learners, this means acquiring hundreds if not thousands in fairly short order - in a semester or two of ESL study, if possible. Thus there

---

**Figure 4: Concordance lines for the word abandon**

1. uncharacteristically voluptuous abandon. For those of us less fortunate in
2. they did not think it prudent to abandon it. The ill-treatment inflicted on
3. a by 1993, the ministers agreed to abandon key provisions for revising VAT co
4. said the sailor, "you will never abandon Lincoln Island?" "Never, Fencroft,
5. She has more color, wildness and abandon than all those people and the bull.
6. a companion had judged it best to abandon the post at the Berry, from which
7. the .......29 Durma ...16 FORC to
8. daily essential for Republicans to abandon the simplistic pro-life slogans of
9. led. The UNHCR has been forced to abandon them. "Revitalising older colonies
10. get so bad that people start to
11. determined persons were about to abandon themselves to the mercy of the c
12. o Lincoln Island. Never would they abandon this colony, founded with so much
13. sentiments, he was in no haste to abandon this part of the coast, the scene

---

[WordNet entries for 'ABANDON']

New search:
String: [equal to] 3 [go]

Return

Click on the WORDNET link in the concordance output, and a dictionary appears here.
are compelling reasons to make acquiring knowledge of hundreds of new words an explicit course goal, even if it means encouraging learners to resort to studying lists. Rote memorization tasks are out of fashion in language teaching, but Nation (1982) suggests that currently popular methodologies may be neglecting a powerful learning technique. He points to memory experiments where participants have been found able to learn (and retain) as many as 50 new word and translation-equivalent pairs an hour. Admittedly, this kind of memory work cannot be expected to result in full knowledge such that a learner understands all the senses of new words or is able to use them correctly in elegant original sentences. However, we reasoned that some initial engagement - albeit incomplete - with over a hundred words each week was potentially more useful to university-bound learners than intensive study of the dozen or so items that is more typical of ESL courses.

Unlike the simple word/translation pairs used in the memory experiments, the on-line lists available for study in our experimental course were richly informative. In addition to offering the definition of a word and its part of speech, each Word Bank entry provided an example of the word in use. Since these sentence contexts generally came from material students had read, we assumed that many entries would also provide memory links to class discussions of newspaper articles, summary writing, and other activities. The on-line concordancing tool meant that students could also study UWL items in a wide variety of sentence contexts. To motivate students to study large numbers of words, we included two exams in the plan for the course, a mid-term and a final. For each of these, students were expected to study 400 UWL items, 200 items from the newspaper Word Bank, and 50 Specialist Word Bank items in their particular subject area.
Research questions

Our investigation of the experimental course focuses on two key issues: the usefulness of the on-line resources, and the amount of new vocabulary knowledge gained by the learners who used them. One way of examining the usefulness of the learning materials is to consider their quality. Indeed the claim that learning vocabulary with a collaborative on-line database is effective rests on showing that students were indeed generating accurate and useful materials for their own learning. We were also interested to see whether the quality of the entries changed as students gained experience in working with dictionaries and selecting examples during the 13-week course. Thus the first research questions we consider are as follows.

1. What was the quality of student-produced on-line course material (the Word Bank entries), and did entries improve over time?

We have argued that an important advantage of a collaborative on-line project is its potential to offer instruction tailored to individual needs. Since the pre-testing had shown that needs were indeed highly diverse, we were interested in seeing whether different kinds of learners were using the resource in different ways. Two very distinct constituencies in the group were learners of Asian and Romance language backgrounds. Romance language speakers are able to exploit cognate knowledge for clues to the meanings of the many English words of Latin and Greek origin, a strategy that is not available to Asian language speakers. Thus, as Laufer (1997) points out, words of Latin origin like perspective or anticipate may look opaque to one learner but totally transparent to another. Exploring how these two groups of learners used the on-line database seemed likely to be a useful initial indicator of how well the on-line resources served the needs of different types of learners. This prompted the following research question.
2. Did students of Asian and Romance language background enter different types of words?

The remaining questions address the important issues of amounts of new word knowledge gained in the experimental course and factors that might explain growth results.

3. Did learners increase their vocabulary knowledge?

4. Which strategies (e.g. dictionary use) were associated with learning gains?

**Participants**

The 33 students who registered for the experimental vocabulary course at Concordia University (Montreal) represented a variety of first language backgrounds. About two thirds of the group were speakers of Asian languages (Chinese and Vietnamese) and about one third had Romance language backgrounds (Quebec French, Spanish or Portuguese). There was also an Arabic speaker and a Farsi speaker in the group. All had been assessed as having minimal or inadequate proficiency for university studies on a placement test administered by the institution. There was a range of abilities in the group but they can be generally termed intermediate-level learners. Most had been admitted to the university on condition that they take courses to improve their English.

**Results**

**Word Bank entries - the quality question**

We used a ratings procedure to investigate the quality of Word Bank entries (research question 1). To evaluate students' example sentences, we began by selecting at
random 40 sentences entered during the second week of the course. Forty more sentences entered during the eleventh week were also selected so that early and late entries could be compared. Next, following a method devised by Beck, McKeown and McCaslin (1983), we deleted the target words and asked a native speaker of English to draw on information in the sentences to supply the missing items. The sentences were then evaluated using the following scheme. If the rater's guess matched or nearly matched the word in the original sentence, the sentence was awarded a score of 4. If a guess showed general similarity to the missing word, the context was considered to be supportive and was awarded a 3. An example of such a sentence appears in Table 4 where we see that the rater supplied *anticipating* instead of the original term *yearning* in the example sentence "I was ___ this trip". Sentences prompting guesses that bore little resemblance to the target words were considered neutral and were awarded a score of 2. Misleading contexts scored 1 point. See Table 4 for examples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student database entry</th>
<th>Informant's guess</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The theatre has a seating capacity of 800.</td>
<td>The theatre has a seating capacity of 800.</td>
<td>4 exact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preminger cropped Jean's hair.</td>
<td>Preminger cut Jean's hair</td>
<td>4 near exact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was yearning this trip.</td>
<td>I was anticipating this trip.</td>
<td>3 supportive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He commit himself in writing this book</td>
<td>He excelled himself in writing this book</td>
<td>2 neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her religions-minded parents had met at a science convention.</td>
<td>Her religions-shunning parents had met at a science convention.</td>
<td>1 misleading</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Rating scheme for assessing quality of context entries

To rate the quality of definitions entered in the Word Bank, we selected 40 definitions at random from weeks 2 and 11
of the course. Again, we used a 4-point rating scheme. Definitions that were simply and clearly worded and matched the sense intended in example sentences were awarded the full mark of 4. Definitions that were accurate but contained difficult language were awarded a 3. The wording problem is evident in the case of *chutney*, where the definition "pungent condiment made of vinegar and fruits" is clearly accurate but of doubtful usefulness because of the potential difficulty of the words *pungent* and *condiment*. Uninformative definitions such as the circular one for *mythical* shown in Table 5 were rated 2 points while definitions that did not match the sense of the example were awarded 1 point.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Student data base entry</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>She mourned for her dead son.</td>
<td>to have or show great sorrow, usually for a person who has died</td>
<td>4 accurate, easy to understand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I enjoy <em>chutney</em> with my turkey.</td>
<td>pungent condiment made of vinegar and fruits</td>
<td>3 accurate, hard to understand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was <em>yearning</em> this trip.</td>
<td>I was <em>anticipating</em> this trip.</td>
<td>3 supportive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur and Mordred are <em>mythical persons</em></td>
<td>of or existing in myth</td>
<td>2 circular or too long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You’d think Alberta would be bristling with warnings to Ottawa.</td>
<td>Thick strong animal hair used to make brushes.</td>
<td>1 inappropriate sense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Rating scheme for assessing quality of definition entries

Mean scores indicated that the overall quality of context sentences was fairly high (Table 6). The mean rating for early contexts amounted to 2.7 while the mean for later contexts was 3.0 (out of 4). That is, late entries earned the score assigned to *supportive* sentences, and the early entries closely approached this level. Thus we can conclude that in general, the student-generated material offered useful
context information about new words. These ratings results are higher than the 2.5 mean ratings other studies using this methodology have found for natural texts (Horst, 2000; Zahar, Cobb & Spada, in press), so it is possible to conclude that the student entries succeeded in being more informative than ordinary sentences would be. The higher mean rating for the later data suggests that students improved the quality of their entries as the course progressed. Although, a t-test for independent samples showed that the gain was not statistically significant, it seems reasonable to assume that the rising profile would continue with more time and practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>Week 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Quality-of-context ratings (n = 40)

Definitions also appeared to be of a mainly high quality (Table 7). In fact, the definition results are similar to the sentence findings. The mean ratings of around 3 (the score awarded to accurate definitions with wording difficulties) at both the beginning and end of the course suggest that the definitions were generally accurate throughout. Again, the data suggest that the quality of definitions improved during the course. Though the difference was not found to be statistically significant, it seems likely that definitions would continue to improve over the longer term.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>Week 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Quality-of-definition ratings

In general, we can conclude that both the example sentences and the definitions students supplied for each other in the Word Bank project were of very satisfactory quality. Interestingly, a few students complained about occasional spelling or grammar errors they spotted in the
entries, but none complained about the word information on offer. Our analysis confirms that the information provided in the entries tended to be useful and accurate. However, some qualifications are in order: the standard deviations suggest that quality was rather inconsistent, and the high but not perfect average scores suggest that students may benefit from training in how to produce clear definitions and supportive example sentences.

**Word Bank entries - the individual differences question**

To investigate whether students of different L1 backgrounds were using the on-line resources to meet varying vocabulary needs (research question 2), we took a close look at words entered by students in two distinct groups: Asian and Romance language speakers. To compare the words that learners in the two groups looked up, we prepared two corpora of 300 words each. The Asian corpus consisted of the 300 items entered in the Focus Word Bank during the first three weeks of the course by learners whose first language was Chinese or Vietnamese. The Romance corpus consisted of the 300 items entered by French, Spanish and Portuguese speakers. Each corpus was analyzed using HyperVocabProfile (Cobb, 1998; based on Huang & Nation, 1998), a computer program that groups English words into frequency bands. That is, the program allowed us to see the extent to which students in the two groups looked up common and less common words. Of special interest were the number of look-ups in the UWL band (which contains many words of Greco-Latin origin). We hypothesized that the proportion of lookups in this zone would be larger in the Asian group than in Romance group.
The results shown in Figure 5 are striking. If we consider the high frequency categories (the 0-1000 and 1000-2000 most frequent bands), we see that 12% (7 + 5) of Asian lookups were common English words. However, this category accounts for a far greater proportion of the Romance lookups; in fact, over a quarter (18 + 9 = 27%) of all the words looked up by Romance speakers were in this zone. A possible explanation is the fact that the 0–1,000 and 1,000–2,000 bands contain a high proportion of words of Anglo-Saxon origin, words which have no cognate equivalents in Romance languages and are therefore more likely to be unfamiliar to Romance speakers than Latin-based English words. The occurrence of common words of Germanic origin like flew, storm and height on the Romance list suggest that this was the case. The notion that learners in the Romance group directed their attention to non-cognates is also confirmed by the third column of data where we see that these learners looked up fewer of the Greek and Latin based UWL items than the Asian learners, for whom these words appear to be difficult. In summary, it is clear that the two groups were looking up different types of words, and there
is reason to think that both groups were well served by a course designed to address individual vocabulary needs.

**Vocabulary learning - the growth question**

To determine how much students had learned as a result of taking the course and participating in the collaborative Word Bank project (research question 3), we measured students' receptive vocabulary sizes at the beginning and end of the course by administering updated versions of the Vocabulary Levels Test (Schmitt, 2000; Schmitt & Schmitt, forthcoming). As discussed earlier, this instrument is designed to assess receptive knowledge of words sampled from lists of the 2,000, 3,000, 5,000 and 10,000 most common words of English and the Academic Word List (a list similar to the UWL). Vocabulary learning gains were determined by calculating the differences between learners' pre- and post-test scores.

Pre-post results and gains are shown in Table 8. The maximum score possible in each section of the test was 30. While the general picture is largely one of growth, it is also evident that some of the changes are very small. Statistical analysis (ANOVA and post hoc t-test) showed that mean scores on the Academic Word List section differed significantly \( t = 2.62; p < .05 \). Although the gain of about two new words in this category may appear rather minor, if we extrapolate this result to the entire word list, we see that learners achieved a substantial amount of growth. The gain of 1.73 words in 30 represents a growth rate of 5.8%; when this figure is applied to all 800 words on the UWL, we arrive at a gain figure of about 46 new words \( .058 \times 800 = 46.13 \).
Table 8: Pre-and post-test means: Vocabulary Levels Test (n = 28)

Clearly, the learners acquired new receptive vocabulary knowledge as a result of studying in the experimental course. As we have seen, increases in knowledge of items on the Academic/University Word List accounted for most of the growth. Since these sub-technical terms are important for university ESL learners to know, we can conclude that the course achieved an important objective. However, increased knowledge of UWL items is hardly surprising given the amount of attention paid to the UWL in class. Every week students participated in activities to support UWL word learning and studied for a weekly quiz; students studied these items again for midterm and final tests.

This leaves unanswered the question of why evidence of growth was so slight in non-UWL zones - zones that the Word Bank activities were designed to address. One probable explanation is that the Vocabulary Levels Test was not sensitive enough to capture the incomplete but real knowledge that a learner might retain from the experience of reading an on-line definition of a word and a single illustrating example. Work by Horst (2000) has shown that the learning impact of one or two encounters with a new word can be captured but that very sensitive measures are required. Additionally, the sampling technique used to
construct the Vocabulary Levels Test is problematic for the assessment of low-frequency words. For instance, a learner who acquired new knowledge of a word in the 5,000-10,000 most frequent band through studying the Word Bank is highly unlikely to encounter that word on a test that samples only 30 items of the 5,000 words in the band. Thus, there is no reason to conclude that students did not profit from the on-line collaborative activities; it is highly probable that they did. Rather, the results point to the importance of using sensitive measures to assess vocabulary learning.

Another explanation for slight growth outside the UWL is that the vocabularies of specific academic disciplines remain as yet undefined, so that it is not possible to target the characterizing terms of economics, stage design, or any other discipline for purposes of either teaching or testing. Our students may well have covered much of the lexical territory of their chosen domains in their Specialist Groups activities, but we have no measure for establishing this other than the 10,000 level of the Levels Test.

**Keys to success - the strategies question**

Although we familiarized students with a variety of proven strategies for learning vocabulary in the course, we limited our investigation to those that met two criteria: 1) strategies that could be applied to any word (see the discussion of keywords above for an instance of a limited strategy); and 2) strategies that students were familiar with from the first day of the course onwards (i.e. there was ample opportunity to use the strategy). Two traditional strategies (using a monolingual or a bilingual dictionary), and two computerized strategies (using an on-line dictionary and using a concordance) met these criteria.

We used a questionnaire attached to the Vocabulary Levels post-test administered in the final week of the course to explore the extent to which students made use of the
various strategies. The questionnaire asked students to rate their use of each according to the following five-point scale.

1 = never
2 = once or twice
3 = fairly often
4 = very often
5 = almost always

Then, to determine which strategy was most closely associated with learning gains, we entered student ratings of the four strategies into a multiple-regression analysis with pre-/post Academic Word List gain scores as the dependent variable.

Traditional dictionary use was clearly more widespread in the group than use of the computer dictionary and concordancing tools. Figure 6 shows the mean ratings of about 3.5 for using bilingual dictionaries (e.g. English-Chinese) and monolingual dictionaries (English-English); in other words, these resources were both used often. Ratings for the non-traditional tools are lower; students appeared to prefer using the on-line dictionary to concordancing.

![Figure 6: Strategy use (n = 22)](image)

The regression analysis revealed that the strongest predictor of vocabulary learning outcomes was monolingual
dictionary use. However, the use of this strategy is negatively associated with learning gains. The most reasonable interpretation of this finding is that good learners who already knew many words on the UWL (and therefore had little opportunity to register gains on the test) were consistent users of English-English dictionaries. A more interesting finding appears in the second line of Table 9, where we see that of the remaining predictors concordance use is most strongly associated with vocabulary learning gains ($r = .38$) albeit with a probability level that suggests some role for chance effects. This finding suggests that even though average concordance use ranged between the never and almost ratings in the group as a whole, students who did use this feature were likely to experience vocabulary gains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monolingual</td>
<td>-.46</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concordance</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilingual</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-line</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Summary of regression analysis for variables predicting academic vocabulary gains ($n = 22$)

**Conclusion**

We are convinced that the collaborative database is a valuable tool for vocabulary acquisition for learners who have moved beyond the elementary level. The technology is clearly able to absorb the variety of lexical needs that characterize learning at this level. Our students have shown that they are willing to use the tools we have developed, that they use them reasonably well, and that they learn some words by using them. How many words, we can not say as yet, for reasons mainly related to insensitive or non-existent vocabulary measures. Perhaps the lack of suitable tests for an experiment such as ours is not surprising. Few
instructional designs in the past have attempted to introduce new words in the numbers we have targeted, so it is reasonable there might be few suitable ways of measuring our results. The 5,000 and 10,000 level of the Levels Test is clearly a blunt instrument. Tests that can measure fine degrees of knowledge simply, and that target the vocabularies of specific domains, are urgently needed.

We are presently feeding our discoveries from this experiment into a revamped vocabulary course with a collaborative database at its centre, and we expect to run this course again soon. At the same time, we want to provide a fully independent version of the course on the Internet to cater to a virtual clientele worldwide. In fact, our vocabulary learning tools were inadvertently left on the Web when our course had finished, and learners from various corners of the world have already started using these tools, adapting them to their own purposes!
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Appendix 1

UWL Quiz 8: linguistics-outcome

Name: ..........................................

A. Write the number of the word next to its definition (12 points):

1. magic ___________________________ ___ strange

2. magnetic ___________________________ ___ wet, damp

3. moist ___________________________ ___ old, out of date, useless

4. odd ___________________________ ___ old, out of date, useless

5. obsolete ___________________________

6. mature ___________________________

1. margin ___________________________ ___ reason to do something

2. orbit ___________________________ ___ circular movement

3. null ___________________________ ___ empty space at the edge

4. navy ___________________________ ___ empty space at the edge

5. motive ___________________________

6. momentum ___________________________

1. mobile ___________________________ ___ choosing neither side

2. maternal ___________________________ ___ showing clear thinking

3. nuclear ___________________________ ___ able to move

4. neutral ___________________________

5. normal ___________________________

6. logical ___________________________

1. litigation ___________________________ ___ movement, travel

2. location ___________________________ ___ duty, responsibility

3. migration ___________________________ ___ way of writing

4. notation ___________________________

5. orientation ___________________________

6. obligation ___________________________
B. Cloze. Choose from the words below to complete the passage (8 points):

outcome, occur, magnitude
monarch, nutrients, occupy
maintain, luxuries, obvious

The Man Who Broke the Bank

Barings Bank used to be one of the oldest and most respected British investment banks. It had branches all over the world and many famous customers including the British (1) ................. , Queen Elizabeth. But in February 1996 there was bad news at the Singapore branch. In fact, it looked like Barings was in serious trouble. At first the (2) ......................... of the problem was not clear. Nobody knew for sure how many bad investments had been made or how much money was involved, but it soon became (3) ......................... that the losses were over $1.3 billion dollars, and so large that the 232-year-old bank was forced to close with great losses to its customers.

How could such a disaster (4) ......................... ? How was it possible for such a respected and trusted institution to have made such mistakes? The top management of Barings promised a thorough investigation and they soon found out who was responsible: a young trader called Nick Leeson.

Here is his story: Leeson had done very well at Barings and had received huge bonuses and rapid promotions for his excellent performance. He came from a very ordinary working-class English family, and he and his wife enjoyed their new life and the (5) ......................... that came with wealth and success in Singapore. They ate at the finest restaurants and played tennis at the best club. Leeson was determined to (6) ......................... his record of success at the bank.

His method was simple. He made very large, very risky investments for Barings in the hopes that there would be enormous profits. If there were losses, he entered them in a secret account, and hoped to pay off the growing debt with profits from the next investment success. But the debts increased so fast that Leeson lost control. By the time the fraud was discovered, it was too late to save the bank.

Barings Bank was forced to close, but what was the (7) ......................... for Nick Leeson? He was given a prison sentence of just six and a half years for his crime, and he did not seem to be very sorry about what he did. How did he (8) ......................... his time in prison? He spent most of his time writing. Recently, he
published a book called Rogue Trader--How I Brought Down Barings Bank and Shook the Financial World. Buy it and read it if you like, but remember: Your purchase is helping to pay the legal bills of a thief.

- from the Toronto Globe & Mail, March 1996
Appendix 2

Tests were placed on-line for students to check immediately after completion, using an authoring script developed by Chris Greaves. The fill-in words can be clicked to generate concordances.

UWL Level, Cloze 8

occupy magnitude luxuries occur
maintain obvious monarch outcome

Enter your name: 

The Man Who Broke the Bank

Barings Bank used to be one of the oldest and most respected British investment banks. It had branches all over the world and many famous customers including the British.

Queen Elizabeth. But last year in February there was bad news at the Singapore branch. In fact, it looked like Barings was in serious trouble. At first the (2) of the problem was not clear. Nobody knew for sure how many bad investments had been made or how much money was involved, but it soon became (3) that the losses were over $1.3 billion dollars, and so large that the 232-year-old bank was forced to close with great losses to its customers.

How could such a disaster (4)? How was it possible for such a respected and trusted institution to have made such mistakes? The top management of Barings promised a thorough investigation and they soon found out who was responsible: a young trader calledNick Leeson.

Here is his story: Leeson had done very well at Barings and had received huge bonuses and rapid promotions for his excellent performance. He came from a very ordinary working-class English family, and he and his wife enjoyed their new life and the (5) that came with wealth and success in Singapore. They ate at the finest restaurants and played tennis at the best club. Leeson became determined (6) his record of success at the bank.

His method was simple. He made very large, very risky investments for Barings in the hopes that there would be enormous profits. If there were losses, he entered them in a certain account and hoped to pay off the growing debt with profits from the next...
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