

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 452 065

SE 064 666

AUTHOR Gunderson, Kari
TITLE An Evaluative Study of the K-8 "Wilderness & Land Ethic"
Curriculum.
PUB DATE 2001-00-00
NOTE 8p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Curriculum Evaluation; Elementary Education; Environmental
Education; Science Curriculum; *Student Attitudes;
*Wilderness

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the effectiveness of the U.S. Forest Service's "Wilderness and Land Ethic" curriculum. Students and teachers from three states--Colorado, Minnesota, and Montana--participated in the study. Comparisons were made between grade level, state, and gender to determine any differences. Results indicate that school-based wilderness education curricula can be effective in educating the wilderness visitor on appropriate wilderness behavior. (YDS)

4. Collect and analyze the opinions of teachers who have used the "Wilderness & Land Ethic" curriculum to develop recommendations on how the curriculum can be improved.
5. Make recommendations on how Wilderness curricula and teacher workshops can effectively influence knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about Wilderness.

Research Questions

This study addresses the following research questions:

1. How does the "Wilderness and Land Ethic" curriculum influence students' knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about Wilderness?
2. How can the "Wilderness and Land Ethic" curriculum and teacher workshops be improved to better address knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about Wilderness?
3. Applying the Model of Responsible Environmental Behavior, what conclusions can be drawn regarding the influence of the "Wilderness & Land Ethic" curriculum on knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about Wilderness?

Literature

The literature on Wilderness education, environmental education, and evaluation of related curriculum was reviewed. The literature review included several learning theories pertaining to cognition and behavior, social psychology theories of persuasion, and a discussion of the behavioral model of responsible environmental behavior as a theoretical foundation for this study.

Theoretical Foundations

This current study uses the model of responsible environmental behavior (Hines, Hungerford, & Tomera, 1986/1987; Hungerford & Volk, 1990) as the primary theoretical foundation of the research, and applied the model, and its variables, to the process of Wilderness education. Since the goal of environmental education is the development of environmentally responsible and active citizens (Stapp, 1969), seven variables identified in the responsible environmental behavior model were tested, using the "Wilderness and Land Ethic" curriculum as an evaluative study, to determine if the curriculum influences students' knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about Wilderness, and if the model can be used to better understand the influence the curriculum has on participants.

Methodology

The research design used multiple data collection methods. The evaluation methods include both qualitative and quantitative methodology. Evaluation of the "Wilderness and Land Ethic" curriculum used a mail-back survey of teachers, testing of students and interviews with teachers. One of the lessons in this curriculum focuses on an introduction to Wilderness. Because the introductory lesson incorporates students' knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about Wilderness, it was a major focus of this curriculum evaluation.

Description of Participants

Participants for this study included both teachers and students. Student participants were school-age children in the fourth and eighth grades who attend selected public schools in Colorado, Minnesota and Montana. Student participants consisted of 670 students in 11 schools. There were 174 fourth graders from 8 elementary schools and 496 seventh grade students from 6 middle schools. These three states were chosen as study sites due to a long history of the Wilderness curriculum use and school proximity to Wilderness areas. Students were selected from four community size areas (rural/small town [less than 2,500 to less than 25,000], large town/mid-size city [greater than 25,000 to less than 400,000], suburban [fringes of mid-size city and large city], and big city [greater than 400,000]) in Colorado, Minnesota and Montana. Fourth graders were chosen to represent an elementary grade level that has received little evaluation in environmental education research. Students in eighth grade represented a sample at the middle school level. Eighth graders have been the subject of considerable research regarding responsible environmental behavior (Ramsey, Hungerford, & Tomera, 1981; Volk & Hungerford, 1981).

The teachers selected for this study have participated in a "Wilderness & Land Ethic" workshop, and have used the curriculum with their students.

Interviews with Teachers on Curriculum Effectiveness

Telephone interviews were conducted with twelve teachers (two from each of six geographic areas of the U.S.) who have participated in a "Wilderness & Land Ethic" workshop and used the curriculum with their students. The focus of these interviews was to arrive at a set of goals and objectives that helped guide the curriculum evaluation process; determine how teachers believe the curriculum influences students' knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about Wilderness; and, identified the patterns of curriculum use, characteristics of teachers, and factors influencing implementation. The results of the interviews were used to develop a mail-back survey to evaluate the curriculum with an expanded set of educators.

Survey of Teachers' Perceptions about the Effectiveness of the "Wilderness & Land Ethic" Curriculum

Using the results from the telephone interviews, a mail-back survey was developed and administered to a sample of teachers (224) who have used the "Wilderness & Land Ethic" curriculum to determine their perceptions of the effectiveness of the curriculum. The information that emerged from the results helped identify patterns of curriculum use, teacher's perceptions of how the curriculum influences students, factors influencing implementation, and how characteristics of teachers influence the effectiveness of the curriculum. Teachers were asked to give recommendations on how the curriculum can be improved. For ease in responding, the survey used a multiple-choice scale and included some open-ended questions. The return rate was approximately 52%.

Evaluation of Students' Knowledge, Attitudes, and Beliefs about Wilderness

During the 1999/2000 and 2000/2001 school years, a lesson from the "Wilderness & Land Ethic" curriculum was tested with elementary and middle school students. A pre and post-test on the "Introduction to Wilderness" lesson was administered to students in the treatment groups and the control groups to determine knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs based on seven variables found to correlate with and predict responsible environmental behavior (Hines, Hungerford, & Tomera, 1986/1987; Hungerford & Volk, 1990; Marcinkowski, 1989; Marcinkowski, 1998; Sia, 1986; Sivek, 1989). A lesson from the curriculum was taught to students in the treatment groups. Students in the control groups did not participate in the lesson. The tests were designed using adapted questions from existing tests on responsible environmental behavior.

Comparisons were made between treatment and control groups, between grade levels, by state, and gender and to determine differences regarding effectiveness of the curriculum. Comparisons were made between school community sizes.

The Influence of a "Wilderness & Land Ethic" Teacher Workshop on Teachers' Knowledge, Attitudes, & Beliefs about Wilderness and Curriculum Use

An important component of disseminating the "Wilderness & Land Ethic" curriculum are the teacher training workshops. Teacher training workshop sites representing different demographic areas were selected. Individual telephone interviews were conducted with 24 teachers within six months after teachers participated in a training workshop to determine if there was a relationship between workshop attendance and use of the curriculum; determine if teachers are receiving adequate information on best practices for current state and national educational standards; and, develop guidelines for an optimal training workshop model or delivery mechanism to meet curriculum goals and objectives. Comparisons were made between information gathered from teacher surveys and interviews with teachers who attended training workshops.

All instruments were developed with the Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute researchers and recognized experts in environmental education curriculum evaluation to gain their insights and ensure the validity and reliability of the instruments used. Instruments were pilot-tested prior to conducting the research.

Data Analysis

A combination of data collection instruments were used to assess the effectiveness of "Wilderness and Land Ethic" curriculum to influence knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about Wilderness as well as to determine the future direction of Wilderness education methods.

Interviews

All the telephone interviews were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using content analysis methods. Results from the initial interviews were used to develop survey questions. Information gathered from the telephone interviews with teachers after they had attended a teacher workshop were used to develop recommendations for improving the "Wilderness & Land Ethic" curriculum and teacher training workshops.

Surveys and Pre/Post Tests

Responses obtained from teacher surveys and the pre/post student tests produced several types of data. Survey and test results were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical methods. The methods included frequency distributions, percentile ranking, means, standard deviations, t-tests, and chi-squares. An analysis of differences of pre/post test scores of students determined if there were significantly higher post test scores; whether there was an increase in students' knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about Wilderness; and if the data gathered supports the Model of Responsible Environmental Behavior. Mean pre-test and post-test scores of students were calculated for participating classrooms, and the mean scores were used to compute gain scores for each class. Gain scores between the pre/post tests were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). According to Fleming (1983), this level of data collection is recommended for situations where teachers are distributing a new curriculum to students.

Conclusion

Student Testing

There were more significant differences from pre to post test scores for students in both grade levels from the treatment groups than from the control groups. The curriculum is more effective with seventh grade students than for fourth grade students and it is more effective for Montana students at both grade levels than for students in Colorado or Minnesota. Community size and gender were not major influences. The most significant increases from pre to post test scores occurred for two variables, "Knowledge about Wilderness" and "Environmental Sensitivity". The lesson from the curriculum resulted in an increase in students' knowledge about naturally occurring fires in Wilderness.

Teacher Survey Results and Telephone Interviews

The analysis of teacher survey results indicated that 80% of the teachers who responded have used the curriculum in their classrooms and tend to use it as individual, supplementary lessons. Teachers who used the curriculum tended (significantly) to use the curriculum to fulfill educational standards (82%) and they would like a cross reference of lesson plans with science, math, and social studies standards.

Those teachers who used the curriculum are teachers who have had more teaching experience although there was no significant difference in responses according to where they grew up or the size of the school where they teach. Those teachers (80%) who live close to a designated Wilderness (within 100 miles) are more likely to be curriculum users. Teachers want more age-appropriate lessons and more training opportunities.

The analysis of telephone interviews to determine the influence of a training workshop on curriculum use and how the curriculum can be improved produced numerous recommendations. Of the teachers who have used the curriculum, 70% used it to supplement their science program. The greatest strengths of the teacher workshops indicated by participating teachers were 1) the workshop format which allowed

teaching resources made available to them; and, 3) the in-depth knowledge of the instructors. It is also important to offer workshops for academic credit (92%) and renewal credits (88%). Teachers suggested that a Wilderness education course could be made available on the web, using distance learning so teachers in remote areas can learn and integrate the Wilderness curriculum into their existing curriculum. Offering a course for a college term was another recommendation for implementation. And, teachers want more outdoor experiences modeling Leave No Trace camping techniques.

Recommendations for Wilderness Education

The results of this study indicate that school based Wilderness education curricula can be effective in educating the Wilderness visitor, and future visitors, on appropriate Wilderness behavior. Wilderness education studies which evaluated responsible environmental behavior variables report increases in knowledge and short-term behavioral gains, but no long-term behavior changes. There is a need to conduct longitudinal studies in several grade levels to determine effectiveness of the "Wilderness & Land Ethic" curriculum to influence Wilderness behavior and provide effective approaches to educate students on appropriate Wilderness behavior.

References

Fleming, L. (1983). Project WILD evaluation: Final report of field test. Western Regional Environmental Education Council.

Hendricks, W. (1999). Persuasive communication and grade level effects on behavioral intentions within a wilderness education program. International Journal of Wilderness, 5(2), 21-25.

Hines, J., Hungerford, H., Tomera, A. (1987). Analysis and synthesis of research on responsible environmental behavior: A meta-analysis. The Journal of Environmental Education, 18(2): 1-8.

Hungerford, H., & Volk, T. (1990). Changing learner behavior through environmental education. The Journal of Environmental Education, 21(3), 8-21.

Ramsey, J., Hungerford, H. & Tomera, A. (1981). The effects and issue investigation and action training on environmental behavior in eighth grade students. The Journal of Environmental Education, 13(1), 24-30.

Marcinkowski, T. (1989). An analysis of correlates and predictors of responsible environmental behavior. (Doctoral dissertation, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, 1988). Dissertation Abstracts International, 49(12), 3677-A.

Marcinkowski, T. (1998). Predictors of responsible environmental behavior: A review of three dissertation studies. In H. Hungerford, W. Bluhm, T. Volk, & J. Ramsey (Eds.), Essential readings in environmental education (pp. 227-256). Champaign, IL: Stipes Publishing.

Sia, A. (1986). An investigation of selected predictors of overt responsible environmental behavior. (Doctoral dissertation, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, 1984). Dissertation Abstracts International, 46(3), 667-A.

Sivek, D. (1989). An analysis of selected predictors of environmental behavior of three conservation organizations. (Doctoral dissertation, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, 1988). Dissertation Abstracts International, 49(11), 3322-A.

Stapp, W. (1969). The concept of environmental education. The Journal of Environmental Education, 1(3), 31-36.

Volk, T., & Hungerford, H. (1981). The effects of process instruction on problem identification skills of a sample of southern Illinois eighth graders. Unpublished master's thesis, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>An Evaluative Study of the K-8 "Wilderness & Land Ethic" Curriculum</i>	
Author(s): <i>Kari Gundersen</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p style="font-size: 2em; opacity: 0.5; transform: rotate(-45deg);">Sample</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center">1</p> <p align="center">Level 1</p> <p align="center">↑</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </div> <p align="center">Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.</p>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY. HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p style="font-size: 2em; opacity: 0.5; transform: rotate(-45deg);">Sample</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center">2A</p> <p align="center">Level 2A</p> <p align="center">↑</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </div> <p align="center">Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only</p>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>_____</p> <p style="font-size: 2em; opacity: 0.5; transform: rotate(-45deg);">Sample</p> <p>_____</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div> <p align="center">2B</p> <p align="center">Level 2B</p> <p align="center">↑</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;"> <input type="checkbox"/> </div> <p align="center">Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only</p>
--	--	---

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Kari Gundersen</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Kari Gundersen, Ph.D Candidate</i>
Organization/Address:	Telephone: <i>200-754-2543</i> FAX: <i>same</i>
E-Mail Address:	Date: <i>4/6/01</i>

Sign here, → please



End 2543 @ black foot (over) net

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: University of Maryland ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation 1129 Shriver Laboratory College Park, MD 20742 Attn: Acquisitions
--

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>

EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97)