A paper presented at the Australian Association for Research in Education Conference in 1999 focused on links between beliefs and practices in the teaching of mathematics at the primary and secondary levels. This current paper adds links between beliefs and practices in the teaching of English at the primary and secondary levels. The paper includes both sets of data for comparison purposes. It describes a study in which four primary schools (17 teachers interviewed), three high school mathematics departments (10 teachers interviewed), and two high school English departments (12 teachers interviewed) were visited; interviews were taped and transcribed. It states that teachers' responses were categorized in four ways: practices related to their epistemological beliefs; practices related to their beliefs about motivation; practices related to their beliefs about pedagogy; and attributional beliefs not tied to specific teaching practices. The paper reports that the most marked differences emerged at the epistemological level for mathematics, that is, teachers' conceptions of the nature of mathematics and its place within the school curriculum. It relates that primary teachers tended to see mathematics as tied to students' everyday lives, linked with other aspects of the curriculum, while secondary teachers tended to see mathematics as self-contained—their role was to guide students through its orderly, logical structure. The paper notes that such marked differences between primary and high school teachers did not emerge for English, but primary teachers focused on the development of literacy as a basic educational tool, while high school teachers were caught between arguments for functional literacy versus personal development. (Contains 4 tables and 21 references.) (NKA)
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In the dead of an Antarctic winter, relations at an Australian base went mutinously wrong

He (the station leader) was not the sort of person who expected to win popularity contests. As a leader he thought things through, took a decision and then made an announcement. He believed in rules, pinned notices on board and liked working alone. He seemed not to grasp the idea of collegiate or consultative management.

Being disliked didn't worry him and it was almost as though he thought that better leaders made tougher decisions which upset people and made you unpopular. In his mind, effective management was inextricably linked to unpopularity. (Sydney Morning Herald, October 16, 1999)
Introduction

Teachers' beliefs and practices

Teachers' days are taken up with myriad exchanges with students, fellow teachers, administrative staff, and parents. These exchanges usually are not one-to-one, but one-to-twenty or one-to-thirty. Decisions about what to say or how to behave must be made in an instant. What forms the basis of these decisions?

There is a lot of evidence that teachers' decisions tend not to be based on thoughtful application of a body of professional knowledge acquired during teacher preparation courses, in-service days, or post-graduate study (Korthagen & Kessels, 1999; Nespor, 1987; Pajares, 1992). In fact, teacher preparation courses with their emphasis on theoretical frameworks exert little influence on teaching practice. Rather, decisions are based on deeply held beliefs about teaching that were formed when teachers themselves were students, or, as beginning teachers, assimilating the attitudes and behaviours of their more experienced colleagues. Beliefs, once firmly established, are difficult to change: like everyone else, teachers selectively choose information that confirms their beliefs, even to the point of distorting evidence to make it fit.

An emerging theory about the way knowledge is constructed helps to explain why professional development courses exert little influence on teachers' beliefs and practices (Airasian & Walsh, 1997; Brown, 1997). Knowledge is not transmitted and accepted *in toto* by passive recipients. Rather, people construct new knowledge by aligning it with, or fitting it into, their existing beliefs and experiences. Following from this, knowledge is tentative, subjective, and personal. If teachers' beliefs about activities or attitudes that motivate students and help them to learn are not unearthed and explored, then attempts to challenge them or to encourage different attitudes and behaviours probably will be futile. From another perspective, examining teachers' thinking may provide advances in theory.

The causal link between beliefs and practices is not clearcut. One tends to think of beliefs affecting practice. In the newspaper excerpt printed at the beginning of this paper, the station leader's beliefs about the role of a leader do appear to have a direct influence on his behaviour. In other situations, however, the link is less clear. Novice teachers might adopt the practices of
more experienced colleagues because they want to fit into the school, not because of any deliberate intention to translate their own beliefs into practices (that is, apart from the belief that people who want to be accepted by the locals should adopt their practices). There are many stories of novice teachers being told to forget anything they were taught at university or teachers’ college because it had no bearing in the real world of schools. Being able to articulate a link between practice and belief may come later. Similarly, enforced changes to behaviour, such as a change in assessment practices, may result in practice followed by beliefs about its efficacy. As an interviewer, it is easier to ask teachers about their teaching practices and then to ask them why they behave this way rather than to ask them their beliefs about effective teaching and to show how they put beliefs into practice. Perhaps we are looking for a clearcut beliefs-practices link that does not exist:

We are also trained to assume an unnatural clarity and tight coherence in what and how people ‘believe’, and so tend to excise contradictions and conceptual blurriness as indicative of inadequacies in informants or ‘the record’, instead of being how people (including ourselves) ‘think’” (Clendinnen, 1991, p.11).

Beliefs and practices in teaching mathematics

The paper presented at the AARE Conference in 1999 focused on links between beliefs and practices in the teaching of mathematics at both the primary and the secondary level. To this paper I have added links between beliefs and practices in the teaching of English at both the primary and secondary level. It seems appropriate to include both sets of data in the one paper for purposes of comparison.

The mathematics reform movement has been calling for major shifts in teachers’ beliefs about the nature of mathematics leading to corresponding major changes in their teaching practices (Battista, 1994; Cobb, Wood, Yackel, & McNeal, 1992; Ernest, 1989; Gutierrez, 1996; Secada et al., 1999). As Battista (1994) points out, “school mathematics was seen as a set of computational skills; mathematics learning was seen as progressing through carefully scripted schedules of skill acquisition” (p. 463). That is, there was a set of numerical problems, each with one right answer, and it was the role of the teacher to show students a set of procedures that would reveal that answer.
The reformists wanted teachers to focus on students’ *conceptual understanding* of problems in everyday life that involved mathematics (eg, working out a cricketer’s batting average, setting out a vegetable garden, managing a shopping budget). Then teachers would encourage students to try to work out ways in which these real-life problems might be solved. The reformers acknowledge, however, that the changes they call for will not come easily. The notion of mathematics as a set of procedures to arrive at a right answer is so deeply ingrained in most mathematics teachers, and in teachers of mathematics teachers, that a re-focus on mathematics as a way of making sense of the world will be hard won.

**Beliefs and practices in the teaching of English**

In primary schools, English is recovering from the phonics/whole word "wars" (eg, Goldenberg, 2000). At the high school level, there have been moves to broaden the definition of literacy to include technological constructions of literacy (Kist, 2000). In addition, there continues to be debate about the role on English in high schools. Should teachers focus on functional literacy, and provide students with a level of literacy to enable them to cope with life in a literate society? Hoffman (2000) argues that the current emphasis on functional literacy with its plethora of standardised tests detracts from the more important function of creating educated, engaged citizens, that “critical reading of texts has taken a backseat to *teaching the basics*” (p. 616). From a post-structuralist perspective, the development of literacy in a broader sense provides knowledge and therefore power within society (Schultz & Fecho, 2000). In addition, the debate continues about passing on cultural heritage to the next generation (the canon of great works of English literature) versus critical analysis of a variety of media.

**Selecting a level of analysis**

The study seeks to examine links between teachers’ beliefs and practices. It is difficult to choose the most appropriate level of analysis: the individual, the school or staffroom level, or the primary/secondary level? On entering any staffroom, differences among teachers in teaching approach, level of expertise, and level of enthusiasm are evident. Certainly, students have no trouble labelling teachers from the same school or the same staffroom as good teachers or bad teachers. These individual differences are evident too in statewide or district wide examination results, where the results for
students of one teacher differ markedly from those of neighbouring classes. At the next level, schools or staffrooms generate distinctive cultures that are evident to an outsider who visits more than one school or staffroom. The more senior or more dominant teachers are confident enough to talk openly about their approaches to teaching and one can see student teachers or novice teachers taking careful note of the views of their more senior colleagues. At the primary/secondary level, other differences emerge, ones that seem to stem from the different structures of primary schools and high schools in NSW. This is the level of analysis for the present study, though further work at both the individual and the school/staffroom level is warranted.

In typical primary schools in NSW teachers take charge of a group of about 25 students for the whole school year. The teacher covers all the syllabus areas (English, mathematics, performing arts, science and technology, physical development/health/physical education, and human society and its environment), and has a home room where he or she can set up, permanently or semi-permanently, a wide range of equipment (eg, books, blocks, shapes, artwork, science experiments). In terms of assessment, the syllabuses indicate the outcomes that students are expected to achieve, and there is statewide testing of numeracy and literacy in Years 3 and 6. In comparison with high school teachers primary teachers appear to have more flexibility in planning, implementing, and assessing their work.

In typical high schools, mathematics and English teachers teach mathematics and English to students from Year 7 to Year 12. So a teacher with three Year 7 classes, a Year 10 class, and a Year 11 class will see about 150 students four or five times a week. Teachers do not have a home room where they can have easy and secure access to physical equipment, so classrooms tend to be Spartan places. Staffrooms are discipline based, so mathematics teachers spend most of their time with other mathematics teachers, while English teachers spend most of their time with other English teachers. Mathematics is formally streamed from Year 9, where students are assigned to Advanced, Intermediate, or Standard classes. Schools make their own decisions about streaming in Years 7 and 8. Streaming in English classes varies from school to school, with some schools choosing to stream by English ability and others deciding not to. Finally, the upper levels of high school are dominated by two statewide examinations, at Year 10 and Year 12. There is a lot of pressure put on teachers by the school and the parents for good results in these examinations.
Method

School principals gave permission to approach teachers and ask them to participate in a group interview at a time that suited them. The audio-taped interviews occurred during the lunch break or directly after school. The numbers of teachers involved in each interview varied from one to approximately six (during the lunch time meetings, some teachers left the group to do playground duty or other tasks, while other teachers joined the group). In all, four primary schools (17 teachers interviewed), three high school mathematics departments (10 teachers interviewed), and two high school English departments (12 teachers interviewed) were visited. The interviews lasted from about forty minutes to about an hour and a quarter. The audio-tapes later were transcribed.

The interview questions were left rather open. The intention was to elicit teachers’ spontaneous thoughts rather than to have them respond to predetermined areas of interest. The questions were:

*Can you tell me about the strategies you use to teach mathematics/English, and explain why you think they are effective strategies?*

*Are there other strategies for teaching mathematics/English that you think would be effective but that you don’t use in your classroom? What are those strategies and why don’t you use them?*

*Why do you think some students don’t do well in mathematics/English?*

*Do you think that it is important for a teacher to be confident that he or she will be able to teach all the students in the class?*

The last question about teachers’ confidence was removed from the later interviews. Teachers did not respond to it in the manner intended by the interviewer (to focus of teachers’ sense of efficacy). Some spoke about the need for the teacher to have an air of confidence, while others said it was more important for students to have confidence in the teacher rather than for the teacher to have confidence in his or her ability.
Results

Teachers’ responses were categorised in four ways: practices related to their epistemological beliefs; practices related to their beliefs about motivation; practices related to their beliefs about pedagogy; and attributional beliefs that were not tied to specific teaching practices. Of course there was some overlap among these categories, especially the motivational beliefs and the pedagogical beliefs. For example, teachers might get students to time themselves doing multiplication grids. This meant they were doing work at a level appropriate to their mathematical development (a pedagogical belief) and their success, doing the grid quicker and quicker, would motivate them to keep trying (a motivational belief).

As mentioned earlier, teachers were not asked directly about their beliefs about the nature of mathematics or English, the nature of motivation, or the nature of pedagogy. The questions moved from the strategies the teachers themselves nominated to the reasons for their use.

Epistemological beliefs and practices

Table 1 shows primary and secondary teachers’ epistemological beliefs about mathematics and English and how they are reflected in their teaching practice. In the primary school, mathematics is seen as linked to everyday life, it is a way of making sense of objects in everyday life, it links with other aspects of the school curriculum, and it is tied closely to language. The beliefs emerge in practices such as playing in the sandpit and manipulating everyday objects to learn about their mathematical properties (e.g., “we’re doing maths now because we’re measuring how many buckets of sand go into a big bucket...we’re doing lots of things in our PLAY program which are purposeful learning activities”). Mathematics also was incorporated with other parts of the curriculum into themes for the term (e.g., “the unit we’ve just done is on dragons...I had sixteen individual activities that are displayed for them, and they will be all sorts of things. They cover all parts of the curriculum, so there’ll be bits on science, there’ll be bits on maths, there’ll be bits on everything, so they have their own contract and they work through the activities themselves, they chose what activities they’re going to”).

And it wasn’t just in the early years of primary school that teachers chose work that mirrored important or interesting activities outside the school. One sixth grade teacher taught multiplication of decimals by having her students
play the stockmarket ("the starter is the stock exchange, and it [the book] shows how the stock exchange works. So I get today's newspaper and I take it in and we develop a share portfolio. We make a list of the shares that they know the company, like Cadburys and Just Jeans and Weetbix and Qantas, so they know what the company is. So we list the value of the shares and I give them a $10,000 budget, and they work out the shares they're going to use. So they're multiplying decimals, so they're learning the concept, learning something else along the way, they've got interest, and about every six to eight weeks we look at the newspaper and see how the shares are doing and they can see if they've made a profit or a loss...they love it, they work it out accurately because they want to know whether they've made a profit or a loss").

Primary teachers also stressed the links between language and mathematics (eg, "my classroom is an intensive reading classroom and we read the sums because it's just like reading, so it's three plus six, it is reading, it's just reading numbers instead of words", "We use a variety of language, like we don't use subtraction only, we'll use subtraction, take-away, minus, less than, so that you're using different language all the time, so literacy is really crossed over").

Most of the secondary mathematics teachers seemed to view mathematics as more self-contained, a set of logical relationships that existed in abstract form almost divorced from the everyday lives of students. Mathematics was conducted for the most part in classrooms where teachers gave examples on the blackboard and students then attempted problems in the textbook (eg, "we try to establish the idea that when you walk into a maths classroom you're here to work...maths is very prescriptive", "they get immediate response to their work, they do their work then at the end of the lesson they get a mark and they know whether they've got it right or wrong, they don't have to wait for a week or a month for feedback"). However, teachers did indicate when they were asked about strategies they might use but did not use, that more manipulation of objects or measuring of area would be desirable. They did not use manipulation because they did not have the time in an already full syllabus, they did not have secure home rooms where they could keep equipment, and students tended to misbehave because they were out of routine. On the whole, however, the interviewer sensed that teachers felt comfortable with the traditional chalk-and-talk approach (eg, "We did have a mathematics laboratory at one stage which was a special room set out with these sort of problems and each Year 7 class used to have one..."
period a week and that was good...it worked well but then we lost all the bits and pieces and then no money to replace them...it wasn’t destroyed or maliciously lost, it was just lost, not collected properly...but the kids used to enjoy that”).

For English, primary teachers focused generally on the importance of helping students develop their literacy, rather than talking about literacy in a wider context. One teacher, though, made the distinction between learning to read in the younger grades, and reading to learn in the older grades, that reading should have a clearly identified purpose. A number of teachers stressed the inter-connectedness of learning (eg, “we try and teach wholistically, we’re teaching the whole child, you don’t try to segregate subjects as much as you used to...because learning isn’t compartmentalized”). Literacy pervaded the whole curriculum.

High school English teachers discussed the competing ideas about the direction of high school English: to develop functional literacy; to provide students with access to their cultural heritage; to develop the skills of critical analysis; and to help students’ personal development. In particular, some teachers railed against a narrowing of the focus to “nuts and bolts” literacy (eg, “I wonder if less imaginative stuff is being done. My daughter in Year 1 started to learn all these text types and I think she barely wrote anything imaginative all year, and when I got her to sit down and write me a story, it’s I don’t know how”, “it’s all vocational, get a job, clever country, I’m sick of it”). Other teachers saw functional literacy as their responsibility (eg, “How many kids that we teach in this school will ever publish a piece of literary material? But how many will need to function every day? While we still want them to be very creative and while we want to have the ability to extend those kids, we have to be practical in the sense that for 98% of these kids, all we are preparing them for is to be functionally literate in a society where they do want to get a job”). Other teachers were concerned that modern society with its easy to absorb media meant that students were not prepared to work at the more demanding tasks of reading and writing (Bruning & Horn, 2000) (eg, “I reckon a lot of kids don’t engage much with their lives, they engage with all the simple things served up to them like ‘The Simpsons’”, “they’re used to something being served up to them so they don’t have to invest themselves personally...they can be even so detached they can be cruel ...the lack of empathy is not the symptom, it’s what you see as the result of the problem, they haven’t engaged”).
Motivational beliefs and practices

Table 2 shows primary and secondary teachers’ beliefs about motivation and the ways they put those beliefs into action. Both primary and secondary teachers believe that the experience of success gives students the motivation to keep working. In the primary school this belief is seen in an emphasis on individual improvement (eg, “we do a multiplication grid and they do it on a time system, but they try to beat their own time...they’re too concerned about being their own time keeper and their own score to care about anyone else”, “often I time them when they read passages, and I say, I see you’ve improved”). One Year 6 teacher allowed students to re-take tests until they passed them (“I’ve got three kids at the moment who are taking the test for the third time, and they’re interested, they’re working out where they’re going wrong, and they’ll come up after lunch and work on the blackboard together, because you’ve got to give them the security of success...they get really excited about doing assessment tasks because they know if they don’t get it right this time they can do it again”).

In the secondary school, belief in the motivational value of success is most obvious in teachers’ endorsement of streaming classes by ability or previous achievement in mathematics. This way, students can be given work they can do successfully. The interviewer asked teachers about the effect of streaming on the lowest stream: did students in these classes give up trying because they were labelled publicly as low achievers? The general response was that though this did happen sometimes, but not always, the benefits of enhanced motivation for most students outweighed the problems for a smaller number of students (eg, “if you stream them the top class does perfectly well and the second class does perfectly well and the third class does perfectly well. It’s not until you get to whatever is the lower of the lowest stream classes that your problem really comes in, and that’s not necessarily the case...it could be a lack of self-esteem, it could be the kid’s too lazy, it could be that the kid doesn’t care, it could be that the kid is quite talented but doesn’t want to be bothered, so you finish up with a group of kids at the bottom who are an extremely difficult problem because they come from all sorts of backgrounds”). It was interesting to hear mathematics teachers argue that streaming was necessary in mathematics but not in other subjects because of its structured logical nature.

Most primary and secondary teachers agreed that students were more likely to work if they felt the teachers cared about them as human beings. This
meant teachers had to show warmth towards students and interest in their lives outside classroom (eg, ask them about a new sibling or how their football team performed on Saturday). One group of secondary teachers argued that this human bond was the basis of all effective teaching ("I think trust is the keyword...to win the child's trust in the classroom, that's the whole of the class too, the individual and the whole class...then you can more or less teach any way you want", "we can all learn strategies, we can pick up a book which will give you ways of planning your classroom, ways of setting up your lessons and how to do that. That's fairly easily taught but until you've got the background behind that, a lot of other things don't come into play...a lot of kids don't get enough emotional support"). One secondary mathematics teacher made a distinction between her behaviour in high streamed classes where she was more formal and distant ("if I get too friendly with someone then I find it hard to reprimand them later") and low streamed classes ("there are more behavioural problems in the lower classes and I find that a more friendly approach or an encouraging approach works better with getting them back on-task, whereas a higher ability class will get back to work").

Some primary teachers and high school English teachers argued that giving students choice in what they did generated in students a sense of ownership and this in turn enhanced their motivation to learn. In practice, this meant allowing students to choose the topic for the term, to draw up school rules, to provide contracts where students could choose from a range of activities, to negotiate contracts, and having students write their own school reports. One secondary mathematics teacher mentioned that he tried to encourage self discipline in students ("If a kid gets an 80 TER [Tertiary Entrance Rank], you say that's great but I don't get more money for that. If a kid gets 30, you say well, I know you're disappointed, I'm disappointed, but let's face it, I still get paid. It's your future that's at stake, not mine. It's your decision how hard you work"). However, but there was no mention of any strategies like those mentioned above that in effect coerced students into taking more responsibility for their work.

Both primary and secondary teachers saw collaboration and peer tutoring among students as a way of enhancing motivation because students enjoy being with their peers. In the primary school and in English high school classes, this generally was reflected in formal or semi-formal group work. In secondary mathematics classrooms, collaboration meant more of a "quiet
buzz” as students discussed work with their neighbours. In one secondary group, they agreed that female students worked well in groups while male students often were too competitive for the group to work effectively.

Some teachers, both primary and secondary, thought carefully about what they said to students who were becoming discouraged because they were having trouble with mathematics. Their aim was to keep students trying (eg, secondary: “sometimes I set an exam that I think is pretty easy, that most should get 60% right. Then I look at the marks and see that so-and-so got 44 and so-and-so got 47 and I know that they’ll be very disappointed, and so I change what I was going say...I say, I think that was a pretty difficult test, and that anyone who got more that 40 has passed in my book”; primary: “by the time they get to Year 6 they’ve already decided particularly in maths whether they’re good at maths or not. They’ll come into the classroom and say: I’m not good at maths. And I say: I know all you guys and I know that every one of you is capable of learning stuff because I know they all are, brainwise they’re all capable of learning everything we cover, and I say: if there’s anything in maths you don’t understand then it’s highly likely that we haven’t worded it the right way to tell you ‘how to do that yet. It makes a big difference to them”).

Some primary teachers also indicated that students were keen to learn mathematics when they could see how it linked with their lives outside school. The examples of playing the stockmarket and learning addition using people getting on a bus given earlier are good examples of this. Similarly, some English teachers spoke of authentic tasks such as making a current affairs video. No secondary mathematics teacher made mention of motivation coming from connections with life outside school.

Finally, teachers agreed that enthusiasm was infectious: that if teachers appeared excited about what they were doing, then students would show more interest in the work. It was interesting to note that some teachers saw enthusiasm working at the whole school level as well as at the individual level. Staff at one primary school spoke of their strong school philosophy that energised the whole school (“we’re trying to produce a learning community, not just some isolated good learning...you’ve got to have a philosophical base, this is what we believe in, and our Principal always does this with staff every first day, we always write down what we believe in”, “everybody’s very positive here and everybody’s very involved and we share the same philosophies”, “at our school concert, every single child is
involved”). On the other hand, one secondary teacher described an unhappy, divided school with tensions among the staff, particularly between teachers and the executive.

Pedagogical beliefs and practices

Both primary and secondary teachers agreed that students learned if they were given work at an appropriate level of difficulty for them. At the primary level and high school English level, this belief sometimes translated into contract work, where students could choose “standard” work or work at a more advanced level. At the secondary mathematics level, this belief translated into teachers’ support for streamed classes. On a related issue, there was agreement that teachers have to ascertain students’ present understanding so that they can pitch new work at the right level. Both primary and secondary teachers mentioned this strategy (eg, secondary: “you as a teacher has to know exactly what they know...your job becomes doubly difficult to get the pre-requisites covered before you start the new work...the kids are usually unhappy if they’re sitting there and you ask them something which they don’t understand”; primary: “we assess all the time so you can find out if the child is at the stage you think he’s at”, “you have to have evidence to support your findings”).

Primary teachers argued that students understood mathematical concepts much better if they could see the concepts demonstrated in physical ways, often referred to as hands-on learning (eg, “we can do a subtraction sum and represent it in a physical sense”, “we can actually represent it in a concrete form...kids go into high school with better knowledge because it’s accurate or it’s properly formed, where before they had all these little building blocks missing, so that when they put the harder maths on it, it just collapsed and they said, oh, I can’t do this”, “once we used to do subtraction as borrowing and paying back, whereas now we trade because you can represent it, we can do a subtraction sum and represent it in a physical sense”). One primary teacher also commented that some young children had trouble with visual discrimination, and so could not distinguish numbers written on a blackboard.

Secondary mathematics teachers agreed that manipulating physical objects would help students to understand mathematical concepts, but argued that it was difficult to use this strategy in high schools (eg, “I know things like hands-on games and models and things like that are really the trend at the
moment for learning, but with a lot of the discipline problems we have now, and a lot of the time it’s just not possible”, “I think the biggest constraint is the number of kids we have in the classroom, if you’ve got thirty in a class you’re really limited to what you can do, you can’t even move on the floor let alone get kids to move around and do things, get up and wander round and have a go at this and have a go at that, try this and try that”, “if you’re doing a geometry lesson on solids you’d like to be able to give all thirty kids a cube, a sphere, a cone and get them to investigate and find different things about them, but for a start we haven’t got thirty of them, and some of the lower ability kids they can’t handle the change of routine...probably that’s our fault, maybe we should persevere and train them, and maybe we should do it more often and get them used to a change of routine, but with the lack of resources you would have to have six kids sharing one cube, and one cone, and one pyramid and the lower ability kids they don’t share too well”).

Secondary English teachers commented that deconstructing (some referred to it as modeling) a text was the most useful way of helping students learn (eg, “Ten, fifteen years ago we used to say to kids: I want you to do this. We made a presumption that they could do it, whereas now we spend a lot of time in the process of deconstruction, of modeling...you might give them an example of what you want them to construct...the deconstruction is taking that apart and looking at the separate sections that ultimately create the text, so you’d be looking at the language features, you’d be looking at the structural components and so on because they actually see how it’s done”).

Some primary teachers said they related school work to students’ everyday lives because this heightened students’ interest and so they gave closer attention to the work (eg, “it’s not just your times tables these days in the teaching of mathematics, it’s not just sums or algorithms of the four operations, they are part and parcel of the whole problem solving process, so it’s trying to make maths real life problem solving relating to the kids’ experience...they’ve got to be involved in their own learning”). Primary teachers also tried to give a game-like feel to mathematics and literacy so that students would not get bored with the repetition of basic concepts (eg, “they don’t realise, but they’re constantly practising this one set of facts, and after a while most of them can’t help but improve”, “the Reading Recovery program made things I was already doing a lot clearer to me and I guess I underestimated the value of structured play...they get used to letters and words”).
Primary teachers also mentioned the importance of moving slowly through the syllabus so that students developed a proper understanding of concepts (eg, "they [syllabus developers] actually slowed down where we did a lot of the things because the concepts weren't understood...people learnt them and could do them off by heart, but when it came to algebra and stuff like that, they couldn't make sense of it"). One high school English teacher commented that process was more important than product ("I no longer consider the product the goal of the exercise. The process is the most important part...it's the process the kids go through because ultimately when you ask them to self construct, they're the processes you need them to go through in order to get to that point...this is what separates us in English from many other subjects in high school"). In contrast, secondary mathematics teachers complained that the demands of the syllabus meant they did not have time for the hands-on activities that would help students learn.

Finally, a number of primary teachers and one secondary teacher pointed to the link between mathematics and language (eg, secondary: "sometimes kids get problems wrong not because they can't do the maths, but because they don't understand the question. One of my friends told me a classic example. She was introducing the topic of volume and going on about it when one of the kids said, but Miss, isn't volume the knob you turn on the TV? So for them it had nothing to do with silos"; primary: "the language is the most difficult for children, that's where they go down in tests because they don't understand the language of maths, that's what we talk about all the time").

Beliefs about why students fail

Primary and secondary teachers agree on a number of reasons for students’ poor performance in school. These include lack of ability, parents who show little interest in what their children do at school, parents who do not instill self-discipline in their children, students who do not value school work, students from unhappy homes who had psychological problems, and poor teaching. Teachers recognise that low achieving students can be their own worst enemy, and are not helped by cruel barbs from other students (eg, primary: "they create a lot of their own problems...they say I'm not going to try to do this, they give up", "there are some kids who can be a bit cruel at times and use the lack of academic ability as a taunt, and these are often the kids that cause the behaviour problems within the schools").
In addition, primary teachers indicated that they had trouble convincing parents to place their children into classes for students with intellectual disabilities. It also was more difficult these days to get students admitted to these classes. One teacher remarked that sometimes she had to work hard to find what ignited interest in a student (eg, “if they have the ability to use all those avoidance techniques, they certainly have the ability to learn something and our job is to find the door that gets us in...sometimes it’s like opening a walnut, you can get into one little crevice and you think, oh dear, that didn’t get me where I wanted to go...You feel when you actually hit it, it’s like you’re talking to someone and they’re suddenly really interested in what you’re saying and you sort of feel the heat”).

One secondary teacher also argued that it was too easy for teachers to blame the students for poor performance, when poor teaching was the true cause (“when you think about it, a student deals with six teachers at a time. Well two of those six may be top-drawer, but the other four may be pretty poor...it’s cop-out for many teachers to say that well, when you look at where they come from, of course they won’t achieve much, it’s their fall-back position... often if you take an interest in kids, they warm to you and start to work because they like you”). Some English teachers felt that there was a generational gap between what schools offered and what students wanted (eg, “what we know they don’t want to know, it’s just the gap because things are moving so quickly now...they don’t want to know what we’re teaching”).

**Discussion**

This paper looks at differences between primary and secondary teachers in their beliefs about effective teaching strategies for mathematics and English. The most marked differences emerged at the epistemological level for mathematics, that is teachers’ conceptions of the nature of mathematics and its place within the school curriculum. Primary teachers tended to see mathematics as tied to students’ everyday lives, and linked with other aspects of the curriculum. This conception of mathematics translated into classroom activities that mirrored outside-school activities. It also translated into activities incorporating aspects from different syllabus areas, held together by an overarching theme. In contrast, secondary mathematics teachers tended to see mathematics as self contained, and it was their role to guide students through its orderly, logical structure. This conception translated into fairly traditional lessons with teachers introducing a new
concept, followed by students practising examples from the textbook. Though mathematics teachers did acknowledge that students would benefit from physical manipulation of objects, they argued that impediments within high schools often prevented this from happening.

Such marked differences between primary and high school teachers did not emerge for English, though there were different emphases. For the most part, primary teachers were focusing on the development of literacy as a basic educational tool, while high school teachers were caught between the arguments for functional literacy versus personal development as a human being and as a contributing member to a society (Hoffman, 2000). Some high school teachers also were aware of post-structuralist debate about the social construction of knowledge (Schultz & Fecho, 2000), and worried that too many students were not prepared to put forward the effort to engage deeply with the experiences of others.

Looking at teachers’ beliefs about motivation, it was interesting to see similar beliefs translate into different classroom strategies. At the primary level, the belief that the experience of success motivated students to keep working appeared in practice as a focus on individual improvement. Students were instructed to keep a record of their performance (e.g., number correct, time to finish an activity) and to strive to do better next time, akin to the “personal best” approach in many sports. With teachers defining success as personal improvement, it was students’ effort that largely determined whether or not they achieved success.

At the secondary mathematics level, the belief that success triggered motivation was linked with streaming classes by ability or prior achievement. As noted earlier, in NSW individual schools can choose their own grouping procedures for Years 7 and 8, but there is formal streaming into Advanced, Intermediate, and Standard mathematics classes for Years 9 and 10. It was by streaming, teachers argued, that students would be given work that they could do successfully. Though some teachers did point out that at times students were put into lower streams because of poor performance rather than lack of ability (they had been badly taught in previous years or they had little interest in mathematics), generally streams were equated by teachers with ability in mathematics. That is, ability level was the principal way of distinguishing among students. Indeed, in one mathematics staffroom in particular the interviewer was struck by the way teachers spoke quite unselfconsciously in terms of “higher ability classes”
and “lower ability classes”. Some of the primary teachers also used within-class mathematics and English groupings using the argument that it gave students the experience of success. However, these within-class groupings lacked the strong structural element of high school between-class groupings.

Primary and secondary teachers also agreed that students’ motivation increased when they were allowed to work with their peers, but again there were differences in practice. Primary teachers and high school English teachers used a lot of formal groupwork and peer mentoring, either mentoring within the one class, or having children from the higher primary years helping children in the lower years. Secondary mathematics teachers did not use much groupwork, or only used it with smaller senior classes. They said they found groupwork too disruptive. Students were taken out of routine and treated groupwork as a social occasion. Teachers preferred the “quiet buzz” of students discussing their work with their neighbours.

Looking at pedagogical beliefs and practices, primary and secondary teachers agreed that it was important that students were given tasks appropriate to their level of development. This was translated into contract work at the primary level and the high school English level, where students could choose to do more advanced tasks or more standard tasks, whereas at the high school level this was translated into streamed mathematics classes. Primary teachers and English teachers more so than mathematics teachers mentioned the connection between interesting tasks and greater attention on the task. One way to do this was to select tasks that mirrored activities that students would do in their lives outside schools. Similarly, they argued that hands-on activities generated interest that lead to closer attention to the task and that lead in turn to greater understanding of the task. There is a widening body of research on the role of interest in learning (eg, Hidi, 1990), and this finding of the ways in which teachers link interest, attention, and achievement warrants further investigation.

The interview data do provide some evidence that primary teachers’ beliefs about mathematics and the strategies they use to put beliefs into practice are more in line with the mathematics reform movement than those of secondary teachers (eg, Battista, 1994). In particular, primary teachers say that they try to link mathematics to students’ everyday lives. They also make strong connections between mathematics and language, pointing out that many problems with mathematics come from misunderstanding words not misunderstanding mathematical concepts.
The interview data do not make clear, however, the extent to which primary teachers have embraced the conception of mathematics as sense-making rather than mathematics as following set procedures. Primary teachers generally do not cover a lot of mathematics at the university level (having to cover six syllabus areas leaves little time for in-depth study of any of them) and they have been criticised for a lack of mathematical understanding. It seems likely that their broader epistemological beliefs about mathematics stem not so much from a sophisticated understanding of mathematics, but rather from a more wholistic approach to teaching at the primary level. That is, teachers see the student as a whole (not just his grasp of language or his grasp of science) because of daily contact for a whole school year. Because they teach across syllabus areas and often take a thematic approach, they can see how students’ understanding of the world does not fit within discipline straightjackets. In addition, the primary mathematics syllabus encourages teachers to see mathematics as a problem-solving activity. In fact, a number of primary teachers commented on the marked change in the way mathematics was taught from the time they themselves were students, or for more experienced teachers, from their early days of teaching.

Secondary teachers’ conception of mathematics seemed to have more in common with the following set procedures approach. Certainly, it seemed self-contained, separate from other syllabus areas, and not strongly connected to students’ lives outside school. Occasionally, teachers spoke of giving some challenging problems to smaller groups of brighter students, but generally the routine seemed to be teachers working through problems on the blackboard followed by students attempting examples from a textbook. As with primary teachers, it seems that secondary teachers’ approach to mathematics is determined to a large extent by the way high schools are organised. That is, schools tend to be large with subject-based staffrooms dotted around the school, so mathematics teachers spend most of their time with other mathematics teachers. Because they teach a number of classes, they do not have much individual contact with their students, and because they do not have control of an individual classroom they tend to move from one spartan classroom to the next with few physical “props” to help students learn. The large statewide examinations at Year 10 and Year 12 tend to be the focus of attention, and teachers are obliged to cover all aspects of the syllabus even if they suspect that students’ understanding of an earlier aspect of the syllabus is weak.
The high school practice of streaming mathematics classes by ability or prior achievement, as noted earlier, inevitably focuses the attention of both students and teachers on ability or lack of it. This can lead to motivational problems for students who are working hard and still not doing well. They are likely to attribute their poor performance to low ability, and because most adolescent students see level of ability as a fixed commodity, have little hope for future success and give up trying. The result is learned helplessness (Stipek, 1998). This is the response of one secondary teacher when the interviewer asked what teachers say to students who try but who still do not do well: “That’s very difficult, we do have those kids who try hard and don’t achieve success. It’s very hard and all we do is try to encourage them as much as we can, and usually they realise they don’t have any mathematical ability, so we just try to encourage them and assure them that they’re doing their best and we can’t expect any more than their best.”

The greater stress in primary schools on individual improvement is more desirable from a motivational perspective. The focus shifts from ability to effort expended, and effort is seen by most people as more under individual control than ability.

High school English teachers in many ways resembled their primary colleagues in their beliefs and practices about teaching English. Primary teachers did not appear concerned about the wider epistemological questions of the role of English literacy in education. Their job was to help students to learn to read and write. High school teachers tended to see English in broader terms and there was disagreement among teachers about the appropriate focus - functional literacy or a broader development of the student as a human being through increasing sophisticated literacy.

The structure of high school creates many impediments to learning: fragmentation of the curriculum and a lessening of students’ commitment to education (e.g., Beane, 1993; Maehr, Midgley, & Collaborators, 1996), with calls for changes in structure and a re-thinking of assessment tasks. There is a move towards “authentic” or “rich” tasks that mirror problems encountered in the world outside school, that move across traditional discipline or syllabus area, and that have intellectual depth (Newman & Associates, 1996). As these reforms take hold (one Australian state already has introduced “rich” tasks into its high schools), high school teachers’ beliefs about the nature of their discipline and their role as teachers will be challenged.
More generally, teachers’ beliefs about why students do poorly in school deserve further investigation. Primary and secondary teachers frequently mentioned students’ home backgrounds as an important factor: parents were not interested in what their children did at school; parents did not discipline their children who then turned into behaviour problems at school; parents led transient lives so their children changed schools frequently; children modelled their parents’ dislike of schools. One group of teachers replied “we’ve got the shallow end of the gene pool here.” These attributional beliefs often, no doubt, reflect reality - many parents do not endorse teachers’ arguments about the benefits of succeeding at school.

However, these beliefs highlight the social class divide between middle class teachers and working class students. As Secada and his colleagues (Secada et al., 1999) point out, research into teachers’ beliefs should extend further than epistemology and pedagogy. Beliefs about students’ social class, ethnicity, and gender also play an important role in teachers’ classroom behaviour. One secondary teacher, as noted earlier, commented that it was too easy for incompetent teachers to blame students for poor performance: “it’s a cop-out for many teachers to say that, well, when you look at where they come from, of course they won’t achieve much...it’s their fall-back position.”

There was little evidence in the data that teachers’ beliefs or practices were the product of professional preparation courses, though it should be noted that in the interview teachers were not asked the origin of their beliefs or practices. This fits with research that teaching decisions tend not to be the result of a conscious selection of a theory of learning and resulting teaching strategies (eg, Pajares, 1992). A number of primary teachers did indicate that their practices were the result of following syllabus guidelines. There was a notable exception to this theory-free approach. The staff of one primary school had deliberately adopted as its school philosophy Glasser’s control theory (Glasser, 1985) and their teaching decisions were the direct result of this theory (eg, allowing students choice of activities, stressing personal responsibility for one’s actions). According to the teachers interviewed, the whole staff enthusiastically embraced the school philosophy, and they were being asked to explain the school’s success to other schools and to speak about it at teaching conferences.
This study has been small-scale and preliminary and its findings need replication. However, the findings do support previous research into the links between teachers’ beliefs and their classroom practices. Primary teachers more so than secondary mathematics teachers appear to be attuned to the conceptualisation of mathematics advocated by the mathematics reform movement. However, this discrepancy in approach may be attributed to differences in the organisation and educational philosophy of primary schools and high schools rather than to a more sophisticated understanding of mathematics by primary teachers. Such marked differences did not emerge for primary teachers and secondary English teachers, though again the structure of high schools prevents the more wholistic approach to education that emerges in primary schools.
Table 1: Primary and secondary teachers' epistemological beliefs and teaching practices in mathematics and English

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary - mathematics</th>
<th>Teaching practices Epistemological belief:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>showing students practical applications of mathematics (eg, counting buckets of sand in sand pit, &quot;playing&quot; the stockmarket)</td>
<td>mathematics is linked to everyday life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>making students manipulate everyday objects</td>
<td>* mathematics is linked to everyday life * students learn best through hands-on activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combining activities from various syllabus areas under a single theme</td>
<td>mathematics is linked to other syllabus areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using a variety of terms for concepts, eg, subtract, take-away, minus</td>
<td>language and mathematics are intertwined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowing activities to &quot;flow&quot; during the school day, rather than strict compartments for English, maths, etc</td>
<td>school subjects aren't compartmentalised in everyday life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary - mathematics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>examples on the board followed by individual work on text book problems</td>
<td>mathematics is self-contained and orderly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>establish a workmanlike atmosphere in the classroom</td>
<td>mathematics is prescriptive, more orderly and accountable than other high school subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acknowledge to the class that some mathematics is boring</td>
<td>what is to be studied in mathematics is set by a syllabus committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>streaming</td>
<td>mathematics requires building up concepts in a logical fashion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students are given maths problems to solve</td>
<td>mathematics consists of answers that can be marked right or wrong immediately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary - English</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as much as possible, use literacy as a means to finding useful, relevant information (eg, investigating a HSIE</td>
<td>in upper grades English literacy enables students to learn about their world</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
incorporate elements of literacy in all aspects of the curriculum

a wholistic approach to the primary curriculum means that literacy pervades all aspects of the curriculum

**Secondary - English**

study texts that our society regards as masterpieces

English literacy provides access to our cultural heritage

deconstruct texts to see how they achieve their effect

English literacy allows students to analyse aspects of their culture (post structural analysis)

study texts/videos to encourage students to empathize with characters, to develop more sophisticated responses, to broaden attitudes and opinions

English literacy as a means of personal growth

model reading and writing activities

develop functional literacy so that students can cope in a literate society

---

**Table 2**: Primary and secondary teachers' motivational beliefs and practices in mathematics and English

**Teaching practices**  **Motivational beliefs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary - mathematics</th>
<th>Motivational beliefs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>focus on individual improvement (e.g., students time themselves as they practise basic maths facts)</td>
<td>students are motivated to continue working when they experience success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>focus on self-competition</td>
<td>as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students make some decisions about what they do in class</td>
<td>students are motivated to learn when they feel a sense of ownership of their work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students write their own school reports</td>
<td>as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teachers show enthusiasm for their work</td>
<td>students model teachers' behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teachers act as though they like students, will protect them from harm</td>
<td>students will not learn if they feel unsafe or not wanted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set up ability groupings in mathematics</td>
<td>* Gives all students the chance to feel successful, and this enhances their motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Students who experience failure when those around them are succeeding quickly give up trying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Students can help each other - this collaboration enhances a sense of community - and this enhances students' motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage students to praise each other's work</td>
<td>* Models desirable behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Enhances a sense of community and this enhances motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students re-take maths tests till they pass them</td>
<td>Students feel that they will be successful eventually, and this enhances their motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explain to students how what they are learning in school relates to their lives outside school</td>
<td>Students are motivated if they see how learning will help them in their lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give students tasks that mirror things they do outside school</td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing a school philosophy among the staff</td>
<td>If teachers feel positive and involved in school activities, then their enthusiasm will &quot;rub off&quot; on students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tell students that if they don't understand work then it's the teacher's problem and she must find another way to explain the work</td>
<td>Students' confidence in their ability to cope with the work rises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary - mathematics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers develop warm relationships with students</td>
<td>Students work hard because they know teachers care about them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streaming</td>
<td>Gives students work they can do and this encourages them to keep on working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid highly competitive situations</td>
<td>Some students thrive on competition but it is very upsetting for a lot of students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitions involving different sorts of activities (e.g., abstract, practical)</td>
<td>Gives a greater number of students the chance to experience success and success motivates them to keep trying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers show enthusiasm for work</td>
<td>Students “pick up on” teachers' enthusiasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk about the importance of self-discipline in students</td>
<td>Sense of ownership enhances students' motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on self-improvement</td>
<td>Students feel successful and this enhances their motivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use personal anecdotes in class</td>
<td>Helps develop a personal relationship with students, and gives a guide for coping with difficult situations, e.g., failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendly relationships among teachers</td>
<td>Makes for happier teachers and this “rubs off” on students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't become too friendly with students</td>
<td>It can be difficult to reprimand students if it's required later on</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Be more friendly with lower ability than with higher ability students | * Lower ability students can't stay on-task for a whole lesson  
* Lower ability students are interested in personal details  
* The hard-handed approach doesn't work with lower ability students |
<p>| Students helping each other solve problems | Most students prefer to help each other than to compete against each other |
| Poor school climate with a gulf between the executive and the teachers | Makes for unhappy teachers and this “rubs off” on students |
| <strong>Primary - English</strong> | |
| In Reading Recovery program, introduce letters in a game-like fashion to get students comfortable with them and happy to work with them | Students will want to learn if learning is presented as fun/play |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buddy reading dyads, pairing a good reader from a higher class with a poor reader</th>
<th>Students will want to learn to read if they have a buddy they like to be with</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Put students in a streamed reading group where they can cope with the work</td>
<td>Students will want to learn if they feel they are successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set up contract work where students have to make choices about what they do and when they do it</td>
<td>Students will want to learn if they have some choice in what they do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make a point of commenting on students' individual improvement (e.g., words read per minute), get students to compete against themselves</td>
<td>Students will be motivated if you focus on their individual improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finish a literacy activity with bingo or fish</td>
<td>Students will work if you finish off with a fun activity (carrot at the end)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get students to praise each others' work</td>
<td>Peer praise will motivate students - what their peers think of them is important</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Secondary - English**

| Provide a variety of classroom activities | *Students get bored with one activity for too long*
|---|---
| Establish a warm atmosphere in the classroom | *Some students like to work alone, while others like to work in a group*
| Set up activities like making a news video | Students will not get involved/ask questions if there's the risk of public humiliation |
| Use the threat of exams | Students like hands-on subjects |
| Set tasks that challenge students to develop their individual potential | Students aren't interested in what we want to teach them - it's a generation gap |
| Give students options about aspects like homework/negotiate what they will do/contracts | Students respond to challenging work |
| Make a video, present a film festival | Students work better if they have some control over their work |

Table 3: Primary and secondary teachers' pedagogical beliefs and practices in mathematics and English
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teaching practices Pedagogical beliefs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary - mathematics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contracts - students can make some decisions about what they do</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| hands-on learning | * they understand concepts more deeply than when talking about it  
* young students can have problems with visual discrimination - numbers and letters on the board can look very similar to them |
| reading mathematics problems | helps students see the link between language and mathematics |
| asking students to explain their answers | gives an insight into students' thinking |
| assessing mathematics | gives teachers evidence of students' progress to help them with teaching |
| mathematics problems that resemble real life problems | students pay close attention because they are interested in the result |
| have a variety of activities | students learn in different ways (visual, tactile, etc) |
| move slowly through curriculum | if teachers move too fast students learn algorithms with no real understanding |
| use mathematical games | mathematics requires a lot of repetition, so make it as fun as possible |
| revisit concepts over time | students must develop full understanding of concepts |
| streaming groups | allows teachers more individual time with struggling students |
| **Secondary - mathematics** |
| newer teachers with poor mathematics background struggle in classroom | it is impossible for them to teach the advanced high school subjects |
| few hands-on activities | * don't have time if the syllabus is to be covered  
| | * don't have home rooms to set up a lot of equipment  
| | * students are out of their routine and so muck up  

| streaming | * the curriculum requires that students are streamed in Years 9 and 10  
| | * students can understand the work they are given  

| make sure the lower stream classes have fewer students than higher stream classes | allows teachers to give more attention to struggling students  

| allowing quiet talk between students (not formal group work) | students can help each other to learn  

| memorising basic number facts (tables) | impossible to move on to more difficult maths if can't do basic maths quickly  

| use group work sparingly | * students tend to go off-task  
| | * use only with small class groups because space is a problem  

| give more difficult problems to groups of high ability students | it works with higher ability groups because students want to find the answer  

| assessment | kids work when they know a test is coming up - it's the carrot - because they want to do well  

**Primary - English**

| structured play with letters and words | students learning to read and write need a lot of exposure to letters  

| use streamed English groups | work must be at an appropriate level if students are to do it successfully  

| teacher reads to students | weaker students can't comprehend if they read by themselves  

model activities for students  
explain why students are being asked to do an activity  
set comprehension exercises occasionally  

**Secondary - English**

- model how to do an activity (e.g., write a poem)
- deconstruct a text/an image/a film
- don't get obsessed with covering material and examining it; rather focus on students' growing appreciation of language and how to use it in their lives
- negotiate contracts with students
- provide a variety of activities - individual, group, reading, discussing
- read to students
- get students to watch and listen to people on the bus
- set homework
- read books, watch films

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>activity</th>
<th>reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>students need to be able to see how pieces of text are constructed</td>
<td>students need to see where they're going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students need to see where they're going</td>
<td>students need to be prepared for tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students can see what you want them to do - we learn by example</td>
<td>students can see how it's done (an apprenticeship)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>focus on the process not the product - helping students to use language to construct a sense of themselves</td>
<td>students will pay closer attention to their work if they have a sense of being in control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students learn in different ways (girls tend to prefer reading and writing, boys tend to prefer discussion)</td>
<td>poor readers can't comprehend if they do the reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connect class activities to life outside the classroom</td>
<td>helps to establish a disciplined attitude to schoolwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extend attention span, develop empathy and more sophisticated responses to the experiences of others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Primary and secondary teachers' beliefs about why students do poorly at school.
## Primary

- Students who are not doing well tend to give up, refuse to try, and this makes the problem worse - this behaviour gets worse as they get older and they start to compare their work with those of other students.
- High achieving students can taunt low achievers and this makes the problems worse.
- Students with psychological problems (e.g., trauma experienced in the home) have trouble learning.
- Sometimes teachers can’t work out why students can’t learn.
- It can be the problem of the teacher who hasn’t worked out how to engage students (getting inside the walnut).
- Parents refuse to have their children placed in classes for students who have intellectual disabilities.
- Students lack academic ability.
- Teachers don’t explain concepts clearly.
- Parents indulge their children so they won’t pay attention in class.
- Parents don’t take an interest in children’s school work.
- Students are transient and so miss a lot of school.
- It’s more difficult these days to get students placed in classes for students with intellectual disabilities.
- There are children with attention deficit disorder who have difficulty concentrating in class.

## Secondary

- Students haven’t been well taught in earlier years at school.
- Students don’t value school work.
- Parents don’t value their children’s school work, parents did poorly themselves at school, some homes are a “cultural desert”.
- Students lack ability.
- The system allows students to progress through grades without passing subjects.
**maturational level - students mature at different rates - they may not be able to grasp concepts now but they could in a couple of years' time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>poor teaching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>teachers blame the students for poor performance when it's the teachers' fault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students have psychological problems because of unhappy home lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teachers don't have a good mathematics background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students' poor behaviour in class means they don't pay attention to the work - discipline problems in schools are on the rise - it's part of wider societal problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students lack self discipline - they're not prepared to work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>they've developed a cycle of underachievement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students won't or can't engage with schoolwork - they prefer to take an easy route rather than grappling with difficult ideas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peer group pressure not to achieve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not enough literacy support is provided in high schools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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