Community-based prevention-oriented approaches that provide basic supports to families are needed to address rising rates of violence, child abuse, and other socially disruptive behavior. Weak families, weak neighborhoods, and weak economies are mutually reinforcing and lead to negative behaviors by youth and others. In proposing a community change strategy, a wildlife analogy of survival in marginal environments is used. The differences (survival of civilization versus preservation of a species or ecological system, and humans' ability to adapt) and similarities (life at the margins and multiple environmental stressors) are explained. A community evaluation study in New York showed that the highest (most urban) and lowest (most rural) population densities exhibited the highest statistical averages on stress indicators. However, some towns with high poverty levels ranked low on other stressors. The study findings reinforced the idea that the number of different risk factors a child faces can be more important than the level of any one type of risk. Key elements for a stable community include safety and security, economic opportunity, adequate and affordable housing, access to health care, good child care, integrated schools, after-school activities, constructive opportunities for youth, and support networks for parents. A community restoration plan, analogous to one used in wildlife preservation, is recommended. A sidebar describes a five-step environmental assessment tool. (SAS)
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Executive Summary: Remediation vs. Prevention

Studies indicate that our response to socially disruptive behavior in the U.S. has concentrated too much on trying to patch up individual families and children after damage has occurred, rather than working to prevent damage in the first place. This research advises that individual and community well-being are inextricably linked. Neighborhood environment influences social behavior. Environmental analysis should be applied to neighborhoods where habitat destruction, in the form of community deterioration, is becoming an increasing threat. Since people build communities, people can choose to change them in ways that prevent child endangerment.

Violence is Cause for Alarm


These changes constitute nothing less than an alarm; they indicate that an increasing number of families are somehow failing at their main task, raising their children. National advisory groups have recommended a strong prevention-oriented approach with emphasis on basic supports to families before they get into crisis.

Neighborhoods Matter

There is growing interest in the effects of neighborhood and community on families and parenting. In both rural and urban areas, scholars have noticed the negative effect of marginal neighborhoods -- communities with inadequate economic and social supports -- on families and children. This has led to a call for community development as a primary prevention effort to identify and change community conditions which weaken families and lead youth and others into negative behaviors.

Vicious Cycle Leads to Decline in Both Rural & Urban Communities

It is fair to ask whether the problems among children and youth are the result of disintegration of community, or whether they are in fact responsible for the disintegration of community, or whether both types of deterioration result from something else, such as a weakening of the family, or the economy. The fundamental issue is that these negative trends reinforce each other. Weak families, weak neighborhoods, and weak economies are mutually reinforcing. Once this pernicious cycle gets going it takes on a life of its own that becomes difficult to reverse. When economic loss occurs in the community, people with better alternatives leave, and the availability of people who can provide stability and leadership declines. The community social structure begins to unravel as churches, clubs, organizations and services weaken or close down. Legitimate opportunities to make a living decrease; crime increases. People who move into the community come primarily in search of cheap housing. While there are variations, the main elements of this cycle have been documented in both rural and urban settings.

The Wildlife Analogy

The destruction of habitat for wildlife has raised critical concerns about the ability of some wildlife species to survive in marginal environments. Should similar concerns be raised about the ability of families and children to flourish in marginal urban and rural neighborhoods? What can we learn from the wildlife studies as we think about the importance of habitat for families and children?
Differences
Survival of civilization
Whereas wildlife conservation efforts focus on preservation of a species or ecological system, the chief concern for humans is preservation of civilization, rather than extinction of the species. Are our family and community environments producing offspring capable of sustaining our critical institutions? Will the children growing up today and those who follow be able to maintain and transmit the rules of conduct, respect, morality and civility that make our communities livable? Will they be able to operate both the machines and the social institutions we have created? Will they be able to raise children with the creativity and skills to confront complex crises and challenges?

Ability to adapt
The ability of humans to adapt to different environments and to literally create and alter environments to meet our needs, is unique in nature, and tends to reduce awareness of the effects of the natural environment. But having the ability to change or modify our own habitat imposes responsibilities and obligations not shared by other species. We have created the villages, cities and suburbs in which our species lives. We must therefore take responsibility for changing them if they have become unsuitable environments for raising our children.

Similarities
Life at the margins
An aspect of wildlife ecological studies with some relevance for human communities may be the concept of "life at the margins." Environmental limitations usually affect the most vulnerable first. Biologists have noted that the quality of life drops rapidly at the edge of a species' habitat. Spotted owls living outside the forest fail to nest and cannot produce offspring. Could marginal environments also frustrate people's ability to form stable families?

The ability to maintain stable relationships and communities is critical for the long nurturance that human offspring require. Where many children go unsupervised or uncared for, youths often join gangs to meet basic needs that families and community institutions provide elsewhere. While it is common to lay responsibility for

Results of New York Research
Modeled after Kids Count, a "community scale" was developed to evaluate local communities in New York State. Population density was used as a control variable to distinguish between urban, suburban and rural settings and convey more about the surroundings for children than total population. This method enabled comparison of child well-being measures among communities with similar characteristics.

The highest (most urban) and lowest (most rural) density towns exhibited the highest statistical averages on stress indicators. (See graph). This is not surprising given the similarities between isolated rural and depressed inner city neighborhoods on a number of socio-economic measures.

However, at the individual community level, some towns with high poverty levels ranked low on other stressors. This is important in light of other studies showing that poverty (in the absence of other risk factors) has little adverse impact on child development at the individual level (Garbarino, 1995).

Stable Communities: Key Elements
1. Safety and security for both children and families. Optimal child development is not possible if fear and survival needs are paramount. If it is dangerous to play outside or at school, children's social, intellectual and emotional development will be severely restricted. (Garbarino, 1995)
2. Economic opportunity. Parents need to be able to provide the basics for their families. Lack of jobs, extremely low wages and lack of financing for business ventures make it difficult for parents to provide for children's basic needs.

3. Adequate and affordable housing. Insufficient and inadequate housing can result in homelessness, health problems for children and hunger, as high rents often leave little money for other basics. Inability to pay rent often results in forced moves. Mobility is associated with poor school performance, and increases the likelihood of dropping out.

4. Access to health care. Lack of health care can have tragic long-term effects on children. Simple ear infections in toddlers can lead to loss of hearing if not treated. Inadequate pre-natal care can result in unnecessary premature births and long term problems for the child and society.

5. Good child care. Working parents require good child care. If young children are to develop their potential, this care must be interactive, with plenty of verbal stimulation and activity supervised by caring adults.

6. Community integrated schools. Positive school morale is especially important in severely distressed neighborhoods where parenting is weak and schools are called upon to perform parenting functions for some children. In communities which have lost major industries and other institutions, schools that involve parents and others in an open fashion have the potential to become the center of community life.

7. After school activities. Providing constructive after-school activities is a challenge for communities but helps avoid the anti-social and destructive behaviors which can result from leaving children unsupervised and isolated for long periods.

8. Constructive opportunities for youth. Youth need positive role models who can influence them positively and they need creative activities which allow them to explore their potential. Community opportunities will play an important role in successful transitions from school to work and from childhood to adulthood.

9. Support networks for parents. In healthy communities most families develop support networks naturally through friends, work associates, neighbors, and other contacts made through churches, social and recreational activities. Community organizations can help provide child rearing information and a place for educational and social activities for parents.

A Community Restoration Plan

A restoration plan is a tool used in wildlife preservation to design a strategy for removing a species from the "endangered" list. Such a plan could be developed to remove a neighborhood from the "severely distressed" category, or from any serious at risk category. Rather than focusing on the remediation of individuals, a local government or consortium could find ways to strengthen the community as a whole. We need to place as much, or more importance on the environments of our children as we do on the environments of wildlife.

Communities may want to consider a comprehensive environmental evaluation such as the five step assessment tool shown. (See box). The first three steps can be accomplished utilizing available data bases. The last two require getting out and talking with people, finding out about the community's weaknesses and strengths from the perspective of families and children. Broad based discussions with community groups, schools, churches and child serving agencies, as well as neighborhood organizations must also occur. Neighborhood residents must be key participants in the action research and community development process.
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Tea Assessment Project

Tapping into teens’ thoughts and finding out how they fare in their communities -- that’s the goal of TAP, the Teen Assessment Project. In many counties in NYS, Cornell Cooperative Extension, school districts, and community groups have collaborated to survey teens’ concerns and behaviors. Interactive community-based study produces indicators of neighborhood stressors and risks. Contextual information is then available for communities to fine tune and target resources.

For more information contact: Herb Engmann <hje1@cornell.edu>, Human Development & Family Studies, Cornell University, G27, MVR Hall, Ithaca, NY (607-255-2536)
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title:</th>
<th>Endangered Children and Environmental Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author(s):</td>
<td>Frank Remy, Hazel Dayton Gunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Source:</td>
<td>Cornell University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication Date:</td>
<td>Summer 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2A</th>
<th>Level 2B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![Sample](CHECK HERE FOR LEVEL 1 RELEASE, PERMITTING REPRODUCTION AND DISSEMINATION IN MICROFICHE OR OTHER ERIC ARCHIVAL MEDIA (E.G., ELECTRONIC) AND PAPER COPY.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Sample](CHECK HERE FOR LEVEL 2A RELEASE, PERMITTING REPRODUCTION AND DISSEMINATION IN MICROFICHE AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![Sample](CHECK HERE FOR LEVEL 2B RELEASE, PERMITTING REPRODUCTION AND DISSEMINATION IN MICROFICHE ONLY)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Susan Sacco, Office Assistant
Cornell University
Cornell University

Printed Name/Position/Title: 
Telephone: 607-255-9510 
Fax: 255-9981 
E-Mail Address: 
Date: 10/2/98
III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publisher/Distributor:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

**ERIC/CRESS AT AEL**

1031 QUARRIER STREET - 8TH FLOOR

P O BOX 1348

CHARLESTON WV 25325

phone: 800/624-9120

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**

1100 West Street, 2nd Floor

Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com