ED426056 1998-12-00 Guide to the National Partnership for Excellence and Accountability in Teaching (NPEAT). ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Guide to the National Partnership for Excellence and Accountability i	n
Teaching (NPEAT). ERIC Digest	2
THE PARTNERSHIP	2
NPEAT PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES	4
RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION	4
TEACHER PREPARATION	4
INDUCTION	4
CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	5
STANDARDS AND ASSESSMENTS	5
FACILITATING KNOWLEDGE-BASED ACTION TO IMPROVE	
TEACHING	5
CONCLUSION	6
REFERENCES	6



ERIC Identifier: ED426056 Publication Date: 1998-12-00

Author: Hawley, Willis D. - Valli, Linda

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Teaching and Teacher Education Washington DC. Guide to the National Partnership for Excellence and Accountability in Teaching (NPEAT). ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

All children need excellent teachers. But those who need outstanding teachers most are children placed at risk because of the economic conditions of their families and communities; racial and ethnic discrimination; limited command of English; and physical, emotional, or mental disabilities (National Commission, 1996).

The National Partnership for Excellence and Accountability in Teaching (NPEAT), which is primarily funded by the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Educational Research and Improvement, seeks to place the improvement of teaching at the center of efforts to improve schools (National Commission, 1996). To this end, NPEAT addresses two central problems that impede the development of systemic reforms to improve the quality of teaching: (1) the absence of agreement about effective strategies for improving teaching among those who significantly influence the capabilities and motivation of teachers and the conditions of teaching, and (2) the discontinuity, inconsistency, and misalignment of policies and practices that influence the quality of teaching across the career continuum of teachers. This Digest describes how NPEAT focuses its attention on the best strategies to attract, prepare, retain, and support teachers who serve the nation's neediest students in urban schools.

THE PARTNERSHIP

While many organizations hold membership in NPEAT, the policies that give direction to the partnership are set by a Policy Board composed of representatives from:

American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education

American Association of School Administrators

American Council on Education

American Federation of Teachers

Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development

Council for Basic Education

ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

Council for Exceptional Children

Council of Chief State School Officers

Council of the Great City Schools

Education Commission of the States

"Education Week"

The Holmes Partnership

International Reading Association

National Alliance of Business

National Association for the Education of Young Children

National Association for Equal Opportunity in Higher Education

National Association of Elementary School Principals

National Association of Secondary School Principals

National Association of State Boards of Education

National Board for Professional Teaching Standards

National Commission on Teaching & America's Future

National Conference of State Legislatures

National Council for Teachers of Mathematics

National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education

National Education Association

National School Boards Association

National Staff Development Council

New American Schools

Recruiting New Teachers, Inc.

Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages

NPEAT PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES

The work of NPEAT is organized into Policy & Practice Strands that encompass high-promise strategies for improving teaching: Recruitment and Retention, Teacher Preparation, Induction, Continuing Professional Development, and Standards and Assessments. These strands include an interrelated set of activities: the development of research-based consensus around ideas, principles, and promising policies and practices; the dissemination of knowledge and the support of related action by partners and others; the identification of what we need to know more about; and the conduct of relevant research and development that leads, in turn, to usable knowledge.

RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION

NPEAT activities related to recruitment and retention address the following issues: What are the most effective strategies to recruit, admit, and retain students from underrepresented groups and for areas in which there are teacher shortages? What institutional, state, and national policies would change the characteristics of the teaching force? What roles do public schools, community groups, institutions of higher learning, and state policymakers play in obtaining a more talented and diverse population in teaching? What models of recruitment exist in local partnerships and in states that could serve as exemplars for schools and school systems throughout the nation? How can we eliminate the need for emergency certification of unqualified teachers? (Boyer & Baptiste, 1996; Murnane, Singer, Willett, Kemple, & Olsen, 1991; Darling-Hammond & Sclan, 1996; NASBE, 1998)

TEACHER PREPARATION

A second area of work is the development and study of initial teacher preparation programs that ensure that new teachers have the knowledge, skills, and dispositions to effectively teach diverse students. These programs are seen as the mutual responsibility of university liberal arts faculties, education school faculties, and K-12 school faculties where field experiences are undertaken.

Some of the essential questions to which NPEAT is seeking answers are: How can the commitment of colleges and universities to the preparation of teachers be strengthened? Does designing teacher education programs around national standards improve teachers' effectiveness--especially those who work in high-risk schools? How can teacher education candidates be taught to use technology to facilitate student learning? How can colleges and universities be held accountable for the quality of the teachers they prepare? How do the processes and cultures of professional development schools influence teacher and student learning? (Holmes Group, 1990; Roth, 1999)

INDUCTION

Arguably, improving the successful induction of new teachers into the profession would

ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

be the single most cost-effective strategy for improving teaching. Investment in sound recruitment strategies and initial preparation programs that draw capable individuals into teaching will be wasted unless schools are structured to make use of new teachers' talents and sustain their commitment. Thus, NPEAT is investigating induction programs for novice teachers that enhance their capabilities and commitments to teaching. These include year-long intern or residency programs and various types of mentored learning experiences (Huling-Austin, 1990; Feiman-Nemser & Parker, 1992).

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Professional development may enhance teacher knowledge and motivation. But unless the conditions of work make possible new approaches to teaching, teachers are unlikely to change their classroom practice (Hawley & Rosenholtz, 1985; Little, 1993; Darling-Hammond & McLaughlin, 1995; Fullan, 1994; Sykes & Darling-Hammond, 1999). Therefore, NPEAT focuses attention on how schools can be restructured to simultaneously foster teacher learning and student learning. The fundamental questions asked in this set of activities are: What is the relationship between teacher and student learning? What and how do teachers learn throughout their careers? How can schools be restructured to improve teaching and learning?

STANDARDS AND ASSESSMENTS

New standards for teaching are a promising lever for transforming teacher education and professional development, and ultimately teaching and learning. Standards have the potential to clearly link teacher learning opportunities from teacher preparation programs through career-long education and to ensure that students are taught by teachers who are knowledgeable and competent (Darling-Hammond, Wise, & Klein, 1995; Wilson & Ball, 1996).

NPEAT research and development seeks to guarantee that standards and assessments really measure teachers' effectiveness with students and that new assessments are fair and appropriate. Equally important are NPEAT studies that seek to understand what kinds of learning opportunities teachers need in order to meet these demanding new standards and what kinds of teaching contexts support competent and accomplished teaching.

FACILITATING KNOWLEDGE-BASED ACTION TO IMPROVE TEACHING

A large part of the reason the nation fails to provide highly qualified teachers for all its children is the misalignment of the principal influences on the teaching profession--including university degree requirements, union contracts, license requirements, certification standards, tenure requirements, public perception of the teacher's work, in-service requirements, salary and reward structures, and leadership practices. Therefore, in all its work, NPEAT focuses on understanding and facilitating effective partnerships that seek to enhance the quality of teaching.

To that end, NPEAT engages in several types of activities that encourage and support the implementation of effective policies and practices. The various strategies to foster the use of knowledge to achieve systemic reforms that NPEAT employs include the collaborative ways it designs and conducts research; publications by NPEAT and its partners; workshops, conferences and teleconferences; the development of models of effective practice and learning materials for use in professional development; technical assistance; and the support of knowledge-based collaborative action.

While pursuing these strategies, NPEAT will make extensive use of telecommunications. Persons and organizations interested in the improvement of teaching can participate in a National Dialogue on the Improvement of Teaching through NPEAT's Web site (www.npeat.org). The dialogue identifies the characteristics of effective policies and practices, summarizes the relevant research, and provides examples of programs that work and references to sources of support. The National Dialogue and information about all of NPEAT's activities and findings from its research are available on the web site.

CONCLUSION

NPEAT's goal is to ensure that America will provide all students with their educational birthright: access to competent, caring teachers. NPEAT's work is focused on a set of strategies that hold promise for continuously improving the quality of teaching. Knowledge from research and the wisdom of practice is used to develop consensus about principles and guidelines for the design of improved policy and practices. As NPEAT supports the implementation of effective strategies it also studies variations in their impact. This, in turn, yields new topics for research and strengthens the foundation for the continuous improvement of teaching.

REFERENCES

Boyer, J., & Baptiste, Jr., H. P. (1996). The crisis in teacher education in America: Issues of recruitment and retention of culturally different (minority) teachers. In J. Sikula (Ed.), HANDBOOK OF RESEARCH ON TEACHER EDUCATION (2nd ed.) (pp. 779-794). New York: Macmillan. ED 400 230 Darling-Hammond, L., & McLaughlin, M. W. (1995). Policies that support professional

development in an era of reform. PHI DELTA KAPPAN, 76(8), 597-604. EJ 501 259

Darling-Hammond, L., & Sclan, E. M. (1996). Who teaches and why: Dilemmas of building a profession for twenty-first century schools. In J. Sikula (Ed.), HANDBOOK OF RESEARCH ON TEACHER EDUCATION, (2nd ed.) (pp. 67-101). New York: Macmillan. ED 400 230

Darling-Hammond, L., Wise, A., & Klein, S. (1995). A LICENSE TO TEACH: BUILDING A PROFESSION FOR 21ST-CENTURY SCHOOLS. Boulder: Westview Press.

ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

Feiman-Nemser, S., & Parker, M. B. (1992). MENTORING IN CONTEXT: A COMPARISON OF TWO U.S. PROGRAMS FOR BEGINNING TEACHERS (NCRTL Special Report). East Lansing, MI: National Center for Research on Teacher Learning. ED 346 091

Fullan, M. (1994). CHANGE FORCES: PROBING THE DEPTHS OF EDUCATIONAL REFORM. Bristol, PA: Falmer Press. ED 373 391

Hawley, W., & Rosenholtz, S. (1985). Good schools: What research says about improving student achievement. PEABODY JOURNAL OF EDUCATION, 61(4), 1-178. EJ 314 588

Holmes Group. (1990). TOMORROW'S SCHOOLS: PRINCIPLES FOR THE DESIGN OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT SCHOOLS. East Lansing, MI: Author. ED 328 533

Huling-Austin, L. (1990). Teacher induction programs and internships. In W. R. Houston (Ed.), HANDBOOK OF RESEARCH ON TEACHER EDUCATION: A PROJECT OF THE ASSOCIATION OF TEACHER EDUCATORS (pp. 535-548). New York: Macmillan. ED 318 735

Little, J. W. (1993). Teachers' professional development in a climate of educational reform. EDUCATIONAL EVALUATION AND POLICY ANALYSIS, 15(2), 129-151. EJ 466 295

Murnane, R., Singer, J., Willett, J., Kemple, J., & Olsen, R. (1991). WHO WILL TEACH? POLICIES THAT MATTER. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

NASBE Study Group. (1998). THE NUMBERS GAME: ENSURING QUANTITY AND QUALITY IN THE TEACHING WORKFORCE. Alexandria, VA: National Association of State Boards of Education.

National Commission on Teaching & America's Future. (1996). WHAT MATTERS MOST: TEACHING FOR AMERICA'S FUTURE. New York: Author. ED 395 931

Roth, R. A., (Ed.). (1999). THE ROLE OF THE UNIVERSITY IN THE PREPARATION OF TEACHERS. Philadelphia: Falmer Press.

Sykes, G., & Darling-Hammond, L. (Eds.). (1999). HANDBOOK OF TEACHING AND POLICY: TEACHING AS A LEARNING PROFESSION. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Wilson, S. M., & Ball, D. L. (1996). Helping teachers meet standards: New challenges for teacher educators. ELEMENTARY SCHOOL JOURNAL, 97(2), 121-138. EJ 534 687

This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract number RR93002015. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the Department.

Title: Guide to the National Partnership for Excellence and Accountability in Teaching (NPEAT). ERIC Digest.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Descriptors: Accountability, Beginning Teacher Induction, Educational Improvement, Educational Quality, Elementary Secondary Education, Excellence in Education, Faculty Development, Higher Education, Preservice Teacher Education, Standards, Teacher Competencies, Teacher Evaluation, Teacher Recruitment, Teachers, Teaching Skills **Identifiers:** ERIC Digests

###



[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page]