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ABSTRACT
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Figure 1. Number of births, birth rate, and percent of births to unmarried women: United States, 1940-99

## Introductiom

After rising dramatically during the half century from 1940 to 1990, out-of-wedlock childbearing leveled off, or slowed its rate of increase, during the 1990's. Trends observed during the 1990's
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#### Abstract

Objectives-This report presents information on trends and variations in nonmarital childbearing in the United States and includes information on the factors that have contributed to the recent changes. Data are presented for 1940-99 with emphasis on the trends in the 1990's.

Methods-Data in this report are presented on a variety of measures of nonmarital childbearing, including numbers, rates, and percents of births to unmarried women. Most of the data is from the National Vital Statistics System. Additional data explaining the trends shown are from the National Survey of Family Growth, the U.S. Census Bureau, and other national surveys. Data are presented and interpreted principally in charts and related tables.

Results-After rising dramatically during the half century from 1940 to 1990, out-of-wedlock childbearing leveled off, or slowed its rate of increase, in the 1990's. Many factors contributing to the long-term changes as well as more recent trends are described. These include changes in marriage patterns, sexual activity, contraceptive use, and abortion. The experience of the United States is put into context with data on nonmarital childbearing for other industrialized countries. Possible future trends in out-of-wedlock births are considered in the context of current population and birth patterns.
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differ slightly depending on which of three key measures of out-ofwedlock childbearing is used. This report presents information on the trends and variations in nonmarital childbearing among different population groups and updates a detailed chartbook published in 1995, The Demography of Out-Of-Wedlock Childbearing (1). Many factors have contributed to the long-term changes as well as the more recent trends, including changes in marriage patterns, sexual activity, contraceptive use, and abortion. The experience of the United States is put into context with data on nonmarital childbearing for other industrialized countries.

Much of the data in this report for the United States are for 1998, the most recent year for which detailed birth statistics are available (2). However, wherever possible, birth data have been updated for 1999, based on preliminary statistics (3). In all but two States, births to unmarried women are identified by a question on the birth certificate asking for the mother's marital status. For Michigan and New York, the mother's marital status is inferred from other information on the birth certificate. Data from other sources are collected periodically and therefore vary in recency. Data underlying the charts in this report are presented in the detailed tables ( 1 through 9 ) that follow the charts. Detailed information on sources is presented in the Technical notes.

## Trends in the 1990's

The number of births to unmarried women increased from 1.17 to 1.30 million between 1990 and 1999, a far slower average increase than in the previous decade (a little over 1 percent annually compared with nearly 6 percent annually during the 1980's) (figure 1 , tables 1 and 2). The total number of nonmarital births rose just 8 percent from 1990 to 1997, but then rose an additional 3 percent in 1998 and 1 percent in 1999.

Information on the number of births is useful because it tells us about the absolute level of nonmarital births and the extent to which babies begin their lives with a single mother or one who is not legally married to her partner. It is also useful for tracking and anticipating future needs for health, social, and financial supports for single parents.

The birth rate for unmarried women increased from 43.8 biths per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years to 46.9 between 1990 and 1994, and then declined. By 1999 it had fallen to 43.9, essentially the same as in 1990 (figure 1, tables 1 and 3). The birth rate measures the likelihood that an unmarried woman will give birth in a given year. Thus, it is adjusted for variations in the number of unmarried women.

The percent of births to unmarried women increased from 28.0 percent in 1990 to 33.0 percent in 1999 (figure 1, tables 1, 4). Most of the increase was in the early 1990's. Since 1994 the percent has been essentially stable at $32-33$ percent. Like the number of births, the percent of births to unmarried women is also useful for evaluating the proportion of births that occur in single-parent settings, and the potential need for social, health, and other services. Because the population estimates needed to compute the birth rate are often available only at the national level, the number and percent of births to unmarried women are frequently the only measures available for monitoring trends and variations in nonmarital births at the State and local levels.

## Changes in reporting marital status during the 1990's

National trends in nonmarital births from 1988-89 through 1993 are affected by substantial underreporting of these births in two large Michigan and Texas. If births to unmarried women had been
completely reported during those years, the trends would likely have suggested an earlier and more gradual peak in out-of-wedlock births-in the early 1990's-rather than the steep increase in 1994 as the data indicate. Readers may wish to focus on trends before 1988-89 and after 1993, when reporting of marital status is believed to be essentially complete in Michigan and Texas. This topic is discussed in detail in the Technical notes.

In 1995 California changed its procedures for inferring the mother's marital status on the birth certificate. The change affected births to Hispanic mothers, taking into account the naming conventions for this population, especially the use of hyphenated surnames. Nonmarital births to Hispanic women in California fell about 17 percent from 1994 to 1995, but nonmarital births declined for other race and ethnic origin groups as well (down 6 percent for non-Hispanic women as a group), indicating that the drop in out-of-wedlock births to Hispanic women did not result from the methodological change alone.

Additional discontinuities in the data were introduced in 1997 when California, Nevada, and New York City changed their reporting procedures for marital status, and in 1998 when Connecticut changed procedures. New York City strengthened its inferential procedures to base the determination of marital status on the most reliable indicators, namely the absence of the father's name or the presence of a paternity acknowledgment; the comparison of parental surnames was discontinued. The reporting change in 1997 resulted in fewer nonmarital births in all population groups, although there was a greater impact on births to women aged 30 years and over and to non-Hispanic white and Asian or Pacific Islander women (see Technical notes).

The changes in 1997 and 1998 in the other States had little impact on the national totals, which is the focus of this report although the effects differed by age; the changes are described in detail in the Technical notes. It is important to note that as a result of the changes implemented by California, Connecticut, Nevada, and New York City, their bith data by marital status are much more accurate.

## Long-term trends

Looking now at the half century beginning 1940, we see that the numbers of births rose thirteenfold between 1940 and 1994, from 89,500 in 1940 to 1.17 million in 1990 (tables 1 and 2); the increase over this period averaged more than 5 percent per year. The rate of increase has slowed considerably in recent years (figure 1, tables 1 and 2). The number increased 3 percent from 1997 to 1998, a relatively large single-year increase; most of that rise was associated with the increasing number of unmarried women (see next section). The increase from 1998 to 1999 was just 1 percent. Birth rates, the other factor influencing the number of nonmarital births, have stabilized and in some cases declined in the 1990's (figures 1, 2, and table 3).

The birth rate for unmarried women increased more than 6 times from 1940 ( 7.1 births to unmarried women per 1,000 unmarried women aged $15-44$ years) to 1990 (43.8) (figures 1, 2, and table 1). The increase in the birth rate was most rapid during the late 1970's through the 1980 's, when the rate increased about 4 percent per year. Between 1994 and 1999, the rate has declined about 6 percent overall.

The percent of births to unmarried women rose almost without interruption from 1940 ( 3.8 percent) to 1994 ( 32.6 percent) (figure 1 , tables 1 and 4). From 1994 to 1999 there was little change in this measure; it was 33.0 percent in 1999.


SOURCE: See table 3.
Figure 2. Birth rates for unmarried women by age: United States, 1940-98

## Key factors driving the trenols

The two key factors contributing to the rising numbers of out-of-wedlock births through 1990 were the increased birth rates for unmarried women and the steep increases in the number of unmarried women in the childbearing ages (figures 2 and 3, tables 1, 3, and 5). In other words, the combination of more unmarried women in the population and higher propensities for unmarried women to give birth produced substantial increases in the number of out-of-wedlock births.

The long-term trend in birth rates for unmarried women has been cyclical for most age groups, except the rates for teenagers (figure 2, table 3). Rates for unmarried teenagers rose essentially without interruption, increasing from 7 per 1,000 aged 15-19 years in 1940 to 46 in 1994, before declining 11 percent through 1998. Rates for other age groups generally rose during the 1940's, 1950's, and early 1960's, declined sharply during the late 1960's and 1970's and then increased steeply during the 1980's and early 1990's before stabilizing after 1994. For example, from 1980 to 1994, the rates for women in their twenties increased about 75 percent, whereas rates for women in their thirties rose at least 90 percent. Since 1994 these rates have changed very little. As noted above, it is likely that nonmarital birth rates by age increased gradually in the 1990's with a somewhat artificial peak in 1994, due to substantially incomplete reporting of nonmarital births in . Michigan and Texas from 1988-89 through 1993.

Changes in population size are also important in accounting for changes in nonmarital births. The numbers of women in the childbearing
(3) fined as 15-44 years) increased substantially from the mid


SOURCE: Compiled from data published by the U.S. Census Bureau. See table 5 and reference 25.

Figure 3. Number of unmarried women by age: United States, 1965-98

1960's until the early 1980's, reflecting the impact of the baby boom generation (figure 4, table 6). Moreover, increasing proportions of women and men postponed marriage beginning in the mid-1960's, a trend that shows no sign of abating. For example, between 1965 and 1998, the percent unmarried among women aged 20-24 years more than doubled from 33 to .73 percent, and more than tripled among women aged $25-29$ years, rising from 13 to 45 percent (figure 5, table 7). Increases in the percent unmarried were similarly steep among women in their thirties. The proportion of teenagers who are unmarried has always been very high, but even that proportion has increased; among women aged 18-19 years, the percent unmarried rose from 74 in 1965 to 94 in 1998. As a result of these changes in population size and the timing of marriage, there were dramatic increases in the numbers of unmarried women in these age groups through the earlyto mid-1980's (figure 3, table 5). During the 1990's, the population of unmarried women has continued to increase, with the increase in the late 1990's fueled mainly by growth in the teenaged population.

The percent of all births to unmarried women rose steeply through the early 1990's because of four concurrent trends (figures 1, 6, and tables 1 and 4). First are the increases in the number and proportion of unmarried women as more and more women from the baby boom generation postponed marriage (figures 4,5, and tables 5 and 7); second, the increases in bith rates for unmarried women of all ages (figure 2, table 3); third, a decrease in birth rates for married women (figure 7, table 8); and fourth, a decline in the number of married women in age groups 18-29 years (table 5). Thus, the percent of all births that were to unmarried women rose because births to unmarried women increased while births to married women declined. Increases in the proportion of out-of-wedlock births have been dramatic for all age groups (figure 6, table 4), but the pace of increase has slowed
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Figure 4. Number of women by age: United States, 1950-98, and projections to 2010



SOURCE: See table 4.
Figure 6. Percent of births in each age group to unmarried women: United States, 1950-99


Figure 7. Birth rates for married and unmarried women: United States, 1960-99
in the 1990's. The percent of births to unmarried women has changed very little in the mid- to late-1990's, reflecting the offsetting effects of changes in the key factors. The size of the population of unmarried women in the reproductive ages continues to increase and the married female population continues to fall, but birth rates for unmarried women have stabilized and rates for married women have risen.

Changes in birth rates for married women played an important role in the explosive increase in the percent of births to unmarried women through the early 1990's. Because married women account for most births, a decline in marital fertility can lead to an increase in the percent of births that are out of wedlock, even if birth rates for unmarried women change little or decline. From 1960 to the late 1980's, the birth rate for married women fell more than 40 percent (figure 7, tables 1 and 8 ).

## Variations by race and ethnicity

Nonmarital birth rates differ considerably by race and Hispanic origin. Reliable rates can be computed for white, black, and Hispanic women; populations by marital status and race have not been available to compute similar rates for other race and ethnicity groups except in census years. Until the early 1990's, rates for white women included births to Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women because the necessary detailed population denominators were not available. Rates have been computed separately for Hispanic women since 1990, and non-Hispanic women, since 1994.

Rates for unmarried black women have historically been higher than for white (including Hispanic) women, but the disparity has narrowed because birth rates for unmarried white women have increased more steadily than for unmarried black women (figure 8, table 3). In 1970 the rate for unmarried black women, 96 per 1,000, was nearly 7 times the rate for unmarried white women, 14. By 1998 this differential was just under 2 ; the rate for black women fell to 73 whereas the rate for white women rose to 38 per 1,000 .

The rate for unmarried white women more than doubled from 18 per 1,000 in 1980 to 38 in 1994, and has since changed little (38 in 1998). (The rate for non-Hispanic white women has also changed little since 1994; it was 27 in 1998.) In contrast, the rate for unmarried black women increased about 12 percent from 81 in 1980 to 91 in 1989, and has declined steadily since, by 19 percent, to 73 per 1,000 in 1998 (figure 8 and table 3).

Rates for unmarried Hispanic women are available only since 1990. The rate was highest in 1994, at 101 per 1,000, and has dropped 11 percent since (figure 8, table 3). The birth rate for unmarried Hispanic women is the highest of any race or ethnicity group; this is consistent with the overall fertility patterns for Hispanic women $(2,4)$.

Rates for unmarried women by age within race and Hispanic origin groups show essentially the same trends as the overall rates by race and ethnicity. Rates have fallen especially steeply for unmarried black women under age 35 years (table 3 ).

Birth rates for married black women have declined even more than rates for unmarried black women and are now quite similar (tables 3 and 8). As a result, the proportion of births to unmarried black women remains high, 69 percent in 1999. Birth rates by age for unmarried non-Hispanic white and Hispanic women have generally stabilized or declined during the mid-1990's, while rates for married women have been increasing. Despite this, the proportions of births to unmarried non-Hispanic white and Hispanic women increased during the 1990's


SOURCE: See table 3.
Figure 8. Birth rates for unmarried women: United States, 1970-98
(figure 9, table 4) because of increases in the percent of these women who were unmarried. In 1999 the percents of out-of-wedlock births were 22 for non-Hispanic white and 42 for Hispanic women.

The percent of births to unmarried mothers also differs by mother's place of birth (figure 10) (2). Overall in 1998, 34 percent of biths to women born in the 50 States and the District of Columbia were nonmarital compared with 29 percent of births to women born elsewhere. The gap by nativity is seen for all population groups, with a twofold or greater differential for biths to Asian or Pacific Islander women (the gap for Hawaiians is reversed).

In 1999, 4 in 10 nonmarital births were to non-Hispanic white women, with black women accounting for about one-third of the births, and Hispanic women, one-fourth (figure 11) (3). As recently as 1980, black women accounted for nearly half of all nonmarital births (table 2). The change in distribution reflects the much greater long-term increase in the nonmarital birth rate for all white women than for black women, especially among women aged 20 years and over. In addition, Hispanic women have accounted for an increasing proportion of all U.S. birthsmarital and nonmarital-since 1980 (2-4).

## Teenage childbearing

Trends in teenage births are important to monitor because although less than 3 in 10 nonmarital births are to teenagers, the vast majority ( 79 percent) of teenage births are out of wedlock. Substantial progress has been recorded in reducing overall teenage birth rates in the 1990's. The rate for teenagers 15-19 years dropped 20 percent between 1991 and 1999. The rate for younger teenagers, 15-17 vare fell 26 percent whereas the rate for older teenagers 18-19


SOURCE: See table 4.
Figure 9. Percent of all births to unmarried women: United States, 1980, 1990, and 1999
years dropped 15 percent (3). Of particular note, the birth rate for second births to teens who have had a first birth dropped substantially-by 21 percent-from 1991 to 1996 before stabilizing (figure 12, table 9).

Although recorded birth rates for unmarried teenagers appear to have peaked sharply in 1994, it is likely that the actual peak occurred earlier, perhaps as early as 1991, when the rate for all teenagers reached its highest level. As discussed earlier in this report and in the Technical notes, substantially incomplete reporting of out-of-wedlock biths in Michigan and Texas from 1988-89 through 1993 attificially depressed national estimates of nonmarital birth rates, including rates for teenagers. Birth rates for unmarried teenagers have declined since 1994 (figure 13, table 3). The rate for unmarried teenagers 15-17 years fell 16 percent from 1994 to 1998, while the rate for older unmarried teenagers $18-19$ years fell 8 percent. To put these recent declines in perspective, we should note that from 1980 to 1994, the rate for unmarried teenagers 15-17 years rose 55 percent, whereas the rate for teenagers 18-19 years rose 80 percent. Birth rates have dropped for unmarried non-Hispanic white, black, and Hispanic teenagers, but they dropped the most for black teenagers.

Declines in births and birth rates for all teenagers and for unmarried teenagers are factors in the slowed pace of increase in the 1990's in births and birth rates for unmarried women, in part because births to unmarried teenagers still account for nearly one-third of all nonmarital births. For example, if the birth rates for unmarried teenagers in 1998 were the same as the rates observed in the peak year of 1994, there would have been an additional 45,000 births to unmarried women, or a total of more than 1.34 million in 1998, 3 percent more than the actual number that year.


Figure 10. Percent of births to unmarried women by mother's place of birth, by Hispanic origin, and race or national origin: United State, 1998

## Shifts in age distributiom of ummarried mothers

Because of steep increases in birth rates for unmarried women aged 20 years and over and in the number of these women, as noted earlier, the proportion of all nonmarital births that are to teenagers has dropped considerably. In 1970, for example, half of all births to unmarried women were to teenagers; since 1985 only about one-third of these births have been to teenagers (figure 14, table 2). Women in their twenties account for over half of nonmarital births.

## Changes in marriage and family formation

As noted earlier, dramatic changes in marriage patterns have occurred since the mid-1960's, with increasing proportions of women and men postponing marriage. The increase in the absolute numbers of unmarried women was especially dramatic because the number of women in the childbearing ages was surging upward during this These women were born during the baby boom years.


Figure 11. Distribution of nonmarital births by age, race, and Hispanic origin: United States, 1999


Figure 12. Rate of second births to teenagers who have. had a first birth: United States, 1985-98


Figure 13. Birth rates for unmarried teenagers: United States, 1970-98

A key change in marital status patterns has been the large increase in cohabitation or "living together" among unmarried couples $(5,6)$. In the years 1980-84, 29 percent of out-of-wedlock births were to cohabiting couples; by 1990-94, this proportion increased to 39 percent, according to data from NCHS' National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) and the National Survey of Families and Households (5). Most of the increase in births to unmarried women since the early 1980's was in births to unmarried cohabiting women; nearly all of this increase was among non-Hispanic white women (figure 15).

Concurrent with the increase in cohabitation has been continued declines (although at a slower pace) in the proportion of women marrying upon the discovery of a premarital conception (figures 16, 17) (7). Data from the fertility supplements of the Current Population Survey show that most of this decline occurred from the early 1960's to the late 1970's, but the proportion has continued to fall through the early 1990's. Among women aged 15-29 years conceiving a first birth before marriage during 1970-74, nearly half ( 49 percent) married before the child was born. By 1975-79 the proportion marrying before the birth of the child fell to 32 percent, and it has declined to 23 percent in 1990-94. The decline between 1980-84 and 1990-94 was entirely in the white population (figure 17).

## Wommarital pregrancies

Most of the information in this report focuses on nonmarital births. However, to fully understand trends in nonmarital births requires some review of trends in nonmarital pregnancies. The most recent year for which pregnancy rates by marital status can be computed is 1995, because information on abortions and fetal losses is not as current as information on live births. The pregnancy rate for


Figure 14. Distribution of nonmarital births by age: United States, 1970 and 1999


Figure 15. Percent of nonmarital births to cohabiting parents, by maternal characteristics: United States, 1980-84 and 1990-94
unmarried women in 1995 was 95.8 pregnancies per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years (figure 18), compared with a rate of 113.2 per 1,000 for married women (8).

Pregnancy rates among unmarried women of all races increased sharply, from 88 to 102 pregnancies per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years between 1980 and 1990, but declined slightly to 96 by 1995. The decline in nonmarital pregnancy rates has been greatest among women of races other than white, among whom the rate declined from 175 per 1,000 in 1990 to 152 in 1995 . Despite this decline, in 1995 pregnancy rates remained nearly twice as high among women of races other than white as among white women ( 77 per 1,000 in 1995).

The majority of pregnancies among unimarried women are unintended. Information on unintended pregnancies comes from the NSFG $(9,10)$. Although the proportion of unintended pregnancies has declined for both formerly married and never-married women, still 63 and 78 percent of their pregnancies in 1994, respectively, were unintended (fig-

A critical factor affecting trends in nonmarital births is the abortion rate. The abortion rate for unmarried women in 1995 was 39.3 abortions per 1,000 , more than 4 times the rate for married women (8). In 1995 about 4 in every 10 pregnancies among unmarried women ended in abortion, compared with about 1 in 12 pregnancies among married women (figure 20). The likelihood that a nonmarital pregnancy will end in abortion differs little between white and black women, but is somewhat lower for Hispanic women (figure 21).

The pregnancies of unmarried women were less likely to end in abortion in 1995 than was the case in 1980 (figure 20) (8). Forty-one percent of nonmarital pregnancies ended in abortion in 1995 compared with 59 percent in 1980. The abortion rate for unmarried women fell by one-fourth between 1980 and 1995.

Adoption-In earlier periods, adoption played a role, not affecting the nonmarital birth rates, but rather limiting the number of families initially headed by unmarried mothers. Before 1973 about one in five premarital births to white women were relinquished for adoption. By the mid-1980's (1982-88), this proportion fell to 1 in 30. Formal adoption has been low and continues to be very low for black women (figure 22) (11).

## Sexual activity and contraceptive use

Trends in nonmarital pregnancy rates reflect, in part, trends in sexual activity among unmarried women. Among unmarried adult women of childbearing age, levels of sexual activity remained stable or even increased slighty between 1988 and 1995. The proportion of unmarried women in their twenties who were sexually active in the previous year increased slightly, from 72.6 to 76.8 percent, while the proportion reporting having sex once a week or more remained stable (approximately 40 percent in both 1988 and 1995) (figure 23).

Contraceptive use may also have played a role in declining pregnancy rates among unmarried women. The percent of all unmarried women at risk of unintended pregnancy (that is, sexually active in the past 3 months, and neither sterile, pregnant, post-partum, or seeking pregnancy) who were not using a method of contraception declined between 1988 and 1995 from 18 to 12 percent (figure 24). The methods used by unmarried women underwent important shifts, including an increase in the use of condoms, a decrease in oral contraceptive use, and a shift to the use of long-acting methods (injectable or implant contraceptives) (12). Sterilization remained an important method for unmarried women in 1995, accounting for about one-quarter of all contraceptive use in that group. The vast majority of unmarried women using sterilization relied on tubal ligation; few relied on their partners' vasectomy (12).

These shifts in method use had offsetting influences on the risk of unintended pregnancy. The chances of contraceptive failure (including method failure and incorrect or inconsistent use) in the first 12 months of use are higher for the condom ( 14 percent) than for oral contraceptives ( 8 percent), and lowest for injectables ( 3 percent), implants (2 percent); and sterilization (13). Thus, the mix of methods used by unmarried contraceptive users in 1995 included greater proportions of both more effective and less effective methods.

Among teenaged women, both stabilization in the proportion of teens who have ever had sexual intercourse and more effective use of contraception have contributed to declines in nonmarital pregnancy. According to the NSFG and CDC's Youth Risk Behavior Surveys, the


NOTE: Based on women aged 15-29 years at first birth.
SOURCE: Bachu A. Trends in Premarital Childbearing: 1930 to 1994. Current Population Reports, P23-197. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. 1999 (reference 7).
Figure 16. Among first births conceived before marriage, percent marrying before birth of child: United States, 1950-54 to 1990-94


NOTE: Based on women aged 15-29 years at first birth.
SOURCE: Bachu A. Trends in Premarital Childbearing: 1930 to 1994. Current Population Reports, P23-197. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. 1999 (reference 7).

Figure 17. Among first births conceived before marriage, percent marrying before birth of child by race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1965-69, 1980-84, and ${ }^{100 n} .94$
proportion of teenaged women who are sexually experienced leveled off or declined in the 1990's, reversing the steady increases over the previous two decades (14-16). Unmarried teenagers at risk of unintended pregnancy were not significantly more likely to be using a method of contraception in 1995 compared with 1988, but teenagers became more likely to have used contraceptives at first intercourse (8, 15). Changes between 1988 and 1995 in the methods used by unmarried teenagers using contraception were similar to changes observed among all unmarried women.

The decline in nonmarital pregnancy rates since 1990 has been most pronounced among black women. These trends probably reflect changes in contraceptive use. Among unmarried black women aged 15-44 years, levels of sexual activity in the previous year remained stable between 1988 and 1995 (8). However, contraceptive use shifted in ways that may have reduced the risk of unintended pregnancy. Again, according to the NSFG, the proportion of unmarried non-Hispanic black women who were sexually active and at risk of unintended pregnancy but not using any method of contraception declined from 18 percent in 1988 to 12 percent in 1995 (figure 25). Among unmarried black women who were using a method of contraception, the proportion using oral contraceptives declined dramatically-from 44 to 26 percent between 1988 and 1995-but this decline was offset by the adoption of injectable or implant methods by 10 percent of unmarried black contraceptors. These long-acting methods were particularly likely to be adopted by black teenagers: about one-quarter of sexually active black women 15-19 years of age were using these methods in 1995 ( 8,12 ). In addition, condom use rose dramatically among unmarried black women, from 10 to 23 percent between 1988 and 1995. Calculations by the Alan Guttmacher Institute suggest that contraceptive-failure rates among


Figure 18. Pregnancy rates for unmarried women aged 15-44 years by race: United States, 1980, 1990, and 1995


Figure 19. Percent of pregnancies to women aged 15-44 years unintended by woman's marital status: United States, 1987 and 1994
poor and near-poor black women declined between 1988 and 1995, O percent to 21 percent $(13,17)$.


Figure 20. Percent of pregnancies ending in abortion by marital status: United States, 1980, 1990, and 1995


Figure 21. Percent of pregnancies ending in abortion by marital status, race, and Hispanic origin for women aged 15-44 years: United States, 1995

## Imternarionall comparisons

The United States has not been alone, nor has it outpaced other countries, in nonmarital childbearing. In 1998, for example, two-thirds of births in Iceland and half or more of births in Norway and Sweden were out of wedlock, compared with one-third in the United States (18-21). Other industrialized countries with higher proportions of nonmarital births were Denmark, France, the United Kingdom, and


SOURCE: See reference 11.
Figure 22. Among children born to never-married women under 45 years of age, percent who were relinquished for adoption, by race, according to year of birth


Figure 23. Frequency of sexual intercourse among unmarried women 20-29 years of age: United States, 1995

Finland. The upward trend in nonmarital birth proportions observed in the United States is matched as well in all industrialized countries (figure 26). However, levels in the United States are much higher than in some industrialized countries. In Germany, Italy, Greece, and ${ }^{\text {lonnon}}$, less than 15 percent of births occur out of wedlock.

## Furture irends

Possible future patterns in nonmarital childbearing will be affected by a variety of different factors. The size and composition of the unmarried female population is clearly an important variable. According to current projections by the U.S. Census Bureau, the total number of women in the key age groups $18-29$ years is expected to rise by about 13 percent between 1999 and 2010, although the overall number of women in the childbearing ages 15-44 years will increase by only 0.6 percent (22). If we assume no change in the proportion of these women who are unmarried by age (as of 1999)-a conservative assumption-then the number of unmarried women aged 18-29 years will grow about 14 percent. Again, the overall number of unmarried women aged 15-44 years will rise much less-only about 5 percent. If nonmarital birth rates by age remain at their 1998 levels in 2010, the number of nonmarital births could be expected to grow by 11 percent, from 1.30 million in 1999 to 1.44 million in 2000 . Thus, shifts in the number of women in the peak years of nonmarital childbearing alone will account for a substantial increase in the number of nonmarital births, even if nonmarital birth rates and the propensity to delay marriage remain unchanged.

As just suggested, a shift in marriage patterns could make a big difference. The above hypothetical trends could be sharply different if marriage rates for women in their twenties especially were to increase. Similarly, a rise in birth rates for married women could help contain an increase in the proportion of nonmarital births. A modest 4 -percent increase has been measured in the birth rate for married women since 1996, halting-at least temporarily-the steady 14 -percent decline between 1980 and 1996 (tables 1, 8).

The sustained decline in teenage pregnancy and birth rates in the 1990's may influence future trends in the percent of births born outside of marriage. Pregnancies and births that occur in the teenage years are much more likely to take place out of wedlock than those to older women. As births are deferred from the teenage years to early or late twenties, or even thirties, they will be more likely to occur to married women.

Fundamental changes in behavior and attitudes as well as societal trends may play important roles. It appears that attitudes about premarital sex have changed among young people. A large variety of public and private initiatives at the Federal, State, and local level have focused teenagers' attention on the importance of pregnancy prevention through abstinence and responsible behavior (23). Although large proportions of teenagers are sexually experienced, there is consistent evidence that the long-term upward trend in sexual activity among teenagers has halted. There is no evidence, however, that sex in the early teenage years is also on the decline (15). The introduction of effective new bith control methods (implant and injectable contraceptives) has been an important factor in the declines in birth rates for sexually active teenagers and adult unmarried women. In addition, the 1990's have witnessed increased economic opportunity for young people and adults. Continued economic gains are likely to influence positively the educational and career aspirations of young people and deter them from early and out-of-wedlock childbearing.


Figure 24. Contraceptive use among unmarried women aged 15-44 years: United States, 1988 and 1995


Figure 25. Contraceptive use among unmarried non-Hispanic black women aged 15-44 years: United States, 1988 and 1995


1 Data are for 1997.
SOURCE: Reterenc
SOURCE: References 18-21.
Figure 26. Percent of births to unmarried women, selected countries, 1980 and 1998
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Table 1. Number, rate, and percent of births to unmarried women and birth rate for married women: United States, 1940-99

|  | Year | Number of births to unmarried women | Percent of all births to unmarried women | Birth rate per 1,000 unmarried women 15-44 | Birth rate per 1,000 married women 15-44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1999{ }^{1}$. |  | 1,304,594 | 33.0 | 43.9 | 87.3 |
| 1998. |  | 1,293,567 | 32.8 | 44.3 | 85.7 |
| 1997. |  | 1,257,444 | 32.4 | 44.0 | 84.3 |
| 1996. |  | 1,260,306 | 32.4 | 44.8 | 83.7 |
| 1995. |  | 1,253,976 | 32.2 | 45.1 | 83.7 |
| 1994. |  | 1,289,592 | 32.6 | 46.9 | 83.8 |
| 1993. |  | 1,240,172 | 31.0 | 45.3 | 86.8 |
| 1992. |  | 1,224,876 | 30.1 | 45.2 | 89.0 |
| 1991. |  | 1,213,769 | 29.5 | 45.2 | 89.9 |
| 1990. |  | 1,165,384 | 28.0 | 43.8 | 93.2 |
| 1989. |  | 1,094,169 | 27.1 | 41.6 | 91.9 |
| 1988. |  | 1,005,299 | 25.7 | 38.5 | 90.8 |
| 1987. |  | 933,013 | 24.5 | 36.0 | 90.0 |
| 1986. |  | 878,477 | 23.4 | 34.2 | 90.7 |
| 1985. |  | 828,174 | 22.0 | 32.8 | 93.3 |
| 1984. |  | 770,355 | 21.0 | 31.0 | 93.1 |
| 1983. |  | 737,893 | 20.3 | 30.3 | 93.6 |
| 1982. |  | 715,227 | 19.4 | 30.0 | 96.2 |
| 1981. |  | 686,605 | 18.9 | 29.5 | 96.0 |
| 1980. |  | 665,747 | 18.4 | 29.4 | 97.0 |
| 1979. |  | 597,800 | 17.1 | 27.2 | 96.4 |
| 1978. |  | 543,900 | 16.3 | 25.7 | 93.6 |
| 1977. |  | 515,700 | 15.5 | 25.6 | 94.9 |
| 1976. |  | 468,100 | 14.8 | 24.3 | 91.6 |
| 1975. |  | 447,900 | 14.3 | 24.5 | 92.1 |
| 1974. |  | 418,100 | 13.2 | 23.9 | 94.2 |
| 1973. |  | 407,300 | 13.0 | 24.3 | 94.7 |
| 1972. |  | 403,200 | 12.4 | 24.8 | 100.8 |
| 1971. |  | 401,400 | 11.3 | 25.5 | 113.2 |
| 1970. |  | 398,700 | 10.7 | 26.4 | 121.1 |
| 1969. |  | 360,800 | 10.0 | 24.8 | 118.8 |
| 1968. |  | 339,200 | 9.7 | 24.3 | 116.6 |
| 1967. |  | 318,100 | 9.0 | 23.7 | 118.7 |
| 1966. |  | 302,400 | 8.4 | 23.3 | 123.6 |
| 1965. |  | 291,200 | 7.7 | 23.4 | 130.2 |
| 1964. |  | 275,700 | 6.9 | 23.0 | 141.8 |
| 1963. |  | 259,400 | 6.3 | 22.5 | 145.9 |
| 1962. |  | 245,100 | 5.9 | 21.9 | 150.8 |
| 1961. |  | 240,200 | 5.6 | 22.7 | 155.8 |
| 1960. |  | 224,300 | 5.3 | 21.6 | 156.6 |
| 1959. |  | 220,600 | 5.2 | 21.9 | --- |
| 1958. |  | 208,700 | 5.0 | 21.2 | --- |
| 1957. |  | 201,700 | 4.7 | 21.0 | --- |
| 1956. |  | 193,500 | 4.7 | 20.4 | 1537 |
| 1955. |  | 183,300 | 4.5 | 19.3 | 153.7 |
| 1954. |  | 176,600 | 4.4 | 18.7 | --- |
| 1953. |  | 160,800 | 4.1 | 16.9 | --- |
| 1952. |  | 150,300 | 3.9 | 15.8 | --- |
| 1951. |  | 146,500 | 3.9 | 15.1 | --- |
| 1950. |  | 141,600 | 4.0 | 14.1 | 141.0 |
| 1949. |  | 133,200 | 3.7 | 13.3 | --- |
| 1948. |  | 129,700 | 3.7 | 12.5 | --- |
| 1947. |  | 131,900 | 3.6 | 12.1 | --- |
| 1946. |  | 125,200 | 3.8 | 10.9 | --- |
| 1945. |  | 117,400 | 4.3 | 10.1 | --- |
| 1944. |  | 105,200 | 3.8 | 9.0 | --- |
| 1943. |  | 98,100 | 3.3 | 8.3 | --- |
| 1942. |  | 95,500 | 3.4 | 8.0 | --- |
| 1941. |  | 95,700 | 3.8 | 7.8 | --- |
| 1940. | . . . | 89,500 | 3.8 | 7.1 | --- |
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Table 2. Number of births to unmarried women by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1940 and 1950-99-Con.

| Year and race | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { ages } \end{gathered}$ | Under 15 years | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over |
|  |  |  | Total | 15 years | 16 years | 17 years | 18 years | 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated ${ }^{\text {-Con. }}$ - 2.200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1954 | 176,600 160800 | 3,900 3,400 | 67,200 61,500 |  | 33,600 30,900 |  |  |  | 48,800 | 24,500 | 13,400 | 7,000 | 2,100 |
| 1953 | 160,800 150,300 | 3,400 3,200 | 61,700 $\mathbf{5 8 , 7 0 0}$ |  | 30,700 |  |  |  | 45,500 | 22,400 | 12,400 | 6,500 | 1,600 |
| 1951 | 146,500 | 3,200 | 57,400 |  | 29,200 |  |  |  | 43,900 | 22,000 | 11,900 | 6,200 | 1,900 |
| 1950 | 141,600 | 3,200 | 56,000 |  | 28,700 |  |  |  | 43,100 | 20,900 | 10,800 | 6,000 | 1,700 |
| 1940 | 89,500 | 2,100 | 40,500 | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  | 27,200 | 10,500 | 5,200 | 3,000 | 1,000 |
| White, total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race of mother: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported/inferred': |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 302,246 | 155,954 | 78,877 | 40,012 | 9,366 |
| $1999{ }^{2}$. | 835,721 | 4,435 | 244,829 |  | 92,805 |  |  |  | 291,677 |  | 77,883 | 38,905 | 9,320 |
| 1998 | 821,441 | 4,514 | 245,832. | 13,759 | 30,952 | 51,875 | 70.512 | 78,734 | 291,677 | 147,318 |  | 38,878 | 8,715 |
| 1997 | 793,202 | 4,631 | 240,353 | 14,267 | 31,232 | 52,522 | 67.623 | 74,709 | 276,764 | 147,318 | 83,108 | 31,109 40,109 | 9,270 |
| 1996 | 795,432 | 4,959 | 236,815 | 14,510 | 31,831 | 50,652 | 65,706 | 74,116 | 272,673 | 148,498 | 83,108 8292 | 37,931 | 8,455 |
| 1995 | 784,992 | 5,196 | 236,546 | 15,291 | 32,034 | 51,090 | 66,435 | 71,696 | 271,466 | 143,006 | 82,392 | 37,594 | 8,455 8,236 |
| 1994 | 794,261 | 5,407 | 235,263 | 14,915 | 32,107 | 50,947 | 64,912 | 72,382 | 271,364 | 146,527 | -79,876 | 37,594 34,283 | 8,236 7,319 |
| 1993 | 742,129 | 4,868 | 213,080 | 13,280 | 28,656 | 45,096 | 59,890 | 66,158 | 263,538 | 139,905 | 79,136 | 34,2818 | 6,319 6 |
| 1992 | 721,986 | 4,553 | 206,830 | 12,664 | 27,323 | 43,861 | 57,566 | 65.416 | 258,268 | 137,639 | 75,696 | -29,607 | 6,182 6,075 |
| 1991 | 707,502 | 4,346 | 207,035 | 12,615 | 27,150 | 43,058 | 58,132 | 66,080 | 251,228 | 136,727 | 72,484 | 29,6070 | 6,075 5 |
| 1990 | 669,698 | 4,157 | 199,896 | 11,625 | 25,063 | 41,398 | 56,195 | 65,615 | 232,529 | 131,967 | 68,400 | 27,050 | 5,699 4.841 |
| 1989 | 613,543 | 3,920 | 188,253 | 10,904 | 24,094 | 40,110 | 54,599 | 58,546 | 211,815 | 120,640 | 60,344 | 23,730 | 4,841 4.137 |
| 1988 | 557,394 | 3,595 | 173,981 | 10,369 | 23.183 | 39,087 | 49,166 | 52,176 | 192,584 | 108,787 | 53,498 | 20,812 | 4,137 |
| 1987 | 513,984 | 3,466 | 162,039 | 10,238 | 23,672 | 36,208 | 44,907 | 47,014 | 180,698 | 98,554 | 47,424 | 18,195 | 3,608 |
| 1986 | 480,533 | 3,420 | 153,605 | 10,414 | 21,729 | 33,510 | 42,393 | 45,559 | 172,014 | 90,379 | 41,848 | 16,323 | 2,944 |
| 1985 | 445,595 | 3,430 | 145,457 | 9,758 | 20,796 | 32,119 | 39,933 | 42,851 | 161,046 | 81,628 | 37,235 | 14,242 | 2,557 |
| 1984 | 403,022 | 3,258 | 136,065 | 9,188 | 19,640 | 30,294 | 37,158 | 39,785 | 145,873 | 71,057 | 32,102 | 12,329 | 2,338 |
| 1983 | 381,276 | 3,269 | 134,966 | 9,247 | 19,710 | 30,126 | 37,292 | 38,591 | 136,349 | 64,850 | 28,968 | 10,700 | 2,174 |
| 1982 | 365,647 | 3,270 | 133,457 | 9,010 | 19,805 | 30,108 | 36,749 | 37,785 | 130,731 | 60,411 | 26,191 | 9,508 | 2,079 |
| 1981 | 346,541 | 3,090 | 131,452 | 9,135 | 19,792 | 29,907 | 35,847 | 36,771 | 123,602 | 54,650 | 23,909 | 8.112 | 1,726 |
| 1980 | 328,984 | 3,166 | 130,417 | 9,356 | 19,916 | 29,433 | 35,088 | 36,624 | 116,445 | 48,722 | 21,325 | 7.298 | 1,611 |
| Race of child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 294,200 | 3,200 | 125,500 | 9,300 | 19,600 18.600 | 28,600 26,700 | 33,500 31,300 | 34,500 30,80 | 90,200 | 33,200 | 13,700 | 4,900 | 1,200 |
| 1979 | 263,000 | 3,300 | 116,400 | 9,000 | 18,600 | 26,700 25,400 | 28,300 | 27,600 | 77,000 | 28,300 | 11,400 | 4,200 | 1,100 |
| 1978 | 233,600 | 3,300 | 108,500 | 8,900 | 18,200 | 25,400 25,400 | 27,500 | 25,700 | 69,300 | 25,200 | 10,200 | 3,800 | 1,100 |
| 1977 | 220,100 | 3,400 | 107,100 | 9,500 | 18,900 | 25,400 | 24,500 | 25,000 |  | 22,80 | 9,400 | 3,900 | 1,000 |
| 1976 | 197,100 | 3,500 | 97,600 | 9,200 | 17,900 | 22,900 | $\begin{array}{r}24,600 \\ \hline 23\end{array}$ | 23,000 | 54,500 | 21,200 | 8,600 | 3,600 | 1,000 |
| 1975 | 186,400 | 3,600 | 93,900 | 9,500 | 17,400 | 22,000 | 23,600 | 21,400 | 44,500 49600 | 18,600 | 7.600 | 3,400 | 1,000 |
| 1974 | 168,500 | 3,300 | 85,000 | 8,600 | 16,100 | 20,100 | 21,000 | 19,200 | 49,600 48,300 |  | 7,600 | 3,400 | 1,100 |
| 1973 | 163,000 | 3,200 | 81,100 | 8.100 | 15,200 | 19,100 | 20,300 | 18,400 | 48,300 | 18,300 | 7,600 | 3,400 | 1,100 |
| 1972 | 160,500 | 2,700 | 78,600 | 7,500 | 13,800 | 18,600 | 19,600 | 19,100 |  | 17,200 | 7,800 | 3,800 | 1,300 |
| 1971 | 163,800 | 2,500 | 76,000 | 6,500 | 12,400 | 17,300 | 20,000 | 19,900 | 55,300 $\mathbf{6 2 , 1 0 0}$ | 18,000 | 7.700 | 4,000 |  |
| 1970 | 175,100 | 2,500 | 79,300 | 6,100 | 12,600 | 17,500 | 21,100 | 22,100 | 62,100 | 18,000 | 7,700 | 4,000 | 1.400 |
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Table 2. Number of births to unmarried women by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1940 and 1950-99-Con.

| Year and race | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All ages | Under 15 years | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 <br> years | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $30-34$ <br> years | $35-39$ <br> years | 40 years and over |
|  |  |  | Total | 15 years | 16 years | 17 years | 18 years | 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race of mother-Con.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 502,890 | 6,608 | 147,048 | 12,795 | 21,698 | 30,242 | 38,443 | 43,870 | 177,459 | 95,828 | 52,286 | 20,229 | 3,432 |
| 1991 | 506,267 | 6,622 | 150,448 | 12,468 | 21,899 | 30,981 | 39,986 | 45,114 | 177,866 | 97,866 | 51,417 | 18,746 | 3,302 |
| 1990 | 495,686 | 6,518 | 150,074 | 12,443 | 21,246 | 30,623 | 39,766 | 45,996 | 171,344 | 98,024 | 49,800 | 17,099 | 2,827 |
| 1989 | 480,626 | 6,692 | 149,015 | 12,454 | 22,100 | 31,024 | 40,216 | 43,221 | 166,307 | 94,837 | 46,000 | 15,300 | 2,475 |
| 1988 | 447,905 | 6,312 | 138,518 | 12,087 | 20,918 | 30,493 | 36,493 | 38,527 | 158,321 | 87,578 | 41,376 | 13,596 | 2,204 |
| 1987 | 419,029 | 6,117 | 130,919 | 11,767 | 20,843 | 28,012 | 33,431 | 36,866 | 150,559 | 80,703 | 36,762 | 12,076 | 1,893 |
| 1986 | 397,944 | 5,995 | 127,115 | 11,834 | 19,896 | 26,108 | 33,100 | 36,177 | 144,174 | 75,283 | 33,080 | 10,644 | 1,653 |
| 1985 | 382,579 | 5,956 | 125,465 | 11,172 | 18,834 | 26,252 | 33,001 | 36,206 | 139,319 | 70,396 | 30,080 | 9,796 | 1,567 |
| 1984 | 367,333 | 5,817 | 125,039 | 10,757 | 19,123 | 26,353 | 33,017 | 35,789 | 133,319 | 65,899 | 27,159 | 8,587 | 1,513 |
| 1983 | 356,617 | 5,547 | 126,294 | 10,831 | 19,646 | 27,065 | 33,424 | 35,328 | 129,230 | 61,669 | 24,916 | 7,506 | 1,455 |
| 1982 | 349,580 | 5,450 | 127,169 | 11,132 | 19,945 | 27,696 | 33,747 | 34,649 | 126,742 | 58,543 | 23,368 | 6,912 | 1,396 |
| 1981 | 340,064 | 5,499 | 127,787 | 11,419 | 20,381 | 27,974 | 33,209 | 34,804 | 123,317 | 54,524 | 21,391 | 6,169 | 1,377 |
| 1980 | 336,763 | 5,858 | 132,360 | 12,552 | 21,470 | 29,173 | 34,085 | 35,080 | 120,820 | 50,861 | 19,659 | 5,889 | 1,316 |

Race of child:

Table 2. Number of births to unmarried women by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1940 and 1950-99-Con.

| Year and race | All ages | Under 15 years | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over |
|  |  |  | Total | 15 years | 16 years | 17 years | 18 years | 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race of child-Con: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1954 | 113,900 | 3,100 | 44,000 |  | 23,400 |  |  |  | 32,700 | 17,700 | 10,300 | 4,900 | 1,200 |
| 1953 | 104,200 | 2,700 | 40,800 |  | 21,800 |  |  |  | 29,900 | 16,300 | 8,700 | 4,400 | 1,300 |
| 1952 | 96,200 | 2,600 | 39,000 |  | 21,800 |  |  |  | 27,000 | 14,700 | 8,000 | 3,900 | 900 |
| 1951 | 93,900 | 2,600 | 37,700 |  | 20,300 |  |  |  | 26,600 | 14,200 | 7,700 | 4,000 | 1,200 |
| 1950 | 88,100 | 2,500 | 36,100 |  | 19,900 |  |  |  | 25,300 | 13,000 | 6,600 | 3,600 | 1,000 |
| 1940 | 49,200 | 1,600 | 24,500 | --- | --- | --- | --- | -. - | 12,500 | 5,300 | 2,900 | 1,700 | 600 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race of mother: Reported/Inferred ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1999{ }^{2}$. | 417,270. | 3,961 | 115,744 |  | 45,195 |  |  |  | 155,559 | 78,950 | 39,584 | 19,287 | 4,186 |
| 1998 | -421,383 | 4,270 | 121,458 | 8,543 | 16,183 | 24,542 | 33,382 | 38,808 | 151,903 | 79,344 | 40,927 | 19,367 | 4,114 |
| 1997 | 415,054 | 4,682 | 122,986 | 9,306 | 17.410 | 26,006 | 33,227 | 37,037 | 145,647 | 76,977 | 41,520 | 19,223 | 4,019 |
| 1996 | 415,213 | 5,147 | 124,602 | 10,359 | 18,377 | 26,068 | 32,835 | 36,963 | 142,903 | 76,489 | 43,057 | 19,353 | 3,662 |
| 1995 | 421,489 | 5,876 | 127,241 | 11,383 | 19,581 | 26,802 | 33,543 | 35,932 | 145,134 | 75,815 | 44,690 | 19,271 | 3,462 |
| 1994 | 448,315 | 6,404 | 134,371 | 12,154 | 20,428 | 28,592 | 34,770 | 38,427 | 156,304 | 81,599 | 47,044 | 19,242 | 3,351 |
| 1993 | 452,476 | 6,293 | 133,031 | 12,018 | 20,489 | 27,905 | 34,509 | 38,110 | 159,598 | 84,604 | 47,330 | 18,526 | 3,094 |
| 1992 | 458,969 | 6,296 | 135,994 | 12,059 | 20,158 | 27,985 | 35,422 | 40,370 | 162,561 | 86,853 | 46,860 | 17,608 | 2,797 |
| 1991 | 463,750 | 6,298 | 139,325 | 11,701 | 20,402 | 28,714 | 36,902 | 41,606 | 163,532 | 89,198 | 46,370 | 16,357 | 2,670 |
| 1990 | 455,304 | 6,240 | 139,442 | 11,732 | 19,894 | 28,476 | 36,875 | 42,465 | 157,819 | 89,614 | 44,930 | 14,946 | 2,313 |
| 1989 | 442,395 | 6,458 | 138,718 | 11,797 | 20,735 | 28,840 | 37,398 | 39,948 | 153,551 | 86,846 | 41,468 | 13,333 | 2,021 |
| 1988 | 413,157 | 6,057 | 129,333 | 11,498 | 19,680 | 28,470 | 33,914 | 35,771 | 146,697 | 80,125 | 37,290 | 11,833 | 1,822 |
| 1987 | 387,468 | 5,861 | 122,502 | 11,188 | 19,722 | 26,134 | 31,207 | 34,251 | 139,771 | 74,133 | 33,112 | 10,511 | 1,578 |
| 1986 | 369,786 | 5,762 | 119,357 | 11,310 | 18,749 | 24,499 | 31,022 | 33,777 | 134,380 | 69,623 | 29,892 | 9,387 | 1,385 |
| 1985 | 356,205 | 5,753 | 118,058 | 10,653 | 17,881 | 24,695 | 30,867 | 33,962 | 130,032 | 65,126 | 27,262 | 8,650 | 1,324 |
| 1984 | 342,524 | 5,599 | 117,844 | 10,285 | 18,179 | 24,842 | 31,026 | 33,512 | 124,541 | 61,091 | 24,580 | 7,579 | 1,290 |
| 1983 | 333,183 | 5,324 | 119,216 | 10,383 | 18,636 | 25,527 | 31,542 | 33,128 | 120,905 | 57,122 | 22,586 | 6,735 | 1,295 |
| 1982 | 327,998 | 5,272 | 120,243 | 10,685 | 19,015 | 26,218 | 31,796 | 32,529 | 119,133 | 54,500 | 21,366 | 6,229 | 1,255 |
| 1981 | 321,383 | 5,323 | 121,738 | 11,016 | 19,543 | 26,662 | 31,553 | 32,964 | 116,568 | 51,170 | 19,712 | 5,633 | 1,239 |
| 1980 | 318,799 | 5,691 | 126,276 | 12,137 | 20,602 | 27,809 | 32,457 | 33,271 | 114,538 | 47,594 | 18,160 | 5,338 | 1,202 |
| Race of child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 327,000 | 5.800 | 129.700 | 12,400 | 21,100 | 28,600 | 33.400 | 34,200 | 118,300 | 48,500 | 18,300 | 5,200 | 1,200 |
| 1979 | 315,800 | 6,100 | 130,100 | 12,300 | 21,800 | 28,800 | 33,200 | 33,900 | 113,100 | 44,000 | 16,100 | 5,200 | 1,200 |
| 1978 | 293,400 | 5,900 | 125,200 | 12,000 | 21,100 | 28,100 | 32,300 | 31,700 | 103,500 | 38,900 | 14,000 | 4,800 | 1,200 |
| 1977 | 281,600 | 6,500 | 127,200 | 12,900 | 22,600 | 28,900 | 31,900 | 30,800 | 94,600 | 35,000 | 12,600 | 4,700 | 1,200 |
| 1976 | 258,800 | 6,600 | 122,700 | 13,200 | 22,900 | 28,000 | 30,200 | 28,400 | 82,400 | 30.800 | 10,700 | 4,400 | 1,200 |
| 1975 | 249,600 | 7,200 | 123,800 | 13,800 | 23,200 | 28,500 | 30,600 | 27,600 | 75,600 | 27,100 | 10,500 | 4,200 | 1,200 |
| 1974 | 238,800 | 7,100 | 121,200 | 14,200 | 23,300 | 28,600 | 29,300 | 25,900 | 69,700 | 24,900 | 10,200 | 4,400 | 1,200 |
| 1973 | 234,500 | 7,500 | 119,800 | 14,600 | 23,700 | 28,700 | 27,900 | 25,000 | 67,500 | 23,400 | 10,400 | 4,500 | 1,400 |
| 1972 | 233,300 | 7,100 | 119,900 | 14,600 | 23,900 | 28,100 | 28,700 | 24,500 | 67,000 | 22,600 | 10,500 | 4,800 | 1,500 |
| 1971 | 229,000 | 6,900 | 114,900 | 13,600 | 22,600 | 26,900 | 27,000 | 24,800 | 67,000 | 22,400 | 10,900 | 5,300 | 1,500 |
| 1970 | 215,100 | 6,800 | 107,800 | 13,000 | 20,900 | 24,500 | 25,600 | 23,900 | 61,800 | 21,300 | 10,700 | 5,100 | 1,600 |
| 1969 | 189,400 | 6,100 | 95,000 | 11,500 | 17,900 | 21,800 | 23,200 | 20,700 | 53,500 | 18,900 | 9.400 | 4,900 | 1,600 |
| See footnotes at end of table. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Number of births to unmarried women by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1940 and 1950-99—Con.

| Year and race | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All ages | Under 15 years | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over |
|  |  |  | Total | 15 years | 16 years | 17 years | 18 years | 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported/lnferred': ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999². . . . | 320,870 | 2,529 | 90,641 |  | 39,377 |  |  |  | 111,933 | 65,015 | 32,832 | 14,677 | 3,243 |
| 1998 | 305,442 | 2,516 | 88,529 | 6,742 | 13,384 | 19,251 | 23,736 | 25,416 | 106,020 | 61,079 | 30,725 | 13,403 | 3,170 |
| 1997 | 290,437 | 2,586 | 84,594 | 6,820 | 13,036 | 19,003 | 22,030 | 23,705 | 99,740 | 57,331 | 29,920 | 13,242 | 3,024 |
| 1996 | 285,501 | 2,639 | 80,501 | 6,444 | 12,345 | 17,484 | 20,989 | 23,239 | 96,904 | 57,872 | 31,160 | 13,392 | 3,033 |
| 1995 | 277,602 | 2,741 | 79,669 | 6,718 | 12,418 | 17,214 | 20,881 | 22,438 | 93,742 | 55,431 | 30,375 | 12,845 | 2,799 |
| 1994 | 286,469 | 2,805 | 80,319 | 6,613 | 12,366 | 17,468 | 20,889 | 22,983 | 96,594 | 58,474 | 31,899 | 13,437 | 2,941 |
| 1993 | 261,586 | 2,358 | 69,523 | 5,416 | 10,548 | 14,902 | 18,430 | 20,227 | 88,946 | 55,826 | 29,862 | 12,389 | 2,682 |
| $19922^{4}$ | 251,737 | 2,222 | 66,449 | 5,266 | 10,019 | 14,145 | 17,613 | 19,406 | 86,293 | 53,922 | 28,464 | 11,827 | 2,560 |
| $1991{ }^{4}$. | 239,881 | 1,982 | 64,031 | 4,952 | 9,577 | 13,554 | 16,953 | 18,995 | 82,704 | 51,559 | 26,566 | 10,675 | 2,364 |
| $1990{ }^{5}$. | 218,515 | 1,892 | 58,039 | 4,385 | 8,368 | 12,350 | 15,433 | 17,503 | 74,871 | 47,451 | 24,522 | 9,631 | 2.109 |

[^1]Table 3. Birth rates for unmarried women by age of mother: United States, 1940-98, and by age of mother and race and Hispanic origin, 1940, 1950, and 1955-98
[Rates are live births per 1,000 unmarried women in specified group]

| Year and race |  | 15-44 years ${ }^{1}$ | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-19 years | $20-24$ <br> years | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  | 15-17 <br> years | 18-19 years |
| All races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported/Inferred ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 |  |  | 44.3 | 41.5 | 27.0 | 64.5 | 72.3 | 58.4 | 39.1 | 19.0 | 4.6 |
| 1997 |  |  | 44.0 | 42.2 | 28.2 | 65.2 | 71.0 | 56.2 | 39.0 | 19.0 | 4.6 |
| 1996 |  | 44.8 | 42.9 | 29.0 | 65.9 | 70.7 | 56.8 | 41.1 | 20.1 | 4.8 |
| 1995 |  | 45.1 | 44.4 | 30.5 | 67.6 | 70.3 | 56.1 | 39.6 | 19.5 | 4.7 |
| 1994 |  | 46.9 | 46.4 | 32.0 | 70.1 | 72.2 | 59.0 | 40.1 | 19.8 | 4.7 |
| 1993 |  | 45.3 | 44.5 | 30.6 | 66.9 | 69.2 | 57.1 | 38.5 | 19.0 | 4.4 |
| 1992 |  | 45.2 | 44.6 | 30.4 | 67.3 | 68.5 | 56.5 | 37.9 | 18.8 | 4.1 |
| 1991 |  | 45.2 | 44.8 | 30.9 | 65.7 | 68.0 | 56.5 | 38.1 | 18.0 | 3.8 |
| 1990 |  | 43.8 | 42.5 | 29.6 | 60.7 | 65.1 | 56.0 | 37.6 | 17.3 | 3.6 |
| 1989 |  | 41.6 | 40.1 | 28.7 | 56.0 | 61.2 | 52.8 | 34.9 | 16.0 | 3.4 |
| 1988 |  | 38.5 | 36.4 | 26.4 | 51.5 | 56.0 | 48.5 | 32.0 | 15.0 | 3.2 |
| 1987 |  | 36.0 | 33.8 | 24.5 | 48.9 | 52.6 | 44.5 | 29.6 | 13.5 | 2.9 |
| 1986 |  | 34.2 | 32.3 | 22.8 | 48.0 | 49.3 | 42.2 | 27.2 | 12.2 | 2.7 |
| 1985 |  | 32.8 | 31.4 | 22.4 | 45.9 | 46.5 | 39.9 | 25.2 | 11.6 | 2.5 |
| 1984 |  | 31.0 | 30.0 | 21.9 | 42.5 | 43.0 | 37.1 | 23.3 | 10.9 | 2.5 |
| 1983 |  | 30.3 | 29.5 | 22.0 | 40.7 | 41.8 | 35.5 | 22.4 | 10.2 | 2.6 |
| 1982 |  | 30.0 | 28.7 | 21.5 | 39.6 | 41.5 | 35.1 | 21.9 | 10.0 | 2.7 |
| 1981 |  | 29.5 | 27.9 | 20.9 | 39.0 | 41.1 | 34.5 | 20.8 | 9.8 | 2.6 |
| 1980 |  | 29.4 | 27.6 | 20.6 | 39.0 | 40.9 | 34.0 | 21.1 | 9.7 | 2.6 |
| Estimated ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  | 28.4 | 27.5 | 20.7 | 38.7 | 39.7 | 31.4 | 18.5 | 8.4 | 2.3 |
| 1979 |  | 27.2 | 26.4 | 19.9 | 37.2 | 37.7 | 29.9 | 17.7 | 8.4 | 2.3 |
| 1978 |  | 25.7 | 24.9 | 19.1 | 35.1 | 35.3 | 28.5 | 16.9 | 8.2 | 2.2 |
| 1977 |  | 25.6 | 25.1 | 19.8 | 34.6 | 34.0 | 27.7 | 16.9 | 8.4 | 2.4 |
| 1976 |  | 24.3 | 23.7 | 19.0 | 32.1 | 31.7 | 26.8 | 17.5 | 9.0 | 2.5 |
| 1975 |  | 24.5 | 23.9 | 19.3 | 32.5 | 31.2 | 27.5 | 17.9 | 9.1 | 2.6 |
| 1974 |  | 23.9 | 23.0 | 18.8 | 31.2 | 30.5 | 27.9 | 18.4 | 10.0 | 2.6 |
| 1973 |  | 24.3 | 22.7 | 18.7 | 30.4 | 31.5 | 29.6 | 20.3 | 10.8 | 3.0 |
| 1972 |  | 24.8 | 22.8 | 18.5 | 30.9 | 33.2 | 30.8 | 22.6 | 12.0 | 3.1 |
| 1971 |  | 25.5 | 22.3 | 17.5 | 31.7 | 35.5 | 34.5 | 25.2 | 13.3 | 3.5 |
| 1970 |  | 26.4 | 22.4 | 17.1 | 32.9 | 38.4 | 37.0 | 27.1 | 13.6 | 3.5 |
| 1969 |  | 24.8 | 20.4 | 15.2 | 30.8 | 37.3 | 37.9 | 27.0 | 13.5 | 3.6 |
| 1968 |  | 24.3 | 19.7 | 14.7 | 29.6 | 37.2 | 38.3 | 27.8 | 14.8 | 3.8 |
| 1967 |  | 23.7 | 18.5 | 13.8 | 27.6 | 38.1 | 41.1 | 28.9 | 15.3 | 4.0 |
| 1966 |  | 23.3 | 17.5 | 13.1 | 25.6 | 39.0 | 45.1 | 32.7 | 16.3 | 4.1 |
| 1965 |  | 23.4 | 16.7 | -- - | - - - | 39.6 | 49.1 | 37.2 | 17.4 | 4.5 |
| 1964 |  | 23.0 | 15.9 | --- | --- | 39.5 | 49.9 | 36.9 | 16.3 | 4.4 |
| 1963 |  | 22.5 | 15.3 | - | - - - | 39.9 | 48.8 | 33.1 | 16.1 | 4.3 |
| 1962 |  | 21.9 | 14.8 | - | - . - | 40.7 | 46.6 | 29.6 | 15.6 | 4.1 |
| 1961 |  | 22.7 | 16.0 | --- | --- | 41.4 | 46.4 | 28.2 | 15.4 | 3.9 |
| 1960 |  | 21.6 | 15.3 | --- | --- | 39.7 | 45.1 | 27.8 | 14.1 | 3.6 |
| 1959 |  | 21.9 | 15.5 | -- - | --- | 40.2 | 44.1 | 28.1 | 14.1 | 3.3 |
| 1958 |  | 21.2 | 15.3 | -- - | -- - | 38.2 | 40.5 | 27.5 | 13.3 | 3.2 |
| 1957 |  | 21.0 | 15.8 | -- - | -- - | 37.3 | 36.8 | 26.8 | 12.1 | 3.1 |
| 1956 |  | 20.4 | 15.6 | --- | --- | 36.4 | 35.6 | 24.6 | 11.1 | 2.8 |
| 1955 |  | 19.3 | 15.1 | --- | --- | 33.5 | 33.5 | 22.0 | 10.5 | 2.7 |
| 1954 |  | 18.7 | 14.9 | --- | --- | 31.4 | 31.0 | 20.4 | 10.3 | 2.5 |
| 1953 |  | 16.9 | 13.9 | --- | ... | 28.0 | 27.6 | 17.3 | 9.0 | 2.4 |
| 1952 |  | 15.8 | 13.5 | -- - | - - - | 25.4 | 24.8 | 15.7 | 8.2 | 1.9 |
| 1951 |  | 15.1 | 13.2 | - | --- | 23.2 | 22.8 | 14.6 | 7.6 | 2.2 |
| 1950 |  | 14.1 | 12.6 | --- | --- | 21.3 | 19.9 | 13.3 | 7.2 | 2.0 |
| 1949 |  | 13.3 | 12.0 | - - - | - | 21.0 | 18.0 | 11.4 | 6.8 | 1.9 |
| 1948 |  | 12.5 | 11.4 | -- - | - - - | 19.8 | 16.4 | 10.0 | 5.8 | 1.6 |
| 1947 |  | 12.1 | 11.0 | - - - | --- | 18.9 | 15.7 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 1.8 |
| 1946 |  | 10.9 | 9.5 | --- | - | 17.3 | 15.6 | 7.3 | 4.4 | 1.8 |
| 1945 |  | 10.1 | 9.5 | --- | -- | 15.3 | 12.1 | 7.1 | 4.1 | 1.6 |
| 1944 |  | 9.0 | 8.8 | -- - | - - - | 13.1 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 1.3 |
| 1943 |  | 8.3 | 8.4 | - - - | --- | 11.4 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 1.3 |
| 1942 |  | 8.0 | 8.2 | - | -- | 11.0 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 1.2 |
| 1941 |  | 7.8 | 8.0 | --- | --- | 10.5 | 7.8 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 1.4 |
| 1940 |  | 7.1 | 7.4 | - - - | - | 9.5 | 7.2 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 1.2 |

[^2]Table 3. Birth rates for unmarried women by age of mother: United States, 1940-98, and by age of mother and race and Hispanic origin, 1940, 1950, and 1955-98-Con.
[Rates are live births per 1,000 unmarried women in specified group]

| Year and race | $\begin{aligned} & 15-44 \\ & \text { years' } \end{aligned}$ | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  | $30-34$ <br> years | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Total | 15-17 <br> years | 18-19 years | 20-24 <br> years | 25-29 <br> years |  |  |  |
| White, total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race of mother: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 . . . . . . . | 37.5 | 34.0 | 21.8 | 53.5 | 60.5 | 50.9 | 34.9 | 17.0 | 4.0 |
| 1997 | 37.0 | 34.2 | 22.4 | 53.6 | 59.2 | 49.3 | 34.4 | 16.7 | 3.9 |
| 1996 | 37.6 | 34.5 | 22.7 | 54.1 | 59.0 | 49.9 | 36.1 | 17.8 | 4.3 |
| 1995 | 37.5 | 35.5 | 23.6 | 55.4 | 58.0 | 48.7 | 34.2 | 16.9 | 4.2 |
| 1994 | 38.3 | 36.2 | 24.1 | 56.4 | 58.1 | 49.7 | 34.2 | 17.3 | 4.3 |
| 1993 | 35.9 | 33.6 | 22.1 | 52.4 | 54.2 | 46.7 | 32.2 | 16.4 | 3.9 |
| 1992 | 35.2 | 33.0 | 21.6 | 51.5 | 52.7 | 45.4 | 31.5 | 16.2 | 3.6 |
| 1991 | 34.6 | 32.8 | 21.8 | 49.6 | 51.5 | 44.6 | 31.1 | 15.2 | 3.2 |
| 1990 | 32.9 | 30.6 | 20.4 | 44.9 | 48.2 | 43.0 | 29.9 | 14.5 | 3.2 |
| 1989 | 30.2 | 28.0 | 19.3 | 40.2 | 43.8 | 39.1 | 26.8 | 13.1 | 2.9 |
| 1988 | 27.4 | 25.3 | 17.6 | 36.8 | 39.2 | 35.4 | 24.2 | 12.1 | 2.7 |
| 1987 | 25.3 | 23.2 | 16.2 | 34.5 | 36.6 | 32.0 | 22.3 | 10.7 | 2.4 |
| 1986 | 23.9 | 21.8 | 14.9 | 33.5 | 34.2 | 30.5 | 20.1 | 9.7 | 2.2 |
| 1985 | 22.5 | 20.8 | 14.5 | 31.2 | 31.7 | 28.5 | 18.4 | 9.0 | 2.0 |
| 1984 | 20.6 | 19.3 | 13.7 | 27.9 | 28.5 | 25.5 | 16.8 | 8.4 | 2.0 |
| 1983 | 19.8 | 18.7 | 13.6 | 26.4 | 27.1 | 23.8 | 15.9 | 7.8 | 2.0 |
| 1982 | 19.3 | 18.0 | 13.1 | 25.3 | 26.5 | 23.1 | 15.3 | 7.4 | 2.1 |
| 1981 | 18.6 | 17.2 | 12.6 | 24.6 | 25.8 | 22.3 | 14.2 | 7.2 | 1.9 |
| 1980 | 18.1 | 16.5 | 12.0 | 24.1 | 25.1 | 21.5 | 14.1 | 7.1 | 1.8 |
| Race of child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980.... | 16.2 | 15.9 | 11.7 | 22.8 | 22.4 | 17.3 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 1.4 |
| 1979. | 14.9 | 14.6 | 10.8 | 21.0 | 20.3 | 15.9 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 1.4 |
| 1978. | 13.7 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 19.3 | -18.1 | 14.8 | 9.4 | 4.8 | 1.3 |
| 1977 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 10.5 | 18.7 | 17.4 | 14.4 | 9.3 | 4.9 | 1.4 |
| 1976 | 12.6 | 12.3 | 9.7 | 16.9 | 15.8 | 14.0 | 10.1 | 5.5 | 1.4 |
| - 1975 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 9.6 | 16.5 | 15.5 | 14.8 | 9.8 | 5.4 | 1.5 |
| 1974 | 11.7 | 11.0 | 8.8 | 15.3 | 15.0 | 14.7 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 1.5 |
| 1973 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 14.9 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 10.6 | 5.9 | 1.7 |
| 1972 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 8.0 | 15.1 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 12.1 | 6.5 | 1.6 |
| 1971 | 12.5 | 10.3 | - 7.4 | 15.8 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 13.2 | 7.2 | 1.9 |
| 1970 | 13.9 | 10.9 | 7.5 | 17.6 | 22.5 | 21.1 | 14.2 | 7.6 | 2.0 |
| 1969 | 13.4 | 9.9 | 6.6 | 16.6 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 15.1 | 7.6 | 2.0 |
| 1968 | 13.1 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 16.6 | 23.0 | 22.1 | 15.0 |  |  |
| 1967 | 12.5 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 15.3 | 23.0 | 22.7 | 14.0 |  |  |
| 1966 | 11.9 | 8.5 | 5.4 | 14.1 | 22.6 | 23.4 | 15.7 |  |  |
| 1965 | 11.6 | 7.9 | - | -. - | 22.0 | 24.3 | 16.6 |  |  |
| 1964 | 11.0 | 7.4 | ... | - - | 21.1 | 24.0 | 15.9 |  |  |
| 1963 | 10.5 | 7.0 | ... | ... | 20.7 | 21.9 | 14.2 |  |  |
| 1962 | 9.8 | 6.5 | . . | ... | 19.9 | 19.8 | 12.6 |  |  |
| 1961 | 10.0 | 7.1 | ... | --- | 19.7 | 19.4 | 11.3 |  |  |
| 1960 | 9.2 | 6.6 | ... | - - | 18.2 | 18.2 | 10.8 |  |  |
| 1959 | 9.2 | 6.5 | ... | - | 18.3 | 17.6 | 10.7 |  |  |
| 1958 | 8.8 | 6.3 | -.. | ... | 17.3 | 15.8 | 10.8 |  |  |
| 1957 | 8.6 | 6.4 | ... | --- | 16.6 | 14.6 | 10.5 |  |  |
| 1956 | 8.3 | 6.2 | ... | ... | 16.3 | 14.0 | 9.2 |  |  |
| 1955 | 7.9 | 6.0 | -.. | -.. | 15.0 | 13.3 | 8.6 |  |  |
| 1950 | 6.1 | 5.1 | -.. | -. | 10.0 | 8.7 | 5.9 |  |  |
| 1940 . . | 3.6 | 3.3 | -.. | -. | 5.7 | 4.0 | 2.5 |  |  |

[^3]Table 3. Birth rates for unmarried women by age of mother: United States, 1940-98, and by age of mother and race and Hispanic origin, 1940, 1950, and 1955-98-Con.
[Rates are live births per 1,000 unmarried women in specified group]

| Year and race | 15-44 years ${ }^{1}$ | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-19 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported/Inferred ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 27.4 | 25.7 | 15.3 | 42.0 | 45.2 | 35.4 | 24.7 | 12.8 | 3.0 |
| 1997 | 27.0 | 25.9 | 15.9 | 42.3 | 43.8 | 34.4 | 24.5 | 12.4 | 2.8 |
| 1996 | 28.3 | 27.0 | 16.9 | 43.8 | 44.5 | 35.7 | 26.6 | 13.9 | 3.3 |
| 1995 | 28.2 | 27.7 | 17.6 | 44.5 | 43.8 | 34.9 | 25.3 | 13.0 | 3.2 |
| 1994 | 28.5 | 28.1 | 18.0 | 45.0 | 43.8 | 35.0 | 24.8 | 12.9 | 3.1 |
| 1991-93 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |  | ... |
| 1990 | 24.4 | 25.0 | 16.2 | 37.0 | 36.4 | 30.3 | 20.5 |  |  |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race of mother: Reported/nferred ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 73.3 | 83.4 | 56.5 | 123.5 | 131.0 | 90.3 | 51.7 | 24.7 | 6.1 |
| 1997 | 73.4 | 86.4 | 60.6 | 127.2 | 127.8 | 85.2 | 52.3 | 24.7 | 6.5 |
| 1996 | 74.4 | 89.2 | 64.0 | 129.2 | 125.8 | 84.5 | 54.5 | 25.5 | 6.1 |
| 1995 | 75.9 | 92.8 | 68.6 | 131.2 | 127.7 | 84.8 | 54.3 | 25.6 | 6.0 |
| 1994 | 82.1 | 100.9 | 75.1 | 141.6 | 138.1 | 93.6 | 57.2 | 26.3 | 5.9 |
| 1993 | 84.0 | 102.4 | 76.8 | 141.6 | 142.2 | 94.5 | 57.3 | 25.9 | 5.8 |
| 1992 | 86.5 | 105.9 | 78.0 | 147.8 | 144.3 | 98.2 | 57.7 | 25.8 | 5.4 |
| 1991 | 89.5 | 108.5 | 80.4 | 148.7 | 147.5 | 100.9 | 60.1 | 25.6 | 5.4 |
| 1990 | 90.5 | 106.0 | 78.8 | 143.7 | 144.8 | 105.3 | 61.5 | 25.5 | 5.1 |
| 1989 | 90.7 | 104.5 | 78.9 | 140.9 | 142.4 | 102.9 | 60.5 | 24.9 | 5.0 |
| 1988 | 86.5 | 96.1 | 73.5 | 130.5 | 133.6 | 97.2 | 57.4 | 24.1 | 5.0 |
| 1987 | 82.6 | 90.9 | 69.9 | 123.0 | 126.1 | 91.6 | 53.1 | 22.4 | 4.7 |
| 1986 | 79.0 | 88.5 | 67.0 | 121.1 | 118.0 | 84.6 | 50.0. | 20.6 | 4.4 |
| 1985 | 77.0 | 87.6 | 66.8 | 117.9 | 113.1 | 79.3 | 47.5 | 20.4 | 4.3 |
| 1984 | 75.2 | 86.1 | 66.5 | 113.6 | 107.9 | 77.8 | 43.8 | 19.4 | 4.3 |
| 1983 | 76.2 | 85.5 | 66.8 | 111.9 | 107.2 | 79.7 | 43.8 | 19.4 | 4.8 |
| 1982 | 77.9 | 85.1 | 66.3 | 112.7 | 109.3 | 82.7 | 44.1 | 19.5 | 5.2 |
| 1981 | 79.4 | 85.0 | 65.9 | 114.2 | 110.7 | 83.1 | 45.5 | 19.6 | 5.6 |
| 1980 | 81.1 | 87.9 | 68.8 | 118.2 | 112.3 | 81.4 | 46.7 | 19.0 | 5.5 |
| Race of child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated ${ }^{4}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 83.2 | 90.3 | 70.6 | 121.8 | 116.0 | 82.9 | 47.0 | 18.5 | 5.5 |
| 1979 | 83.0 | 91.0 | 71.0 | 123.3 | 114.1 | 80.0 | 44.8 | 19.3 | 5.9 |
| 1978 | 81.1 | 87.9 | 68.8 | 119.6 | 111.4 | 79.6 | 43.9 | 18.5 | 6.2 |
| 1977 | 82.6 | 90.9 | 73.0 | 121.7 | 110.1 | 78.6 | 45.7 | 19.0 | 6.6 |
| 1976 | 81.6 | 89.7 | 73.5 | 117.9 | 107.2 | 78.0 | 45.0 | 19.2 | 7.0 |
| 1975 | 84.2 | 93.5 | 76.8 | 123.8 | 108.0 | 75.7 | 50.0 | 20.5 | 7.2 |
| 1974 | 85.5 | 93.8 | 78.6 | 122.2 | 109.8 | 80.3 | 51.8 | 24.3 | 6.7 |
| 1973 | 88.6 | 94.9 | 81.2 | 120.5 | 116.0 | 84.5 | 57.8 | 27.6 | 7.7 |
| 1972 | 91.6 | 98.2 | 82.8 | 128.2 | 121.2 | 88.3 | 57.4 | 30.4 | 8.5 |
| 1971 | 96.1 | 98.6 | 80.7 | 135.2 | 130.6 | 99.6 | 68.6 | 32.7 | 10.1 |
| 1970 | 95.5 | 96.9 | 77.9 | 136.4 | 131.5 | 100.9 | 71.8 | 32.9 | 10.4 |
| 1969 | 90.6 | 90.3 | 72.0 | 128.4 | 125.3 | 99.5 | 70.1 | 34.3 | 10.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

## BESTCOPY AVAILABLE

Table 3. Birth rates for unmarried women by age of mother: United States, 1940-98, and by age of mother and race and Hispanic origin, 1940, 1950, and 1955-98-Con.
[Rates are live births per 1,000 unmarried women in specified group]

| Year and race | $\begin{aligned} & 15-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-19 years |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 20-24 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported/Inferred ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998....... | 90.1 | 73.9 | 53.0 | 107.8 | 135.0 | 136.0 | 85.4 | 40.1 | 12.0 |
| 1997 | 91.4 | 75.2 | 55.0 | 109.5 | 139.1 | 135.0 | 86.1 | 42.0 | 12.2 |
| 1996 | 93.2 | 74.5 | 53.4 | 110.4 | 146.5 | 139.1 | 90.8 | 42.3 | 12.3 |
| 1995 | 95.0 | 78.7 | 56.3 | 117.9 | 148.9 | - 133.8 | 89.2 | 43.4 | 12.2 |
| 1994 | 101.2 | 82.6 | 59.0 | 123.6 | 154.8 | 141.6 | 95.5 | 48.4 | 14.0 |
| 1993 | 95.2 | 74.6 | 51.9 | 114.6 | 140.5 | 137.7 | 90.9 | 47.8 | 14.1 |
| 1992 | 95.3 | 72.9 | 51.0 | 110.5 | 142.2 | 138.3 | 91.8 | 48.1 | 14.5 |
| 1991 | 93.7 | 72.4 | 50.5 | 109.6 | 135.4 | -137.5 | 89.1 | 47.7 | 14.2 |
| 1990 | 89.6 | 65.9 | 45.9 | 98.9 | 129.8 | 131.7 | 88.1 | 50.8 | 13.7 |

## ... Data not available.

${ }^{1}$ Rates computed by relating births to unmarried women, regardless of age of mother, to unmarried women aged 15-44 years.
${ }^{2}$ Rates computed by relating births to unimarried women aged 40 years and over to unmarried women aged $40-44$ years. Rates by race for years prior to 1969 are computed by relating births to unmarried women aged 35 years and over to unmarried women aged $35-44$ years.
${ }^{3}$ Data for States in which marital status was not reported have been inferred from other items on the birth certificate and included with data from the reporting States; see Technical notes.
${ }^{4}$ Births to unmarried women are estimated for the United States from data for registration areas in which marital status of mother was reported; see Technical notes.
${ }^{5}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
SOURCE: National Vital Statistics System.

Table 4. Percent of births to unmarried women by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1940 and 1950-99

| Year and race | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { ages } \end{aligned}$ | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over |
|  |  | Under 15 years | Total | 15 years | 16 years | 17 years | 18 years | 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported/Inferred ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1999{ }^{2}$. | 33.0 | 96.4 | 78.6 |  | 87.6 |  |  |  | 48.4 | 22.8 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 16.4 |
| 1998 | 32.8 | 96.6 | 78.5 | 93.5 | 89.1 | 84.9 | 78.2 | 69.9 | 47.7 | 22.5 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 16.7 |
| 1997 | 32.4 | 95.7 | 77.8 | 93.0 | 88.5 | 84.0 | 77.5 | 68.6 | 46.6 | 22.0 | 14.1 | 14.6 | 17.1 |
| 1996 | 32.4 | 93.8 | 75.9 | 90.3 | 86.1 | 81.7 | 75.3 | 67.2 | 45.6 | 22.0 | 14.8 | 15.7 | 18.4 |
| 1995 | 32.2 | 93.5 | 75.2 | 89.8 | 85.6 | 80.6 | 74.6 | 65.9 | 44.7 | 21.5 | 14.7 | 15.7 | 18.1 |
| 1994 | 32.6 | 94.5 | 75.5 | 90.7 | 85.9 | 81.0 | 74.8 | 66.3 | 44.9 | 21.8 | 15.1 | 16.1 | 18.7 |
| 1993 | 31.0 | 91.3 | 71.3 | 87.0 | 81.8 | 76.5 | 70.5 | 62.6 | 42.2 | 20.7 | 14.7 | 15.6 | 18.1 |
| 1992 | 30.1 | 91.3 | 70.0 | 87.0 | 81.5 | 75.5 | 69.2 | 61.0 | 40.7 | 19.8 | 14.3 | 15.2 | 17.7 |
| 1991 | 29.5 | 91.3 | 68.8 | 87.1 | 81.1 | 74.9 | 68.1 | 59.4 | 39.4 | 19.2 | 14.0 | 14.6 | 17.4 |
| 1990 | 28.0 | 91.6 | 67.1 | 86.9 | 80.0 | 73.7 | 66.2 | 57.7 | 36.9 | 18.0 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 17.0 |
| 1989 | 27.1 | 92.4 | 66.6 | 87.4 | 80.7 | 73.3 | 65.7 | 56.2 | 35.1 | 17.1 | 12.6 | 13.3 | 15.9 |
| 1988 | 25.7 | 93.6 | 65.3 | 87.7 | 80.0 | 72.6 | 64.2 | 53.9 | 32.9 | 15.9 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 15.6 |
| 1987 | 24.5 | 92.9 | 63.4 | 86.7 | 79.0 | 70.7 | 61.5 | 51.7 | 30.8 | 14.7 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 15.2 |
| 1986 | 23.4 | 92.5 | 60.8 | 85.7 | 76.8 | 67.4 | 59.1 | 49.4 | 28.7 | 13.8 | 10.4 | 11.7 | 14.8 |
| 1985 | 22.0 | 91.8 | 58.0 | 83.7 | 74.1 | 65.4 | 56.3 | 46.5 | 26.3 | 12.7 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 14.0 |
| 1984 | 21.0 | 91.1 | 55.6 | 82.6 | 72.9 | 63.4 | 53.9 | 43.7 | 24.5 | 11.8 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 13.8 |
| 1983 | 20.3 | 90.4 | 53.4 | 81.3 | 71.6 | 61.5 | 51.7 | 41.1 | 22.9 | 11.0 | 8.6 | 10.1 | 13.4 |
| 1982 | 19.4 | 89.2 | 50.7 | 80.3 | 69.3 | 58.6 | 48.6 | 38.6 | 21.4 | 10.3 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 13.4 |
| 1981 | 18.9 | 89.2 | 49.2 | 79.2 | 67.4 | 56.8 | 47.0 | 37.1 | 20.4 | 9.7 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 12.7 |
| 1980 | 18.4 | 88.7 | 47.6 | 77.8 | 65.5 | 54.9 | 45.1 | 35.7 | 19.4 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 12.1 |
| Estimated ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 17.8 | 90.5 | 47.5 | 78.8 | 66.0 | 55.0 | 44.9 | 35.3 | 18.8 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 10.7 |
| 1979 | 17.1 | 88.8 | 46.1 | 76.8 | 64.6 | 52.8 | 43.3 | 34.0 | 17.7 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 10.3 |
| 1978 | 16.3 | 87.3 | 44.1 | 74.1 | 61.9 | 50.4 | 41.0 | 32.2 | 16.4 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 9.6 |
| 1977 | 15.5 | 88.2 | 42.9 | 74.3 | 60.5 | 49.2 | 39.6 | 30.2 | 14.7 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 9.0 |
| 1976 | 14.8 | 86.4 | 40.3 | 71.9 | 58.6 | 46.2 | 36.4 | 27.8 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 8.9 |
| 1975 | 14.3 | 87.0 | 38.2 | 71.2 | 55.8 | 43.1 | 34.3 | 26.1 | 12.3 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 8.2 |
| 1974 | 13.2 | 84.6 | 35.4 | 68.5 | 52.8 | 40.0 | 31.3 | 23.5 | 11.1 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 7.8 |
| 1973 | 13.0 | 84.8 | 33.9 | 66.2 | 50.8 | 38.7 | 29.3 | 22.4 | 10.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 7.7 |
| 1972 | 12.4 | 81.9 | 32.8 | 66.7 | 50.1 | 37.7 | 28.5 | 21.5 | 10.2 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 6.9 |
| 1971 | 11.3 | $82.1{ }^{\circ}$ | 30.9 | 65.6 | 49.1 | 36.5 | 27.1 | 20.2 | 9.2 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 6.6 |
| 1970 | 10.7 | 80.8 | 29.5 | 43.4 | 34.7 | 27.1 | 20.9 | 16.2 | 8.9 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 5.7 |
| 1969 | 10.0 | 79.3 | 27.8 | 62.8 | 46.1 | 33.5 | 24.5 | 18.5 | 8.6 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| 1968 | 9.7 | 81.0 | 26.7 | 62.5 | 45.3 | 32.7 | 23.6 | 17.6 | 8.3 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.1 |
| 1967. | 9.0 | 80.3 | 24.2 | 59.7 | 42.6 | 30.3 | 21.4 | 15.6 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.6 |
| 1966 | 8.4 | 76.3 | 21.9 | 57.8 | 40.6 | 27.8 | 19.2 | 13.9 | 7.1 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| 1965 | 7.7 | 78.5 | 20.8 | 56.4 | 37.4 | 25.8 | 17.6 | 13.3 | 6.8 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.3 |
| 1964 | 6.9 | 74.2 | 19.0 | 53.0 | 34.9 | 23.2 | 16.1 | 11.8 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| 1963 | 6.3 | 71.1 | 17.4 | 50.2 | 31.5 | 21.6 | 15.3 | 10.6 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| 1962 | 5.9 | 69.5 | 15.7 | 47.0 | 30.6 | 20.5 | 13.8 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| 1961 | 5.6 | 69.7 | 15.5 | 46.6 | 29.2 | 19.4 | 13.6 | 9.7 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 1960 | 5.3 | 67.9 | 14.8 | 44.4 | 28.1 | 18.2 | 12.9 | 9.2 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| 1959. | 5.2 | 67.9 | 14.8 | 43.7 | 27.5 | 18.6 | 12.7 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| 1958. | 5.0 | 66.2 | 14.3 | 42.6 | 26.9 | 17.7 | 12.4 | 8.8 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| 1957 | 4.7 . | 66.1 | 13.9 | 42.6 | 26.8 | 17.4 | 12.0 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| 1956 | $4.7{ }^{\circ}$ | 66.1 | 14.0 | 42.2 | 26.8 | 17.3 | 12.1 | 8.4 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 1955 | 4.5 | 66.3 | 14.2 | 42.8 | 26.5 | 17.8 | 12.4 | 8.7 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
|  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1954 | 4.4 | 64.4 | 14.1 |  | 23.2 |  |  |  | 4.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| 1953 | 4.1 | 64.0 | 13.5 |  | 22.3 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 1952 | 3.9 | 64.5 | 13.4 |  | 22.8 |  |  |  | 3.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 1951 | 3.9 | 63.8 | 12.9 |  | 21.8 |  |  |  | 3.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| 1950 | 4.0 | 63.7 | 13.4 |  | 22.6 |  |  |  | 3.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 1940 | 3.8 | 64.5 | 13.5 |  | -.. |  |  |  | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 4. Percent of births to unmarried women by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1940 and 1950-99-Con.


[^4]Table 4. Percent of births to unmarried women by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1940 and 1950-99-Con.

| Year and race | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { ages } \end{gathered}$ | Under 15 years | Total | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 15-19 years |  | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 19 years | 20-24 <br> years | $25-29$ <br> years | 30-34 years | 35-39 years | 40 years and over |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16 years | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported/Inferred ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999². . | 22.0 | 95.5 | 72.6 |  | 84.5 |  |  |  | 37.1 | 13.8 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 10.6 |
| 1998 | 21.9 | 95.9 | 71.9 | 91.2 | 86.4 | 81.0 | 72.5 | 61.9 | 36.4 | 13.6 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 10.9 |
| 1997 | 21.5 | 93.6 | 70.9 | 91.1 | 85.0 | 79.6 | 71.5 | 60.4 | 35.3 | 13.3 | 7.9 | 8.8 - | 10.9 |
| 1996 | 21.5 | 93.9 | 69.3 | 89.5 | 83.8 | 77.6 | 69.3 | 59.1 | 34.4 | 13.2 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 12.5 |
| 1995 | 21.2 | 92.1 | 67.9 | 87.6 | 81.7 | 75.8 | 68.0 | 57.4 | 33.3 | 12.7 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 12.2 |
| 1994 | 20.8 | 92.0 | 66.6 | 87.3 | 80.7 | 74.3 | 66.7 | 56.4 | 32.5 | 12.4 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 12.1 |
| 1993. | 19.5 | 89.8 | 62.3 | 82.7 | 75.8 | 69.7 | 62.3 | 52.6 | 30.0 | 11.4 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 11.5 |
| $1992{ }^{4}$. | 18.5 | 88.1 | 59.7 | 81.8 | 74.7 | 67.7 | 59.7 | 49.9 | 28.3 | 10.7 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 11.1 |
| $1991{ }^{4}$. | 18.0 | 87.6 | 57.8 | 81.3 | 73.6 | 66.1 | 58.1 | 47.8 | 26.8 | 10.4 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 10.6 |
| $1990{ }^{5}$. | 16.9 | 86.4 | 55.5 | 80.5 | 71.6 | 64.2 | 55.6 | 45.9 | 24.5 | 9.7 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 10.9 | All other


| Race of mother: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reported/Inferred ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1999{ }^{2}$. | 56.6 | 99.1 | 93.3 |  | 97.0 |  | 91.0 |  | 73.9 | 43.9 | 29.8 | 28.8 | 30.1 |
| 1998 | 57.4 | 99.2 | 93.6 | 98.7 | 97.4 | 96.4 | 93.5 | 89.7 | 73.8 | 44.5 | 30.8 | 29.5 | 30.1 |
| 1997 | 57.4 | 99.1 | 93.7 | 98.5 | 97.5 | 96.3 | 93.6 | 89.3 | 73.1 | 44.2 | 31.3 | 30.4 | 31.4 |
| 1996 | 58.2 | 97.8 | 92.9 | 97.4 | 96.1 | 95.4 | 92.8 | 88.8 | 72.7 | 45.2 | 33.2 | 32.3 | 31.2 |
| 1995 | 58.6 | 97.7 | 92.6 | 97.5 | 96.5 | 95.0 | 92.4 | 88.0 | 72.2 | 44.9 | 33.9 | 33.0 | 31.1 |
| 1994 | 59.6 | 97.9 | 92.9 | 97.6 | 96.4 | 95.5 | 92.7 | 88.3 | 72.4 | 45.7 | 35.0 | 33.3 | 31.8 |
| 1993 | 58.6 | 97.1 | 90.6 | 95.9 | 94.8 | 93.0 | 90.4 | 85.8 | 70.6 | 45.1 | 35.0 | 33.1 | 31.2 |
| 1992 | 58.3 | 96.4 | 90.4 | 96.2 | 94.8 | 92.8 | 90.0 | 85.7 | 69.3 | 44.6 | 34.9 | 32.6 | 30.4 |
| 1991 | 58.2 | 97.0 | 90.0 | 96.2 | 94.6 | 93.0 | 89.7 | 84.7 | 68.8 | 44.5 | 34.7 | 32.1 | 30.6 |
| 1990 | 57.1 | 97.5 | 89.7 | 96.5 | 94.6 | 92.7 | 89.4 | 84.5 | 66.9 | 43.5 | 33.9 | 30.7 | 29.0 |
| 1989 | 56.6 | 97.6 | 89.8 | 96.8 | 95.5 | 93.2 | 89.3 | 83.6 | 65.6 | 42.6 | 33.0 | 29.8 | 27.4 |
| 1988 | 55.5 | 98.1 | 89.6 | 97.4 | 95.8 | 93.4 | 89.1 | 82.5 | 64.3 | 41.2 | 31.4 | 28.3 | 27.3 |
| 1987 | 54.7 | 98.4 | 89.2 | 97.3 | 95.8 | 92.9 | 88.3 | 82.0 | 63.1 | 40.0 | 30.3 | 27.6 | 26.7 |
| 1986 | 54.0 | 98.5 | 88.3 | 97.3 | 95.4 | 92.1 | 87.4 | 81.0 | 61.8 | 38.8 | 29.2 | 26.4 | 25.4 |
| 1985 | 52.9 | 98.3 | 87.8 | 97.3 | 95.1 | 92.1 | 86.9 | 80.3 | 60.0 | 37.1 | 27.9 | 25.9 | 26.0 |
| 1984 | 52.3 | 98.1 | 87.2 | 97.1 | 95.1 | 91.6 | 86.5 | 79.1 | 58.4 | 36.0 | 26.8 | 25.2 | 26.0 |
| 1983 | 51.5 | 98.0 | 86.5 | 97.3 | 94.9 | 91.2 | 85.7 | 77.5 | 56.7 | 34.5 | 25.7 | 24.1 | 25.2 |
| 1982 | 50.3 | 98.0 | 84.8 | 96.5 | 94.1 | 90.0 | 83.7 | 75.0 | 54.6 | 32.9 | 24.6 | 23.6 | 24.5 |
| 1981 | 49.9 | 98.3 | 84.1 - | 96.9 | 93.8 | 89.3 | 82.9 | 73.9 | 53.6 | 31.9 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 24.7 |
| 1980 | 49.8 | 98.1 | 83.5 | 96.6 | 93.2 | 88.8 | 81.9 | 73.0 | 52.7 | 30.9 | 22.9 | 22.6 | 23.9 |

Race of child:
Estimate ${ }^{3}$.

| 1980 | 49.0 | 100.0 | 83.4 | 97.0 | 94.0 | 89.2 | 81.8 | 72.3 | 51.8 | 30.1 | 22.0 | 21.3 | 22.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 48.8 | 98.5 | 82.5 | 96.8 | 93.3 | 87.8 | 80.6 | 71.7 | 50.9 | 28.7 | 21.5 | 22.1 | 23.6 |
| 1978 | 47.6 | 97.4 | 80.3 | 95.4 | 91.5 | 85.3 | 78.0 | 69.4 | 48.7 | 27.0 | 20.8 | 21.4 | 22.2 |
| 1977 | 46.5 | 98.8 | 79.4 | 95.3 | 90.5 | 85.0 | 76.5 | 67.3 | 45.7 | 25.2 | 20.0 | 21.1 | 22.6 |
| 1976 | 45.2 | 98.9 | 77.0 | 94.9 | 90.3 | 83.3 | 72.9 | 63.1 | 42.5 | 23.9 | 19.5 | 21.0 | 22.6 |
| 1975 | 44.2 | 99.1 | 74.7 | 94.3 | 88.7 | 80.7 | 70.5 | 60.1 | 40.0 | 22.7 | 19.5 | 20.3 | 21.1 |
| 1974 | 42.7 | 97.7 | 71.7 | 93.3 | 86.1 | 77.4 | 67.0 | 56.4 | 37.2 | 22.0 | 19.7 | 20.9 | 20.9 |
| 1973 | 41.7 | 96.8 | 69.1 | 91.2 | 83.5 | 75.3 | 62.8 | 53.8 | 35.9 | 21.8 | 19.4 | 20.2 | 20.0 |
| 1972 | 40.3 | 95.9 | 67.8 | 91.2 | 82.9 | 73.0 | 62.5 | 51.9 | 34.3 | 20.7 | 19.0 | 19.2 | 18.9 |
| 1971 | 37.3 | 95.3 | 65.2 | 89.1 | 81.8 | 71.6 | 59.5 | 49.4 | 31.6 | 19.3 | 17.7 | 18.5 | 18.2 |
| 1970 | 34.9 | 94.2 | 61.4 | 87.2 | 78.2 | 67.8 | 55.4 | 46.2 | 29.5 | 18.1 | 17.3 | 16.9 | 16.9 |
| 1969 | 32.5 | 91.4 | 57.4 | 84.6 | 74.9 | 63.6 | 51.7 | 42.5 | 27.5 | 17.1 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| 1968 | 31.2 | 90.8 | 55.0 | 83.6 | 72.2 | 61.2 | 49.2 | 39.9 | 26.4 | 16.8 | 15.5 | 15.7 | 15.7 |
| 1967 | 29.4 | 89.2 | 52.1 | 80.0 | 70.0 | 57.4 | 46.4 | 37.6 | 25.3 | 16.4 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 13.3 |
| 1966 | 27.7 | 87.9 | 50.1 | 79.0 | 68.2 | 54.8 | 44.4 | 36.1 | 23.7 | 16.8 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 13.7 |
| 1965 | 26.3 | 86.4 | 49.2 | 78.2 | 66.0 | 54.5 | 42.9 | 34.9 | 23.0 | 16.3 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 14.0 |
| 1964 | 24.5 | 85.6 | 46.8 | 75.9 | 65.2 | 51.7 | 40.5 | 33.2 | 22.0 | 15.5 | 14.1 | 13.6 | 12.5 |
| 1963 | 23.6 | 85.2 | 45.6 | 74.0 | 60.8 | 50.2 | 40.9 | 32.7 | 21.4 | 15.1 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 13.5 |
| 1962 | 22.8 | 84.2 | 43.9 | 72.4 | 60.8 | 49.1 | 39.1 | 31.7 | 21.3 | 14.7 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 12.1 |
| 1961 | 22.3 | 81.7 | 43.9 | 71.6 | 59.2 | 48.9 | 39.7 | 32.0 | 20.9 | 14.4 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 12.7 |
| 1960 | 21.6 | 82.2 | 42.2 | 70.1 | 57.8 | 46.9 | 37.6 | 30.6 | 20.0 | 14.1 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 11.7 |
| 1959 | 21.8 | 80.9 | 42.7 | 70.2 | 58.2 | 48.0 | 37.7 | 30.6 | 20.2 | 14.3 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 12.4 |
| 1958 | 21.2 | 82.5 | 41.9 | 70.2 | 56.9 | 46.0 | 37.6 | 30.2 | 19.4 | 14.2 | 13.1 | 12.7 | 12.0 |
| 1957 | 20.7 | 81.2 | 40.9 | 69.0 | 56.4 | 44.9 | 36.1 | 28.9 | 19.1 | 13.6 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 11.7 |
| 1956 | 20.4 | 79.8 | 40.5 | 67.5 | 56.4 | 45.3 | 35.8 | 28.3 | 19.0 | 13.6 | 12.3 | 11.7 | 11.2 |
| 1955 | 20.2 | 80.1 | 40.7 | 67.2 | 54.9 | 45.5 | 36.3 | 29.3 | 18.9 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 10.9 |

- ${ }^{\text {- }}$ motes at end of table.

Table 4. Percent of births to unmarried women by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1940 and 1950-99-Con.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { ages } \end{aligned}$ | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 15 years | 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  | 20-24 <br> years | 25-29 <br> years | 30-34 years | 35-39 <br> years | 40 years and over |
| Year and race |  |  | Total | 15 years | 16 years | 17 <br> years | 18 <br> years | 19 years |  |  |  |  |  |


| All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race of child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated ${ }^{3}$ :-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1954 | 19.9 | 79.8 | $40.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 51.6 | 31.8 | 18.5 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 9.5 |
| 1953 | 19.1 | 78.0 | 38.9 | 50.1 | 31.0 | 17.7 | 12.2 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 10.3 |
| 1952 | 18.3 | 78.4 | 38.4 | 51.4 | 29.1 | 16.4 | 11.6 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 7.9 |
| 1951 | 18.3 | 77.1 | 36.6 | 47.3 | 28.9 | 16.3 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 10.3 | 9.9 |
| 1950 | 18.0 | 74.6 | 35.8 | 47.6 | 27.5 | 15.9 | 11.5 | 10.2 | 9.9 | 9.3 |
| 1940 | 16.8 | 75.1 | 34.4 |  | ... | 13.6 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.7 |

Race of mother


Race of child:
Estimated ${ }^{3}$ :
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Table 5. Number of unmarried and married women in selected age groups: United States, 1965-98
[in thousands]

|  |  | Unmarried women |  |  |  |  | Married women |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | $15-44$ years | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18-19 } \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1998. |  | 29,198 | 3,555 | 6,363 | 4,166 | 3,190 | 30,914 | 245 | 2,315 | 5,175 | 6,989 |
| 1997. |  | 28,586 | 3,375 | 6,182 | 4.175 | 3,202 | 31,102 | 250 | 2,350 | 5.224 | 7.199 |
| 1996. |  | 28,157 | 3,283 | 6,104 | 4,146 | 3,239 | 31,449 | 272 | 2,457 | 5,323 | 7,469 |
| 1995. |  | 27,828 | 3,176 | 6,143 | 4,074 | 3,365 | 31,614 | 272 | 2,652 | 5,402 | 7,601 |
| 1994. |  | 27,503 | 3,103 | 6,226 | 4,027 | 3,413 | 31,781 | 289 | 2,789 | 5,531 | 7,706 |
| 1993. |  | 27,355 | 3,068 | 6,339 | 4,094 | 3,437 | 31,788 | 304 | 2,881 | 5,681 | 7,721 |
| 1992. |  | 27,098 | 3,052 | 6,363 | 4,134 | 3,376 | 31,921 | 314 | 2,981 | 5,913 | 7,789 |
| 1991. |  | 26,865 | 3,187 | 6,310 | 4,150 | 3,255 | 32,214 | 323 | 3,109 | 6,175 | 7,870 |
| 1990. |  | 26,588 | 3,422 | 6,204 | 4,105 | 3,147 | 32,031 | 397 | 3,185 | 6,520 | 7,824 |
| 1989. |  | 26,303 | 3,511 | 6,180 | 4.084 | 3,044 | 32,064 | 355 | 3,293 | 6,656 | 7,845 |
| 1988. |  | 26,132 | 3,423 | 6,266 | 4,051 | 2,961 | 31,988 | 355 | 3,423 | 6,786 | 7,785 |
| 1987. |  | 25,952 | 3,320 | 6,302 | 4,028 | 2,843 | 31,949 | 369 | 3,669 | 6,868 | 7,702 |
| 1986. |  | 25,711 | 3,276 | 6,409 | 3,925 | 2,759 | 31,719 | 409 | 3,849 | 6,995 | 7.539 |
| 1985. |  | 25,287 | 3,314 | 6,463 | 3,811 | 2,670 | 31,429 | 451 | 4,078 | 7,012 | 7,411 |
| 1984. |  | 24,881 | 3,428 | 6,490 | 3,691 | 2,542 | 31,150 | 486 | 4,197 | 7,033 | 7,285 |
| 1983. |  | 24,374 | 3,557 | 6,351 | 3,563 | 2,404 | 30,985 | 534 | 4,411 | 7,016 | 7,214 |
| 1982. |  | 23,864 | 3,611 | 6,205 | 3,388 | 2,265 | 30,836 | 580 | 4,600 | 6,987 | 7,182 |
| 1981. |  | 23,274 | 3,602 | 6,012 | 3,163 | 2,181 | 30,652 | 646 | 4,793 | 6,957 | 7,282 |
| 1980. |  | 22,681 | 3,614 | 5.798 | 2,928 | 1,946 | 30,152 | 699 | 4,857 | 6,888 | 6,938 |
| 1979. |  | 21,979 | 3,574 | 5.566 | 2,697 | 1,766 | 30,037 | 723 | 4,975 | 6,900 | 6,813 |
| 1978. |  | 21,132 | 3,505 | 5,290 | 2,460 | 1,567 | 29,789 | 764 | 5,083 | 6,897 | 6,638 |
| 1977. |  | 20,179 | 3,435 | 4,955 | 2,254 | 1,401 | 29,635 | 836 | 5,197 | 6,903 | 6,527 |
| 1976. |  | 19,240 | 3,380 | 4,594 | 2,067 | 1,202 | 29,481 | 883 | 5,307 | 7,090 | 6,115 |
| 1975. |  | 18,315 | 3,257 | 4,300 | 1,825 | 1,108 | 29,291 | 921 | 5,377 | 6,835 | 6,065 |
| 1974. |  | 17,489 | 3,136 | 4,017 | 1,608 | 1,013 | 29,119 | 938 | 5,398 | 6,674 | 5,916 |
| 1973. |  | 16,781 | 3,076 | 3,777 | 1,457 | 911 | 28,809 | 932 | 5.421 | 6,461 | 5,741 |
| 1972. |  | 16,250 | 3,032 | 3,607 | 1,336 | 840 | 28,338 | 885 | 5,414 | 6,316 | 5,428 |
| 1971. |  | 15,729 | 2,943 | 3,530 | 1,185 | 766 | 27,862 | 871 | 5,497 | 5,876 | 5,270 |
| 1970. |  | 15,121 | 2,864 | 3,296 | 1,096 | 706 | 27,316 | 807 | 5,158 | 5,759 | 5,129 |
| 1969. |  | 14,553 | 2,757 | 3,130 | 992 | 655 | 27,279 | 827 | 5,057 | 5,704 | 5,113 |
| 1968. |  | 13,981 | 2,706 | 2,904 | 918 | 618 | 27,116 | 804 | 4.943 | 5,537 | 5,041 |
| 1967. |  | 13,408 | 2,661 | 2.670 | 840 | 599 | 26,979 | 837 | 4,911 | 5,265 | 4,986 |
| 1966. |  | 12,967 | 2,729 | 2,372 | 787 | 563 | 26,739 | 885 | 4,621 | 5,102 | 5,016 |
| 1965. |  | 12,419 |  | 2,289 | 750 | 527 | 26,636 | ... | 4,557 | 4,977 | 5,080 |

-- - Data not available.
SOURCES: Based on data in: Saluter AF. (Also Lugaila TA) Marital status and living arrangements. Annual U.S. Census. U.S. Census Bureau. Current Population Reports; Series P20. Data adjusted by 3 -year moving averages of percent unmarried applied to July 1 population estimates, by age, race, and Hispanic origin. Figure for married women are obtained by subbraction of unmarried from total females in each age group. See reference 25.

Table 6. Number of women by age: United States, selected years, 1950-98 and projections to 2010
[In millions]

|  | Year | 15-17 <br> years | 18-19 years | 20-24 <br> years | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010. |  | 6.137 | 4.400 | 10.375 | 9.948 |
| 2005. |  | 6.136 | 4.066 | 9.889 | 9.207 |
| 2000. |  | 5.732 | 3.938 | 9.085 | 8.984 |
| 1998. |  | 5.694 | 3.800 | 8.678 | 9.341 |
| 1995. |  | 5.351 | 3.448 | 8.795 | 9.476 |
| 1990. |  | 4.890 | 3.819 | 9.389 | 10.625 |
| 1985. |  | 5.409 | 3.765 | 10.541 | 10.823 |
| 1980. |  | 6.100 | 4.313 | 10.655 | 9.816 |
| 1975. |  | 6.324 | 4.141 | 9.677 | 8.660 |
| 1970. |  | 5.726 | 3.711 | 8.454 | 6.855 |
| 1965. |  | 5.134 | 3.232 | 6.846 | 5.727 |
| 1960. |  | 4.159 | 2.427 | 5.528 | 5.536 |
| 1955. |  | 3.333 | 2.125 | 5.335 | 5.947 |
| 1950. |  | 3.101 | 2.204 | 5.876 | 6.270 |

SOURCES: 1950-98: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of the population of the United States and components of change, by age, color, and sex: 1940 and 1950. Current Population Reports; Series P25-98. Also P25, nos. 310,519, 917, and 1095. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Unpublished census file NESTV98.wk1. Consistent with populations published in: U.S. population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1998. Washington, DC: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Internet release, June 4, 1999, hitp://www.census.gov/population/www/estimates/uspop.html. 2000-2010: U.S. Census Bureau. Projections of the resident population by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1999 to 2100 . Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau, Internet release, January 13, 2000. http://www.census.gov/population/www/projections/natdel-D1A.html.

Table 7. Percent unmarried, female population by age: United States, selected years, 1950-98

|  | Year | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  | 93.6 | 73.3 | 44.6 | 31.3 | 28.3 |
| 1995 |  | 92.1 | 69.8 | 43.0 | 30.7 | 27.7 |
| 1990 |  | 89.6 | 66.1 | 38.6 | 28.7 | 25.6 |
| 1985 |  | 88.0 | 61.3 | 35.2 | 26.5 | 23.1 |
| 1980 |  | 83.8 | 54.4 | 29.8 | 21.9 | 19.1 |
| 1975 |  | 78.0 | 44.4 | 21.1 | 15.4 | 15.0 |
| 1970 |  | 78.0 | 39.0 | 16.0 | 12.1 | 12.2 |
| 1965 |  | 73.9 | 33.4 | 13.1 | 9.4 | 11.8 |
| 1960 |  | 71.9 | 31.0 | 12.8 | 11.1 | 12.8 |
| 1955 |  | 67.9 | 31.2 | 14.1 | 11.6 | 15.4 |
| 1950 |  | 68.4 | 34.4 | 16.7 | 13.8 | 16.3 |

SOURCES: Based on data in: Saluter AF. (Also Lugaila TA) Marital status and living arrangements. Annual reports. U.S. Census Bureau. Current Population Reports; Series P20. Data adjusted by 3 -year moving averages of percent unmarried applied to July 1 intercensal population estimates, by age, race, and Hispanic origin. See reference 25 for additional information.

Table 8. Birth rates for married women by age and race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1980-98
[Rates are live births per 1,000 maried women in specified group]

White, non-Hispanic
1998. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
1997. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
1996. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
1995. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
1994. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

| 82.3 | 338.1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 80.6 | 330.4 |
| 79.9 | 330.1 |
| 80.1 | 348.7 |
| 80.7 | 339.7 |


| - | * | 205.0 | 162.2 | 111.8 | 43.9 | 8.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | * | 200.7 | 156.8 | 107.2 | 41.9 | 8.0 |
| - | * | 197.1 | 154.3 | 104.0 | 40.3 | 7.4 |
| - | * | 191.7 | 152.4 | 102.9 | 39.1 | 7.0 |
| - | * | 190.1 | 153.7 | 101.1 | 38.0 | 6.7 |
| - | * | 214.6 | 122.3 | 80.4 | 36.6 | 7.7 |
| - | * | 213.2 | 127.5 | 78.4 | 35.0 | 7.0 |
| - | * | 207.5 | 125.5 | 73.2 | 32.7 | 6.5 |
| - | * | 189.5 | 125.3 | 76.0 | 32.0 | 6.4 |
| - | * | 185.8 | 122.3 | 76.2 | 31.6 | 6.3 |
| - | * | 201.1 | 133.0 | 79.6 | 32.6 | 6.3 |
| - | * | 222.3 | 132.8 | 79.2 | 31.8 | 6.0 |
| - | * | 219.8 | 132.4 | 76.0 | 30.9 | 5.8 |
| : | * | 225.2 | 130.6 | 75.4 | 30.1 | 6.1 |
| - | * | 208.8 | 130.1 | 71.5 | 28.0 | 6.1 |
| - | * | 207.8 | 122.2 | 68.0 | 26.6 | 5.6 |
| * | * | 199.4 | 120.1 | 66.9 | 26.1 | 5.2 |
| - | * | 206.7 | 122.6 | 67.2 | 26.1 | 5.3 |
| * | - | 212.8 | 127.9 | 66.4 | 26.5 | 5.2 |
| - | * | 219.0 | 123.9 | 67.5 | 26.0 | 5.4 |

See footnotes at end of table

Table 8. Birth rates for married women by age and race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1980-98—Con.
[Rates are live births per 1,000 married women in specified group]

*Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision.
'Rates computed by relating total births to married mothers, regardless of age of mother, to married women aged 15-44 years.
${ }^{2}$ Rates computed by relating births to married mothers aged 40 years and over to married women aged $40-44$ years.
${ }^{3}$ Includes races other than white and black.
SOURCE: National Vital Statistics System.

Table 9. Rate of second births to teenagers who have had a first birth: United States, 1985-98

| Year | All races | White | All other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998. | 174.6 | 172.6 | 181.8 |
| 1997. | 173.7 | 169.3 | 180.7 |
| 1996. | 173.5 | 168.9 | 179.9 |
| 1995. | 177.5 | 172.2 | 184.4 |
| 1994. | 189.6 | 180.4 | 208.0 |
| 1993. | 203.6 | 191.1 | 227.6 |
| 1992. | 216.9 | 201.6 | 241.7 |
| 1991. | 220.9 | 206.0 | 250.5 |
| 1990. | 218.2 | 205.4 | 245.4 |
| 1989. | 215.0 | 200.9 | 239.6 |
| 1988. | 205.3 | 191.8 | 227.3 |
| 1987. | 195.8 | 188.5 | 212.6 |
| 1986. | 193.2 | 186.8 | 206.6 |
| 1985. | 192.1 | 188.7 | 202.8 |

[^6]
## Technical notes

## Sources of data

Vital statistics data on births to unmarried women are based on 100 percent of the birth certificates in all States and the District of Columbia. The data are provided to the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP). In 1984 and earlier years, the VSCP included varying numbers of States that provided data based on 100 percent of their birth certificates. Data for States not in the VSCP were based on a 50 -percent sample of bith certificates filed in those States. Information on sampling procedures and sampling errors for 1984 and earlier years is provided in the Technical Appendix, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1998, Part I, Natality (24).

Birth certificate data are available for every year and tabulations of nonmarital births including numbers and percents by various characteristics including maternal age, race, Hispanic origin, parity, and education of the mother, can be provided for States and local areas, an important advantage. In addition to these demographic characteristics, a number of maternal and infant health characteristics are also available.

Birth certificates do not, however, provide information on the mother's marital history, and information on other measures of socioeconomic status, aside from educational attainment, is not available. In addition, information on the fathers of out-of-wedlock births is quite limited, because States do not permit information on the father to be reported on the birth certificate if the parents are not married unless a paternity acknowledgment has been signed. In 1998 information on paternal characteristics was not available for about half of the nonmarital births.

Births to unmarried women are identified by a question on the birth certificates of all but two States. The question on the certificates of 41 of the 48 reporting States asks: "Mother married? (At birth, conception, or any time between) (yes or no)." The remaining States ask a variation of this question, for example, "Mother married to father of child? (yes or no)." A woman is legally married even if she is separated, but is no longer married when the divorce papers are signed. In most States, if the mother is married, the husband's name should be listed as the father, unless a court order provides otherwise.

National estimates of births to unmarried women are based on two methods of determining marital status. For 1994 through 1996, birth centificates in 45 States and the District of Columbia included the question just quoted about the mother's marital status. In 1997 California added a direct question to their birth certificate; thus by 1997, all. but four States (Connecticut, Michigan, Nevada, and New York) included a direct question on their birth certificates. Nevada asks for the mother's marital status through the electronic birth registration process but this item is not included on certified or paper copies of the birth certificate. Beginning June 15, 1998, Connecticut discontinued infering the mother's marital status and added a direct question on mother's marital status to the State's bith certificate.

In the two States (Michigan and New York) that use inferential procedures to compile birth statistics by marital status as of 2000, a birth is inferred as nonmarital if either of these factors is present: a paternity acknowledgment was received or the father's name is missing. In recent years, a number of States have extended their efforts to identify the
fathers when the parents are not married in order to enforce child support obligations. The presence of a paternity acknowledgment therefore is the most reliable indicator that the birth is nonmarital in the States not reporting this information directly; this is now the key indicator in the two nonreporting States.

Since 1980, the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) has published information on nonmarital births that incorporates reported and inferential data. The inferential procedures represent a substantial departure from the method used before 1980 to prepare national estimates of births to unmarried women, which assumed that the incidence of births to unmarried women in States with no direct question on marital status was the same as the incidence in reporting States in the same geographic division (25). Inferential procedures in current use, however, are quite different from those in use during the 1980's, when there was heavy reliance on a comparison of the surnames of the parents and the child to infer the mother's marital status. The procedures now in use depend, as noted above, on very reliable indicators, namely a paternity affidavit or missing information on the father.

The use of inferential marital status data together with information from a direct question represents an attempt to use related information on the birth cerificate to improve the quality of national data as well as to provide data for the individual nonreporting States. Because of the continued substantial increases in nonmarital childbearing throughout the 1980's, the data have been intensively evaluated by the Division of Vital Statistics, NCHS. The results of this evaluation show that trends in bith rates for unmaried women computed on the basis of estimated data and on the basis of inferred data are essentially the same. In addition, an evaluation of the marital status item was conducted in connection with the 1988 National Maternal and Infant Health Survey. Entries on the birth certificate were compared with entries on the mother's questionnaire. That study found an overall agreement on marital status for 94 percent of black mothers and 96 percent of white mothers. It is possible that the accuracy has varied over time as public attitudes on nonmarital childbearing have changed. It is also likely that variation in accuracy exists among different segments of the population (25).

The mother's marital status was not reported in 1998 on 0.04 percent of the birth records. Marital status was imputed as "married" for these records. Information on reporting completeness of other items on the birth certificate is available elsewhere $(2,26)$.

Michigan and Texas births, 1988-93-The number of births to unmarried women in Michigan was underreported during the years 1988-93, but the greatest undercount, numerically, was for 1990-93. Michigan had separate counts of the numbers of births with paternity acknowledgments, but did not include them with the counts of unmarried women based on the general inferential procedures that they provided to NCHS. The underreporting began in 1988, and was about 25 percent for the years 1988-93. In 1993 NCHS reported 36,326 births to unmarried women in Michigan, 26 percent below the number that included paternity affidavits ( 49,281 births) (27). Thus, there is a considerable discontinuity in the nonmarital birth data for Michigan from 1993 to 1994, when NCHS reported 48,339 nonmarital births for Michigan. The proportion of nonmarital births reported to NCHS increased from 26 percent to 35 percent.

The number of births to unmarried women in Texas was underreported during the years 1989-93. As a result of legislation passed in 1989, a bith was considered to have occurred to a married woman if
the mother provided any information about the father, or if a paternity affidavit had been filed. The measurement of marital status for Texas births improved beginning with the 1994 data year because a direct question on marital status was added to the Texas birth certificate. However, there is considerable discontinuity in the data for Texas from 1993 to 1994. The proportion of births to unmarried mothers increased from 17 to 29 percent.

California births, 1995-In 1995 California changed its methodology for inferring the mother's marital status on the birth certificate. The change affected births to Hispanic mothers, taking into account the naming conventions for this population, especially the use of hyphenated surnames: If the child was given a double surname of the mother's and father's surnames (either entire surnames or portions of the parents' hyphenated surnames), regardless of sequence, the mother's marital status was coded as "Married." This procedure had been in effect many years for Asian mothers. Nonmarital births to Hispanic women in California fell about 17 percent from 1994 to 1995, but nonmarital births declined for other race and ethnic origin groups as well (down 6 percent for non-Hispanic women as a group), indicating that the drop in out-of-wedlock births to Hispanic women did not result from the methodological change alone.

California, Nevada, and New York City births, 1997-Beginning in 1997, the marital status of women giving birth in California and Nevada is determined by a direct question in the birth registration process. Prior to 1997, marital status in California and Nevada was inferred by comparing the surnames of the mother, father, and child. Mother's marital status is still inferred in New York City, but the procedures for inferring this information changed. Beginning in 1997, the mother is assumed to be unmarried if the father's name is missing from the birth certificate, or if a paternity affidavit was filed; these are the same procedures that have been in effect in the balance of New York for many years. Prior to 1997, the inferential criteria in New York City had also included a comparison of the surnames of the mother and father.

The changes in reporting procedures in California and Nevada had little impact on the national totals of out-of-wedlock births. However, there were some effects on data by age subgroup. As a direct result of the reporting changes in California, the national numbers and proportions of nonmarital births tended to increase for women aged 15-24 years and to decline for women aged 25 years and over. When the inferential procedures were in effect prior to 1997, births to older women who had retained their birth surname after marriage were often erroneously inferred as nonmarital because the parents' surnames did not match. For California, the changes in nonmarital birth patterns by age were compensating, so that the overall levels of nonmarital births were only modestly higher in 1997 compared with 1996. There is a similar effect for Nevada, although the percent unmarried based on the direct question is somewhat lower than the percent based on the inferential procedures.

The reporting changes in New York City affected the overall data and the data by age, with large decreases found for all age groups. If these reporting changes had not occurred, statistics for nonmarital births would have been unchanged in New York City between 1996 and 1997 $(28,29)$. The reporting change in New York City in 1997 resulted in lower numbers and percents of nonmarital births in all population groups, although there was a greater impact on births to women aged 30 years and over and to non-Hispanic white and Asian or Pacific Islander women. The New York City Department of Health has estimated that
nonmarital births to New York City residents were overstated by about 11 to 12,000 births annually from 1994 through $1996(28,29)$. The reporting changes for California, Nevada, and New York City are described in more detail elsewhere (30).

Connecticut births, 1998-Connecticut added a direct question on the mother's marital status to the birth certificate beginning June 15, 1998 (2). Prior to this change, the mother's marital status was inferred in Connecticut by comparing the surnames of the mother, father, and child. National data on nonmarital births were not affected by the change in Connecticut, because the State accounts for less than 1 percent of U.S. births.

Pregnancy data by marital status. Information on pregnancies by marital status is based on the sum of the three outcomes: live birth, induced abortion, and fetal loss. The birth data, as noted above, are complete counts of all live births from the birth registration system and published annually by NCHS. Estimates of the numbers and rates of induced abortions are derived from published and unpublished reports from the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the Alan Guttmacher Institute (AGI) (31-33). The AGI estimates the national number of abortions from surveys it conducts of all known abortion providers but does not collect information on the characteristics of women having abortions. The NCCDPHP gathers data on the characteristics of women having abortions from most State health departments. The AGI totals are distributed by age, race, Hispanic origin, and by marital status, race, and Hispanic origin according to tabulations prepared by NCCDPHP. Information on fetal losses is based on the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) conducted in 1982, 1988, and 1995 by NCHS. The proportion of pregnancies (excluding induced abortion) ending in fetal loss in the 5 years precéding each survey are used. Detailed information on the pregnancy rates included in this report is available elsewhere (8).

Population data on marital status and fertility are collected by the U.S. Census Bureau in the Current Population Survey (CPS). The CPS data are collected by the U.S. Census Bureau every month with a sample of about 50,000 households in the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States. The survey is primarily designed to provide information on labor force participation, but covers a broad range of social, economic, and demographic characteristics (34). This report draws on detailed information collected in March of every year on the marital status of individuals, on information collected in June on fertility, and on detailed marital and fertility histories collected once every 5 years with funding from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development $(7,36)$. The CPS data provide greater detail on the socioeconomic characteristics of mothers and families, but do not provide data on maternal and infant health characteristics. Estimates of nonmarital childbearing are based on mothers' own reports of children born to them rather than official records. Comparisons of CPS and vital statistics data on births in the United States show a high level of agreement on trends, although exact levels of rates and proportions of out-of-wedlock births may vary (7).

Data from other national population surveys have been included in this report. The NSFG, conducted by NCHS, is based on personal interviews with a national sample of women 15-44 years of age in the United States. Its main function is to collect data on factors affecting pregnancy and childbearing. Basic tabulations of data from the 1995 NSFG are available in NCHS reports $(8,14)$. More information about
the NSFG is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsfg.htm. Other national population surveys provide information on nonmarital childbearing. These include the National Survey of Families and Households, conducted in 1987-88 and 1992-94 by researchers at the University of Wisconsin (5); the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, conducted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics; and the National Survey of Health and Social Life, conducted in 1993 by researchers at the University of Chicago (35).

## Population denominators and computation of rates

Birth rates for unmarried women are based on distributions of the population by marital status as of March of each year provided by the U.S. Census Bureau (36), which have been adjusted to July population levels (37) by the Division of Vital Statistics, NCHS . More details on these adjustments are presented elsewhere (25). Unmarried women include never married, widowed, and divorced women.

In computing birth rates for the Hispanic population, births with origin of mother not stated are included with non-Hispanic births rather than being distributed. Thus, rates for the U.S. Hispanic population are underestimates of the true rates to the extent that the births with origin of mother not stated ( 1.0 percent of nonmarital births in 1998) were actually to Hispanic mothers. In computing the rates, the census-based populations with origin not stated are imputed. The effect on the rates is believed to be small.

## Birth order

As noted above, vital statistics data do not provide information on the mother's marital history. This precludes the ability to distinguish whether previous births to women having nonmarital second and higher order births were also born outside of marriage. However, the data do permit the identification of the mother's marital status for first-time mothers. In 1998, 40 percent of births to first-time mothers were out of wedlock.
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[^0]:    ... Data not available.
    'Data are preliminary. Figures are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual.
    SOURCE: National Vital Statistics System.

[^1]:    -. - Data not available.
    ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Data are preliminary. Figures are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual, so categories may not add to toals; see reerence 3 .
    Excludes data for New Hampshire which did not report Hispanic origin on the birth certificate.
    ${ }^{5}$ Excludes data for New Hampshire which did not report hispanic origin on the bow Hampshire and Oklahoma which did not report Hispanic origin on the birth certificate.
    NOTE: Figures by age may not add to estimated totals for years prior to 1980 because of rounding; see reference 25. SOURCE: National Vital Statistics System.

[^2]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^3]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^4]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^5]:    -- - Data not available.
    ${ }^{1}$ Data for States in which marital status was not reported have been inferred from other items on the birth certificate and included with data from the reporting States; see Technical notes.
    ${ }^{2}$ Data are preliminary; see reference 3.
    ${ }^{3}$ Births to unmarried women are estimated for the United States from data for registration areas in which marital status of mother was reported; see reference 25.
    ${ }^{4}$ Excludes data for New Hampshire which did not report Hispanic origin on the birth certificate.
    ${ }^{5}$ Furlarios data for New Hampshire and Oklahoma which did not report Hispanic origin on the birth certificate.

[^6]:    NOTE: Data for "All other" include black, American Indian, and Asian or Pacific Islander teenagers; in 1998, 88 percent of "all other" teenage mothers were black. For additional data, see reference 8.

