ABSTRACT
        This report analyzes the continued underrepresentation of
women in educational administration. It emphasizes that schools are places
where diverse groups come together to learn and administrators are
responsible for protecting the rights and freedoms of all groups within the
school. The paper describes the state of gender equity in the United States
and the ongoing discrimination that still exists. It discusses the positive
effects wrought by Title X. It discusses the connection between self-image
and the availability of, or lack of, gender-specific role models in the
schools, where the teaching staff is predominantly female and the
administration is predominantly male. Studies have shown that females
typically have lower self-esteem than boys as a result of subtle cultural
messages. Those women who do enter educational administration are typically
better educated than their male counterparts, with women administrators being
almost twice as likely as male administrators to have earned a doctorate. The
article discusses the barriers women face in their efforts to move into
educational administration and explores how a female's socialization to work
differs from that of a man. The report closes by stating that when women are
given an opportunity, schools benefit. (Contains 23 references.) (RJM)

Ethics and Gender Diversity in School Management: Viewpoint

By Carolyn McCreight

2

Ethics and Gender Diversity in School Management: Viewpoint

The word, diversity means differences in race, ethnicity, gender, and/or socioeconomic status. All of us belong to one or more of these groups at the same time and many of our beliefs and actions occur as a result of these memberships. The fact that someone can identify with more than one group and feel empathy makes Americans seem complex and even inconsistent at times (Timm, 1994).

Strike, Haller, and Saltis (1998) in a study of ethics and school administration found that ninety percent of Americans are tolerant of societal differences. What people share could be more important than how they differ and as educational leaders, we must be wise enough to make sound ethical choices for all.

Schools are places where diverse groups come together to learn what it takes to fit into the larger society. Administrators for schools are responsible for protecting the rights and freedoms of all groups within the school personnel, student body, parents, and community. The leadership challenge in decision making is often not about deciding between a right and a wrong choice, but deciding between two right choices (Kidder, 1996).

Gender Equity

America, as a land of opportunity, strongly encourages the principles of equal rights and equal opportunity, regardless of race, sex, or creed. Though Americans have espoused the doctrine of equal rights for all, many people continue to suffer the discouraging reality of discrimination. Educational leaders must be aware that discrimination still exists and society depends on leaders to make gender-neutral judgments for fairness and equity.

In fostering equal rights and opportunity for on group, do we compromise the rights of another group? Do we give females a "leg up" and at the same time discriminate against males? Whether it is right to foster the cause of the downtrodden or allow them to do the best they can without help is an ethical question.

Congress passed Title IX, a landmark civil rights law. The 1972 law prohibited sex discrimination against all students and employees in federally assisted education programs and included most public and private schools. The implementation of Title IX caused the development of policy, programs, and materials to ensure equal rights for boys and girls (Ornstein, 1994). Twenty-seven years after its passage, Title IX has impacted all aspects of schooling, achievement, and employment. Some

of the results of Title IX include a decreased dropout rate among pregnant, high school females, increased opportunities in math and science for both genders, and a boost in completion of graduate and professional degrees for women (Office of Civil Rights, 1990). Though many strides have occurred through Title IX, there are still areas of employment inequity between the sexes.

Schooling and Gender Equity

There is no easy way to measure the connection between self-image and the availability or lack of availability of gender-specific role models in our schools. In schools where the teaching staff is predominantly female and the administration is predominantly male, a silent, but strong message is being sent to young people. The message is that a girl can aspire to be a teacher, while a male can aspire to be an administrator (Nieto, 1996; Sanders, 1999).

Years of research have documented unequal and too often unfriendly classroom environments for girls and for some boys (Lockheed, 1985; Sedker & Sedker, 1994). Society associates subjects such as mathematics, science, and technology with males and the arts with females. Although there is no information to support gender preferences for academic subjects, cultural biases perpetuate the belief. Also,

curriculum materials sometimes contain gender-biased language, content, and illustrations (Colby & Foote, 1995). Subtle and unintended culturally biased messages from adults create the idea among girls and boys that there are fields in which they cannot be successful because of gender (Sanders, 1999).

Due to biases associated with gender, females often have lower self-esteem than boys. Some girls believe their successes are due to luck, an unreliable factor. Boys, on the other hand, attribute their successes to ability, a reliable factor (Sanders, 1999). Females are more likely to believe that failure is due to their lack of ability, while males are likely to believe that failure is due to lack of effort (Lynch, 1990). Our culture often perpetuates the biases of the sexes and in so doing, influence the choices and aspirations young people make in education and career.

Education Attainment and Advancement

Females who select a field of study and pursue higher education to accomplish career goals achieve more advanced degrees than males (Holloway, 1998). More female teachers not only have college degrees, but advanced college degrees. Women administrators are almost twice as likely to have earned a doctorate than men. Though females

statistically have more education and usually more classroom time than males, women have difficulty moving out of teaching and into administration (Lee, 1996; Holloway, 1998).

Barriers to Advancement for Women in Educational Administration

Barriers women face in their efforts to move into educational administration are both cultural and professional. Traditionally, women receive encouragement to be wives, mothers and homemakers, while men receive encouragement to be "breadwinners." In the past, women who worked outside the home for pay usually did so to earn a living before marriage, to supplement a husbands' income, or to earn a living in the event the husband fell ill or unable to work. Being the main breadwinner is an expectation for men in American society. The idea of a man being a homemaker is generally unacceptable (Holloway, 1998).

The female's socialization to work is very different from a male's socialization to work. Traditionally, parents have communicated to women that work and careers are secondary to marriage and family. As a result, females generally have lower career aspirations than males. Peers, career advisors, and the church reinforce parent expectations for girls. Men expect to work; therefore, they generally have fewer conflicts and barriers to work than women (Mark, 1986).

An additional barrier to advancement for women is mobility. When the husband receives a transfer to another state or country, wife and family usually follow. When the wife's job requires a transfer out of town to retain employment or to career advancement, the family does not always see a move for the sake of the woman's employment as a natural condition for the family. Work as a primary motivation for professional women may conflict or be at odds with role expectations of wife and mother (Mark, 1986).

Females in Administration

Education is one of the few professions where women have historically dominated in numbers (Feldman, Jorgensen, & Poling, 1988). Women comprise 70 percent of all teachers. Administrator selections come from the teaching ranks, yet only one-third of the school principals in the U. S. are women (Holloway, 1998, Ryder, 1994).

Women superintendents represented 0.6 percent of the U. S. superintendencies in 1971 and 1.0 percent in 1980. The largest increase in numbers occurred between 1988 and 1990 when the increase jumped 2.3 percentage points (Montenegro, 1993). Today, women represent approximately 20 percent of the superintendencies in the Nation (Siegel,

1999). Gains have occurred, but women still have a long way to go to reach equity in numbers in the superintendency (Chase and Bell, 1994).

Barriers as a result of socialization, conflicting expectations, few role models, and sex-role stereotyping are barriers women face in securing employment in educational administration (Chase and Bell, 1994). Research indicates that women encounter discrimination beginning with job interviews (Edson, 1988).

School boards are still very reluctant to hire a woman administrator, particularly a woman superintendent (Ryder, 1994). Board members and search consultants are in position to influence the hiring and evaluation of applicants, yet board members and search consultants are generally men with traditional ideas of gender (Chase and Bell, 1994).

The ethical question in the representation of women in the principalship and superintendency involves the rights of females to benefit from professional and financial achievement in the education profession. Educational administration opportunities in most states, particularly the superintendency, remain a male-dominated domain (Siegel, 1999).

Conclusion

Females continue to be underrepresented in educational administration. When women are given opportunities to demonstrate competent administrative ability, schools benefit by providing equal opportunity and representation in administration for the sexes, causing both boys and girls to recognize that school administration is not gender specific. Yet, many females never receive the opportunity to demonstrate skill and competence in school administration due to the traditional stereotypical roles for the sexes.

Today, females are likely to be main breadwinners and often the sole support for the family. It is unethical to deny women opportunity in educational administration. The ethical question is how to increase female representation in administration when males with traditional ideas of gender-specific roles continue to control the gateway to advancement by holding the majority representation on school boards and superintendencies.

References

Chase, S. E. & Bell, C. S. (1994). How Search Consultants Talk About Female Superintendents. The School Administrator, 51 (2), 36-38, 40, 42.

Colby, A., & Foote, E. (1995). Creating and Maintaining a Diverse Faculty. Los Angeles, CA: ERIC Clearinghouse for Community Colleges. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 386 261).

Edson, S. K. (1988). The female aspirant. Albany, New York: State University of New York Press.

Feldman, J. R., Jordensen, M, & Poling, E. (1988). Illustrations: Women in Educational Administration. Sex Equity in Education: Readings and Strategies. Springfield, Ill: Charles C. Thomas.

Frasher, J. M., & Frasher, R. S. (1979). Educational Administration: A Feminine Profession, Educational Administrative Quarterly, 15 (2), 2-13.

Halstead, A. (1999). Texas Association of School Administrators, Austin, Texas.

Holloway, K. (1998, July 7). Principal Concerns: Few Women Leave Classrooms for Administration. The Dallas Morning News, p. 16A.

Kidder, R. M. (1996). How Good People Make Tough Choices. New York: Simon & Schuster Inc.

Lee, V. E. (1996, August 7). Gender Equity: Pitting Boys Against Girls. Education Week.

Lockheed, M. (1985). Sex Equity in the Classroom Organization and Climate. In S. Klein (Ed.), Handbook for Achieving Sex Equity in Education, 189-217, Baltimore, MA: Johns Hopkins University Press (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 290 810)

Lynch, K. K. (1990). Women in School Administration Overcoming the Barriers to Advancement. <u>Women's Educational Equity Act Publishing Center Digest</u>. Washington, DC: OERI, U. S. Department of Education, Education Development Center, Inc.

Littleton, M. (1997). <u>Texas Teachers, Reality vs. Myth</u>. Austin, TX: Texas State Board of Educational Certification.

Mark, S. F. (1986). <u>Gender Differences Among Mid-Level Administrators.</u>
Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association for the Study of Higher Education: San Antonio, TX. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service ED 268 877)

Montenegro, X. (1993). <u>Women and Racial Minority Representation in School Administration</u>. Arlington, VA: American Association of School Administrators.

Nieto, S. (1996). <u>Affirming Diversity</u>. New York: Longman Publishers USA.

Office of Civil Rights. (1990). Women in School Administration: Overcoming the Barriers to Advancement. <u>WEEA Digest</u>, (2), (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 360 753)

Ornstein, P. (1994). School Girls: Young Women, Self-Esteem, and the Confidence Gap. <u>WEEA Digest</u>, Washington, DC: AAUW Educational Foundation Research.

Ryder, M. (1994). Women in Educational Administration: Breaking the Statistical Norm, <u>Thrust for Educational Leadership 23</u>, (7), 28.

Sadker, M., & Sadker, D. (1994). <u>Failing at Fairness: How America's Schools Cheat Girls</u>. New York: Macmillan Publishing.

Sanders, J. (1999). <u>Teacher Education and Gender Equity</u>. Washington, DC: ERIC Clearinghouse on Teaching and Teacher Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 408 277).

Siegel, A. C. (1999, April 14). Despite Growth, Women, Minorities Still Tiny Portion of All U. S. Superintendents. AASA Leadership News 1, (15), p. 1.

Strike, K. A., Haller, E. J., & Saltis, J. F. (1998). The Ethics of School Administration. New York: Teachers College Press.

Timm, J. T. (1994). The "American Dilemma"; Individualism, Diversity, Pluralism, and American Society. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 381 436).

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
(OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC®

Reproduction Release
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title:
Ethics And Gender Diversity in School MANAgement: Viewpoint

Author(s):

Corporate Source:	Publication Date:
None	*10/15/99*

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
[X]	[ ]	[ ]
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) *and* paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: *Carolyn McCreight, Ed.D*	Printed Name/Position/Title: CAROLYN McCREIGHT, Assistant Professor
Organization/Address: Texas A+M International/ 5201 University Blvd. LAredo, TX 78041-1900	Telephone: 956-326-2683 Fax: 956-326-2429
	E-mail Address: mccreight@tamiu.edu Date: 10/5/99

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

Eric Clearinghouse on Educational MANAgement

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598
Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97)