When students use concept maps to construct and represent their understandings, these concept maps can be analyzed for representation of understanding from both quantitative and qualitative perspectives. In this study, a qualitative approach was utilized to extend the interpretations from the quantitative analysis of students' conceptual understanding represented in their concept maps. A set of 22 seventh-grade maps was examined. Elements qualitatively examined included such components as reorganization in premaps and postmaps, changes in vocabulary usage, the nature of new knowledge representations, and the presence of misperceptions. Statistically significant differences may be noted in pooled preinstruction and postinstructio concept maps, but not in a class set of pre- and postinstruction maps. When a class set is analyzed qualitatively, changes in knowledge representations can be identified. Students were also asked to summarize in a written paragraph the understanding that their maps represented. The qualitative look at the maps can provide data to inform instruction in the content areas. Appendixes contain sample maps with a discussion of student support of maps and a set of student responses about the maps. (Contains 2 tables and 11 references.) (Author/SLD)
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Abstract

When students use concept maps to construct and represent their understandings, these concept maps can be analyzed for representation of understanding from both quantitative and qualitative perspectives. In this study, a qualitative approach was utilized to extend the interpretations from the quantitative analysis of students' conceptual understanding represented in their concept maps. Elements qualitatively examined included such components as reorganization in pre-maps and post-maps, changes in vocabulary usage, the nature of new knowledge representations, and the presence of misperceptions. Statistically significant differences may be noted in pooled pre-instruction and post-instruction concept maps, but not in a class set of pre- and post-instruction maps. When a class set is analyzed qualitatively, changes in knowledge representations can be identified. Students were also asked to summarize in a written paragraph the understanding that their maps represented. The qualitative look at the maps can provide data to inform instruction in the content areas.

Introduction

A challenge in education has been not only to help students elaborate conceptual understanding already possessed, but to modify those knowledge structures that contain misconceptions or alternative conceptions of frameworks (Novak & Gowan, 1984; Novak, 1990). To assist students in their development of conceptual understanding, concept mapping provides a two-dimensional, graphical representation for externalization of concepts and relationships within a conceptual domain. Concept maps are based in large part on the work of Ausubel whose Assimilation Theory accords that learning becomes meaningful only in the context of the learner's prior knowledge (Aususbel, Novak, & Hanesian, 1978).

Jonassen, Beissner, and Yacci (1993) note that through the process of developing a concept map the learner develops structural knowledge. Concept mapping requires that the learner take an active role in learning rather than being a passive recipient of knowledge, and students who have used concept mapping indicated that the act of mapping helped them understand the material, reduced memorization time, and helped clarify relationships among concepts (Heinz-Fry & Novak, 1989). Concept maps can be instructional and learning tools that provide students with practice in relating concepts and can be implemented for individual construction or as a cooperative group activity. Utilization of concept maps for assessment purposes presents challenges for both scoring and interpretation, and the activity of concept mapping also requires instruction and practice to become "fluent" in the act of setting concepts out on paper or a computer platform.

Methodology

A pilot study to investigate the use of concept mapping as a learning and assessment tool was conducted in a set of rural, midwestern schools engaged in science education reform. A description of this study can be found in a project assessment report (Enger, 1996), and summary
Statistics are available in the external project evaluation report (Burry-Stock, 1996). Eleven randomly selected teachers participated in training sessions that provided instruction in concept mapping and in assessment of student concept maps utilizing the **Expert Science Teacher Educational Evaluation Model (ESTEEM) Concept Mapping Rubric** (Burry-Stock, 1995).

A set of 22 seventh grade maps on the respiratory system were examined to determine the evidence of learning that students provided in their maps and writing to support their maps. This kind of in depth look at maps seeks to address a question of the level of evidence of conceptual understanding represented in the students' concept maps. Reported in this paper is a qualitative examination of a set of maps to compare pre-instruction and post-instruction map organization, the nature of linkage vocabulary used, and evidence of students' misperceptions. Coupled with this is a sample students' written summaries of their knowledge representation on the post-instruction concept maps, and students' responses to the question taken from the **ESTEEM Student Outcomes Questions** (Burry-Stock, 1995), of what they thought their teacher wanted them to learn. Three samples of the student maps and their supporting paragraphs are found in Appendix A, and student responses as to what they thought their teacher wanted them to learn are provided in Appendix B.

**Discussion and Results**

The **ESTEEM Concept Mapping Rubric** addressed elements such as words indicative of concept understanding and meaningful connections (labeled lines) to show relationships among map words and map segments. As background for the overall total pattern of the maps was also judged, and for the scored maps, chi-squares were significant ($p < .01$) for the elements noted (Burry-Stock, 1996). Table 1 provides this data summary, and descriptive statistics for the total map scores are set out in Table 2.

---

**Insert table 1 about here**

---

**Insert table 2 about here**

---

Burry-Stock notes that because the **ESTEEM Concept Mapping Rubric** evaluates levels of cognitive processing in the same manner across students from different classes, rubric use is appropriate with different content domains. The rater must, however, know the content to make the judgments necessary to evaluate the maps.

The review of students' concept maps is time intensive and subjective when assessing maps with a rubric or for any qualitative evaluation. Misconceptions have been studied in many
areas in science, and the concept maps help to raise the instructor's awareness of these misconceptions in his/her students. The instructor can in turn can use this information to guide instruction and promote conceptual understanding.

In reviewing the 22 seventh grade students' concept maps of the respiratory system, six students retained much of the same structural relationships on both pre- and post-instruction maps. Examples of maps that retained much of the original structure are included in Appendix A. Sixteen of the maps had more rearrangement in the post-map when pre- and post-instruction maps were compared, and an example of this is included in Appendix A. These sixteen students extended their concept maps and established more linkages. In this small sample of maps, the students whose maps tended to retain similar features in pre- and post- format were students who appeared to have greater conceptual understanding of the content that was studied about the respiratory system. This set of students may have been ready to move to more extensive or in depth information about the respiratory system.

Students were asked to write a paragraph that summarized their post-instruction maps. The process of writing is intended to have the student elaborate their ideas and to reinforce understanding. The paragraphs can also be valuable in assessing misunderstandings that are represented on the maps. Student interviews have also been used to illicit student's conceptual understanding.

When students use connecting lines to link words related to the concepts being studied, the word(s) written on those connecting lines should convey the nature of the relationship between the linked words. Without the linking words, the understanding of the relationship would need to be implied. However, such relationships are not necessarily implicit, and students have a tendency to omit linking words whether for lack of understanding or lack of attention to detail in writing.

In examining the concept maps on the respiratory system, students conceptions that appeared to be inappropriate were that lung cancer is caused by bacteria, the lungs produce oxygen, that the lungs contract and expand, relax and contract, or inflate and deflate. From the lesson background provided by the teacher, it was indicated that the structure and function of the respiratory system were to be included in the instructional unit. Also stated in the teacher's instructional goals was the intent to have the students explain how the lungs act as an organ of excretion, to define respiration, and to understand the effects of disease on the respiratory system.

Evidence from the maps suggests that the volume and pressure changes due to the movement of the rib cage, and the contraction or relaxation of the diaphragm would appear not to have been addressed. Understanding of the mechanics of inhalation and exhalation would seem to be conceptually appropriate in seventh grade science, and a recommendation might be made to include this in the science lessons for this unit of study. With concept mapping students should be
encouraged to indicate with words on their maps the relationship they intend. Why did they draw the line between or among the words on their maps?

The students' responses to what they thought the teacher wanted them to learn can inform the teacher in aligning instructional goals and student outcomes. A question that may be posed with these students' responses would be that of whether or not student outcomes reflected the instructional goals and objectives. The students' maps appeared to have a focus that did not fully reflect the intent of the stated objectives, at least in the structure and function of the respiratory system.

Summary Statements

Cliburn (1990) suggested that the instructor also should complete a concept map for the concept domain. This suggestion should be considered in that the instructor views where students' conceptual understanding is to be guided. Cliburn further recommends that the concept map be an on-going activity to help build linkage and revisitation of concepts. Maps like any other visual aid capitalize on the extraordinary human capacity for visual memory. While concept mapping has limitations for large scale assessment (Ruiz-Primo & Shavelson, 1996), it can work very well as a classroom assessment and learning strategy.

For successful learning, students need to take active possession of knowledge by seeking explicit connections between new concepts and those they already possess. The process of elaboration of personal meaningful knowledge occurs by restructuring existing conceptual frameworks (Regis, Albertazzi, & Roletto, 1996). Novak (1990) reported that a study conducted in junior high school classrooms showed that novel problem solving success was significantly correlated with success is concept mapping scores. Novak also noted that skill in concept mapping took at least one year to develop, and development of this skill showed little correlation with ability and achievement test scores. Concept mapping when coupled with other educational strategies led to superior achievement. It is for these reasons that concept mapping can be a viable way to help students build conceptual understanding.
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### Table 1

**Comparison of Pre- and Post-Instruction Concept Maps**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring Item</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Key words</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>108.37**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Non-key words</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>202.99**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Connecting lines</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Connecting lines labeled</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100.29**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Relationships between concepts</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>64.60**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Relationships between segments</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>87.96**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Hierarchical pattern</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>53.79**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Deep understanding</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>57.15**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$n = 175$, **$p < .01$**

### Table 2

**Descriptive Statistics for Pre- and Post-Instruction Concept Maps**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Map Scores</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-instruction maps</td>
<td>20.39</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>-7.99**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-instruction maps</td>
<td>26.99</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$n = 175$, **$p < .01$**
Appendix A
Sample Pre-Instruction Map: Student 8

Diagram shows a concept map related to respiratory system and diseases. Key concepts include breathing, carbon dioxide, oxygen, lungs, ribs, smoking, pneumonia, germs, and diseases.
Appendix A
Sample Post-Instruction Map: Student 8
Appendix A
Sample Pre-Instruction Map: Student 12
Appendix A
Sample Pre-Instruction and Post-Instruction Maps: Student 25

Pre-Instruction

Post-Instruction


Appendix A
Student Support of Maps

**Student 8**

**What the teacher wanted us to learn**

To learn how the parts of the respiratory system are built and how each part works. Learn how the respiratory and excretory systems work together. Learn how all body systems are connected. To learn how to act as a scientist, and learn the processes of science for investigations.

**Student summary of post-instruction map**

When you inhale (breath in) you are taking in oxygen, when you exhale (breath out) you are getting rid of carbon dioxide. Many things are bad for your lungs and respiratory system. One is smoking and another is drugs. Smoking can cause diseases such as cancer. Germs can cause illnesses in your throat also - it is important you protect your lungs and respiratory system so you can stay healthy.

**Student 12**

**What the teacher wanted us to learn**

Use the processes of science in experiments. Understand how the respiratory system is a part of the excretory system. Understand how systems of the body relate to one another. Understand the structure and function of the respiratory system.

**Student summary of post-instruction map**

The respiratory system can be divided into three different groups that are diseases, breathing, and the function. Breathing starts in a tube called the trachea. In the trachea you inhale oxygen and exhale carbon dioxide. Nerves in your brain effect how you breathe. The function of the respiratory system starts with the nose, mouth, lungs, and diaphragm. The lungs have bronchi which branches out into bronchial tubes. At the end of the bronchial tubes are aveolies. The functions of the diaphragm is to expand and relax. The diaphragm is a smooth muscle. Germs cause many diseases. Some diseases are asthma, phnamonia, and the flue. In conclusion many things effect the way people breath.

**Student 25**

**What the teacher wanted us to learn**

I think the main ideas were to understand the structure and function of the respiratory system, to know how it is a part of the excretory system, know how the systems of the body relate to each other, and to be able to use this processes of science into investigations.

**Student summary of post-instruction map**

Respiration has ten key words. They are diseases, lungs, oxygen, exhale, inhale, trachea, ribs, carbon dioxide, breathing, and diaphragm. I found twelve non-key words. I found 5 linking words. So in conclusion, there are a lot of non-key words and linking words that I missed putting on my concept map.
## Appendix A

### Student Map Scores

### ESTEEM Rubric Concept Map Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Pre-Instruction</th>
<th>Post-instruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student 8</td>
<td>25/40 (63%)</td>
<td>25/40 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 12</td>
<td>32/40 (80%)</td>
<td>36/40 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 25</td>
<td>16/40 (40%)</td>
<td>25/40 (63%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B
Student Responses

Want do you think your teacher wanted you to learn during this unit of study?

1. To understand the structure and the functions of the respiratory system. To understand how the respiratory system is part of the excretory system. To understand how all of the systems in the body relate to one another.

2. He wanted us to learn the structures and functions of the respiratory system, how the respiratory system is part of the excretory system, the processes of science in investigations, and systems of the body relate to each other.

3. (Not Available)

4. How the respiratory system works and the structure. How all the systems of the bodies are linked together. How to investigate the systems.

5. We were to understand the significant structure and function in the respiratory system. Respiratory and excretory - together - systems. Investigations.

6. To learn how to exercise properly and what your heart-rate had to be before it did you any good. To understand how respiratory and excretory are related. How to diagram heart rates. Learn to do averages and process of elimination and processes of science.

7. Put the processes of science into effect. Understand how the respiratory and excretory systems relate. Understand how other systems relate to each other. Understand how the respiratory system works and how it's built.

8. To learn how the parts of the respiratory system are built and how each part works. Learn how the respiratory and excretory systems work together. Learn how all body systems are connected. To learn how to act as a scientist, and learn the processes of science for investigations.

9. Structure and function of the respiratory system. How body system relates to each other. Process of science investigations. How respiratory system is a part of the excretory system.

10. Our teacher wanted us to learn the structure and function of the respiratory system, which includes the lungs, trachea, wind pipe, nostril, diaphragm, etc. Our teacher also wanted us to learn how the respiratory system is a part of the excretory system is because without breathing the chemicals could not move in the blood stream because it wouldn't be working properly. He also wanted us to know how systems of the body relate to each other in breathing and exocrine systems and other parts. He also wanted us too know how to use the processes of science in investigating which he's done well because we know now how to do this.

11. (Not Available)

12. Use the processes of science in experiments. Understand how the respiratory system is a part of the excretory system. Understand how systems of the body relate to one another. Understand the structure and function of the respiratory system.
Learning how respiratory is related to the excretory system. Learning about structure and function. Learn how system and body work together to help you breath. Using scientific processes in investigations of respiratory system.

Understand the respiratory system structure, function. Learn how the respiratory system is related to the excretory. Use scientific process in problems.

To get in our heads the function and structure of the respiratory system. To see that the respiratory system is a part of the excretory system. To realise how all of the systems of the bodies relate to each other. And to use and realixe what the process of science is in a investigation.

(Not Available)

We breathe with our diaphragm. Breathing is a complicated process. Respiration is also excretory.

Know how the resportory system functioned and the struture. How other systems were related to each other. How science was used in investigations.

To be able to identify the structure and function of the respiratory system. To tell how the respiratory system is part of the excretory system. Tell how systems of the body relate to one another.

Understand respiratory's structure and function. Respiratory system is part of the excreatory system. How body systems relate. In investigations use the processes of science.

How the systems of the body relate to each other. How the respiratory system works. Use the science process in our investigation.

I think he wanted us to learn the many different parts of the respiratory system. Another main idea is that he wanted us to learn how the different body systems work together, like the respiratory and exeratory system work together. Another main idea of this unit was to use the processes of science in our experiments/investigations.

I think my teacher wanted me to learn and understand about structure and function of the resipatory system, so I know more about later on. Plus to know how the different systems relate to each other.

How systems relate to each other. To use science in investigations. How different systems work together.

I think the main ideas were to understand the structure and function of the respiratory system, to know how it is a part of the excretory system, know how the systems of the body relate to each other, and to be able to use this processes of science into investigations.
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