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An analysis of data from a survey of more than 100 parents residing in emergency shelter in San Diego, California, reveals a portrait of poverty that is prevalent among women and children in the United States today. Homeless families in San Diego, as in the rest of the country, are most often headed by women in their early thirties whose prospects for independence seem bleak. Only half have a high school diploma, and less than one-third have full-time jobs. Almost half of these families had already been homeless once before. The scarcity of shelter beds in San Diego forces families to sleep in motels, in shelter offices, and on lobby floors. Welfare rolls in San Diego have dropped roughly 18% since reforms were first implemented in January 1998, and family homelessness has soared. Three times as many families sought shelter this year as in the previous year. An alarming number of homeless parents are reporting that their children are being placed in foster care because of welfare reform. Evidence from San Diego suggests that welfare reforms are placing children's lives in "limbo."
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A REPORT OF HOMES FOR THE HOMELESS
Amid all the debate over welfare reform—time limits, declining rolls, and workfare—the effect of these changes on children, the largest segment of welfare recipients, have been largely ignored. In San Diego, California, where welfare rolls have dropped by roughly eighteen percent since reforms were first implemented in January of 1998, family homelessness has soared.1 Three times as many families sought shelter this year than the year before; as a result, homeless families with children are sleeping in shelter lobbies and on office floors.2 At the same time, an alarming number of homeless parents are reporting that their children are being placed in foster care because of welfare reform. This evidence suggests that welfare reform may be placing children's lives in limbo.

Welfare Reform and Homelessness

Concerned by a recent surge in family homelessness, the County of San Diego surveyed more than 100 parents residing in emergency shelter in the fall of 1998. The Institute for Children and Poverty’s analysis of that data reveals a grim portrait of poverty that is becoming all too prevalent among women and their children across America today.

As with the rest of the nation, homeless families in San Diego are most often headed by single women in their early thirties. Their prospects for independence seem bleak. Only half have a high school diploma, with less than one-third in full-time jobs. These parents have few avenues to achieve and sustain self-sufficiency, stymied by their inability to find appropriate child care and by the burdens of homelessness itself—the lack of a permanent address and the need to find shelter. Indeed, barriers such as these have created an unyielding cycle: almost half of the families surveyed have already been homeless at least once before (See Table 1).

Homless parents in San Diego often struggle to find shelter for their children. The scarcity of shelter beds in the county forces families to sleep in area motels or in shelter offices and on lobby floors.3 Others, unable to find shelter, live on the streets. In fact, in San Diego, children and their families live on the streets at five times the national rate (See Table 1).

Table 1: A Profile of Homeless Families: San Diego vs. U.S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>San Diego</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 24 years*</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 34 years</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 44 years</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 44 years</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own Apt. or House</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends or Relatives</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel/Motel</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent/Spouse</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recidivism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless more than once</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Age of Parents</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race / Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino/Hispanic</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part Time</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Age of Children</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; High School</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Sch. or more</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Speaker</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* National figure includes those aged 15 to 24 years.

Source: Institute for Children and Poverty
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Figure 1: Homeless Parents' Time on Welfare (TANF)

- Never: 12%
- Less than 6 months: 12%
- 6 months - 1 year: 17%
- 1 - 2 years: 47%
- More than 2 years: 18%

Source: Institute for Children and Poverty

Nearly half of San Diego's homeless parents have received Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) for over two years. This long-term dependence on welfare could make these families' transitions off of welfare more challenging.

Moreover, homeless parents tend to have a long history of reliance on public assistance: almost half have been on welfare for over two years (See Figure 1). Welfare reform, however, has moved many of these families off the rolls; yet for those without minimum job skills or education, there is little chance of success for an immediate transition to long-term work and independence. They are in fact the "lag families" of welfare reform—those not yet capable of functioning independently and at the greatest risk of homelessness and family dissolution. While this group has been largely ignored, they are in fact the greatest threat to the success of welfare reform.

Figure 2: Impacts of Welfare Benefit Reductions on Families

- Became Homeless: 77%
- Lost a Child to Foster Care: 12%
- Found a Job: 0%

Source: Institute for Children and Poverty

Over one-third (36%) of all homeless families had their welfare benefits reduced or suspended in the six months prior to the survey. Of those families, seventy-seven percent said they became homeless directly as a result of benefit reductions. Even more disturbing, eighteen percent reported losing a child to foster care (See Figure 2). And although these observations emerge from just over a third of the survey sample, they are not foreign to other parts of the country.

Nationally, family homelessness has increased fifteen percent in the last year, and almost fifty percent of homeless parents who had been recently sanctioned reported becoming homeless directly as a result of those actions. Though no other studies have documented a welfare reform-homelessness-foster care connection, parents across the country have reported placing a child in "limbo care"—which is a placement in either foster care, kinship care, or informally with friends or relatives. In Washington, DC, eleven percent of homeless parents whose welfare benefits were reduced or terminated in the last year were forced to place at least one child in "limbo care" as a result. In New Jersey, ten percent of welfare recipients who had their benefits reduced or terminated within the last six months placed a child in "limbo care." And in Wisconsin, five percent of all welfare recipients who left the welfare rolls reported being forced to abandon a child to "limbo care."

The Impacts

If there is in fact a relationship between welfare cuts, family homelessness, and foster care, the greatest toll is on the children themselves. Previous studies have reported that children who become homeless are more likely than their non-homeless counterparts to have health problems such as asthma, ear infections, and chronic physical disorders. They also experience more educational delays: homeless children are twice as likely to be left back and four times more likely to drop out of school. Furthermore, the impacts of foster care are even worse. More than fifty percent of the children in the San Diego foster care system changed foster families three or more times, which can have long-term consequences on a child's social and educational
development. In San Diego County last year, only half (51%) of the youth who aged out of the foster care system ever graduated from high school. In New York City, approximately one-third of foster children became dependent on welfare within eighteen months of leaving the system. Foster children are also more likely to become homeless as adults: forty percent of the nation’s homeless were in foster care as a child.

Finally, the fiscal impact of these relationships is significant. In San Diego, the cost of housing a family of three (a parent and two children) in a homeless shelter for one year is approximately $18,000, more than two and a half times the annual cost of welfare. The cost of placing a child in a foster or group home can be anywhere from roughly $7,000 to $32,000 a year (See Table 2). Although work and self-sufficiency are better alternatives, they are not yet possible for "lag families." Because this fact is being ignored, millions of dollars are being spent on unnecessary shelter and foster care placements that only increase the probability of another generation aging into chronic poverty and homelessness.

### Into the Future

The San Diego Regional Task Force for the Homeless estimates that 64,000 families in the county, with 126,000 children, will be impacted by welfare reform. If one were to conservatively apply Wisconsin’s five percent child displacement rate to San Diego, as many as 3,200 families could be forced to place a child in “limbo care,” of which foster care is a significant component. With more than 4,000 children currently in foster care and more than 1,000 residing in the County’s shelters, both systems are already at capacity.

San Diego, along with other counties across the nation, must begin to monitor and evaluate the impact of local welfare policies across a broader set of indicators. Although the sample size in this study is small, preliminary observations signal larger problems. The success or failure of welfare reform cannot simply be measured by declining welfare rolls or parent employment rates—these measures are too narrowly focused. We need to monitor the transition of “lag families” in particular and the placement of children in “limbo care.” If parents who fail to successfully transition off welfare are being moved into shelters instead of work, and children moved into “limbo care” instead of safe homes with their families, something is drastically wrong.

Is there a link between welfare reform, homelessness and foster care? This report appears to be an early warning of a drift in that direction.

### Table 2: The Cost of Shelter Placements per Family vs. the Cost of Foster Care per Child: California

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Families (1 parent and 2 children)</th>
<th>Cost of Shelter Placements</th>
<th># of Children</th>
<th>Cost of Foster Care Placement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$6,876,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>$18,000,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>$6,876,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: State of California Health and Welfare Agency

A group home placement for one child costs nearly twice as much as a shelter placement for an entire family. If 1,000 children were to be placed in group homes, the cost would exceed the cost of sheltering those children and their families by more than $14,000,000.

### Footnotes

3. Ibid.
5. “Kinship Care” is a foster care placement in the home of a relative.
15. Los Angeles Coalition to End Hunger and Homelessness.
17. San Diego Regional Task Force on the Homeless (February 1999); (http: www.electriciti.com/homeless/families.html).
Since its inception in 1986, Homes for the Homeless (HFH) has functioned on the premise that homelessness is a children's issue, a family issue and an education issue. HFH has worked to combat the intertwined nature of homelessness in two distinctive ways. First, HFH provides residential- and family-based educational programs to 540 families, including over 1,000 children, daily. Our four residential facilities, or American Family Inns, provide the entire family with the educational tools they need to break the cycle of poverty and start children on the road to education as a life-long endeavor. Second, and as an extension of our direct service provision, HFH also works to eradicate homelessness nationwide by documenting our programs’ quantitative outcomes and qualitative experiences, as well as research findings from across the country, and disseminating this information. Through its Institute for Children and Poverty, HFH provides program-based curriculum, training and technical assistance in dozens of urban, suburban and rural communities across the country.
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(Harriman State Park, NY)
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